CAUSE OF TRUTH,

CONTAINING,

BESIDES A GREAT VARIETY OF OTHER MATTER,

A REFUTATION OF ERRORS

IN THE POLITICAL WORKS

145

THOMAS PAINE,

AND OTHER PUBLICATIONS OF A SIMILAR KIND

IN A SERIES OF LETTERS,

A RELIGIOUS MORAL, AND POLITICAL NATURE.

By ROBERT THOMAS, Minister of ABDIE.

The English Monarchy differs in its nature and main foundations from every other. DE LOLME.

They fibe English) know better than any other people upon earth, how to palse at the same time these three great advantages, religion, commerce, and liberty. Source or Laws.

DUNDEE.

Printed by T. Colvill for the Author,-1797,

And fold by Meffes. J. Dickson, W. Creech, W. Laing, J. Watson & Co. Manners & Millar, Edinburgh; R. Morison & Son, G. M. Farlane, and T. Hill, Perth; J. Gillies, Glafgow; G. Milla, Dandee; Versier & Hood, Birchen-lane, Allen & Wost, No 16, Paternoster-row, and Martin & Bain, No 184, Fleet-fireet, London.

(PRICE 38.)

STANCE IN ENGLISHED

The Rev. Laurence Adamban, ministers oberes contract Avenua Town w And P Mr. Roffert A. Chimban, Forest West on The Rev. 14 contract Conse. And St. D. N. Aspendick! they sell avides of British Sugar

Washing Allan Dunder Junes Andersburg Country

Mr. Charles Avel Co. machen donat service

The Rive Mary

No

Las diem Die L . Lovedon box alibe granes and development

Lucies & affing all medichant, Bernaus The Pay David Bander half the Marri Wil their all again, balon, Perch. The Art | The Areas are Congil. district on the state of the care of the BUT WAR TO BE

The second state of the second state Red Pour South Cont. W. Goodge Bonic, Poul. distant Spring version Men Thomas Colors of the Colors

section (served and see A CONTRACTOR

S Common S

ERRATA.

P 16, l. 12, for contradiction, read contradistination.

Note, p. 117, for Godwin's, read Goldsmith's.

P. 113. l. 12, for alderman, read ealdorman.

Note, p. 250, l. 5, for aeropollis, read aeropoleis.

P. 338, l. 14 and 15, for Council of Provision, read Assembly of Revision.

P. 403, l. 30, for the influencing on balancing, &c. read the influence on the one side, balancing, &c.

P. 417, l. 10, for desposism, read disposition.

P. 421, l. 25, for subject, read subjects.

Id. l. 26, for affair, read affairs.

T M

25



THE MEDICAL PARTY

Ca Mi

Th Mi Th Mi

Re Mi

Re

SUBSCRIBERS NAMES.

A

The Rev. Laurence Adamson. minister, Cupar, Fife. The Rev. Mr Thomas Adamson.
Mr Robert Adamson, farmer, Carpow.
The Rev. Mr Aitken, Scone.
Provost William Alison, Perth.
Allen of Errol, Esq.
Mr William Allan, Dundee.
John Alexander, writer, Edinburgh.
James Anderson of Inchrye, Esq.
The Rev. Mr John Anderson, minister, Dundee.
Mr John Anderson, East shore, Newburgh.

John Anderson, Newburgh, George Anderson, Dundee, Thomas Anderson, Cupar.

Capt. Anderson, Dundee.

Mr. Charles Archer, merchant, Perth, Alexander Arnot, teacher, Perth.

B
The Rev. Dr George Baird, Principal of the University of Edinburgh.
Mr Alexander Baird, farmer, Hill.
James Ballingall, merchant, Perth.
The Rev. David Bannerman, St. Martin's.
Mr Henry Balmain, baker, Perth.
The Rev. James Bannerman, Cargill.

Mr John Barland, Stormontfield.

Thomas Barland, Stormontfield.

Thomas Barland, Stormonteld.

Thomas Barland, Stormonteld.

Rev. Peter Barchy, Kettle. Mr George Bartie, Perth. Peter Bartie, Perth, Rev. Thomas Bartie, Newtyle. Mr John Baxter, Dundee.

William Baxter. Andrew Bell. Mr Benjamin Bell, furgeon, Edinburgh. James Bell, schoolmaster, Oughtergaven. Thomas Bell, Dundee.

The Rev. David Beatson, Dunbarnie, Mr David Beatson, merchant, Perth,

The Rev. Mr James Beatson, Kingsbarns.

Mr James Beveridge, Solicitor to the General Post-Office, Edinburgh.

Mr Alexander Biffet, wright, Perth. The Rev. Robert Bogie, Logie,

Mr James Buchan farmer, Kildinny.

Laurence Buchan, Perth. James Buift, Perth.

Henry Buift, farmer Braefide. The Rev. James Burgh, Trinity Gafk.

Mr John Burnet, Perth.

Mifs Burt, Peith.

The Rev. David Black, Edinburgh.

The Rev. David Black, Mrs Blackie fen. Perth.

Mr George Blair, Dundee.

The Rev. Dr Hugh Blair, Edinburgh. Mr William Blair, farmer, Colin.

The Rev Dr. James Blinfhall, Dundee. The Rev. Dr Andrew Brown, Falkland.

Mr John Brown, baker, Perth.

J. Banks. Andrew Bridges jun. merchant. Charles Bruce, baker, Perth. Robert Bruce, Broomhill.

Robert Bruce, manufacturer, Perth.

The Rt. Hon, the Earl of Crawford.
The Rev. Adam Cairne, Longforgan.
Alexander Campbell of Kellanalen, Efq.
Archibald Campbell of Clatheck, Efq. Sheriff depute of
Perthibite.

The Rev. Dr George Campbell, Cupar. Mr Alexander Campbell, inerchast, Perth. Daniel Campbell, do.

John Campbell, do.

Mr, James

M

M

Mr James Caw, merchant, Perth. John Chalmers, writer, Edinburgh. James Chalmers, Elq, Sheriff-fubstitute, Perth, Mr James Clement, dyer, Perth. James Clerk, Efq. Sheriff depute of Mid-Lothian. Mr Martin Clerk, Perth.

Robert Cock.

The Rev. John Cook, Kilmeny. The Rev. Thomas Conftable, Liff. Mr William Conftable, Lawton.

Dr Andrew Coventree, Professor of Agriculture, University

of Edinburgh.

Mr James Cowans, Perth. John Cowans, merchant, Perth. John Christie, wright, Pomarium, Perth. John Christie, writer, Edinburgh. John Craw, baker, Perth. Archibald Crawford, W. S. Edinburgh.

George Craig, Perth. Mrs. Collector Craigie, Perth, Mr William Craighead, Newburgh. Archibald Crighton, Dundee. John Crighton, writer, Scoon.

The Rev. Joseph Crighton, Ceres. Mr William Crighton, Dundee.

Mr Cuming of Inverness.

The Honourable Lady Rachel Drummond, Stobball, The Hon. Lord Dunfinnan. Robert Dundas of Arniston, Esq. Lord Advocate. Mrs Dundas of Arniston. The Rev. David Davidson, Dundee. Mr James Davidson, writer, Edinburgh. James Davidson, surgeon, Perth.

The Rev. Dr Thomas Davidson, Edinburgh. Mr. John Dewar.

Robert Dewar, Bridgend of Perth. William Dick, Rector of the Grammar School, Perth. David Dobie, Dyfart. James Dobie, jun. do. William Dobie,

John Donaldson, Falkland.

mes

Mr David

Mr David Dow, factor, Kilgrafton. James Dow.

The Rev. Mr John Dow, Methven. Mr Tomas Dow, Perth.

William Dow, merchant, Perth. John Drummond of Meggineh, Elq.

Mrs Drummond of Megginch. William Drummond of Logicalmond, Efe. Colonel Duncan of Lundie

Rev. Andrew Duncan, Auchterarder. Mr David Duncan.

Henry Duncan John Duncan. John Duncan, Lindores

James Duncan, farmer, Foreft.

Rev. William Duncan, Abernethy. Mr Alexander Duff, teacher, Perth. James Duff, merchant, Perth.

James Duff, farmer, Souterhill, The Rev. Mr John Duff, Perth. Mrs Duff, Perth

The Rev. Mr John Duff, Kinfawns. Mr John Duff, farmer, Muirend.

Peter Duff, merchant, Perth.

The Rt. Hon. the Earl of Elgin. The Rt. Hon Thomas Elder, Lord Provoft of Edinburgh The Rev. Dr John Erskine, Edinburgh. Mr James Eafon, Perth. William Elder, carpenter, Leith.

The Rev Mr John Fernie, Dunfermline. Mr John Falconer, Millshades. Alexander Faichney, Efq. Provoft of Perth. Mr George Faichney.

Joseph Faichney, Perth. Thomas Ferguson, writer, F.dinburgh,

Patrick Fiskin, merchant, Perth. The Rev. Mr James Finlayfon, Professor of Logic, Univerfity of Edinburgh.

Mr John Finlayfon, farmer, Benyhole.

Mr Duncan

Ma

The

Sim

Cap

The

Mr

The

Mr

The

The

Maj Mr

The

7 he Pro

Mr

Mr

Cole

Mr

T'he Fran

7'he Maj

Mr

The

The

Mr.

Mr

Mr.

ame Char

Land married & try & A PLANT BANK THE Lione Daniel

Destroy of the state of

AL Thought the Land

AT work !

mental hight

restalled the month

Secretar Elicit

San Williams

Wandwalk All

VERNAMENT OF

and all the

HATTER TO THE WORLD

Me Damen Bletcher. William Flogkart.

Pac Robert & Bur The Rev. George Frazer, Moneydie. well period alo Simon Frazer, Afq. of Faralane, Sheriff-depute of lavernels-thire. Il distraced nella

Captain Freer, Freeland, near Kinroft.

The Rt. Hon, the Earl of Glasgow. Mr Alexander Galloway. William Galloway.

John Gardiner, baker, Perth.

Magnus Gardener.

William Gardener, baker, Perth. The Rev. William Garvie, Aberdalgie.

Mr James Geddes, merchant, Perth. William Geddes, Dundee.

William Gib, Perth.

Alexander Gibson, Rector of the Academy, Perth.

The Rev. Andrew Gibson, Muckart.

Thomas Gibzean Efq. Sheriff-lubitienze of Invernels thire. Major Gillespie.

Mr James Gillespie, merchant, Perth.

The Rev. Dr. William Gloag, Edinburgh.

The Rev. William Gourlay, Flisk.

Professor Gordon, Edinburgh

Mr Lewis Gordon, writer, Edinburgh.

Mr William Gordon, innkeeper, Dundee.

Colonel George Græme of Inchbruikie. Mr William Graham, merchant, Perth.

The Rev. Andrew Grant, Portmog.

Francis Grant of Kilgrafton, Efq.

I'he Rev. Mr James Grant Libberton. Major J. W. Grant, Fraser's Fencibles.

Mr William Grant.

James Gray, Newburgh. James Gray, Dundee.

The Rev. Dr William Greenfield, Edinburgh.
The Rev. Dr Michael Greenfaw, Cricch.

Mr James Greig, Perth. q all relief and all

Mr Robert Grigor.

can

H

Mr Haig, Academy, Invernels.

Mr Patrick

Tell Submitted Street Street

Mr Patrick Hallyburton. Robert Hallyburton, Newburgh. John Halket, Land-waiter, Perth. Alexander Hamilton, Leith.

The Rev. Dr Thomas Hardy, Edinburgh. Lieutenant James Hay of Seggieden.

Rev. Robert Hedderick.

Mr David Henderson, tanner, Newburgh. David Henderson, writer, Edinburgh. William Henderson, writer do. Henry Hepburn, flater, Perth.

Robert Hepburn, merchant, Perth. The Rev. Mr William Herdman, Dundee.

Dr John Hill, Professor of Humanity, University of Ediar.

Mr Thomas Hill, bookfele Alexander Hood, 1

James Hope, Fulkland

Dr Thomas Hape, Edinburgh.
The Rev. Dr. Andrew Hunter, Professor of Divinity, Uni-

verfity of Edinburgh.

Mr Hunter. Robert Hunter, Dundee. Robert Hunter.

Mr George Jervis, farmer, Parkhill. The Rev. Alexander Imlach, Murroes. Mr John Imme, Perth.

Stewart Imrie, butcher, do. The Rev. John Inglis, Tippermuir.

Mr Peter Johnston,

Thomas Johnston, Perth. The Rev. Andrew Ireland, Moonnie, Mr James Irvine, barber, Perch.

Thomas Juft, baker, Perth. Thomas lvory, Dundee. ...

Like

Mr Peter Keay, jeweller, Berth. John Kelty, merchant, Anchtermuchty. The Rev. Dr John Kemp, Edinburgh. The Rev. James Keyden, Dunbogue.

Mr James Kier.

ismal ald Mr I C

> The Capt lame

Mr J Mr I

The Mr

The Mr

The The The The The

The Wil Mr Ale Mr

Mr

The Mr

Mr James Kilgour, baker, Perth.
Charles Kinnear, jun.
Thomas Kinnear.

Lind coolimate upon with

The Rt. Hon. the Earl of Leven.
Captain Laird, Dundee.
James Laird, Efq. Blacknefs.
Mr James Laird,

William Langlands, farmer, Mains of Lindores, Mr Laughlan, Cuftom house, Inverness. John Lawson, merchant, Falkland. John Lees.

The Rev. James Lifter,

Mr Alexander Livingston, stampes, Newburgh.

James Livingston, do.

Adam Longmore, writer, Exchequer.

James Low, writer, do.

David Lunssdaine, writer, Perth.

The Rev. Dr Henry Lundie, Edinburgh.

Mr David Lyell, surgeon, Newburgh.

James Lyon, Dundee.

M

The Rt. Hon. David Earl of Mansfield.
The Hon. Lord Methyen
The Hon Mr Maule of Parmuir.
The Rev. Sir Harry Moncrieff,
The Rt. Rev. Bishop M Farlane, Inverness,
Mr Daniel M Andrew, baker, Perth.
Andrew M Culloch, mason, do.

Andrew M'Culloch, maion, do.
The Rev David M'Culloch, Dairfie.
William M'Donald of St. Martin's, Efq.
Mr M'Donald of the Academy, Inverness,
Alexander M'Duff of Biackcraigs, Efq.
Mr Alexander M'Farlane, watch-maker, Perth.

Alexander M'Farlane, schoolmaster, Forgandenny.
Daniel M'Farlane, saddler, Perth.
The Rev. Duncan M'Farlane, Perth.
Mr George M'Farlane.

Mr MGrigor

L'ni-

Mr M'Gregor of the Academy, Inverness Mailiell all John M'Intofh, Efg. ashi manied boust! John M'Intolh, Efg Provolt of Invernels. of anti-Marias Bill Sampa Mr Mannoth, Invernets. Daniel M'Isac, brewet, Perth. A strong bless! Alexander M'Laren, Bridgend of Perthy exami James M'Laren, Bank of Perth. to Had alleft greite le Alexander M'Laughlan, merchant, Porth 1961 All Lt. Alexander M'Lauren of the Perthibire Cavalry, Mr Peter M'Lean, teacher, Perth. John M'Omer, L. L. D. Rector of the Academy of The world and the property of the comment of the co Invernels. Mr Donald M'Pherson, Inverness Archibald M'Vicary Archibald Movies (State V) 100 and 1 The Rev. Patrick M'Vicar, Dundeer that are a set a set Mr Cathcart M. William. Perth. Henry Maiben, faddler, do dally good and bed The Rev. Mr Maitland, Prince's Street, Edinburgh Mr James Marry merchant, Dundee .: 1 19 14 . Inned I Thomas Hay Marshall, merchant, dos and mistand William Marshall. The Rev. John Martin, Strathmiglo. The Rev. Samuel Martin, Monymeal. Mr I homas Martin, Edinburgh.

John Matthew, farmer. Patrick Matthew, farmer. Robert Matthew, baker, Perth. James Maule, W. S. Edinburgh, The Rev. William Maule, Monikie. Mr. Thomas Mawer, Dundee, Robert Meikle, writer, Edinburgh. David Meffan, Dundee. Robert Melvill, Newburgh.

Tames Melrofe.

Robert Mercer, writer, Perth.

Andrew Mill, Newburgh.

Mr William

Wil

Mr

The

lam

The

Mr

The

Mr

The

Mr

Cole

Ale

Coll Cap

Toh

Cap

The

Mr

Ale

Mr

Mr

Mr

Ebe

Law

Mr William Mill. Perth, vinyaspe alir in doute bild rif David Millar, baker, do.
James Millar, Fund Office, Edinburgh,
James Millar, jun. Edinburgh,
Thomas Millar, baker, Perth
David Mitchell,
James Mitchell, recrehant, do.

William Mitchell of Jacosica, Eigi Mr Patrick Moir ingrant or prosecute the tenthers 4.12

I NOT

A.E.

of SAT

34/

14

Eld

HAD 111

lar 2

-16

2.37.6

250 33

liam

The Rev. David Monerleff, Regorton, 19 1 100 100 James Montgomery, Elq. Advocate, Ediuburgh. Mr. John Moone Balgonie.

The Rev. Mr James Moody, Perth.

Mr William Moor, taylor, w. do, antitut fi att his are als. Robert Morrice, wright, do. 4 . Harris I mire!

The Rev. James Morris, Cargill. Mr A. Morton.

The Rev. Laurence Moyes. Mr Thomas Moyes, Dundee

Colonel Charles Murray of Abercairnie, Efq. Collector Mungo Murray.

John Murray, Efq. of Murray's-hall.

Captain John Murray of Lintrole. The Rev. Patrick Murray, Kilmadock.

Mr Robert Murray, jun. Perth.

William Murray, writer, Edinburgh Alexander Muir M'Kenzie of Delvin, Ela. Mr John Murie, butcher, Perth. Thomas Mylne, Dundee.

Mr lymes stater lon, a rustle

Take Ray, John Robinson, William The

Mr Patrick Nifbet, furgeon, Perth. John Norwell, printing a particular portion

Mr Duncan Ogilvie, merchant, Perth. Ebenezer Oliphant of Condie, Elq. Lawrence Oliphant of Galk, Elq. Robert

Robert Oliphant of Rosse, Esq. late Postmaster General. Mr Robert Oliphant, barber, Perth.

P

George Patterson of Castle Huntly, Esq.
Mr James Paton, Sheriff Clerk, Perth.
Alexander Paul, merchant, do.
The Rev. James Peddie, Edinburgh.
Mr Andrew Peddie, Dundee.

Robert Peddie, writer, Perth.
Thomas Peddie, manufacturer, do.
The Rev. Adam Peebles, do.
Bailie David Proudfoot, baker, do.
Dean of Guild James Proudfoot, merchant, do.
Mr John Pitcairn, merchant, Edinburgh.

R

Sir William Ramfay of Banff.
Dowager Lady Ramfay.
Provoit James Ramfay, Perth.
Do. for the Town of do.
Mr John Ramfay, do.

Alexander Rennie, teacher, Cromwell Park. The Rev. Robert Rennie, Borrowstounness.

Mr James Reid,

James Ritchie, brewer, Perth.

Peter Richardson, leather-merchan, do.
Alexander Riddoch, Esq. Provost of Dundee,
Colonel Alexander Robertson of Struan.
Lieutenant Colonel Robertson,
James Robertson of Lude, Esq.
Mr James Robertson, farmer.
The Rev. John Robertson, Little Dunkeld.
Mr David Robertson, merchant, Perth.

Laurence Robertson, merchant, do.

Mr Robertson.

Thomas Robertson, jun. glover, Perth.

John Robison, Professor of Natural Philosophy, in
the University of Edinburgh.

Andrew Ross, Dundee.

Mr William

M

T

M

M

M

Th

The

Mr

Ale

The

Mr

Mr William Rols, writer, Perth. The Rev. Alexander Rofe, Invernels. Mr Thomas Rutherford, butcher, Perth. William Rutherford, merchant, do.

Mr Ruffel, farmer, Thomasto a.

the Language and

Sir John Sinclair, of Ulbiter, Bart. Sir William Stirling of Ardoch, Bart, Sand and Mr James Sanderson, Dundee, . Maring made 14 Major Sharp of Kinkarochie. Mr John Schoolbride, merchant, Auchtermuchty, Thomas Sime, shipmaster, Perth. white the could need to Alexander Simpson.

George Scott, baker, Perth. The Rev. James Scott.

Mr James Scott.

James Scott, fen. of Balcairn. James Scott, jun. do. John Scott, jun. baker, Perth. David Scotland, shipmaster, do. John Scrimsour, merchant, do. James Simpson, Falkland, David Shaw, writer, Edinburgh. William Small, Dundee. James Smart, Dundee. Alexander Smith, Perth. John Smith, W. S. Parliament Square, Edinburgh, James Smith, Dundee. James Smitton, Newburgh. Alexander Souter.

James Souter, Dundee, Alexander Speed, Perth. The Rev. David Spence, Kinnaird. Mr William Spittal, Balhousie.

George Stevenson, Window-tax Office, Edinburgh. George Stark, wright, Lindores.

Alexander Stewart of Dearloch, Efg. The Rev. Allen Stewart, Moulin.

Mr Allen Stewart, Perth.

lliam

David Stewart, writer, Thiftle-ftreet, Edinburgh. Duncan Mr Duncan Stewart, writer, Edinburgh.
Patrick Stewart, fen. merchant, Perth.
Patrick Stewart, jun. merchant, do.
William Stewart,
William Stewart jun.
do.

The Rev. Robert Stirling. Mr James Stobbie.

Lawrence Stoddart.

The Rev. Alexander Strachan, Mains, Mr Alexander Swap, merchant, Dundee, Dr Robert Stewart, do.

Mr Dougald Stewart, Professor of Moral Philosophy, University of Edinburgh.

The Rev. Dr Thomas Stewart, Newburgh. Mr Alexander Syme, merchant, Dundee. Archibald Syme,

T

Sir Robert Turin.
Rev. Mr William Taylor, Rhynd.
Mr Alexander Tod, farmer, Denmuir.
George Tod, writer, Edinburgh.
James Tod, farmer, Carnie-hall.
John Tod, farmer, Moonzie.

James Thomas, Perth.
Alexander Thoms of Rumgay, Efq.
Mr John Thoms, merchant, Dundee.
The Rev. Mr Alexander Thomson, Lentrathen.

The Rev. Andrew Thomson, Balmerino.

Mr David Thomson

George Thomson, Perth.
James Thomson.
James Thomson, merchant, Auchtermuchty.
John Thomson, farmer, Ormiston.
Thomson Thomson, merchant, Auchtermuchty.

William Thomson.
The Rev. William Trail.

Mr. John Turkin, shipmaster, Perth. William Turner.

Lieut. David

Lie

The

Mr

The

Mr

The

Mr

The

Mrs Mr

The

Mr

Mr

The

Jam

Mr

Lieut. David Urquhart, Fraser's Fencibles

The Rev. Alexander Walker, Perth. Mr Alexander Walker, writer, Edinburgh, The Rev. Andrew Walker, Coleffie. Mr David Walker, Bank of Perth.

David Walker, Wood-mill.

John Walker.

William Watson, wright, Cannongate, Edinburgh.

Alexander Watfon, St. Martin's,

Thomas Webster, merchant, Dundee. Thomas Wemyls, merchant, do.

The Rev. David Wilkie, Cults.

Mr John Wilkie, Lindores.

William Wilkie, do.

The Rev. David Williamson, Ferrypartoncraig.

Mrs Williamson.

Mr James Williamson, Gallowton.

William Williamson, shipmaster, Gallowton.

The Rev. John Willison, Forgandenny.

Mr Wills, Academy, Invernels.

Adam Wilson, writer, Edinburgh.

Alexander Wilfon.

David Wilfon.

James Wilfon.

John Wilson, schoolmaster, Newburgh.

Thomas Wishart, shipmaster, do.

John Whittet, Perth.

Mr Whitfon, writer, Perth.

The Rev. John Whytock.

James Wood, M. D. Perth.

Mr George Wright.

TO ASSESS OF THE REPORT OF THE James Wright, merchant, Dundee. John Wright, Perth. the residuation of the larger to thomas Wright, Dundee.

James Wyllie, writer, Forfar. James Wyllie, merchant, Perth.

William Wyllie, merchant, Dundee.

James

M

fic

po

James Yeaman of Murie, Efq. Mr Young, Academy, Invernels.

George Young.

James Young.

John Young, merchant, Perth.

Joseph Young, Perth.

Joseph Young, Luncarty.

Robert Young, writer, Edinburgh*.

* N. B. Besides the subscribers' names in the above list, there are others which could not, at present, be conveniently obtained, and others, perhaps, omitted by mistake: But both forts of subscribers will, upon calling for the book at the shops where they have subscribed, be served with it at the price fixed for subscribers.

ADVERTISEMENT TO SUBSCRIBERS.

WHEN this book, in its first state, was going to the press, a friend of the author's advised him to introduce into it, a resultation of the principal errors in Godwin's enquiry. He followed his advice. But, by doing so, he was led to alter and enlarge it; and a succession of causes, most of them unforescen, has retarded the publication to the present time. But as the size of the work is three times greater than what was originally intended, and the work itself, perhaps, more accurate, these, it is presumed, will be deemed more than a compensation to subscribers for having wanted it so long; especially as a work of this kind seems to be still necessary, and as the price of this is only 2s. to subscribers, the small sum to which the author at first limited it.

DEDICATION.

ABDIE MANSE, 20th July, 1797.

My LORD,

lift.

ntly But

at

he

ice n's

fo, of

rk

it

on Ny

as m The following letters were, with permiffion, to have been inscribed to your Lordship's
most illustrious father: But that being no longer
possible, be pleased, my Lord, to accept this dedication of them, as a fincere, though humble
expression both of my veneration for the memory
of the late Earl of Manssield, and of my most
sanguine hopes, that your Lordship will prove
worthy of those great and worthy ancestors from
whom you are descended, and of that splendid
name which you have been so early doomed to
bear.

I have the honour to be, with the greatest refpect and regard,

My LORD,

Your Lordship's

The Rt. Hon.
The Earl of Mansfield.

Most Humble and
Most Obedient Servant,

ROBERT THOMAS.

Y

M

fic

0

James Yeaman of Murie, Efq. Mr Young, Academy, Invernels.

George Young.

James Young, merchant, Perth.

Joseph Young, Perth.

Joseph Young, Luncarty.

Robert Young, writer, Edinburgh*.

N. B. Besides the subscribers' names in the above list, there are others which could not, at present, be conveniently obtained, and others, perhaps, omitted by mistake: But both forts of subscribers will, upon calling for the book at the shops where they have subscribed, be served with it at the price fixed for subscribers.

ADVERTISEMENT TO SUBSCRIBERS.

WHEN this book, in its first state, was going to the press, a friend of the author's advised him to introduce into it, a resultation of the principal errors in Godwin's enquiry. He followed his advice. But, by doing so, he was led to alter and enlarge it; and a succession of causes, most of them unforeseen, has retarded the publication to the present time. But as the size of the work is three times greater than what was originally intended, and the work itself, perhaps, more accurate, these, it is presumed, will be deemed more than a compensation to subscribers for having wanted it so long; especially as a work of this kind seems to be still necessary, and as the price of this is only 2s. to subscribers, the small sum to which the author at first limited it.

DEDICATION.

ABDIE MANSE, 20th July, 1797.

My LORD,

lift.

But

t at

the

n's

fo, of oliork

ed,

on lly

m

The following letters were, with permiffion, to have been infcribed to your Lordship's
most illustrious father: But that being no longer
possible, be pleased, my Lord, to accept this dedication of them, as a fincere, though humble
expression both of my veneration for the memory
of the late Earl of Mansfield, and of my most
sanguine hopes, that your Lordship will prove
worthy of those great and worthy ancestors from
whom you are descended, and of that splendid
name which you have been so early doomed to
bear.

I have the honour to be, with the greatest respect and regard,

My LORD,

Your Lordship's

The Rt. Hon.
The Earl of Mansfield.

Most Humble and
Most Obedient Servant,

ROBERT THOMAS.



Section Control

miffer the tive into hood farm have being and

falut thene H ferve which in fl thin pine velle confi and heat

of the error othe judic ther, their

and

INTRODUCTION.

SEPTION OF THE

COUNTRYMEN,

IT is not surprising, that some of us should have been milled by Thomas Paine. Never was there a book written with more plausibility, with more delustive and seductive art, than his political publications. Had he written nothing but truths, though his genius seems better calculated to mislead than inform, his publications would have been harmless, and might have passed unobserved into the ocean of oblivion: Had he written only falsehoods and error, his writings would have been equally sampless to others; and perhaps he himself might have escaped with impunity, on the supposition of his being insane: But this artful man has so blended truth and error; he has so insufed the possion of asps into the salutary draught of truth, that he has blinded the understandings, and insuriated the hearts of many.

His falschoods, his errors, his visionary schemes have served him as an enchanter's wand; with the touch of which he introduces his reader into Fairyland; leads him in flowery paths through myrtle groves; and presents nothing to his view but harmony, peace, riches, and happiness. He conceals the pit, which lies before the traveller. He hides the damons of Discord, War, and all confusion and misery; which are ready to burst forth, and to change this fair and pleasing scene into a blasted heath covered with ruins and slain; over which Slavery and Misery, instead of Liberty and Equality, preside.

If we have only, read his books, and publications of the fame kind, we may still wander in those mazes of error, which lead to ruin: But if we read books on the other side of the question, if we divest ourselves of prejudice, if we rested, and carefully compare things together, and consider them in all points of view, and in all their consequences, we shall all of us detest his doctrines.

as more fatal to us than the most direful pestilence that

ever raged over the earth.

The subsequent pages are intended as a resutation of the principal errors in this man's political works, Godwin's enquiry, and other writings of a similar kind. The truth of what is advanced in them, is proved by religion, reason, sacks, and the doctrines of learned ment, whose reputation has been, and is, deservedly great; and who could not be parties in those disputes, which have, for these several years, agitated in some measure the greater part of Europe.

I am,

Abdie Manfe, 20th July, 1797.

COUNTRYMEN,

And Most Humble Servant,

ROBERT THOMAS.

† The books chiefly referred to in this work are,
Hume's Hift. of England, 8 vol., octavo, printed London, 1791
Goldfmith's do. of Rome, 2 vols, octavo, printed London, 1786
Do. do. of Greece, 2 vols, octavo, printed London, 1785
Morfe's Geography, octavo, printed London, 1792
Spirit of Laws, 2 vols, octavo, printed London, 1777
Blackflone's Commentaries on the Laws of England, printed Dublin, 1771
Hume's Effays, 2 vols, printed London, 1787
De 1 olme on the Conflitution of England, 3 vol. octavo, printed
London, 1790
Dr Butler's Works.

LETT

CONTENTS.

that

of God-

ent,

and

ave.

the

ant,

Dub

inted

S.

The State of Nature.

LETTER I. The State of Nature, confidered as a State of Innosence, page 1

II. On the fall of our first Parents
4

III. The State of Nature, confidered as the State,
which ought to be,
12

IV. Of the State of Nature, confidered as a State, of
which Sin and Suffering are remarkable constituents,
16

V. The State of Nature, considered as the State in
which the Human race has been generally found
to exist,
24

Of the Rights of Men.

LITT. VI. Of Rights in General, - 31
VII. Of Absolute Rights, - 38
VIII. Of Relative Rights, and of Duties,
IX. Observations on Rights and Duties, chiefly relative
to certain Errors in "An Enquiry into Political
Justice, by William Godwin," 60

On Equality.

LETT. X. The Inequality of Men a Low of Nature, 73
XI. To attempt to equalize men is to all against God, 75
XII. To establish Equality is impossible, 84
XIII. Consequences of an Attempt to establish Equality, 89
XIV. Fruits of Equality supposed to be Established, 101
XV. Restellions on certain Approaches towards Equality,
111
XVI. Of Nobility and Titles of Honour, 119
XVII. Consequences of the Entination of the Honours of
the Nobility, or of rendering them personal only,
118
XVIII.

[2] - 수 시간	1000
XIX. Uses of Inequality, 152 XX. Respects in which we are all Equal, 162	Lit
Of Universal Suffrage, and Annual Parliaments.	0
LETT. XXI. Universal suffrage contrary to Common Sense, 174. XXII. Effects of Universal Suffrage and Annual Par- liaments, on the Character, Condition, and Happiness of the People, 180	Lit
XXIII. Universal Suffrage and Annual Parliaments would very much increase the national expense,	
XXIV. Other Consequences of Universal suffrage, 193 XXV. Universal Suffrage is not a Right of the Men of this Country, 203	
On the Present State of our Representation.	
LITT. XXVI. Observations on the Present State of our Representation, - 212	Of an
Of Liberty.	tu
LETT. XXVII. Of Physical, and Natural Liberty, and of Neweffity, 230 XX III. Of Political Liberty, 235 XXIX. Of Civil, and Religious Liberty, 239 XXX. Of the Liberty of the Press, 244 XXXI. Objections against our Liberty obviated, 246	Litt
Objections against Kingly Government Obviated.	
LETT. XXXII. Mishibes with regard to our Government, produced by the various senses of Words,	
XXXIII. Kingly Government is supported by the faceed	1
XXXIV. Reasons why the Israelites were not, for some time after coming out of Egypt, under that	LETT.

152° 162°

nts.

174 Pari and 180 nents ence, 186 193 en of

212

ed.

ent, ls, 150 red 153 ome ibat fort

	Cont	engs.	LET'S		23	
200 page 1 1980	fort of	Kingly Go	vernment, 1			
	were af	erwards fi	ubjed,		e 261	
LETT. XXXV.		tary Mon	archy amon	g the		
	ites,				269	
Of the Here	ditary Su	ccession	to the (Crow	n.	
LETT. XXXVI.	Good King	are not lo	be expelled	by E	ledion,	
XXXVII.	Effects of t	he Flotion	of Kings.		285	
xxxviii.	Advantages	of the He	reditary Su	ccession		
	Crown,				296	
· XXXIX, (Objections to	the Hered	itary Mond	urchy o		
		answered,			299	2
	Government		ountry u	prejeri		
XLL Of	the Governm	ent of the	United Sta	ter of	304	•
ALL OF	rica, and the	u of Fran	ce. ·	9	310	9
xLII. Of	the two last	Settlements	of the Cro	wn,	318	
Of the Necessi and of the I tution.	Formation	of th	e Britiss	Co	nsti-	
LITT. XLIII.	Of the Origin	of Gover	nment,		323	
xLIV.	The Same Su	bjed, .	•		326	
xLV.	The fame Su	bjod, .	•		328	
XLVI.	of the Necel	ity of Gor	pernment,		382	
	Every Gover			ion,	331-	
	A Convention Mr Paine's				335	
	Constitution			ork of	33%	
	ple, -				340	
. LI. Libert	y the Effett o	f the Cong	quest,		344	
LII. The C	onstitution of	Britain ba	s a Vifible	Form,	347	1
Observations	on the l	British C	onstitut	ion.		
LETT. LIIL Of the	Fitnels of	be Conflitu	tion to the F	Peoble.	251	
LIV. of						
					354	
					LV.	

				AND PROPERTY.
				Content
4		1 . T		Content
т.			 ARCH 17.15	Annual Control of the

-4		Contents	
LETT.	LV.	Of the Supreme Executive Power, page	361
	LVI.	Tendencies of the Power of the Crown,	360
	LVII.	Of that maxim, " the King can do no avrong,"	371
	LVIII.	Of the Real Separation of the Junicial P	OTH
		from the Legislative and Executive,	37

Of Things that are no Arguments against the Goodness of the Constitution.

LETT.	LIX.	Of the Opposition to the Executive Power,	39
	Lx.	Of Taxes,	39
	LxI.	The same Subject,	399
	LxII.	Of the encreased Influence of the Crown,	40
	LXIII	. Of the National Debt,	40

Of the Superiority of the British Constitution to every other.

LETT. LXIV.	The Superiority of the Constitution of Britain,
	to every other, shown from the parts and
Mark Sales and	principles of its Government, 415
LxV.	The Superiority of the British Constitution to
	Elettive Repbulic, Shewn by a comparison of
	Some of their effects, - 420

Conclusion.

LETT.	TXAI"	4		428
		- arms		



of na

or the of M conta philo It

this f

there ever l Thate cause as a cevil, as the

rally

of the part of lution

STATE OF NATURE.

LETTER I.

The State of Nature confidered as a State of Innocence.

COUNTRYMEN.

t the

391

396 399

403

tion

Britain

420

THE terms nature and natural have been used by different rent writers, and by the same writers in different parts of their works, in so various senses as to cause no small ambiguity in language, and confusion in ideas. Writers have not agreed concerning what the state of nature is; and therefore these terms, when applied to such a state, must ever have been used in a loose sense.

This state may be considered in a fourfold view, 1, as the state of innocence; 2, as that state which ought to be, because most agreeable to the whole of our nature, considered as a constitution; 3, as a state, of which moral and natural evil, or sin and suffering, are remarkable constituents; 4, as the condition, in which the human race has been generally found to exist. We will take a short view of this state in those four respects.

Let us begin with the state of innocence. If, by the state of nature, we understand the original state of every individual it is the state, in which he exists at his birth. But if, by this state, we understand the original state of the human race, or the state of innocence, we must learn it from the writings of Moses, compared with other places of scripture; which contain not only the sole historical, but the most rational, and philosophic, account of it.

It is evident, from the marks of delign in the whole frameof man, and that portion of happinels, which he enjoys, that he was formed by a wife and good being. His power of thinking and acting shews, that, in his frame, there is a part diffinel from mere matter, that is a foul; and the dissolution of the body shews of what materials it is composed.

the

able

ted.

tati

fall

the

wh

the

of

and

WC

ha

cer

an

tio

CUI

W

13

pr

BI

ph

th

Ci

fo

ti

BF

n

B

1

n

t

1

His reasoning faculty, his admiration of excellence and contempt of defect, his love of moral good and his aversion to moral evil, (when both are separated from circumstances that mislead his judgment) and the superiority of conscience over the other parts of his nature, shew, that he was, in some measure, formed after the image of the Creator and Benefactor of all the living creatures; and the subjection, in which he is able to hold these creatures, is a proof, that he was made to have dominion over them.

It is unphilosophical to assign a plurality of causes for the production of any effect, which may be fully accounted for by one; and, according to Moles, the human race are descended from one pair. It was necessary, that that pair should have been formed mature in body, and, in a certain degree, mature also in mind, both for their own preservation, and for the generation and education of their offspring. The fpreading of men into the most distant islands may be accounted for by accidents fuch as were, fome years ago, realized by certain inhebitants of Otaheite"; and the variety of their appearance, by natural causes, which produce similar varieties in other animals, and in vegetables, of the fame species. The uniformity or great likeness of their minds, prior to education and the formation of habits, is a greater proof. that they are descended from the same pair, and are the same species of animals, than the greatest similarity in their appearance would be, were their minds found to be, in any confiderable degree, naturally diffinilar.

It is philosophical to suppose, that the original state of the human race was a state of happiness. For it is absurd to suppose, that a being of infinite power, wissom, and goodness, should have made man, or any part of his works imperfect; and if man came perfect from the hand of his Creator, and was placed in a fituation perfectly sitted to his specific nature, happiness must have been the result. And, therefore, when God, after creating all things, surveyed his works, he pronounced all of them to be very good.

If the cause assigned in scripture, for the introduction of moral and natural evil, or of sin and suffering, into the world, be the desection of our first parents, the assigning of this as the cause is very rational and agreeable to what we experience: For, the they were made perfectly good, yet they

[.] See Cook's voyages.

e and conivertion to ances that ience over fome meaenefactor hich he is a made to

s for the inted for descend. r should degree, and for fpread. counted ized by of their r. varie. fpecies. er to e. proof. ie fame eir ap. y con-

of the urd to goodks im-Cress fpe-And, ed his

on of the og of ex-

they were not made unchangeable; and if they were changeable, they might become worfe: They might be tempted, they were tempted, they yielded by degrees to the temptation; and tho' they might have stood, yet they fell. Their fall was their fin; and mosal evil, being thus introduced into the world, was followed by natural evil or fuffering. And when once fin and fuffering are begun, no man can affigurate utmost limits. The beginning of evil is like the opening of a sluice, which may, in time, desolate the most extensive and fairest country.

All this is very philosophical, because agreeable to what we experience. For we find by experience, that men, who have the greatest aversion to certain vicious actions, and a certain vicious course of life, may, by frequent temptations, and yielling to them in fome degree, get rid of their averfions, and at last commit those actions, and follow that course of life, from which, at one period of their lives, they would have turned with abhorrence. We find also, that vice is by far the greatest, though not the fole cause, of men's present fusferings; that as they become vicious, they become miserable; that vice and misery are necessarily and inseparably linked together. And thus, by experience, that is, philosophy (for the sum of our experience, together with the inferences deduced from it, is just the fum of our philosophy) we fee how creatures made good and happy, may become evil and miserable.

As the world was made for the service of man, in the same manner that he was made for the service of God, the changes for the worse (whatever they were) that took place in the situation of our sirst parents, after the fall, were the punishment of their sin. To the other creatures, they were merely natural evils or sufferings; but to them, they were both natural evils and punishments. Paradise was a sit situation for innocent creatures; but creatures, who had lest their innocence, and become, in a certain degree, vicious, required a situation of difficulty, danger, and suffering, but of hope, to teach them wisdom and repentance; and to form in them habits of thinking and acting, which should, in the end, become perfection, and so prepare them for a situation, perfectly free from all physical evil, a place where there shall be nothing to burt or destroy*.

A 2

R. 1.

[.] See Butler's Analogy of Natural and Revealed Religion.

LETTER. II.

On the fall of our first Parents.

COUNTRYMEN,

THE power of all causes merely mechanical is to be measured by their effects. The power of intelligent causes or agents cannot be measured by any effect produced by them. A man, who builds a hut, may build a palace, or a town. The power of men (who are intelligent causes) has never yet been defined; the limits of it are not known. The author of nature is one intelligent cause, as appear from the unity of design in his works. His power, therefore, cannot be measured by any effects of it; nor can we affign to it limits. Surely, therefore, he, who made the universe, had power to make this world free from all evil; for even a man may make his dwelling commodious; and yet we find, that, in the world, there is a certain portion at least of relative evil.

Our experience teaches us, that moral evil is a cause both of moral and natural evil, or that fin is a cause of suffering and of more sin. This is a law of nature, which holds, in some way and measure, without exception; and, when we assign this law as the cause of impiety and vice, and of suffering, the reasoning is just as conclusive as when we assign the general law of gravitation to account for the adhesion of matter, or for any other particular sact, which may be reduced to it*. To say, therefore, that our first parents

became

gated green the j work of hi

whili to ex fweri obfer of fa

from

OWA

flanc

Ast they he I ther thren mino that falle ferp and but cula char cene whi wit her

fect

by

tret

the

tak

bef

lear

lan

Sir Isaac Newton, having, by a number of experiments, ascertained this general law of nature, that all matter gravitates to all matter, applied it to account for the revolution of the planets round the suns. And as it is a certain fact, that the tendency of moral evil or sin is to encrease itself, and to produce natural evil or suffering, this quality of sin, which is a law of our nature, may, with equal reason, be applied to account for the manner, in which sin and suffering have taken place in the works of God, in the degree they have, by ascribing them to the temptation, the yielding, and fall of our first parents, as the cause. For as they were mutable, they might become worse. But to become worse is to be morally evil, or sioners. To be sinners is to be liable to suffering, or rather to be sufferers. And a state of sin and misery in some degree, is the very slate, into which, according to the Scriptures, the fall brought mankind; and the state, in which they have ever been sound to exist.

except

became, in some degree, corrupt and miserable by their original sin; and that their corruption and misery are propargated to their ossspring, is strictly philosophical, because a greeable to experience; and, if we take into consideration the perfection of God, and the original perfection of his works, (which is a necessary conclusion from the perfection of his nature) the reasoning is conclusive.

But the occasion of their first sin and fall, and the circumstances attending it, may be a subject of ridicule to some, whilst they may prove a stumbling-block to others, or serve to exercise their ingenuity. There is not here room for answering all the cavils of sceptics fully; but the following observations may serve to put the serious and candid in a way

of fatisfying their own minds.

to be

lligent

oduced

palace.

aufes)

nown.

ppear

there.

an we

le the

evil:

and

ortion

both

ering

is, in

n we

fuf.

Mign

efion

y be

rents

came

main-

, ap-

fun:

is to

ality

e ap-

hen

the

ut to

o be

and

hey.

We are very fure, that mind or spirit is a distinct substance from matter or body; because we are conscious, that, in our own frame, there is both a spiritual and material subttance. As mind or spirit and matter or body are diffinet substances, they may exift feparately. As there are spirits, there may he spirits of different orders, or of different powers, just as there are men of different degrees of bodily and mental We know, that matter or body is inert, and that mind or spirit only is active. It is very credible, therefore, that an unembodied spirit of great power and high rank, but fallen and therefore malignant, should have entered into the ferpent, and made use of it to tempt Eve. What the shape and appearance of the ferpent then were, we cannot tell; but the scriptures tell us, that, after the fall, it in particular, with the rest of the creatures, underwent a great change for the worfe; and that it was, in the state of innocence, more fubile than any beaft of the field; a quality, which it is faid still to possels. Eve, though acquainted with every thing belonging to her duty, and necessary to her happiness, a character implied in the idea of every perfect intelligent creature, had not withfranding much to learn by experience, as probably all finite natures have. tremely probable, therefore, that she was unacquainted with the natural power of the ferpent; and the more fo, if we take into the account, what is commonly supposed upon the best grounds, that, before she fell, she had little time to learn it. When the serpent, therefore, addressed her, in language fhe understood, its words could excite no surprize,

except what might be produced by her witnessing what she had not done before: nor could they excite any alarm, because she had never experienced suffering, was innocent, and of course unsuspecting. Natural law is, perhaps, understood by eve ry human being even now, except when the mind is blinded by fome accidental cause; and conscience makes is peculiarly binding: But positive law, though sometimes as well understood, is yet always less binding; and the prohibition from cating of the forbidden fruit was a politive law, Prior to that prohibition, it was as lawful and natural to eat of that fruit as of any other. But a positive precept, and, perhaps, temptation or trial of fome kind or other, were necessary to prove the fidelity of our first parents to their Lord; and to enure them to obedience, in order that, by habits of obedience they might be fecured against all danger of falling. These effects, could not, in the absence of temptation, have been produced in fo great a degree, if at all, by enjoining obedience to natural law: For it was as natural for them, in the absence of temptation, to yield obedience to that law, as for a healthy tree to produce fruit; or for them to move a limb, or to gratify any defire. When the subtility, therefore, of the serpent, aided by a

When the subtility, therefore, of the serpent, aided by a powerful, but malignant spirit; the inexperience, the innocence, and the unsuspecting disposition of Eve; and the probable necessity of some fort of temptation, are taken into consideration; the temptation and fall of our first parents will appear perfectly credible. But it will appear more so, if we consider the temptation itself and all its circumstances.

The whole of the temptation was conducted with an art that truly excites wonder. The scene of it was laid in a garden, where all was beauty to the eye, or music to the ear; where every thing pleased, and nothing offended; where there was nothing to excite sear or suspicion; where all was tranquil; where all conspired to put the mind off its guard. It commenced in a time, the most favourable for seduction, in the absence of Adam, whose very presence, not to mention his superior knowledge and strength of mind, would have fortified his wise against it. The serpent begins it with the greatest imaginable art. He does not at all bid Eve cat of the fruit. He leaves her to the freedom of her own will. He knew, that offering her the fruit and desiring her to eat of it might either have blunted in her the

edge

edg

Bul

atte

fait

gar.

the

not

fho

ing

on,

befi

and

· I

to e

ing,

duli

was

on,

but

cent

her

yiel

been

pro

yiel

have

any if w

and

tend

hear

fruit

both

to t

be d

man

of 1

aug

dible

natu

of t

t fhe

, be-

and

flood

ind is

ces it

es as

rohi-

law.

al to

cept,

ther,

ts to

that,

ft all

fence

e, if

was

yield

Juce

efire.

by a

the

n in-

rents

nces.

n art

in a

o the

ded;

here

e for

ence.

nind,

gim l bid

ber

letir-

r the

edge

edge of natural defire, or excited an alarm in her mind. But, by a question most artfully put, he at once turns her attention to it, excites in her a defire after it, and shakes her faith. Yea, bath God faid, ye shall not eat of every tree of the garden? Eve recollects the prohibition of her Creator, and the penalty of eating of the prohibited fruit. The serpent not only removes her sears by telling her, that se and Adam should not die; but sires her with the ambition of their being as gods. The sears of Eve were banished; her ambition, that strong passion, was set a working; the fruit hung before her on the tree, good for food, pleasant to the eye, and to be desired to make one wise as God.

In Eve now, the fense of beauty, the natural propensity to eat of fair and good fruit, and the natural defire of rifing, were all addressed. Her faith was weakened by her credulity; the fear of evil consequences was removed; and she was at once blinded and impelled by defire. In this fituation, in this state of mind, in this temper, it was not possible but that the must have been inclined to eat. Though innocent, tho' immaculate as the new fallen fnow, the muft, by her very nature, have been, in some degree, disposed to yield to the temptation. Her inclination to yield must have been encreased by a continuation of the same causes, which produced it. She yielded, therefore, by degrees, and in yielding fell. She took of the fruit. Several causes must have made Adam more paffionately in love with Eve than any man hath been with any woman fince that time: And if we confider the influence of example, the force of love, and the effect of folicitation from the object of ftrong and tender passion, we shall not be surprized, if Adam, after hearing the words of the ferpent repeated, and having the fruit presented to him by Eve; and after being affected with both in the fame manner she was, should have also yielded to the temptation. She gave also to her busband with her, and be did eat. Thus fell the first pair; and, with them, the buman race.

There is, in the whole of the temptation, a great deal of nature and probability. There is nothing in it, for aught we know, unnatural; and, therefore, nothing incredible. Their eating of the forbidden fruit, confidered as a natural action, was innocent; but, confidered as a violation of the command of their Creator, Preferver, and Benefac-

And, if we consider the greatness of tor, it was a great fin: it, and the connexion between men and their fituation, it will not appear incredible, that this fin should have been the cause of mifery to the human race; and of changing, in some meafure, the nature of the world. For we know by experience, because we see it, that, even now, fin makes the greatest alteration in the minds and bodies of men, in their understand. ings and hearts, in the whole of their thoughts, feelings, and fituations. How many a fair flower bath it blatted! How many flrong men hath it flain! How many wife men hath it changed into ideots! How many that were once rich, virtuous, and happy, hath it rendered poor, vicious, and miferable! How often hath it affected, not only the finner himself, but his offspring for generations! What instance can be produced, of any one fin, though but in thought or intention, however small in appearance, which has not a greater or less tendency to render men immediately miserable, or, by rendering them more vicious, to accumulate their mifery? Was there ever a period of the world, in which fin was not most detestable to him, the whole of whole providence, shews, that he is of purer eyes than to behold iniquity, and that be cannot look upon fin; or did he ever want power to punish it? And if fin produce so many baleful effects now, why should it not have produced, in the state of innocence, all the effects afcribed to it in scripture? There is no doubt, that it is uniformly, and without one fingle exception, in fome measure and in some way, a cause of evil; and, how far it may operate, is not to be learned a priori, but from experience: And, therefore, all the changes which, it is faid, in scripture, to have produced, in men and the other works of God, are perfectly credible; credible because supported by experience, and because we know nothing to the contrary.

Upon the whole, from the perfection of God; from the original perfection of his works; from the mutability of all creatures (that is, their disposition, in a certain period at least of their existence, to become either better, or worse) from that general law of nature, that sin (which is becoming worse) affects the minds and bodies, the happiness and the whole situation not only of sinners themselves, but of their descendants; and sin minds and suffering being, in some measure, common to all men; this conclusion is unavoidable, that the temptation, the sin, and fall of our first parents,

were

•

21

th

CC

ti

re

tic

fu

ve

*tha

the

tha

kin

by

or

An

the

wh

fuit

this

bere

not

Mod

and

v ho

mak

hou

perf

mine

inipr

B

were the cause of producing misery to themselves and to the whole of their offspring. The account, therefore, given by Moses and the other sacred writers, of the manner in which sin and suffering were introduced, and in which they are continued, in the works of God, is natural, rational, and therefore credible. It may be added, that no other account is so. This, at least, is certain, that no evil could have proceeded from God, who is perfect and immutable, who can neither be tempted with evil, nor tempt any of his creatures; that all evil must have proceeded immediately from our first parents themselves; and that they must have become evil, and of course sufficiency, by temptation only: And if by temptation, why not by that very strong and seductive temptation recorded by Moses?

Should it be objected, that it is inconfiftent with the justice of God, that all the descendants of the first pair should suffer for their transgression; it may be replied, that we every day see children suffer for the sake of their parents; that such things take place under his moral government of the world, and in the course of his natural providence; and that they are dispensations of that providence of the same kind, though not in the same degree, with that dispensation, by which the whole of the human race suffer, in a greater or less degree, for the sirst transgression of Adam and Eve; And, therefore, if God be unjust in punishing their sin in their posterity, he is also unjust, though in a less degree, in what constantly takes place, in visiting the iniquities of the subsers upon the children unto the third and fourth generation.

But, perhaps, it may be objected, that the whole of this argument is an impeachment of the divine goodness. I here beg leave to reply, that the object of this letter was not to vindicate that goodness, but the account, given by Moses, of the way in which moral and natural evil, or fin and suffering, came to take place in the works of a being, who wanted neither wisdom, nor power, nor disposition, to make them perfect, that is, free from all evil; and this, I should imagine, has been done, in a manner satisfactory to persons of candour

But in answer to any objections, which may arise in the mind, with regard to the goodness of God, it may not be improper here to observe,

1. That

How hath virinner tance tht or

ot a

ls of

will

caufe

mea-

ence,

and.

and

mifernulate ld, in whose iniquipower s now, cence, doubt, a some far it

experiaid, in
orks of
ord by
otrary.
om the
of all
period
worfe)
becomefs and

of their ne meanidable, narents, were

Butler's Analogy.

1. That there is, in the works of creation and provi-

dence, a politive proof of that goodness

It is impossible for a person of reflexion and sensibility, to cast his eyes abroad into the world, and to consider the diffusion of happiness among all the living creatures, without perceiving and even feeling the goodness of that being, who made, who preferves, and benefits, them all. Every man is to himfelf a proof of that goodness, whether he consider his own frame, the rank which he holds among the creatures, or that portion of good which he himself enjoys. For, as God flandeth in no need of any creatures to augment, or continue, his happiness, nothing but spontaneous goodness in him could have moved him to create any being whatever, and to beflow on it any portion of good. Here then is a politive proof, from creation and providence, and even from consciousness, of the goodness of God.

2. It may be observed, that all the objections against the divine goodness are, so far as we know, founded not in rea-

lity, but in appearance only. For,

1. The manner, in which fuffering was introduced into the world, ferves to vindicate that goodness. When God made man, he made him perfect and happy in his kind. But our first parents, being free and not necessary agents, finned against God; and drew wrath upon themselves and the rest of the creatures. For all suffering is the natural effect of fin; it is the punishment inflicted by God for transgreffion. And how far, confidering the nature of man, and his relation to the rest of the creatures, and the whole of his fituation, it was possible in the nature of things, and confiftent with divine justice and even human happiness, to prevent fuffering from taking place in the measure we fee it does, it is impossible for us to determine: And, therefore, any objection against the divine goodness, from the evils that are in the world, and the manner, in which they were introduced, are founded in our ignorance.

2. God hath provided a remedy against the evils that are

in the world by the mediation of his own Son.

It might, perhaps, have been impossible, in the nature of things, to prevent iin and fuffering in creatures that were free, without continually working miracles. However, if God hath permitted them, he hath also provided a remedy + gainst them, for all good men. Christ is said, in feripture, of his w

fon nat pre the ed, furt of i Goo the

pref

T

10

fan

mi

of

ferva the f not t and c nels: ty, b And from Ala w correc

And partak fati fac the go

dren,

The cannot as thro of a ch but im thoroug

things. objection 1010

to

the

out

who

n is

his

res,

, 29

onti-

him

d to

itive

con-

the

rca-

into

God

kind.

gents,

s and

atura

tranf-

, and

of his

con-

o pre-

fee it

refore,

evils

were

at are

ture of

t were

to he the propitiation for the fins of the aubole world, and the faviour of all men : And, if we admit what has been admitted, and what frems very probable from thefe paffages of scripture, that the bleffings of his mediation may, in fome way unknown to us, extend to all good men in every nation and age of the world, in delivering them from their prefent imperfection, fin, and fuffering; and that none, but the finally impenitent, the obstinately and incorrigibly wicked, shall be left in a state of fin and misery, there is here a further vindication of the divine goodness. The fufferings of fuch men are, in a manner, their own choice. God called, they refused; and, therefore, they are left, in the natural course of things, to eat of the fruit of their own way.

3. Under the mediation of Christ, the fufferings of the present flate serve to vindicate the goodness of God.

The laws of God are the rule of our lives; and the obfervance of them, the means of our happiness: And as all the fufferings of this life are, with regard to men, intended, not to ruin, but to reform them; to lead them to repentance and obedience to those laws, that is to lead them to happinels; those sufferings are marks not of malevolence or cruelty, but of benevolence or goodness in him who inflicts them. And that this is the intention of those sufferings, is evident from scripture, where we are taught, that God doth not afflit willingly, or wantonly grieve the children of men; that he correcteth them by fufferings as a father correcteth his children, namely, that they may be partakers of his holinefs: And if they are partakers of his holinels, they shall also partake of his happiness

4. Our ignorance is a fufficient, and ought to be to us a fati-factory, answer, to all the apparent objections against the goodness of Godt.

The whole government of God is a scheme, which we tannot fully comprehend. We know but in part, and fee, as through a glass, darkly. We see but one link, as it were. of a chain which hath no end; and, even that link, we fee but imperfectly and obscurely. We neither understand thoroughly the beginning, nor can we at all fee the end, of things Our very ignorance, therefore, ought to filence all ever, if objections against the goodness of that being, who hath ginedy stren us so great positive proof of his goodness in those parts of his works, which we see; and, who for ought we know,

mai

. .

onl

is t

and

ext

thu

But

Th

wro

acti

ten

28

are

due

part

are

ing

to b

fires

matu

matu

and

cordi

fore,

ral to

of t

obta

to o

conf

fitten able fame indul

mort

defire

has a

1

may give us evidence of it without any appearance of exception or doubt, in those parts of them, which we cannot at present behold. We frequently see the folly of a peevish child, who frets against its parents; we cannot help sometimes smiling at the folly of an obscure individual, who pretends to know all the reasons of a prince's conduct; but our folly must be infinitely greater, when, amidst our present darkness, we find fault with the government of God, and call in question his goodness. Shall not he, who can have no motive to be either unjust, or malevolent, shall not the Judge of all the earth do right.

5. Notwithstanding of our present darkness and ignorance, we have reason, from what we see and know, to believe, that all the evils of the present state shall terminate in

the greatest possible good.

It is well known, that certain poisons and noxious animals are, by a certain application of them, medicines; storms, which are apt to desolate countries, are frequently necessary to clear the atmosphere from vapours, that would prove mortal; the evil deeds of individuals sometimes contribute to the public good; nay, even a man's own folly and fufferings in certain periods of his life, contribute in certain other periods of it, to render him wise and happy; and from these and similar sacts, there is a very great prefumption, that all events and actions, combined, will in the event of things, produce, in the works of God, the greatest possible good.

From the nature of God, from the tendency of picty and virtue to produce happiness, and from revelation, this at least we may remain affured of, that all things work together for good to the pious and virtuous, that all things shall end

in their happiness.

LETTER III.

The State of Nature, confidered as the State, which ought to be.

COUNTRYMEN,

HERE is one sense of the words nature and natural, in which they are used with a reference, not to one part

part of the nature of man, but to the whole confidered as a conflitution or fystem. In this sense of the words, that only is natural, which is morally good; and to follow nature

is to follow the dictates of confcience".

Men have, in their nature, various affections, appetites, and propensities, corresponding to various objects, which are external to them, which put them in motion, and which thus serve as the motives or principles of their actions. But this is not the whole, but a part only of their nature. They have also a faculty, by which they know right and wrong; which approves, or disapproves, their thoughts and actions, as they are moral, or immoral; and which is intended, by God, to regulate all their affections and defires, as to the time, place, manner, and degree, in which they are to be indulged; and to direct the whole of their conduct. This faculty is called Conscience. It is the ruling part of our nature. Its dictates, when it is well-informed, are intended, by God, to be the rule of our-lives; and having this rule within them, men are said, by the apostle Paul, to be a law unto themselves.

This faculty of conscience, joined to the affections, defires, propenfities, and the whole of the inferior part of our nature, makes up the moral fystem or constitution of man's nature; and acting according to the whole of this fystem, and not to any part of it fingly, is acting naturally, or arcording to nature, in the proper lense of the words. fore, the' to defire riches, honour, and pleasure, be natural to men, confidering those defires merely as making a part of their nature; yet to defire those things, and to leek to obtain them in any way inconsistent with our Duty to God, to ourselves, or others, is unnatural, because it is against conscience, which is the highest part of our nature, and the very law of it: But to feek those things in every way confiltent with our duty, is fully natural, because it is agreeable both to our inclinations and to conscience. fame reason, tho' it may be natural to a brute creature, to indulge any of its defires in fuch a manner as may prove mortal to it, because it has no faculty, which auswers to conscience in man; yet it is not natural to man, to indulge his defires in fuch a manner as may lead to mifery, because he

. Butla's Sermons.

has a conscience, and to act in such a manner is to rebel a-

to be.

ex.

not

vift

me-

pre-

our

fent

and

the

gno-

be-

te ia

ani-

ines;

ently

ould

con-

folly

e in

ppy;

pre-

n the

eatelt

picty

this

getber

l'end

T.

o one

Di

tu

on

the

of dif

of

wh

the

joi

vin

ha

to

pri

an

BO

Are

tur.

its

our

of

Bu

nel

the

im

and

diffe

don

the

ou/s

wh

to i

tima

whi

ry

to :

Out

gainst its natural authority. In the same manner, tho' it may be natural to a brute, when irritated, to wound or defiroy its mafter; yet it is not natural to men to rife up a gainst their lawful superiors, because this must be against their consciences. In short, in the full, strict, and proper sense of the word natural, when applied to the conduct of men, all impiety, intemperance, injustice, malevolence, and every species of vice, are unnatural; and piety, temperance, juffice, benevolence, and all forts of virtue, natural. Comfidering the nature of man, as having a prefiding and governing part or a conscience, what is morally good, is native ral, because agreeable to conscience; what is morally evil, is unnatural, because against it.. To do good is to act naturally; and to be, and do, good, in the highest degree, of which we are capable, is to be perfectly, in a flate of nature. In this sense of the term, " a state of innocence," " a state of perfection," " and a flate of nature," fignify the fame idea; and it is in this fense, that the ancient moralists are to be understood, when they fay, " that man is born to virtue; that it confifts in following nature; and that vice is more contrary to that nature than torments and Death +."

We use the word nearly in the same sense, when we speak of things inanimate, and of creatures devoid of conscience. Thus, the planets may be said to be in a natural state, when they move in their orbits, a cording to those laws of motios, which their Creator has imposed on them; a tree, when it bears leaves and fruit in consequence of the laws of vegetation; and an animal, when it enjoys health in consequence of performing the sunctions proper to its nature. But when an animal, or vegetable, is diseased, it is said to be, not in a natural, but præternatural, that is in an unnatural, state. Thus, vice is the disease of the mind; to make a progresion piety and virtue, is to be in a state of convalescence; and to attain to perfection in these, is to attain to a state per-

fectly healthful and natural.

From what has been faid, we may perceive, that the flate of innocence and a future flate of perfection must; is a great measure, coincide. In both, the person acts according to the laws of his nature; and in both, he is happy. There may, however, be some difference between the state of our first parents and that of good men in another world.

tho' it

or de

e up a.

against

proper

duct of

e, and

erance,

nd go-

s natu-

ly evil,

A natu-

ec, of

nature,

a ftate

e fame

fts are

to vir-

vice i

1+.**

fpeak

cience.

when

notion,

hen it

getati-

nce of

when

t in

ftate,

ogreli

cence

e per-

: ftate

in a

ccord-

appy.

ftate

world.

Con-

Our first parents were upright or perfect, and placed in a setuation suited to their nature; and happiness, in this situation, was the result of their obedience. Good men, in another world, will also be perfect; and happiness will arise out of their obedience and situation. But there seems to be this difference at least between the state of innocence and a state of suture perfection. In the former, our first parents wanted what good men acquire, in some measure, by the practice of their duty, those consirmed habits of piety and virtue, which, joined to the innocence of their character, would probably have placed them beyond the danger of falling.

There is also another difference commonly stated by divines. Our first parents, in the state of innocence, could have had, under God, only the habit of doing their duty to preserve them from falling; which, by long and frequent practice, might in time, have become fo strong as to prove an effectual security against that dangers Good men, in as nother world, will have, as their security, not only the strength of habit, but also the mediation of their Saviour.

Upon the whole, as all the works of God are called noture; fo for every creature to be in that perfect ftate, for which it was originally intended by its Creator, is to be in its natural flate. Moral evil, which began with the fall of our first parents, has, in some measure, deranged the works of God, and put man especially out of his natural flate. But the firong propensity in man to perfection and happinels, and his defire of immortality and distributive justice; the belief of all nations concerning a future state, however imperfect, and difguifed with fable; the power, wildom, and goodness, of God; and his will as revealed to us in his word; all teach us to look forward to a time, in which the disorders of nature shall be rectified, in which all evil shall be done away with regard to good men; to a time, in which there shall be new beavens and a new earth, in which righteoufnefs, that is righteous perfons, shall dwell; to a time, in which, they, who, by the grace of God, honeftly endeavour to do his will, shall be rendered confummately happy; to a time, in which the righteous, delivered from all those evils, which now afflict them, shall rejoice, shall exult, shall glory in Him, who is the uncreated fource of all excellence; to a time, in which, no more hasting from one unfatistying

Butler's Analogy.

object to snother, they shall repose in their Creator, and enjoy in his presence fullness of joy and pleasures for over more.

LETTER IV.

Of the State of Nature, confidered as a State, of which Sin and Suffering are remarkable constituents.

COUNTRYMEN,

Aving already confidered the flate of nature both as a flate of innocence and as that flate, which ought to be, let us now take a view of it as a flate, of which moral and natural evil, or fin and fuffering, are remarkable parts.

Theological writers nie the terms nature and natural in contradiction to the terms grace and gracious. By a flate of nature, they mean that flate of fin and milery, into which mankind are brought by the fall; and by a flate of grace, that flate, into which they are put by the mercy of God; by which the obedient recover from the flate of fin and milery, and, in the end, attain to a flate of perfection and happinels.

But when theologians use the term state of nature in this sense, they mean only simply to express the bare sact with regard to all men, as they come into the world, namely, that they are in such a state that they, in some measure, sin and suffer. They consider the sin and sufferings of men merely as facts, that take place in nature, like any other facts; and they call their sin and suffering natural, because both are, in some measure, common to all men, that is, in their sense of the word. natural.

Neither is there any thing abfurd or incredible in what they commonly teach with regard to the states of nature and grace. For, if they teach, that the sin of our first parents was the cause of after sin and suffering, they say no more in kind, tho' much more in degree, than that a man, by some very base and atrocious crimes, or some victous course of life, may affect his own interest, health, understanding, morals, and happiness, and those of his descendants for several generations; and that those descendants, by committing similar

crimes

erin

of are of the by the affection

or p

efpe

be that

flat

mar

mea

bis .

his

mer

the

we

this

and

fure

mati

this

of '

tref

hav

a fo

m ı

the

2 V

nat

we

to

and

to

the

to

of

the

erimes and following a fimilar course of life, may, in like manner, affect their children; and fo on as long as that race of men continues in existence ||. If they maintain, that men are in themselves unable to deliver themselves from the state of fin and mifery, this is, in a manner, faying, that a man, by one rash and foolish action, or by a course of vice, may so affect his own mind and body with disease, and the minds and bodies of those who shall descend from him, that no skill, or power, of his, or theirs, shall be able to recover them; especially, if the course begun by the ancestor of the family, be more, or less, followed by all his offspring. If they say, that God, of his grace, is pleafed to recover men from this flate of fin and mifery, this is only faying, that he acts in a manner fuitable to that goodness and mercy, which, in some measure, we every hour experience, and which are over all bis works. And if he is pleased to employ the mediation of his Son, and the operations of his Spirit, in the recovery of men (both of whom are, in Scripture, faid to have affilted in the creation of the world, and may, therefore, for any thing we know, affift in the redemption of it) tho' there may, in this, be to us fome things dark and hard to be understood, and others incomprehensible; yet there is nothing in it abfurd or incredible: For we find, that, in the course of his natural providence, he frequently employs various medicines, things which we call accidental, and even the inftrumentality of their fellow creatures, in delivering men from those diftreffes, which, by their own impiety, vice, or even folly, they have brought upon themselves; which is an use of means, or a fort of mediation, which the perfons themselves would not, in many cases, have thought of, but which was necessary to their being delivered from their troubles. Besides, being, in a very great measure, ignorant of the Divine Nature, of the nature of things in creation, and of the plan of providence, we are, in no degree judges of what it may be fit for God to do, and what instruments and means it may be necessary and meet for him to employ. But if it please God to reveal to us those things, and give us evidence of the truth of them, tho' that evidence may not be such as we defire, it is our duty to believe them; because we know nothing against the truth of them, but much for it. For inftance, the doctrines of the Trinity, of Christ's incarnation and mediation, and of the B 3 operation

See Butler's Analogy.

d en-

as a t to noral is.

hich race, by fery,

that and rely and , in

this

hat and ents in ome ife,

als, neilar operation of the Almighty Spirit, are certainly very great mysteries: But if there be ground in scripture to believe those mysteries, and if the belief of them have any good tendency with regard to our conduct and happiness, both of which are plainly the case, then it is both our duty and interest to be lieve them. But to deny them, upon the supposition, that there is reason to believe them, merely because we cannot

comprehend them, is highly irrational. . For, 1st, it supposes, that men understand mysteries, which they do not f. For the very nature of a myflery is that we do not understand it. Secondly, it supposes, that we understand the greatest of all mysteries, the nature of God, and the manner, in which he exists and operates; which none, perhaps, has yet pretended to. For furely, if we cannot fully comprehend our own nature, or that of any of the creatures, much less can we comprehend the nature of that Great Eternal Being, who pervades the universe; who is the cause, the support, the centre, and boundary, of all nature; the life of every thing that lives, and the joy of all his fensible and intellectual creatures. Before a man can flew what the Godhead is, and what it is not; before he can exactly define the limits of that power, which made, which preferves and governs all things; it would be premature and irrational in him to dear what is revealed of it, unless that revelation should involve in it an absurdity; or, after a part only is revealed, to pretend to explain the whole. May not that God, who has given exiftence to fo many kinds of creatures, all of which differ from one another, as to their natures and modes of acting, may not He have a nature and manner of acting, which are peculiar to himself, and which none of them shall ever be able fully to comprehend. Is it not even implied in the very idea, which we must entertain of the Creator, the Preserver, and Governour, of the Universe, that bis ways are not as our ways nor bis thoughts as our thoughts.

It is evident from the nature of man, that he originally was, and still is, intended for happiness and perfection. But the ignorance, impicty, vice, and misery of men in those places, where the light of revelation does not in some degree shine, plainly shew the necessity in which man, the poblest of God's work, in this lower world, stood in need of a revelation. The partial communication of that light is a dispensation of

providence

COL

Ye

we

are

of

(B)

fait

giv

Go

ou:

mo

farr

vide

dar

lity

fore

lati

COD

not

very

thou

it,

from

who

fea.

after

whe

man

Nov

mefi

gree of t

that

fion;

enen

great e those ich are to be to be

which we do eritand and the perper fully arrices. Eterife, the

life of d intelodhead limits erns all deny olve in oretend ven ex-

er from , may are pene able y idea, r, and

ginally ection n those degree bleft of elation tion of vidence providence of the very same kind (tho' in a much higher degree) with the unequal distribution of talents, wealth, and happiness; and possibly the same reasons that would fully account for the one dispensation would account for the other; Yet no man will say, that because God hath bestowed talents, wealth, and happiness upon men unequally, those blessings are not necessary to men in general; and the same may be said of the general necessity of revelation.

Men, therefore, standing in need of a revelation, it was fuitable to the nature of God to bellow it. But prior to the giving of a revelation, it was to be expected, that this work of God, like his other works, should contain some things beyond our comprehension; tho' they should have been, as probably most of them are, revealed, in the plainest language; in the fame manner that there are many things in creation and providence, which we cannot comprehend. But that degree of darkness which is common to all the three, affords a probability, that they are all the work of the fame being; and, therefore, what is obscure or altogether incomprehensible in revelation, instead of invalidating the evidence for the truth of it, contributes, in some measure, to prove it. Had there been nothing obscure, or incomprehensible, in revelation; had every thing in it been obvious, and easy to be understood; we should have wanted that part of the evidence of the truth of it, which arises from its likeness to creation and providences from its likeness to the other works of that Divine Being, who is furrounded with clouds and darkness ; subose way is in the fea, and whose foot-fleps are not known.

A man writes two letters, which we are fure are his; and afterwards a third, of which we may entertain some doubt, whether it be his, or not; but the thoughts in it, and the manner in which they are expressed, are very like those of the other two; from which we presume, that it is his also. Now all the works of God, are, in a manner, a discourse, a message, an epittle to man. In all of them, there is a degree of obscurity, and some things incomprehensible; but all of them agree in teaching us some very plain lessons; such as, that he is wise, powerful, and good, beyond our comprehension; that he is a lover of what is right, regular, and decent; that he is the friend of the virtuous, and, in a manner, the enemy of the vicious, whilst they continue such; that, tho

Bil

derf

to ti

ligio fruit

mali

his c

and

fich

Divi

For,

tion

to b

wide

what

y af

the c

fay i

188

ints.

In th

rer

erft:

the I

title (

In

he fe

times

brups

Ting

as t

of v

A ti

cafes,

loud

fuali

ur aj

midth

ial an

eafed

he is inflexibly just, he is likwise merciful; that he is reade not only to receive the penitent to his favour, but to reward them when they shall have changed their manner of life. All these doctrines are contained in the works of creation and providence, and in revelation; and thus far they agree. But the works of creation and providence speak a language, which a yet is, in a great measure, mysterious to us, and which it is the very object of philosophy at this time to interpret . In the same manner, there are mysteries in revelation, which we at present have probably no faculties to understand. And the far also creation and providence and revelation agree. Seeing therefore, that they agree in expressing some very useful thoughts in a very clear manner, and other thoughts in manner more, or less, obscure; and in containing something that are to us mysteries; their agreement in thele respects af fords a prefumption, that each of them is the work of the same Divine being; just as the writing of a letter, or the finishing of any piece of work, in a peculiar manner, point out the respective authors of them, prior to our being to who those authors really are. And thus even the very obles rity and mysteries of revelation, instead of disproving, serve in some measure, to prove, that the author of it is God.

If it should be asked, why we have not a greater measure as light and stronger faculties? it may be asked, in reply, why we have not the eyes and wings of an eagle? We have a certain station assigned us in the creation of God, and a certain work to perform; and the light afforded us is sufficient for this work. Our great business in this world, is not, in any great degree, to accumulate knowledge, or to understand a mysteries (a thing impossible) but to be qualified for the other by acquiring good habits. These habits may, through Divine assistance, be acquired by the weakest and most illiterate man, in the practice of his duty; the path of which, under that light, which we enjoy, is in general so plain that a way

faring man, the' a fool, Shall not err therein.

Even the apparent deficiency in the evidence of the truth of revelation (for there is none real) or its not being to ftree, as we might defire, as well as the obscurity and incomprehensibility

The great Bacon calls man the interpreter of nature, and recomends humility as being as necessary to enter into the knowledge of anysteries, as into the Kingdom of Heaven; a proper admonition to the who prefuntuously think, that, even without learning, they have conto the knowledge of all scuts.

ready.

reward

But the

which w

ich it is

*. L

hich we

nd thu

Seeing

ulefu

ts 10 4

nething

pectsal

of the

or the

points

ng told

y obles

od.

afure of

ve a cer-

cient for

in any

ftand at

igh Di

illiterat

, unde

i a we

he truth

fibility

d reco

n to the

PAR COM

o strong

Bility of fome things revealed, ferve to exercise, not our underlandings only, but our faith and patience, and fubmiffion to the Divine will; and thus contribute to form in us that religious temper of mind, which is fo decent in itself, and fo fruitful in happinels. When God commanded Abraham to walk before him and be perfect, and promised, as the reward of his obedience, that he would make a mighty nation of him, and that kings should come out of him, there could not be fuch an exercise of faith and piety in yielding obedience to the Divine will at that time, as afterwards in offering up Isaac. For, in that early period of the world, when but a small portion of the earth was inhabited, it was natural for Abraham to believe, when God promised it, that his offspring should be widely spread, and some of them become very great. what a struggle must there have been between piety and fatherly affection, between faith in the promile of God, and faith in the course of nature, before he stretched forth his hand to lay bit only fon whom be loved, that fon, with whom the covemt was to be established, that is, in the line of whose decendants, the promises to Abraham were to be eminently fulfilled. In the offering up of Isaac, there was such a triumph of faith wer thuse prejudices with regard to the course of nature, which are common to all men, such a submission of the understanding to revelation, such a refignation of the heart to the Divine Will, as defervedly to give to that patriarch the title of father of the faithful.

In the two most remarkable scenes of our Saviour's life, he seems to have wanted much of that light, which, at other times, he enjoyed. When, in the garden, and faveating great steps of blood, he offered up supplications and prayers, with strong arise and tears, to him that was able to save him from death, and was heard in that he feared, that is, heard in having his sears, in some measure, removed, or in being supported under them; and when, on the cross, he cried out my God, my God, why hall thou for saken me? it is evident, that his distress in both, case, arose from that darkness, which had, in some measure, clouded his mind, or from the want of that light, which he slually enjoyed. But how great does the faith of our Saviour appear? how ardent and constant his regard to man how entire his submission to the will of his Father? when anidth this obscure and uncomfortable light, or rather this partial and desecting darkness, he proceeded in his work, and

eased not till he had finished it?

The cafe of all pious and virtuous men is, more, or left like those of Abraham and our Saviour. Their experience and observation of the course of nature afford them a ftrong prefumption, that, at laft, it will be well with the righteour and ill with the wicked; the word of God affures them of its and they are inclined to believe it; for, as they are confcions of their fincerity in ferving God, they cannot but entertain fome hope of being rewarded. But sometimes they see, both with regard to themselves and others, the course of nature, as to outward things, inverted; the righteous in advertity, and the wicked in prosperity: The evidence of revelation also, how. ever fufficient for them in ordinary cases, is yet weaker than that of the fenfes; And fometimes it is fo far weakened by contrary appearances, that the righteous, almost dispairing of happiness in the way of their duty, are disposed to say wil Alaph, verily I have cleanfed my heart in vain, and washe mine bunds in innocency. But tho' the evidence for the trut of revelation and the reality of the rewards of piety and wi tue, be not, as it sometimes appears to our minds, either certain as we might defire, or unvarying, it is not, therefore to be rejected. We should esteem him a madman, who, tre velling through a dangerous country in a winter night, thou reject the light of the stars, because he could not enjoy th of the fun.

The present state is, perhaps, in every respect, a mixed one. Reason and folly, virtue and vice, happiness and misers light and darkness, faith and doubt, are always, in a certain proportion, blended together; and he, who suspends his be lief, till he get evidence and light without any mixture of doubt and darkness, must suspend it till he go to the other.

Mean while, those, who are determined to make use of the light which they have, will find, upon trial, that there is fearcely' a case, in which it is not sufficient to direct them. They will find, that the longer they suffer themselves to be guided by it, it will shine the brighter. They will begin so siously to resect, that the mind, like the eye, has its limits that, from the limited nature of the soul, there are some things, which we cannot know at all, and others, which we can know but in part: And, if they are pious and virtuous proportion to their knowledge, they will feel a very strong propensity to believe, that there will be a time, in which they shall arrive at that land, which now appears to the soul.

fight er ele ry ob T'l and v like a pinel politi

faint

as de es, er vitati gree fevere us in, them:

fent

fin an given abfure in the of the creati by the to exe get an fifts th a copi are da concer regard of. 7 perhap caufe v

fin and on, w from the teach is on again faintly at a distance; in which the fogs that now obstruct the fight, shall disappear; and in which, having acquired a higher elevation and a greater power of vision, they shall fee eve-

ry object diffinctly over a whole horizon.

r lefs

rience

ftrong

nteous

of its

fcious

ertain

both

, as to

nd the how.

than

ned by ing of

y with

washe

truth

nd vir

her h refore

o, tra

thou

y the

mixe

nifer

erta

nis be

ure (

ther.

of th

nere i

them

to

gin f

imits

fom

ch

ousi

ftre

which

the

fainth

That piety and virtue tend to make us happy, and impiety and vice, milerable, are matters of observation and experience, like any other facts, which uniformly happen: And that happinels, or mifery, in a very high degree, will, upon the suppolition of a future state, be at last our lot, is an inference as deducible from those facts, as that a flune dropt from a tower, will fall to the ground, is deducible from the law of gravitation. And, therefore, if the scriptures serve, in any degree (which they certainly do) to excite us to begin and perfevere in a good course of life; and to deter us from, and flop us in, a bad one, it is our duty and interest to retain and use

them: But it would be madnels itself to reject them.

To recapitulate what has been faid. When Theologians speak of the flate of nature, they mean to say, that the prefent condition of men is such that they, in some measure, fin and fuffer. What they fay of this state, is what we are given to understand from the facred scriptures, and is neither absurd, nor incredible. Tho' there may be many things dark in the scriptures; yet that very darkness is a part of the proof of their Divine original, because it is to like the darkness in creation and providence. At the same time, that darkness, by the difficulties which it occasions, serves, with other things, to exercise the faith and patience of good men; and to beget and strengthen in them refignation to God; in which confifts the whole or the greatest part of our duty, and which is copious fource of our happinels. But tho' the feriptures are dark with regard to those things, which it does not much concern us at present to know; yet they are very clear with regard to those, which it is our chief interest to be informed of. They teach us, what, by tradition and reason, we might, perhaps, have known, that the fin of our first parents was the cause why mankind, in the present world, are in a state of fin and mifery; and they teach us what, without a revelation, we never should have known, that they are delivered from this state by the mediation of a Divine Saviour. teach us also what experience serves to prove, that as rebellion against the will of God is the cause of all misery; so submission to it is the certain road to happiness. R. T.

LET-

LETTER V.

The State of Nature, confidered as the State in which the Hunrace has been generally found to exist.

COUNTRYMEN,

HEN we wish to know the natural state of any species of animals, we examine them; and all that we discover from such an examination, is all that we know of their natural state. We must proceed in the same manner, if we wish to know what is in sact the natural state of man. The specific description of what man is, is the description of the

flate of nature relative to mankind.

Whatever is common to animals of a certain description is said to be natural to them, because it constitutes a part of their nature; thus, self-motion is common, i.e. natural is all animals, and reason to man. Whatever is not common any class of animals, but peculiar to one, or more of them, is said to be, not natural, but preternatural, or monstrom Whatever, therefore, is common to all men, must be natural to them, whether it respect their minds and bodies, or this situation and manner of living. When we consider, therefore, the fact with regard to man, and neither what he was in a state of innocence, nor what he ought to be, what is common to the whole kind, is natural; and the most general fact respecting the whole race, make up the account of the state of Nature. In this sense of the term, the State of Sin and Misny spoincides with the State of Nature, or rather is a part of it.

But there have been writers on Politics, who have affune neither the flate of innocence, nor the flate, in which me ought to exift, nor the flate of fin and mifery, but a supportion of their own, for the flate of nature. In order to save some hypothesis, without documents from history, without individual experience, without the support of science, they have imagined to themselves a state, in which man never have imagined to themselves a state, in which man never wish; and, from their own imaginations, they have copied a picture of man, and called it his natural state. But if we

confide

al

0

n

of by de Git

ter

the

mo

ref

and

to

and

Th

bec

[&]amp; Sec Effay on the History of Civil Society by Dr Ferguson.

confider man as we do other animals (and, if we except revelation, we have no other way of knowing any thing about his his natural flate is that, in which he is actually found, in for

measure, to exist, in every age and nation.

The state of nature is not a state of folitude: for man is not a folitary, but gregarious animal. He is always, except in very rare cales, found in the company of his kind. He is born and educated, and remains, in fociety. He has a disposition to affociate with his fellow creatures. He is milerable; if banished from them. He feels the most generous and ardent emotions of the foul in their fociety, and makes all his noble efforts with a view to it. It is there only that his character fully evolves, that he displays all the great and amiable qualities of the foul, and that he finds improvement and happinels.

The natural flate of man is not a flate of anarchy. For as he is always in the company of his fellow creatures, fo he is always a member of civil fociety. Every family, or company of men however small, has its rules of proceeding; and thole rules are its laws. In the family, the father, and in the tribe, the chief, are the governors. From his birth to his death, man is, in sume fort, connected with government. For the most part, he is a subject; but, even when a magistrate, he

is more, or lefs, fubject to laws.

His natural state is not a state either of perpetual war, or of perpetual peace; but alternately of both. For men are, by nature, and spontaneously benevolent. They are, in some degree, friends to each other for no other reason, but because God has made them fo. When they are found to be enem it is only previous injury, real, or supposed, emulation, interference of interest; fear, or some such cause, which makes them fo: And even then, if they are enemies to one party, the state of the state

they are friends to another.

The flate of nature is not a flate of Equality; for of all the living creatures, men confidered in all respects, are the most unequal to each other. When found authors speak of the natural equality of mankind, and of equal mitural rights, th refer to those rights of men, which subsist in their persons, and which are born with them. These rights are the ri to the exclusive innorm use of all the faculties of their minds and members of their bodies, and the right of felf-defence. These are the only rights, which are properly called nature because they are immediately conferred by the God of nature, C to Line

e Hu

any fue

that we know o anner. n. 75 n of the

cription

part tural mmon of then onftro e natu berefore was in 18 COM eral fac e flate

d Mile t of it. affume nich m fuppo to favo witho ce, the ever e cop

ut if confide

gulon.

and fubfift in the nature of man; and with respect to those rights only, are men necessarily equal. In all other respects, they may be, and most frequently are, unequal.

The natural flate of man is neither a flate of perfect ignorance, rudeness, vice, and misery; nor a state of perfect knowledge, improvement, virtue, and happiness; but a state,

ed

m

ne of

W

23

fik

int

BTIC

the

Pro Lis

fer

the

abl

3,

tue

gen

the

mn

mer

16 a

infti

our

Rate

peni

of c

mol

to fe

high

in some degree, composed of all those qualities.

Abstracting from things that are local, temporary, peculiar, or in any respect adventitious, the natural history of as individual is that of the species. Man is naturally disposed to maintain his rights; to improve his nature and condition; and to co-operate with, and benefit, his fellow creatures. His reason and his heart dispose him to entertain sentiments of piety towards his Creator, and to express them by his actions. Like every individual, the whole race has a progress from rudeness to improvement. Accidental causes may retard this progress, and sometimes, in a great measure, undo it; but it is the very nature of man to improve in some way or other.

But no stage in his progress towards perfection, nor any divertity in his external condition, conflitutes his flate, at a time, a state of nature, and, at another, a state of art. art, tho' diftinguished from what nature herfelf bestows one very individual, is yet natural to man. In every place, at all times, he accommodates himself to his fituation. one place, he is a hunter, or fisher; in another, a shepherd, hufbandman; in another, an artift; and, in another, a m chant. Nature, which, by a rapid and inftinctive operati makes the fox a hunter and the heron a fisher, makes man, a flow and complicated process, all that he is in his highest levation. Inflinct, that is nature, impels the cat to ule paw; and reason, that is nature, leads men to science, skill in the arts. Inflinct, experience, and reason unite enabling him to supply, by his invention, those defects in character and condition, which nature in the progress of t supplies in other animals. He is, in some measure, the ficer of his own bodily frame and intellects; and, in a gre measure, the artificer of his morals and behaviour, and of fortune and happinels. A vegetable, or animal, is no les its natural flate, when it has acquired maturity, than w the former first shoots up, or the latter drops from the d The bee and beaver are equally in a natural state, in the beg ning, middle, and end of their work. And tho' manks

have a progress from ignorance and radeness to knowledge and refinement; yet no stage in this progress is their natural state more than another. They are in a state of nature, when they first set out; they are in a state of nature in every stage of their journey; and they will be in a state of nature, should they ever come to an end of it.

o those

efpeds.

et igno-

perfed

a ftate.

peculi.

y of an

poled to on; and s. His

ents o

actions

fs tro

ard thi

; but i

other.

t. Fin

vs os

on.

herd,

erati

man,

ighen

o ule

ce, a

unite,

of th

the an

a gre

nd of

o leis

in wh

he dan

ne begi manki

The thriking features in the character of man, and the principal conflituents in his flate, are ever the fame; and when it is asked what is the natural state of man? it may be answered, that it is his prefent one, in whatfoever place, time, or manner, he may exist. The rainbow is an emblem of the general or specific, i. e. natural flate of man. In every period of his existence, in every stage of his march backward, or forward, we fee, either in fainter, or thronger colours, what he is in every other. The transition from one flage of improvement to another, is sometimes imperceptible (for those stages, like the colours of the grand arch of heaven, frequently run into one another) but tho', between the rudenels of the favage and the improvement of the citizen, as between the azure and the red, there is the widelt difference; yet that difference is produced by nature, by the nature of man and the nature of his circumstances.

Having confident the flate of nature according to the different fenses of the term, 1, as a state of innocence; 2, as the state which ought to be, because such a state only is agreeable to the whole of our nature, considered as a constitution; 3, as a state, of which sin and suffering are remarkable constituents; and, 4, as the state, in which the human race has been generally found to exist; we shall now be able to deduce, from

the whole, a few practical inferences.

1. From what has been faid, it is evident, that the flate of innocence, the flate which ought to be, and the flate of good men after death, in a great measure, coincide. Each of them is a flate of perfection. To this perfect flate, our affections inflinctively dispose, and our reason guides, us; to this flate, our love of pleasure and aversion to pain prompt us; to this flate, the disposition of things in creation and the various dispensations of providence impel us; to this flate, the voice of conscience and the voice of God call us; for this flate, almost all that is without and within us tend, in some degree, to form us. To this consummate flate, therefore, it is our highest wisdom, in spite of every tempation, obslacle, and

discouragement, to seek, under the operation of the God of nature, with all that power, which it has pleased him to bestow upon us. For, to say all in a word, to be in this state is to be perfect in our kind, and to enjoy the greatest happiness com-

petent to our nature.

2. What has been faid, will ferve, in fome measure, to corred certain errors, which have been produced by a misapplication of the terms, natural equality, natural liberty, and natural rights. There never was a time, in which men were, in all respects, equal; in which they had a liberty of doing what they pleased; or in which they had the same rights. Natural equality confifts in justice being equally administered to all; and our form of government is better fitted to maintain this natural equality than any other that either is, or ever was. Natural h. berty is the liberty of doing all good and no evil; for this liberty and no other does the God of nature confer; and with regard to this liberty, all men in this country are as free as thought; all which will appear more evidently in what follows of this work. Natural rights are those, which are inherent in the nature or persons of men. The subject of them is a person's felf. But to whatever real, and not fictitious, fate, we rive the name of the flate of nature, it is evident, that we can learn no more from it, with regard to the rights of men, than from the present state.

In order that we may fee what are their rights, all that is necessary, is to confider them as unconnected individuals. Four men from the four quarters of the world meet on an uninhabited island; each occupies a part of it; each has his perfonal and real rights; and his personal and relative duties (for there is no flate in which men can exist, in which they have not their duties as well as their rights). The rights of each are what is his own; his duties, those things, which he ought to do. They afterwards affociate either for the purpose of defending themselves against strangers, or of obtaining some Here then there is a civil fociety, and the common object. rights and duties of those four men are now determined, and afterwards regulated, by their convention or agreement. Their state, as connected together, has been very properly called the focial state, or the state of fociety. But their state, confidered as unconnected individuals, has been very improperly called the state of nature, or their natural state. For this is an expression fignifying a state, which never had a real exis-

tence,

Le n

had

perf

Bef

fate

225,

hila

and

of I

ly n

men

prio

necl

neor

fore

are

matu

rece

80 A

duti

ons.

Aiti

wri

eve

law

exc

who

to v

tuti

mer

fim

are

fom

bec

1

1

tence. It is an expression not only improper, but ambiguous. It may, therefore, be used equivocally to deceive men into an opinion, that they have rights, which they never at any time had; or men, without any evil intention on the part of the person who uses it, may themselves run into the same error. Besides, tho' there had been (which there never was) any state in which men were once equal; yet as the circumstances, and relations, the whole condition of individuals is personally changing, that equality must soon have been annishilated, and the greatest inequality with regard both to rights and duties, created.

3. What has been faid, will ferve also to correct the errors of some with regard to the origin of political constitutions or

forms of government.

od of

eftow

to be

com-

o cor-

appli-

natu-

in all

they

quality

d our

ral e-

ral li-

liber-

h re-

ree as

llows

ent in

per-

, we

e can

than

hat is

duals.

n un-

s per-

s (for

have

each

ught

f de-

fome

the

and

Cheir

alled

con-

perly

his in

exif-

ence.

The existence of men as unconnected individuals is extremely rare or uncommon, that is, unnatural. The natural state of men is a focial state. Men are always found in groupes; and, prior to any positive institutions, are, for the most part, connected by constitutions or bodies of laws, which rise spontaneously; which are dictated by the occasion; which become forms by their being used and found beneficial; and which are either binding in themselves, as being parts of the law of nature, or become binding by the acquiestence of those, who

receive from them protection.

Wherever there are men, there are laws; for men are a law to themselves; and their laws respecting their mutual rights and duties, when put together, compose their political constitutions. It is just as natural for all societies of men to have constitutions or bodies of political laws, tho' they never have been written, or formally agreed on, as it is for individuals to have eyes. For what eyes are to the individual, that are political laws or constitutions to fociety. Perhaps, there is not one exception to this general affertion, except in times of anarchy, when government is dissolved: And even then, the parties into which the state is split, must each have a temporary constitution for itself.

In an early period of fociety, in which, generally fpeaking, men are comparatively innocent, and in which their business is simple and easily transacted, few laws are necessary; and those are the dictates of reason. It is only in a state of society, somewhat advanced, when the affairs of men are multiplied and become complex, that contests with regard to rights arise;

C 3

and then ulages, dictated at first by reason judging according to the merits of any case, become laws, and decide cases that are Thus, the rules, by which a father manages his family, receiving additions according to circumftances, become those, by which a chieftain governs his tribe; and these again. being augmented by the fame natural process, become those

which govern a nation.

There is no doubt, that this is the course of nature in the formation of political laws or conflitutions; from whence we may fee the error of those, who contend, that no country has a constitution, in which the people, either individually, or by their representatives, as in a few flates in modern times, has not met, and agreed how they should be governed, and expressed their agreement by writing. But even this, if it be an advantage, is an advantage, as will appear in the fequel, polfeffed in the highest degree by the Constitution of Britain.

Upon the whole, the natural, that is the personal, rights of men are feen intuitively, and recognized as foon as they are mentioned. Their adventitious rights, including in that term all their rights as members of civil fociety, are discoverable by reason judging according to the nature of existing circumflances. But in order to discover those rights, it is absurd to have recourse to a fiction concerning a flate of nature. This it. felf is error; and it leads to error. All that is, at any time, necessary to a people, is candour, or a disposition to acknow. ledge rights, when reason bath discovered what they are.

velot ages at a retriction, an insection

transport to the contract of t

way and the way the

s and principal to the parties of the extension of the Continuous of

has properly and also be supposed they

the state of the state of the state of

And the Pears of the world have been

vide la sur la "introdución y controva de la sur de la

and the state of the country of the

South A. of more halfile tangers to some that The consequences on the congression of

R. T.

hou

For,

fuled

has a

right

part,

his C

Bot E are in

of all of hi

out o

mal) o of for libert :

rights

ancon tells u

tural.

ta or

he fta

upon safricas shows Blackft bridged to every ade at earth, and a little of

THE RIGHTS OF MEN.

表现最后了。在自然有效。1940年1947年1940年1947

LETTER VL

Of Rights in General".

COUNTRYMEN,

Person who looks for some agreement between the title of a book and its contents, will be fomewhat furprixed, upon perufing " the Rights of Man," that Mr Paine hould have given to those two of his publications that title. For, instead of finding in them, what, from their name, one hould naturally be led to expect, a diffinet, clear, true, and full flate of the rights of men, one finds only one that is confuled, obscure, erroneous, and partial; to which the author has added a flimfy commentary on the French declaration of

rights.

of to

t are his

come gain. thole

the e we

y has or by

, has

preff-

n ad-

pol-

hts of

y are

term

rable

cum-

rd to

nis it-

time,

now-

T.

OF

24

" Hitherto," fays be, " we have spoken, and that but in part, of the Natural Rights of man; we are now to speak of his Civil Rights, and shew how the one originates from the other." By the natural rights of man, Mr Paine here means, not merely those rights, with which a man is born, and which are inherent in his person, but (as is obvious from his division of all rights into natural and civil, as well as from the tenor of his discourse upon rights) all the other rights also of men out of fociety, whether natural, (that is personal and original) or adventitious; fuch as the rights, which any person out of fociety may have, to his property and possessions, and to All thefe rights, which he denominates " natural rights," in contradiftinction to. " civil rights," he speaks of, according to his own confession, "but in part;" and yet he tells us, that " the civil rights of man originate from his natural." A knowledge of the natural rights of man is the data or principles from which he infere his civil rights; and yet he flates his natural rights " but in part." Notwithstanding. upon this partial, this unfure foundation, does this author build

⁹ See Dr Ferguson's Inflitutes of Moral Philosophy, and Sir William Mackstone's Commentaries on the Laws of Bingland.

build all his fuperfiructure of " regenerating governments," redreffing wrongs, and giving to man what he calls his rights. Is this the candid and clear reasoner who is to instruct mankind! Is this the light that has set the world in a blaze! Fyl we must be sools indeed, if it produce any thing but a blaze.

man:

eatur

canfe

er co

but

8

the c

menc

rinal

ways, fruits

fame.

Rig

Na

nd o

me

binfelf they a inhere

the

Civi

rivate

Such a

ure er

ection

ay, i

it of,

tetts m

rly b

aland

Polit

a pul

erigh

mmur

al righ

WO COU

ditime

As it is necessary, that men should know what rights they may have out of society, in order that they may know what rights they have in it, I shall here beg leave to offer a few observations, first, on the absolute, and then on the relative, rights of men. But prior to this, it may be proper to take notice of the term right, and the different classes, into which

rights have been divided.

The term right, when it is used to fignify the relation which a person bears to what belongs to him, or what is his own, expresses a simple idea. The idea itself of such a relation is simple; and therefore cannot be described, or defined, but by another expression equivalent to the term right. It however, sufficiently well understood by the most ignorant person, and even by children, when it respects things that are within the sphere of their knowledge. Every person know the meaning of the terms "mine," "thine," "his," at There is not a peasant, who does not understand the meaning of the word right; though, if desired to express the idea conveyed by it, he would probably be at a loss for words, or express himself in a way less proper and significant.

A right has been defined, "the relation of a person to a thing, in which no alteration ought to be made without his own consent;" and such things are the constituents of the per-

fon, or the conflituents of his flate",

"Rights may be confidered in respect to their subject, or in respect to their source. In the first respect, they are said to be personal, or real. In the second, they are said to be original, or adventitious."

"The personal rights of men subsist in their persons, and are the constituents of their nature. They are the rights, which they have to the limbs and members of the body, to the seculties and talents of the mind, and to the uses of both."

"Real rights subsist in things, of which any person my have the exclusive use." They are the rights which a makes to all those external things, which are his, and which constitute, or make up, what is called a man's outward conditions.

on or effate; fuch are the rights to " poffession, property, and command."

" Original rights are the universal appurtenances of man's sature, and coeval with his being." They are fo called, bemale the subjects of them belong to a person from the origin commencement of his existence. The term original rights

is but another name for those rights that are personal.

nta.

ghte

man-

Fyl

blaze.

they

what

a few

ative.

take

which

lation

is his

a rela

fined

71 63

noran

at are

cnow · Ac

aning

a con-

or ex-

n to

out his

ne per-

ct, or

re fall

tol

nd an

which

he f

h co

ondi

131 n mıy

" Adventitious rights are fuch as accrue variously to men in the course of human life." They do not necessarily commence with the birth of a person, (which is the case with oririnal rights) but may come to him afterwards in different rays; as, by inheritance, bequeft, occupancy, or as the ruits of his labour. The adventitious rights of men are the fame fort of rights with their real

Rights may be divided into natural, civil, and political rights. Natural rights are those, which subsist in a person's nature, ad of which the subjects compose his nature. They are the fame with perfonal or original rights. They may all be exeffed by this general term, the right which every man has to infelf. These rights only ought to be called natural; and ty are so called with the utmost propriety, because they are inherent in the nature of man, and are immediately conferred w the God of nature.

Civil rights are those, which belong to men considered as rivate members of civil fociety, or as fubjects of government. Such are the rights to life, liberty, and property, and the feure enjoyment of them; and confequently the right to proection from the magistrate. Life, liberty, and property, my, indeed, belong to men, or be their rights, either in, or ut of, civil fociety; but it is civil fociety only, which proects men in the use of those rights, and gives them the secure joyment of them; and therefore all of them may very proerly be called civil rights, tho' the right to life is also a natual and original right.

Political rights are those, which belong to men considered a a public capacity, or in their relation to the flate; fuch as he rights of legislators, of magistrates, and of the rest of the mmunity with regard to public affairs. Perhaps the politial rights of men have never been precifely the same in any wo countries: Nor have they continued precisely the same at times in the fame country. They ought to vary with the

thous that very great powers may be entrusted to them with fasety; there are others so vitious that they eannot be safely entrusted with any; they require coercion and restraint to oblige them to perform their duty, and to prevent them from committing crimes; and the political rights or powers of the different constituent parts of any state, ought to be, in a great measure, in proportion as the character of the people approaches to either of these extremes. All other things being equal, the more wise and virtuous any people is, the greats may their political rights or powers be; and so contrariwise.

No particular rule can be given, according to which political rights ought to be always distributed amongst the differencensistent parts of any civil society. A general rule is, the every man should have such a share of those rights that he more than injure, nor be injured by, any other. This distribution of political rights affords security to all; and the obtaining of security is the chief end and design of all civil or pair

tical fociety.

Though, in this country, fome minute things of a politi nature may require to be altered, when it can be done fafety; yet the chief excellence of the British Conftitut as will afterwards appear in this work, is, that for a long t past, but especially fince the accession of his present Ma to the throne, the public or political rights of all ranks been such as to secure to all ranks their private or civil rig The theories of speculative men, our own passions and ginations, nay our very reasonings concerning political rig may miflead us. But when, in any country, every indivi enjoys fecurely what is his own, we are fure, that, in country, the distribution of political power is just what ought to be. We here judge from a clear and obvious ! and fuch facts never can deceive us. Now, all things confid ed, there is no country in the world where a man has fuch ! curity for what is his own as in Britain; an undoubted pr that, in that country, the distribution of political power is Nay, to a person that is well informed, it will appear evil upon reflexion, that any confiderable alteration with regard political rights, would there ruin that very fecurity, which might be intended to promotet.

† The two bills lately passed in Parliament, the one against "treat able and seditious parctices and attempts," and the other against "bloss meetings," take from no man any of his political rights.

ore interest of the field received from the field rece

in spe

or a fi

even a

of their must ye and resumit ever try, the within Brance just of dispendinger on a country nature, Roese. ed, not their no power, afe, or

lown o

deed the

British (

teen un

giftrace.
But,
faine to
of any fu
prehend
have bee
moft to
when th
they had
from the

berty.

Of the Rights of Men.

Besides the above mentioned classes of rights, there is more ther division of them into natural and civil. This division of rights

we intended to regulate only the use of those rights, or to prevent fuch en abuse of them as would end in the deliruction and mifery of the coun my. They are of the fame pature with the suspension of the klad Corpus Act; and the fame necessity justifies all the three, For, colors multiply, faith Dr Ferguson, Institutes of moral Philosophy, p. 270, when crimes multiply, and criminals are employed to employ the forms of love in the fables fuges of insquity, it may be necessary to after fuch defective forms. Eset if they were (what they are not, but what fome have erroneously contended they are) an infringement of our political rights; yet this in-fringement is only for the space of three years; and, therefore, it is rather a falpention than an infrargement of those rights. But it is not e-ten a falpention of them. For the exercise of those rights in thinking, in speaking, writing, meeting, and petitioning, in every right and inscent way, shout all public affairs, is not interrupted by these acts even m a fulpention, of any of our political rights, but fimply a re of them; a regulation urged by necessity hertelf, to which all things mift yield. I would beg leave here to appeal, to the heart of any fober and reasonable man, whether he would not, for any time almost, submit even to the despotism of Turkey, rather than see acted, in this couny, those scores of oppression, injustice, crucky, and blood; which, thin these sew years, have successively come upon the public stage of Brance? Yet the prevention of fuch direful calamiries was the very obof these temporary acts or regulations, and what reddered them in-dipensibly necessary. In passing them, our present Legislature acted ne only according to the necessity of circumstances, which justifies whater it requires, but according to precedents of the Legislature of this country in former times, and according to many precedents of a fimilar Rose. In that republic, when any great public danger was apprehended, not their nobility and commons in conjunction, but their fenate, & e. her nobility alone, created a chief magistrate, and conferred on him a power, which was unlimited either in extent or duration, and for the the, or abuse, of which he was not responsible even upon the laying wn of his office. The necessity of existing circumstances justified indeed their fenate in conferring fuch powers; but the superiority of the British Constitution to that of Rome, and indeed to all other republican safficutions, appears eminently in this, that our Legislature never has been under the netellity of vefting any fuch powers in the Supreme Magiftrace.

But, if our liberty be in any danger from those acts, the danger is the same to men of all ranks; for those acts respect mether the riches nor rank of any subject. And therefore, if there should be any real ground to sporthened danger from them, those very persons through the nation, who have been foremost to support the passing of them, would again be foremost to have them repealed even before the end of those three years, when their validity expires, and the acts themselves become as void a if they had never been made. In both case, such men would act only from the very same motive, a regard to their own private rights, and li-

berty.

t to e

ı fro

be

wife

Ari

H P

.

tut

in th

v hat

uch

in tel

lel

ope ot ot

in 1 e for nt i

rall, a rarkin hat en

ani t pu

ive fu bei

fons

in th The eclara emfel BEW T of p

n in

of ri rather

clarat

2 .. 1 th the ver ob 15 210

rights is adopted by fome French writers, but he remains of France in their if Daclaration of Right by Mr. Pame in his Rights of Man, part 1, p. 22. by Mer Pame in his Rights of Man, part it D 22. It within of rights has been productive of error and abfurdits caufe the language is ambiguous. For inflance, in the flatarium of rights by the National Allembly of Transche first article, men are faid, so be equal in expect of rights; said, in the fecond, one of their natural rights to be "the sight of property." And, in "the Right Man;" part 1, p. 24. "every generation" is laid. "to quar in rights to the generations that preceded it.

If it is an impropriety in language to call the right to perty a natural right, for such a right neither is a confit part of the natural of man, nor does it necessarily common with his birth. But brould we adopt this impropriety of preffion, and call property a natural right; yet, in this remets are not equal to one another. If I have but a flat and another man a thousand pounds, it is true, that my reflect my relation to my fixpence, is just the lame with the thousand pounds. But if I have no property who and if he have property, in this cate, my rights are a

The term right fometimes fig nifies the relation of a has a right to merimes in filter the propertor of an element at the propertor of an element at the propertor of an element it is graftes also the subject of a right; he when we that such an estate is the right of such a person. This improper sease of the word, though commonly used and derstood; for the estate is not strictly speaking the per right, but the subject of his right. But this double leaf the term right has led to error, and affords to artful me opportunity of speaking equivocally with an intention of ceiving and millending others.

But, in neither fense of the term right, are men " in respect of their rights;" whether we call their rights respect of their rights," whether we call their rights a ral or civil. For, first, if by rights we mean the things we mean have a right to, neither individual men, nor general men, have to equal rights. For one man, or general of men, may be very poor; and another man, or general very rich, that is, they may have very means right.

a. if by rights, we mean not the things which men havinght to, but the relation which they bear to such things. ala this, the proper feafe of the term, they are not "e respect of their rights". For one man has only a right afeli; another has not only a right to his felf, but to an late; and, therefore, their rights cannot be equal.

It has been faid, that Mr Paine never meant an equality of

roperty by the expression "equal natural right in the world," other exprellions of a fimilar kind. But a man, w equivocally ter ches the people, that they have " es his." doubtless intends to lead them into a fnare by each them to the fruttless attempt of obtaining equal possession his method has, indeed, been found very uleful in procuring e force of the people to accomplish a revolution in governes, it had this effect in England, in the times of Orom-I, and but lately in France. But when this engine of orking on the people had answered the purposes of those at employed it, it was, in both countries, laid aside as use is and even dangerous. The French Convention took the I public opportunity of discarding the idea of " an equaliof rights;" and, by the new Declaration of Rights, they are substituted in its place that "equality that counts in the w being the same to all, whether it protect, or punish;" a substituted and blessed equality indeed, but an equality, which win this hingdom, have long experienced.

The leaders in France have wholly excluded from their new celaration of Rights, the term " natural rights;" very probecause they faw, that the words, though harmless is mielves, might again be made use of to produce, not only new revolution of government against them, but a revolution in fociety?" and shele, as they affirm, in their declaration of rights, are Liberty, Equality, Security, Property. It rather remarkable, that they have omitted, in their latter relaration of rights, what, in their former, they affirmed to a "right of man," Refulance of Oppersion. By this omifn, they facilly dear what, is cases of extreme accessing, is accounted by all parties to this country. But such denis a then, and may still be pradent to their suces. Liberty y very well define as " confiding in the power does not sigure another;" and equality, a onliting in the power of when they affirm, that it " confile in the law being the

fenfe, and long the experi

for lecurity is not enjoyed, when here is elected or necessity of " action, for alluring to each his eights in then only epicyed, when there is no necessity for an action; in that ealin and tranquil leaton, mhas ever enjoys himself and his all without four of injury. A power of having such enjoyment, as it is the natural recommendation who understands his Rights is a Julier. The French have, for these has years, been talking, ling, aching, and lighting, for a form of a prominent, that afterd to each security; but, prior to the formation of last new constitution, all that they had done towards ing this most eligible end, was, by their own consession with." Where now is even the presumption, that the obtained it? Is the a the blood shed on their forficial, the slower of their country full in hecatomist. In the possession of their private and public lassing the possession of one great, but similar, antional based should check the exhaustions ambition of individuals vertasting source, in republics, of civil war, recognition all milety. Ah! they have been long and painfully ell the sleep; but they have been long and painfully ell the sleep; but they have been long and painfully ell the sleep; but they have been long and painfully ell the sleep; but they have been long and painfully ell the sleep; but they have been long and painfully ell the sleep; but they have been long and painfully ell the sleep; but they have been long and painfully ell the sleep; but they have been long and painfully ell the sleep; but they have been long and painfully ell the sleep; but they have been long and painfully ell the sleep; but they have been long and painfully ell the sleep; but they have been long and painfully ell the sleep; but they have been long and painfully ell the sleep; but they have been long and painfully ell the sleep.

and a society distrey and, he extraint of the right and an observed and the right with a society and the right with a society and propriety, are decountanted natural.

Inches extremely, and decountanted natural are the only a star are? Indexen, and which, except they are here in the right and the country and have a society are an intrinsed. It the primes of the plants and the country and the cou by abiddent sights are meant all those which called the highest confidence metrals or inviscousts of their and which may belong to their either in lociety; be out to Butterna Francisco

relative rights, those which are incidental to men at members of civil locicty, and standing in various relations to each of the property called facial rights as the former has been called property called facial rights as the former has been called the have been expeciented at follows individuals, or michalled with one another. But, perhaps, it may be proper, such as possible, to avoid this made of expection not one it refers to a mere supposit on, but because, being any it may lead to dangerous errors. We will, there are, in what follows, call those rights which belong to men alidered as unconnected individuals, while rights; and those as belong to them as members of civil forcesy, relative or.

And we will contentwom briefly to note and structures forts, and then labyout a few words with regard to the last of men in general. Let us begin with their absolute.

The first of the absolute rights of men, that I would take see of, is the general right which may be properly enough, actled by the Rights which every man has to himself a composed of the rights which every man has to the limbs a composed of the rights which every man has to the limbs a members of the body to the faculties and talents of the set, and to the use of both. There rights are pertually they substitute the perfon. They are original for they contains with his californes. They are natural, for they are in the conflitments of his nature, and the immediate gift of Author of nature; and together with the rights of desce, and of natural liberty, and, in certain cases, the right command, they are, perhaps, the only rights which, with the and propriety, are denominated natural.

nee, and of natural liberty, and, in certain cales, the right command, they are, perhaps, the only rights which, with alty and propriety, are denominated natural.

These personal, original, or natural, rights, are the only ights, that are "inherent," and which, except they are formed by the crimes of the person, " cannot be annihilated." hey are also "inhierable." If the person is a member of nil fociety, he is a part of it, and therefore cannot alignate the helonge to the whole? Ith he is a following monomical inhibitions. Dut they are shirtly inhibiting the cause they are shirtly inhibiting the cause they are shirtly inhibiting the description of the liberty words, when it is a fall which he could not a right of the highest words, were they also allowed or, in other words, were the part of graded year time him.

[·] Blackstone's Commentaries.

he a flavor. Every man is a charge intrulted to himself he Constant and his duty (profer the Divice affiltance and division his to do good, to cultivate his nature (which is charge) and noth effectually cultivated in doing good) and thus to fo his Great Maler, and become qualified for a higher and ter flate of existence. But as no man can have two man whose commands may contradict one another, no man we be at liberty thus to perform his duty, and answer the imtion of his creation, were he the property, and under the folute command, of another. The personal rights of an therefore, are inalienable. In other words, men cannot

fire he bought and fold, or become flaves.

If, however, fome men are already flaves, the fudden emergation of them might, in certain cales, be, in every sufpe more detrimental both to themselves and others, than a stronger of their slavery till such time as, by proper insigns, they have become enpable of the innexat enjoyment a berty. It may happen also, it has been said, that, in the countries, men may be such flaves, or in such wresch that purchasing them to be flaves in agother country, and ing them in happier circumflances, is doing them no in ing them in happier circumflances, is doing them no injustic is true, that placing men in happier circumflances, which they are frequent, or flaves, is doing them a length. But a realoning, as applicable to the negroes in the Well India illustration. depends on a thorough investigation and comparison of for which facts I must canfels I have not a competent kn ledge, and for which investigation and comparison there is here room, I content myfelf, therefore, with concluding general from the preceding observations, that as men are free, they ought always to remain for that is, mafters of the felves and of all their innocent actions of the sale of the sale

Any perion may have a right of polletion to any the which is neither the property of any other, nor occupied any other, " It is occupancy alone, which conflitutes right of possession; and occupancy is such a relation person to a thing, that no other can use the same this without detriment or molestation to the occupier. In manner, a person may occupy the ground on which he l the water he drinks, the air he breathes, the light that f upon him, the tract of the fea on which he fails; but no

Leanning to tomper f

who could be cared, the parting tream, the atmosphere, of the occurre. We can any performance a right of porfession they to the property of aportion, or to what is are all this to be becomed by another; for he both case, he was notified that possess are the property of aportions at the post against that the performance, indeed of sequiring a right, which he has the country that the head of sequiring a right, which he has the country the right.

f of the latest the latest tenth latest tent

eli

ce i

are t

ight.

ites

In the late the

The law of preferricist, by which, he who, low the, par-eller any subject for forty years, acquires right of proper, or it, is not risw of nature, but of convention. By the wolf nature, the right of possession to any subject, ceases with the use of it. But, in general, tribes, or colonies of mem nerginally to have acquired their rights to property in land free originally to have acquired their rights to property in had by alle and mount agreement. When a tribe migrates to any moccupied place with their flocks and heeds, they have a might to feed them in common. Dut as fach common rights are rife to contention, as happened between the herdinen of Abraham and Lot, it would not be long before the different families composing the tribe, would agree to affigu to every man a certain portion of the common land as his own property. It was in this way, that Jacob and Laban fet up a palar and beap of lines, as a march of limit between them, that the one mount not put be sevend in the men and their. When the one mount up part beyond it to have the other. Whe the whole, or any part, of a country is thus pareelled out along the families of a tribe, the head of each bandly acquire wright to his portion. His cartle allo are his property, Band is some measure the frants of his labour; and the foll is since flowted by their manure. He builds its liorsted by their manure. He builds alfo, we will fin the spot affigued him? he incloses the whole, or part of and improved it in various ways. And thus occupancy, common consent, and labany, give him the right of property what, at the fettlement of the tribe, to had only the rig pollefling in common with others. It was certainly former this manner that the brightal proprietors of the differ parts of the earth adquired a right to property in land, that a right either to ule, for alienate it, excludes of the right of other mentals of conscious of the right of other mentals of conscious of the right of the right.

But as the right of Primogeniture in a certain degree at the the right of Primogeniture in a certain degree at the the right of Primogeniture in a certain degree at the the right of the the right of the lifting on. In this would have the greatest the outside that the greatest are outside that the greatest are outside the right of the right o

portion and the chiefs of families greater portions than the inferior braceles. The right alfo of alienating their pro ty, combined with the various conduct of men and the reign providence of God (by both of which orieman berrich, and another poor) would make this original distino more unequal. From all which we may perceive how, by a expects inditution of God and his over-suling providence, a the different forts of conduct of different men, the great inequality with regard to property, may eventually take pla-

3.40

hera rights

It

it, th

ny so ly fpe mand

Ex

ad if

1.70

the fu

indein

mand

right.

colstra

But a

:Db

ffe i

Batun

and la and is

to be

EROLI

Property ages as saids availed of aggorg od and visin

As every man has an exclusive right to himfelf, so he has exclusive right to his property. If he had not an exclusive at there either would be no right, or another man, or may his berrof men, would have the fame right; and thus all thin would be in common. But the confequence of this would be that the idle and prodigal would confume the property of industrious and frugal; and this community of goods wou immediately produce idleness, intemperance, contention, as

all nice, confusion, and misery.

in certain cales. Every man considered apart and by himself, on as unconne ed with every other man, has a " differentionary power" eith totalienate his property, on to retain, and ufe it in every nocent way. He has, by the supposition received no favo from any other, he is under no obligation to him, he is accountable to him. He has indeed no diferetionary por over his property with regard to God; he is accountable him for the use of all: But with regard to man, such a dile tionary power conflitutes the very notion of a right to protype " le it not lawful for me to do what I will with my awal expresses the fense of all mankind with regard to the fig which a man has to the innocent use of his property Ent children understand and maintain the difference between "mis to vicious as it be milerable for the whole of thenidthen

That every man should have an exclusive right to himla and his own, is analogous to the other diffatitions of mate and providence. The different parts of the creation like t individuals of the human race, are related to out another or together make one whole; but every different part line its or place, its own power, and, as it were, its amn office ind right Every planet has its own orbit, every animal its own dense very tree its own flation, and every atom of matter its own

pofitions different

1:2

n find y the particular nt ft na un night ning d he

toul

a vious

MON

leit

ile

op

Eres

mie

ceth

itions

contain; in which they exist, and respectively perform the often feet for which their Creator had desired them: And, in the informer manner, every man, thought in reality interest respectively man, thought in reality interest respectively man, the same had a proper rights to the interest and in the proper rights to the interest respectively his fellow creatures, and yet to more in an arbitrary cultural himselfs of bis has a certain distance in the confound the day rights of property, or to render them common, would be to unique the world into Chaot, at a state of the world into Chaot, at a state of the state of the world into Chaot, at a state of the state of the world into Chaot, at a state of the state of the world into Chaot, at a state of the state of the world into Chaot, at a state of the world into Chaot, at a state of the state of

It may here be proper to observe, that, as men are the inembers of society, they have not the right to the whole of that property, which they would have a right to, were they could of society. They owe to the society, to which they bear long, for the proteching and other benefits they receive from a in, that equitable portion of their property, which is needless to its supports and this perion is not, properly and dricked by speaking, their property, but the property of the society of a Another of the absolute right of men is a right to come it mand in certain cases.

Every man sat of forety has an original or natural right to command any man toubstain for defits from injuries or wrongs found in minjury is done, be may command the sujurious to rephires in. For justice entitles the injuries to be indemnified, and, by on the imposition, there is no magistrate to inforce his claim to dissimilination. The parent also has a natural right to comoo mand the obedience of the infant child in all things that are night. This right is sonded in parental duty, in the ignor made and inexperience of children during their infancy, and in the area, in which they confequently stand of direction and controlly flow without the exercise of this leight, on the parent of the parent, children, by acting ignorantly and withilly, would, in all cases, suffer much miscales, and frequently before the foreign of the parent confers, when the child has arrived at the years of full maturity, or become major.

The right of the bulband to command the obedience of the site in things that are right and resionable, is also founded in source, and configured by the precept all food and the obliges and have of all maximum. But how farsthin right may extendig agh in what particular cafes it may be used, it does not appear to be determinable, by any particular rule, it is has varied in

different

different commerces and agest of the world, and feems to be pullified partly by earlion, and partly by positive law. Te in been observed, however, that the natural authority of the feis is balanced by the perfusive qualities of whe other and that where this balance prevails in the family. It is not affect who has the fupreme command. The relative add to be Except in the above mentioned cases, no person has a manner.

Except in the above mentioned cafes, no perform has a new right to command another. The right of the materitor obedience of their region of the right of the magniferate also to the obedience of their agreement. The right of the magniferate also to the obedience of this two founded in nature, and indipentibly recelling the welfare of men, is yet regulated by convention. The vill fociety, the right of commanding reducts of wrongs below to the magniferate. If any individual were permitted to this right in his own caute, the foreity would, in fo far, diffolved; and the liberty and feourity of all definitions.

the welfare of men, is yet regulated by convention. The vil fociety, the right of commanding redrefs of wrongs below to the magistrate. If any individual were permitted to this right in his own cause, the fociety would, for so say, another of the absolute rights of ones is Liberty, as it respects God and confesence, is the freedom setting in every innocent way. As it respects incu, it as a defined freedom for a man to nic himfelf, his property, all his rights in every way not injurious to others. It is the very effence of this latter fort of liberty, that a man how hive, with regard to man, a different oney power both manifelf and all his property. But he has no fact power are regard to God, from whom he receives all, whose fleward is, and to whom he is answerable.

ein ci ein (

ans d altre ange ant o

rts.

f pati gove When

eft to

n mo

ioni a sour to al par narely hat ar lot no father bem, i

erion Libe

Society is ignorant of the manner, in which the perloand real rights of any of its members frould be used to all similarly and upon the whole, to concribite to the good of for that is the province of God alone) yet, if it did his this merringly, it has no right to compel men to die their this in that precise way. It has a right to compel every member to perform his duty, and to abilish from wrongs; and we duty and wrong are ought to be determined by the laws; is the happiness of a Briton, that he hise is a country, which the duties of the fubject, and the wrongs he may country, which makes by the laws. In this happy country, all thome are lawful, but those that we forbidden by fone law, thing is a civil duty which is not required by the laws, nothing a civil-injury but what they furbid. The duties measure diffributed with the greatest equality; and to fice the right of private property, that the united force of

shold fociety never, i buden in to pretence whatever, retaling, faminity of its members say thing without giving him the miralism of the members and the state of the said to be called used.

But though fociety cannot compel its members to use all their rights in that precise way, which may contribute so the god of the whole; yet he who abuse any of his rights either prioral, or real, will generally such he happine hop the abuse apportionally diminished. Though he may escape the punishment of human laws, in cases of such abuse as they are meconsteat to punish; yet the justice of God pursues him through all the ways of life, meets him at every turn, and punishes the pulition of his laws even in the abuse of his gifts.

Perfect Liberty confids in acting, not according to the polic of passion and delire, which is but a part of our aslered so a conditution; in acting in fach a granner, that the whole of our netions shall be regulated by reason and conscience. his the liberty of doing all that is right, and no wrea to ferre God, whose service is " perfect liberty." This is he fort of liberty, which in feripture is called the Glerious ty of the Sam of God, and which is enjoyed by men in proution as they approach, in point of moral recticule, to the uge of that Great and Good Being who conferent. This nof liberty, and no others if we confider the different fnature, or natural liberty. It is of a moral kind, and anaous to that natural liberty with which we think and move. When the mind is in a flate of foundwell and tranquillity, and eff to its own operations, it is said to be free, when the lungs one easily by the infpiration and expiration of the air, the tion is faid to breathe freely; when the joints and mufeles the arm more without any impediment, the person is said more his arm with freedom's and in the fame manner hen a man acts according to the rules of reason and soncience, then, and only then, is he free. All his other noare not properly free, but reffrained in a manner apalow to the reftraints incident to the bodily frame; The moa part of man has its rules of action; as well as those that are rely natural; and it is only his actions that are morally great tare morally free. . Men, therefore, baye, a right to use not to abuse, their personal and real rights. The abuse their rights is licentiousness, the innocent and moral use of The mis true Liberty.

thi

0 2

d is

irr

The right to this lort of liberty is founded in the rights person and property. For if a man had not a liberty of ex-surely using these in every innocent way as he sees meet could not be said to possels or enjoy them. His sight to a would not be exclusive of the right of every other man, w is implied in the very potion of a right; that is, in other wo

is implied in the very notion of a right; that is, in other we he would have no right to them.

In civil fociety, the actions of men are in part refurmibut, under good government, their liberty is not thereby fringed, but in fact produced, or reshized. "Natural lity is not impaired, as fometimes supposed, by political itutions; but ower its existence to political institutions, as impaired only by usurpations and wrongs." There we be no liberty without some degree of restraint; and it is the necessary restraints laid upon all, that make all free.

6. Another of the absolute rights of men is Security. Security is a certain tranquillity of mind arising from considence which a person has, that neither his person, appropriately, nor are of his other rights, are in any danger.

property, nor any of his other rights, are in any danger. T property, nor any of his other rights, are in any danger, I right to fecurity is founded in the rights a man has to his felf, his possession, property, and liberty. For, without eurity, there could be no enjoyment either of personal, real rights; and, without the objoyment of these rights, the value would, in all cases, he much diminished, and in some annihilated. A person who is apprehensive of violence belonseed to his life, or of being deprived of his possessions, p perty, or liberty, inflead of receiving enjoyment, fuffor p jury f and he has a right to he placed in a fituation, in we he may he free from all apprehention of injury, that is, he ght to lecuity

ecurity, that choice bleffing, on never be enjoyed ! world, can any person of restection enjoy it in so very his degree as under that of Britain. There, the hillows of ocean rife up against every invader; and the laws spread fore every inhabitant a shield, which protects him not o from the attacks, but even from the threatenings of every

Another of the absolute rights of men is the right. Defenge. Every

Tier

Every man, though he should not be a member of any sivilately, has a right of defending himself, his property, and general all his rights against every other. If no injury is not offered, or apprehended, to his gights, there is no coun for defending them; but if there should, "he may all himself, and may prove effectival". By the law of nature, he was use those means only, that are necessary, for all unnecessary violence in defending a man's right is unjustifiable and instant, and renders even the defender of his rights sulpable, individual, whole rights are either invaded, or in danger being invaded, helice he proceed to force in his own desire, ought to the every other probable means to secure them, ought to endeavour to perfuade the aggressor to defit in singuiting him; he may amuse him, or ale stratagem, to ske him defit; and if he is obliged to ale force in the maining of what is his own, he has a right to tile it just so far it necessary, but no farther. If he go beyond what is nearly, the aggressor and he then shange places; and he, in fary, the aggreffor and he then shange places; and he, in

runn, becomes the agglestor.
The right of defence is founded in the right which e founded has to himfelf and his own. If there were no da her offered, or apprehended, to these, the right of defuld not exill, because it would not be necessary; for excellity of using this right, that creates it. A market with understanding and benezoleut beart, will the and rais by many petty injuries, before he process ild not exift, be the means of defence, whatever they are, he will be the means of defence, whatever they are, he will use of the means of defence, whatever they are, he will use to maintain his own rights, not to injure the person, he hom they are in danger. "A person is more enbeerne

LQ

ghs Every

the his condition in any other respect."

In civil lociety, she right which every individual out of it is to defend himselfound his rights, is sufted in the magithe to defend himfeli and his rights, is suffed in the mighter, whose vollee it is to sliord him protection. Yet there are effectively used in the might of defence; as when an attack is made on his pitton, or property; and, in general, when the arm of civil The right to this fort of liberty is founded in the rights as person and property. For if a man had not a liberty of exclusively using these in every innocent way as he sees meet, by could not be said to possess or enjoy them. His right to them would not be exclusive of the right of every other man, which is implied in the very notion of a right; that is, in other words, he would have no right to them.

In civil fociety, the actions of men are in part referained, but, under good government, their liberty is not thereby infringed, but in fact produced, or realized. "Natural liberty is not impaired, as formetimes supposed, by political institutions; but ower its existence to political institutions, and impaired only by usurpations and wrongs." There would be no liberty without some degree of restraint; and it is only the necessary restraints laid upon all, that make all free.

6. Another of the absolute rights of men is Security.

Security is a certain tranquillity of mind arifing from the confidence which a person has, that neither his person, nor property, nor any of his other rights, are in any danger. The right to security is sounded in the rights a man has to himself, his possession, property, and liberty. For, without security, there could be no enjoyment either of personal, or real rights; and, without the enjoyment of these rights, their value would, in all cases, he much diminished, and in some, annihilated. A person who is apprehensive of violence being offered to his life, or of being deprived of his possession, property, or liberty, instead of receiving enjoyment, suffers pain. The person, or persons, who cause that pain, do him a real injury; and he has a right to be placed in a fituation, in which he may he free from all apprehension of injury, that is, he has a right to security

Security, that choice bleffing, can never be enjoyed but under government; and under no government in the whole world, can any perfon of reflection enjoy it in fo very high a degree as under that of Britain. There, the billows of the ocean rife up against every invader; and the laws spread before every inbabitant a shield, which protects him not only from the attacks, but even from the threatenings of every or

ther.

Defence.

it the appointe lights of their is the light of

Every

in ge

fary,

may fary jurio An i

of b

frace

He

make

taini

RB 18

cella

his t

perfe

eithe

coul

the f

an c

moc

mak

will

bda

by to

who

fays

exer

1

fira

STE

mate

ghte se

exclu-

ct, h

word

sined

by in

libe

inft

woul

4.65

n the

nor

The

him

nt fe-

their

ome

cing

pro-

pain.

l in-

bich

has

but

hole

sha

ally

t of

cry-

Prory

Every man, though he should not be a member of any civil ociety, has a right of defending himfelf, his property, and in general all his rights against every other. If no injury is other offered, or apprehended, to his rights, there is no ocmion for defending them; but if there should, " he may use heh means to defend them, and obtain fecurity, as are necesfary, and may prove effectual" By the law of nature, he may use those means only, that are necessary; for all unnecesviolence in defending a man's right is unjutifiable and ininnous, and renders even the defender of his rights culpable. An individual, whose rights are either invaded, or in danger of being invaded, before he proceed to force in his own defence, ought to use every other probable means to secure them. He ought to endeavour to perfuade the aggreffor to defit from injuring him; he may amufe him, or use stratagem, to make him defift; and if he is obliged to use force in the maintaining of what is his own, he has a right to use it just so far as is necessary, but no farther. If he go beyond what is necellary, the aggreffor and he then shange places; and he, in his turn, becomes the aggreffor.

The right of defence is founded in the right which every perion has to himself and his own. If there were no danger either offered, or apprehended, to these, the right of defence could not exist, because it would not be necessary; for it is the necessary of using this right, that creates it. A man of an extensive understanding and benevolent heart, will bear much, and pass by many petty injuries, before he proceed to make use of it. When he is under a necessary of using it, he will do it with a certain degree, of reluctance and with regret; and the means of desence, whatever they are, he will use only to maintain his own rights, not to injure the person, by whom they are in danger. "A person is more concerned, says a great philosopher of the present age, to maintain and exercise the affections of a bencheent mind, than he is to pre-

ferve his condition in any other respect ."

In civil fociety, the right which every individual out of it has to defend himfelf and his rights, is refled in the magilitate, whose office it is to assord, him protection. Yet there are cases even under government, in which a man may use his natural right of desence; as when an attack is made on his person, or property; and, in general, when the arm of civil prover

[.] Dr Adam Fergufon.

aug.

le diseque fit d'aid a grirus a tata min broits, tonnes raung,

the abloute rights of men, that is, the rights which, in a men civil way, and meadure, may belong to men civil as member of civil logicy, or as living following monometral introducts in high flate has been exposed of the flate of nature.

Their perfunal rights, their rights to liberty; featurity, defence, are natural rights, because all of them are either parts of their nature, or belong to it. They are, therefore impreferiptible, indefeatible, and inationable?" None the other rights of men are "unalimable." Possession to Property may be "alignated." Life and Liberty may be the liberty may be a tree of the foresteds? and Death, the manual punishment of time crimes, together with the exhibits of the criminal to the prefer world, terminates all his rights.

In civil lociety, the absolute rights of men, in local they can have a real existencin it, are commonly expre by the terms, Life, Liberty, and Property 4 and fometic by the fingle word " Liberties," used to figuify not only foual liberty, but the unreflyained innocent use of every ticle in a person's state. The descape of these rights, or berties, cogether with the preservation and improvement the virtue and happiness of men (so far as that can be de by human institutions is, or aught to be, the object of governments, as it certainly is the only rational and just e of all civil affociations: And by the proportion in which the end is obtained, and not by any certain diffribution of pow effice, or political privilege, are we to judge of the goods of any government. If it were possible, that is could be tained in a high degree, under the government of a defact, government would be good; If not, it would be had, thoug every member of the lociety were, if puffile, not in me but in reality, a Sovereign.

Let the reader, who is acquainted with ancient and mod-

Let the reader, who is acquainted with ancient and moder governments, now paufe, it he plentes, and reflect whether in any government, monarchical, or republican, that sales is, or ever was, the Rights of Man are lectured by to through the permanent femores, as in the Government of British with the The above account of the abbullate rights of men will fare

The above account of the abiquite rights of men will fare to guard the reader against the danger of those lucker require cal, and dangerous expressions in "the Rights of Man," and the

unily

his i

lghi

he f

ren

Mi

ven i

im pr der () ebie

de It

of p

firffi

deen the

of t

and

Bergi

erida mene

re elt

verefi

yist the

ngida Ugida Ugida

spre

neti

nly p

ult e

ch th

powe

ydod

hough

noden tether

Selle

AN AN

rfip for

Si Lin

unity

alty-desequality of mapped to the legual rights of man, 2000 the sing all of one degree," "their natural right in the world g of the same kind the Is by thefe expressions, Mr Paine mans, shart men are equal as to their perional; or original ason, that men are equal as to their perional; or original ights, he affirms a truth; if he means, that their right to suborey is equal, he affirms a fall-thood: And though it has see maintained, that this was not his meaning; yet? There firm, shat though he has not directly expressed this meaning, what though he has not directly expressed this meaning, what yet infrauling than this is confiferntly be drawn from his words. For, feveral wikwooth in France and England, have formetimes called the abdute rights of men (of which property is one) their rights! thate of sature, or their natural rights; the National Affects of France, in their "Declaration of Rights," plant ated property among the *natural* rights of man; and as Me amo has plainly followed those writers who contantly refer to a ideal Rate of nature, and the French in particular, in their featiments, it is fair to suppole, that, when he use ords with them, he uses them in the same sense. Now in this fende of the word natural rights, there is no " equality of night" amongst men, nor is their natural rights in the world, "whenfoever they came into it," either of the fame kind or the fame in number. Por one may him his personal rights erely; another has there rights, a right to property, and, erhaps, also a right to possession. And if a difference of age, rength, understanding, virtue, and external pulleffions, create diffunctions or degrees, they are not " all of one degree" even in what has been termed the flate of nature. It is a glacing impropriety in language, and even a contradiction in terms, to peak of "the unity of man" in that flate, in which, by the very improfition, they exist as unconnected individuals. It is true, that Mr Paine endeavours to introduce equality

It is true, that Mr Paine endeavours to introduce equality of property, or rather to excite in the brealts of the poor a rain expectation of it, with very much art, but not with art fufficient for his purpose. He hews his picture of Equality by halves. He would have us fee that part of it, where it keems as angel of light; but the veil, which he throws over the clover feet, is too thin to conceal them. Like the flatue of the god Janus, it is double faced. With the one tongue, it flatters the poor with hopes of an equalization of property; and with the other it would left the rich into fecurity. He speaks equivocally, and therefore dangeroully. His ambiguous

ous expressions are fitted to excite in the minds of the poor, in expectation of an equal share of the property of the vie whilft the rich themselves being accustomed to such expression

used in a certain fense, are not to feel less alarm.

Another author, more philosophic in appearance, but reality less artful, than Mr Paine, though most probably equ ly ill-disposed, has spoken plainly out. With a boldness and e front y, that would become a Cariline, to fliew us the pretent ed beauty of Equality, he plucks off the covering, wi which Paine would have concealed its real deformity. The author, whose works have been countenanced by certain me in this country, openly condemns inequality of property; et deavours, with an affected philanthropy, to thew the advan tages that would arife from an equal distribution of riches and warns the rich of their danger, thould they attempt to refift fuch distribution. But the kingdom is roused by the doctrines of these men, and in arms against their deligns They have themselves sounded the alarm +.

and the state of the sale

1

trib

And chie righ circ

rule:

fuch

the

or ti T bos 9.7

then and a

fhall

T

berh

ordir

afferi

what

that,

hwa

more

muel

that:

toith

felve

trucy Blog o

of lis

buy to them to private individuals, that those where subbuilde and she a configuration for a large strategy when the property of

Of Relative Rights, and of Duties, usvan and sw Water byten empedical in Vi

COUNTRYMEN, of Justice househoup in tolico machaches A 8 the riches and firength of any fociety are the aggregate of the riches and ftrength of its members, fo its rights are the fum of the rights of all the individuals that compole it. But as, in civil fociety, there must be legislators, magiffrates, and fubjects, from their relative fituation, one individual may have more, and another less, than their absolute rights, or those rights which they would have, were they out of fociety, or not members of it. The legislator acquires a right to enact laws for the whole fociety; and the magistrate, a right to execute them; rights which do not belong to any men out of fociety: And the fubject gives up, except in cale of necessity, the being judge in his own cause, and such as damps acting such town of arevery man has a certain was

An Enquiry into Political Juffice, by William Godwier † Idem towards the end.

THE GOLD TO STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

vield

reffidi

but in

equal.

retend

with

The

y; es

iches

t to re

y the

o has

2- DHO

1 197911

Le bos

fo in

COM

s, mar

indis

folute

Y OUL

ares &

Arates

any

cales

itable

PRIA 10

equitable portion of his fervice and property, as the fafety and good of the flate may require; all of which, out of fociety, are the rights of the individual; but which, in fociety, are the rights of the flate.

In civil fociety, every member, whatever be his place, connibutes, or pught to contribute, in some way, something to
the common good; and what remains behind, is his own;
And this he does, not merely for the sake of the society, but
chiefly for his own safety and happinels. As the political
rights of every society ought to vary with the character and
treconstances of its members, it is impossible to lay down
rules, which will answer in all cases, with regard to what, in
such society, one man ought to sequire, and another surrender. Reason must here judge, after all things are impartially,
calmly, and maturely considered. But it may not be here
improper, briefly to state what, in general, are the rights of
the different members considered in their different relations,
or the relative rights of men.

These are the rights of the Legislator, of the Magistrate, and of the Subject.

The rights of legislators are not only those which belong to them as private individuals, but those which authorize them to make tuch laws, as shall conduce to the public good; and also a compensation for their public service, whether they shall demand such compensation, or not.

That legislators have a right to enact good laws, has never, perhaps, been called in queltion, except by that very extraordinary writer of the prefent times, William Godwin, who effects, " that legislation is not un affair of human compe tence;"" that is, in other words, that no description of m whatever have a right to enact any laws whatever. It is true that; in making laws, men have no right to depart from the hwe of God, of natures and of reason. For reason, or, more properly speaking. God is the universal legislator, in as much as he gives laws to all nations. But it is equally true, that men have a right to enact such laws as are conformble to the Divine law, such as we right and reasonable in them felves, and conducive to human happiness. Nay it is farther mey that men, whether they will, or not, are under a neceshis of enacting fuch laws. For every man has a certain way of living, or rule of hie, frequently varied indeed, but fill

[.] Godwin's Enquiry; v. 1. p. 153.

a rule whillf it lasts; and his way or rule of life is his law; but it is only a good way of life that can render him happy. Sering, therefore, that all men must have a certain way of living, or certain rules or laws of life; and seeing that mamuel lead a good life, if they would lead a happy one, that is intil have good rules or laws of his; they have not only a right to enact good laws, but they are under an indispensible need-

part Sent

airV

Got

deve

25.77

mile

diwi

beffa

the i

-OWD

peop

Ba A

the

mus

and

not,

dalic

11 2

the

idwa

Shat

mai

Jeom

port

yet lag

fity of doing it in order to their own happinels.

This is doing only what the supreme Legislator of hear and earth has done, and what he still continues; and, in this men are " followers of God." In this, they use only the right, and perform an indispensible duty. In mechanics, me fludy the laws of nature, and from them deduce rules for the construction of all machines, and in morals and politics, the Rudy the will of God (which is the lovereign law of nature and from that, they deduce rules and laws for their private and public conduct. In both they do the fame thing. I both, they are but the interpreters of the will of God. 14 h both, they do not, properly speaking, make laws, but interpret, and apply to particular cafes, those laws which are ready made by God, and give them an additional fanction which, in the present state of human nature, is absolutely a ceffary to their being obeyed. And, in this fense of make laws, if we except the necessary function of men, " legista on," or the making of laws, " is not an affair of human co petence;" for all the laws of men are, in this fenfe, alrea ordained by God; and the duty of men is, by the fludy of the word and works of God, to discover and apply them. As now we discover, that the sum of what this author says is this that God being the maker of all good laws, men have no righ to alter them, a truth which was so well known before a were born, as it will be when we shall be dead.

But to fay, " that legislation is not an affair of human competence," or that men have no right to make laws, is, in every other fenfe of the words, falfe. This manner of speaking is paradoxical, infidious, and very dangerous; and can be intended for nothing else, but to shake men's minds loose from all the just and lawful authority of their country, by making them believe, that men have no right to bind them by any laws whatever. But though the making of the eternal laws of Religion and Morality does not belong to men, but is the work of God, in the same manner that all the laws of nature are;

W t but

. See

way w

that is

arigh

heavon

in this

the

s, me

or th

30/2

- 11 B

inter

are a

Ation ely ne

gidail

1 00 Iread

of th

An

s this

righ

TC 480

uman

16, 10 peak

an be

from

akin

laws f Re

work

ares

yet

, th aturi

Dec

at m

get the later preting of his laws, and the applying of them to africular cales, that is, the making of laws, in the comfente of the words, in the right and duty of men; a right which they must use, a duty with which they cannot dispense. Po though no law almost need be made for a rightens ment, who is almost all cases, will be both a law and the fanction of that hw to himfelf; yet laws must be made for wicked men for to liveless and disobedient, for the ungodly and for summers, for unby and profame and all support for rather

Without politive law, that is, the application of the law of God and nature to certain particular cales, fuch men among others, would be as wolves among theep, and might bite and sevour them at their pleasures. The most general laws of God and nature, with regard to the actions of men, are that, in dicules, we fliguld abitain from what is wrong, and do wh arright. But it is eafy to fee what a feens of wickedness and milery the world would foon be, were it not for those politive diws, which define what right and wrong are in particula cales, and which have ever been found, in some measure, ne beffary to reltrain the wickedness of men. Though God wi the univerfal legislator to the Ifraelites as well as to all others sions; yet knowing how apt men are to milinterpret and milpoly his general laws, and what contention and mifery would ande, if every man were left to interpret and apply them in his own cafe, he was pleafed to give to that choice neaple, particolor laws both of a political and civil nature; and, if that people needed fuch laws, can sny other nation want them! Upon the whole. though men can neither make, nor alter,

the laws of God and natures, yet they can, and ought, and mill, make fuch politive laws as cooduce to their own fafety and happineles and to fay, that they either cannot, or nught not, is, in the warmont and ordinary lenfe of the words, as

dalle as it is in fidinus and dangerous.

in 2. In civilifociety, the magiffrate has, not only his rights is a private individual, but all those which belong to him in the capacity of a magiltrate; and thefe are all those things which may qualify him for the proper exercise of his off that is, for defending the flate from external danger, the maintaining of internal peace and tranquillity, and the partial diffribution of judices. His has, therefore, a right of commanding the fubject as the laws direct; a right to luch a portion of the fervice and property of the subject as the ne-E 3 cellity

ridit nific but '

or to

eceiv

li tho

in h heir t

But it

ale the

e affe plea whole

b

leafed

elign ein,

3012

lt is sch de produc

rain ki

isan

Niy

de from

beco

to me

ceffity of the flate may require; a right to that maintenance which is faired to his dignity; a right to respect and honous and even to all those ensigns of magistracy, and all that state which, with other things, may be necessary to impress the subject with such sentiments. All these things are necessary to hipport him in the discharge of his data. fublest with such tentiments. The there to hipport him in the discharge of his duty, and therefore they are his rights. For wontever is necessary to qualify he for the proper exercise of his office, all that, and nothing

more, or lefa, is his right, as a magiltrate.

Me Paine tells us " that government of itself (that is government) has no rights?" and Mr Godwin maintains, " the princes and magifirates have no rights," that they are altog

When these men speak so, they either err, or, what is muc more pobable, designedly utter a salsehood. If magnitude are seen, they certainly have the rights of men in the cap-city of private individuals; and as they are magnitudes, the have also their rights as fuch; which rights have, in general heen but now mentioned. If men were perfect (which is very there would probably be little or no use for human govern ment; but as men are full imperfect, and frequently very vitions, there is still need of this fort of government, which fome have affectedly called " formal government," as if a good human government, like the divine, were not frielly formal. But if human government be necessary, laws are neceffary: If laws be necessary, magistrates (that is, men who shall be, in some fort, answerable to the public for their offi-cial conduct) are necessary to execute the laws: And it magifirates are necessary to execute the laws, it is not only their right to execute them, it is also their duty. What would the world be, if we had no government, no restraint upon the actions of fome men, that is, no laws, and no magiltrates to execute the laws? A hell, in which the wicked would! constantly the termentors of the righteous. Kings and Princes, therefore, and all magistrates, have a right, a necessary right to execute the laws; and the impartial execution of them is a duty which they owe to Almighty God, to their Subjects, and to themselves, becable a deal of

For some time past, it has been usual with some men, in susibire has we experience to a such manowhile approved to ?

Rights of Man, part 2, page 26. Godwin's Erquiry, wob 1. P. 106. to me as when I am beit dreiles.

rideiffe and decry all pomp and flate, all iplendous and magnificence, all official dreis, and all those catigns of majetty, of
dignity, and of rank, which may indeed become excelling,
but which, in a certain jult degree, are necessary to produce,
of to preserve, to magistrates, that respect and authority,
which men in all ages and nations, have judged necessary for
the execution of the laws. But when those men act so, in is
not certainly in the character of philosophers, in the old and
received sense of the word. Their consequences are not information. received fense of the word. Their opinions are not inferences from facts; and when they endeavour to thake off what they all their prejudices, they endeavour to shake off their na-

It is true, it is an old practice to aid the legal and natural prity of the magistrate, by giving him a certain degree in plendour and state in his dress, in his attendances in the affigued him in public, and in the entigue of magistracy, arried before him; but this practice may be a very good one withflanding of its age. It is now a long time, fince mene been accultomed to fee with their eyes, and walk with heir legs; and fill they find thefe cufloms very convenients But it is not more natural, not more necessary, for men tothe their eyes and legs for thele purpoles, than for them, tout s fleeted with certain appearances. When an illiterate man to ole appearance of any object, it is not because he is illitered, but because he is a man. The greatest philosopher is hafed, or awed, in the fame manner: Nor is it at all the sign of philosophy to prevent these effects, but to regulate and to make them to us what the author of nature in a maded they should be. And as all those external things or which

It is pleafant to hear frame men, with an airrof, great new light and and depth of understanding, afferbe that respect for one fifteen, which is produced by the decemey of their official deels, to projectes, which is indice they mean an opinion of any thing, prior to any experience, or main knowledge, of it (which feems to be the proper sense of the word). main anowacy, of it (which recent to be the proper lenfe of the word)
main caufer. But it is a great prejudice, that it uniformly arises from
main caufer. But it is a great prejudice to think, that maked majatty
like to respected as that which is adorned in a becoming manner; for dust
is an opinion formed prior to any experience or knowledge to support !

Nay, it is most than a prejudice, at is an error, if we may at all
te domn what we experience. Is a rich man, whose appearance is

d and far beneath his flation, as much respected as he whose appears becomes it's " My land Med," faye the Speciator, " never bows fo to me as when I am best dressad."

og

ilett

e newho

nagu d the

e aces to ld be Prin. flar

n of their n, vin

Bos I

rob I

31E 01 F

which are, hid have ever almost, in four degree, been, and to give that dignity and authority to the magificate, which is needlary to their in order to the due and imparish execution of the laws, ferve the purpose, in tome measure, by executing in a certain degree, feature the of respect in the subject of them, is not blameable, but, in a high degree, whe and laidable relieves to their expensivide into the continuous has whose imaginations, from some insurant, or accordental, can wre habitually disposed to differe most things they contemplant a difference of the mind which frequently taked relieves a discalar of the hearth.

God

Win.

them or pr

CABBO

enford bryed

ered

me I

The

gard

ad all

et is

that t

at re

My fo

and a st

TIL W

ble po lade

When we use them, we act only as mon, that is, according to our nature; but the measure in which they are to be blad depends on various causes. In general, the more numerous the people, and the greater the inequality among a them; the greater, all other things being equal, cought to be the full door, the flate, the majesty, of its supreme magistrate. Chin, an empire the most populous, and among at the most anequal as to its subjects, of any in the world, is governed chiefly by respect to superiors; which, in that country, is therefore the treated with the greatest attention and the most seropulous contents.

" Neither is there, in official drefs, or in any of those this filed by magiltrates and other persons of diffinction, to a in producing that necessary respect which is due to their fons, or to put others in mind of what is due to them; impollure more than in the ordinary behaviour of any bu man of plain common fense. Every man knows, that a tain degree of respect, proportioned to his flation, is nec fary to him, in all his intercourse with others, both at he and abroad; he knows, that even his children and domest must refrect him, that due order may be preferred in his mily ; and therefore he, in fome degree; thudies, in his da speech, and the whole of his behaviour, that others shall n fpect him in the degree they ought, or, at least, that the shall not defuife him. A nation is a great family; the preme magistrate is the common father; and the sume resp though in a much higher degree, is due to him, as to a b ral purent, and to all other magistrates, and performin aut rity, in proportion to their flation, grafas bus , gratere .

Belides many other methods of late uled to ftir op the min

Montelquien's Spirit of Laws.

of the people of this country against their lauful superiors, a ery poor quibble has been made use of, " that we ought not to obey the magiffrate, but the laws." " No man," fave h Godwin, "is bound to yield obedience to another man, or fe of men on earth "," A The first lesson of wirtne," says he this obey no mant." If these men could bring others liter ally to practife this doctrine, they might at once effect the polesy for then they might go on forever in the commitof all crimes before either justice or the laws would punish them. For what are laws but mere thoughts either written, er printed, or simply existing in the minds of those who have honght them? What is Justice even, as it exists in our would now beg leave here to affert, that if, as members of ciety, we are to obey at all, we must obey the magistrate, result properly speaking we cannot obey the laws. In firstes and propriety of language, laws cannot either require of sforce obedience; they are simply an expression of the pube will, or of the will of those who legislate for the public, ich, in this country, is the fame thing. But though laws annot, yet magistrates can, literally require, command, and mforce obedience to their will ; and their will ought to be a beyed, and, for the take of our own happinels, mult be o eyed, when it is the same with the public will, that is, the me with the laws, dunter to de land

The necessary conclusion from all that has been said with regard to the right, of the magistrate, is, that Kings, Princes, all Magistrates have not only their rights as private ind iduals; but that, as magistrates, they have a right to all bet is necessary to qualify them for the proper and effectual ischarge of their duty, and a right to the obedience of their bjects, when their commands are according to the laws, or

tint

palm

ing

nero

Chin

eq.

Hore

thin

0 3

ITT

bon

11

nec

ha

mell

his

det

at: Ch

the

efpe

8,0

aut

hall re

owife

that the laws authorize. 13. In civil fociety, the rights of the subject are not only all at remains, after Increndering to government what is necely for the good of the fociety, but fecurity, and an equitportion of all that government can do for his benefit; and, subordinate to these rights, are all their forms and eve, the rights of thinking of all public matters; of converng, writing, and acting, concerning them, in every innomt ways of making their wants and grievances known to the Sovereign:

[&]quot; Godwin's Enquiry, wal In p. 1554. + 1d. p. 397.

48

Sovereign ; and of petitioning for redreft and all due affil

It has been asked "what government does for us?" as Mr Paine tells us, "that it gives us nothing." Government does for man, what man, as an unconnected individual, necessful do for himself. It defends him, in this country a least, not only from injury, but even from the fear of injury. It gives him the tranquil liberty of doing what is right, and of improving himself and his condition in every way not in

iurious.

It may be observed, in general, with regard to the rig of men in civil fociety, whatever their place in it may that they have a right to all those laws, forms, offices, a officers, to all that prerogative and privilege, which are t ceffary to the feedvity of all those absolute or private righ which remain to them, after furrendering what is necessit to government; all of which rights are either included in. the effects of, a good conflictation or form of government But as good health is a certain proof of a good bodily con tution, to general fecurity is a certain proof of a good pol cal one; and this, as has been already observed, is, in conflictution of Britain, a quality which it possesses in a hig er degree, than any other, ancient, or modern. " A m parchy, Tays Boling broke limited like ours, may be place for aught I know, as it has often been represented, just the middle point, from whence a deviation leads, on the on band, to tyranny, and, on the other, to auarchy."

Under this limited and mixed monarchy, political equals does not confilt in all having equal power and privilege, but in the different powers and privileges of those unequal parts into which the flate necessarily divides itself, being equally behaved; and civil repullity, not in every man having equal for tune and rank with another, things impossible, but in every man having the secure enjoyment of what is a man's own, is the natural, had almost said, necessary effect of the unequal, but equitable division of power and privilege in the constitution; and though the mutability of men and things, imperfection must, perhaps, in the lapte of time, arise in the best constitution; yet, what must afford a very high degree of pleasure to the reflecting mind, that very energy in the constitution of this country, which created its excellencies, can correct, we

they appear, its occasional defects.

With

Wi

ret as

Si ch

MELT!

Ethe

fins-

adance

Si thi

He fup

al terr

mole fi

eleft

wei,

ble, a duty. fice, a tevolen

ertsin

iption

flaw,

Prior

ralit

an

nat

laties w

kelr ma

them

hey m

oligato

here a

be

18

m, fo

divit

es,

fac

With regard to the rights and duties of men, it may be obwell he general, that though they are not always reciprocal; et as their political rights and duties are fo, the one may be muned from the other. If it be the right of the legislator to ef good laws, and that of the magistrate to execute them, is the duty of the subject to obey them; and so contrari-ife. "The fundamental rights of the supreme mag strate and the subject, in this country, are expressed by the general ms prerogative and privilege. The end of these fundamenalrights is to produce other rights, all of which are comprended in the general terms, allegiance and protection. erance is the right of the fupreme magistrate; and protectithe right of the subject : An I protection is the duty of the funreme magistrate; and allegiance, the duty of the sub-The particular rights and duties included in those genel'terms, must be learned from books which treat fully of file fubjects. But after all, there will be much that must eleft to reason for the direction of men in some particular les, for which no rules can be given. Whatever is reasonble, all things confidered, is, in every case, both right and y. A man of an understanding free from passion, prejuand the blas of interest and party; and of an houest, berolent, and humane disposition; will frequently discover, in ettin cases, things to be his duty, which no human authorican compel him to perform; whilft a man of a different del-

Prior to all convention or agreement, prior to all politice or and human inflitutions, men are, by the laws of God, and nature, under obligations to perform certain duties. Those mies which arife from the relations, in which they fland to help maker and to each other, as well as those which they owe althemselves, are obligatory in every stage of their existence, hey may and do vary according to eincumstances, but the mass, arising from the existing circumstances, are constantly objectory. There is a certain fitnels in those duties to the stare and relative fituation of men, upon which some authors we been led to rest the obligation to the practice of them; me is a certain property, a certain decency and heauty, in m, for which the ancient moralists called them "the beauty of and the good;" there is in them a certain moral sorce.

dojaweir, its occurional defects.

ption may often, by entrenching himself behind the forme

flaw, violate, with impunity, every principle of selizion.

try nju

丽

tot

righ

re t

cells

in.

mer

con

polis

in th

hig

A m

alaced

jul a

te ons

quality e, but

part

al fo

aral.

nitab

noug

echie

nfti

calu

cci,

which, in opposition to irregular defires, confirming up to perform them; and till the nature of man, of fociety, and of things, change, the duties of piety, justice, benevolence, a racity, faithfulness, temperance, industry, in a word, all the duties of religion and virtue must remain. The existence of them doth not depend on the will of man, but on the will of God; on that nature which he hath given to men, and the whole of that fituation, in which he hath placed them; and the performance of them, under the faviour of mankind are, by the immutable decree of beaven, the indispensible condition of our happiness. If any attempt is made to abolish them, the same stroke that reaches them, will reach the welfare of fociety; and should the system of duty fall, it will involve huma happiness in its roins.

R. T.

ty s

bie n

cert

men

recip

ty is debt

M 11

ed,"

to di

the d

conti

o ne

flate

eda impl

eleafe 112

My n

dans for

LETTER IX.

Observations on Rights and Duties, chiefly relative to certain Error in "An Enquiry into Political Justice, by William Godwin."

COUNTRYMEN.

I f one man, by honell industry, and the blessing of Galwho giveth men power to become rich, acquire, not only to
necessaries, but the superfluities of life; and if another, by the
same conduct, acquire scarcely its necessaries; whatever can
has, is his right; and there is surely no fort of injustice in the
one being in affluent, and the other, in poor circumstances.
If there he, the injustice must be on the part of God, whole
providence maketh the difference. But it would be the graest injustice on the part of man, in every sense of the work
to take from the rich what is theirs, and to give it to the
poor. For though, he who is the Lord of all, frequently
doth so in the course of providence, yet such "an arbitrary
devision of property," would, in men, be very injurious, and
"is not an affair of human competence."

"While the Declaration of Rights was before the Nation Affembly," fays Mr Pains, "Some of its members remarks that if a Declaration of Rights was published, it should accompanied with a Declaration of Duties. The observation of States o

ce.

alla

will

nd th

indi

re.

nditi

f fa

hor

T.

Ern

f God

nly 1

by th

tott

quent

DUG. IN

Nation marked ould ke

COVETE

er each e in the flances whole great e word

perudia mind sharvethered, and to only erred in not re seding farmenough, and Declaration of Rights 13 by reel-process to Declaration of Duties alford Whatever is my right he of wathers and it becomes my d nital manucis alforthe rio ty to guarantee, as well as to polleft y'las abiguat to entus Though it does not appear, that an accurate declaration of lintestwouldthaverdone Wir Paine much good is vet it mi hisb been of alle ad those whom he pretends to enlighten and. therefore it in perhaps, to be regretted, that it was neglect dirib For shough, in fome cafes, sea Declaration of Rights 4. by reciprocity, a Deplaration of Daties; becaufe, in fuch cases, what is the right of one party is the duty of another, and to contrariwise; yet, in all cales, it is not for for there are certain cases, in which somethings are the duties of certain men. which are not the rights of any other. Duties and Rights are not always reciprocal. Those rights and duties, which belong to Juffice, which are the effect of contract or convention, or which relate to the parent and infant child, are reciprocal; or, in other words, what is the right of one party is the duty of another; for inflance, it is the duty of a debtor, or an injurious person, to pay his debt, or to repair injury; and it is the right of the creditor, or person injured, to receive payment, or reparation: It is the right of governours to be supported by the governed, and it is the duty of the governed to support them; and, therefore, fome taxes he called duties: It is the right of the parent, in certain cafe to direct and controll the actions of the infant child; and it is the duty of the latter, in luch cales, to submitto thedirection and the duty of the former. But though such rights and duties are reciprocal, because they always imply each other; yet there is rights and duties which are not reciprocal, because they always imply each other; because they always imply each other, for instance, A has a right to an entare, and B has none; and as the right of A does not perfect the such as the right of A does not perfect the such as the right of A does not perfect the such as the right of A does not perfect the such as the right of A does not perfect the such as the right of A does not perfect the such as the right of the such effarily imply a dury to B, neither does B's having no right mply a claim upon A

The ambiguity of language evidently appears in the feveral

has anoive in viewed and at the way and a construction of the part of the state of the part of the construction of the part of the state of the part of the part

fenses in which the terms, rights and duties, have been used. Duties fometimes means what one man may claim from another, as his rights; and, at other times, only what a personought to do from choicet. Of the first fort of duties are all reciprocal rights and duties; of the second fort are all the benevolent affections and the expressions of them; such as friendthip, charity, and all friendly, and charitable actions; all which are duties merely. Reciprocal duties being also rights, the performance of them may be forced; thus, a mafter, or fervant may oblige or compel one another to perform the duties, which they have engaged to perform, that is, to fulfill the conditions of their agreement. But the performance of those duties which are not reciprocal, cannot be compelled fuch as the duties of benevolence and charity. A has a confiderable effate; B is a young man of merit with nothing. It may, perhaps, from circumstances, be the duty of A, in point of benevolence, to affift B with less or more of his income; but B has no right to fueh affistance, nor can he compel A to afford it. " I have 100 loaves, a man in the next street is perifhing of hunger, and one of my loaves would preferve his life, to whom does the loaf in justice belong!" If the loaf be mine, it is my right, and in justice it belongs to me; but it would be an indelible reproach to me, if I did not give it him to preferve his life.

h

O

ti

fi

n

ti

1

ju

C

W

b

ĥ

n

f

Cases of necessity are exceptions from all rules. Necessity implies, that a thing must be done, and therefore is a rule or law to itself. Thus, though the owner of a house, a horse, a boat, a loaf of bread, or any fuch thing, has a right to the exclusive use of it; yet a person, to save his life, may use any or all of them without the owner's confent. In fuch cases, the use of them is justified by necessity. But such use of any thing belonging to another does not give the perfon that uses it, a right to it. On the contrary, he who does any hurt or damage to another by the use of any thing belonging to that other person, (though that use may be justified by the necession ty of the case) inflead of acquiring a right to the thing used, becomes bound to repair the damage fullained by the other person. Men do not despise a thief, says Solomon, if be fleal to fatisfy bis foul; but if he be found, be Shall reflore seven fold, be Mall

[†] This latter is perhaps the proper fende of the word. See Fargulon's Inflittates of M. P.

4 Godwin's Enquiry, v. 2. p. 326.

nied.

ano.

erion

re all

e be-

iend-

; all

ghts, .

, or

e du-

fulfill

ce of

lled !

con-

. It

Thio

me

Ata

t 18

e his

loaf

but

e it

flity

e or

orfe.

the

any

ics,

any

ulce

t or

effi.

fed,

her

l to

be

hall

hat

ball give all the fubflance of bis boufe; which words authorize this maxim at leaft, that he whose necessity obliges him to use the property of another, is bound to compensate him for the loss he may thereby sustain. But no necessity of one man can annihilate the right that another man has to his own, though it may justify the occasional use of somethings belonging to him, in so far as that use is necessary, but no farther. In a word, no necessity can make mine thine, or thine mine.

It is of the utmost importance, that we understand the difference between Rights and Duties, and between Justice and Charity. What is a man's right he may maintain by any means that are necessary right and just; what is his duty merely (that is, not the right of any other at the same time) is what he ought to do, but what no man or body of men can compel him to do, unless that man or body of men have a right to it, which, by the supposition, is not the case. I have the fum of ten pounds, which is my right, and I may use every necessary and right means to keep it: Another man wants it, and circumstances may be such as make it my duty in point of tharity to give it him: But as it is my right, and not his, he may not compel me to do it. The same may be said of Justice and Charity. I do a man justice when I give him what is his own. I do him an act of beneficence or charity, when I give him what is mine. Every man may be compelled to do juffice; but no man ought to be compelled to do actions of charity, except where the community, to which he belongs, is lawfully affeffed for a maintenance to the poor; and, in that cafe, for a man to contribute towards their support is not merely an action of charity, but of juffice in fulfilling a legal obligation. If it were the right of any man, or fet of men, to compel others to give to the poor, prior to any law of fociety with regard to it, or if the poor were to force the rich to supply their wants, all rights, in the proper fense of the word, would be annihilated, and actions of charity would be robberies. Indeed charity and all beneficent actions, in order to be fuch, must be voluntary or fpontaneous. Their nature is fuch that they cannot be forced. The attempt to force them would alienate the affections of men. Inflead of exciting benevolence and charity, it would excite difgust and aversion; and though, in some cases, it might produce the external effects of thefe virtues; yet it would destroy the virtues themfelves |. F2

God forbid, that I should be here understood, as faying any thing

Bun

he fh

of th

feets

man

thru

a pr

WTO

nati

mad

bun

the

64 B

win

anfi

He S

fels

has

wit

der

len

tim

we

fer

ma

to-

to

ful

100

G

ba wi

an

pe

ca

fo

3

It is rather fingular, that Mr Godwin, who, if his words have any meaning, does not believe revealed religion, nor, as it should feem, any religion whatevers, should yet make use of one of the doctrines of Christianity to establish the main doctrine of his book; that he should lay this doctrine as the chief foundation

that might prejudice the interest of the poor, who are the brothers, though not the equals, of the rich. We have the examples of God and our saviour, the esteem and lave of all good men, the blessing, or corfe, of the poor themselves, the greatest promises, and the most dreadful threatenings, in scripture, one of the strongest impulses of the heart and a most refined pleasure, all to induce us to the practice of that menly and amiable duty, charity. That wise and good being, who watches over us with the care of a parent, has ordained us to be instrumental in doing good to one another; and he has annexed a certain pleasure to all beneficent actions, as their natural reward. He who looks with compassion on the distresses of the poor, and relieves them, enjoys, in the action itself, a most delicious pleasure, "he feeds upon the lusticulates of grief;" and when his heart dissolves with forrow, " in gustes pleasure with the tide of wee."

If we consider all the different sorts of human happiness, we shall find, all things considered, that, next to the consciousness of acting a worthy part in life, and the hope of the approbation and favour of God, the greatest happiness which we enjoy, is the happiness of doing good. The man who shuts his heart and hand against the necessities of the poor, shuts up from himself a source of the purest pleasure. He, in so far, unmans himself by stripping himself of part of his nature and natural happiness. The chief advantage which a very rich man has over one that has just exough, is that he can do more good, and consequently ciply more rational and humane pleasure. When a rich man is, like Job, a father at the poor, he enjoys, in pitying and helping them, those yearnings of the heart, which are indeed a very great pleasure to those who seel them, but which can neither be felt, nor understood, by men es a callous and infectifible heart.

Far, therefore, very far indeed, would I be even from it finuating any thing that would, in the smallest degree, be detrimental to the poor. All that I wish to do, is to observe, that justice and charity are distinct duties; that men may be socced to be just; but that they neither ought to be, nor can be, forced to be charitable. Yet it is but justice to the age and country, in which we live, to say, that no country in any age of the world has been so distinguished, perhaps, for charity as our own country at the present time; of which truth our hospitals, instimaries, poor-houses, charity schools, donations, substriptions, collections, and all the different ways of benefiting the poor, which are increasing every day, are a proof. We may, perhaps, have gone backward in some things within this century past; but we have certainly made great progress in sympathy, in charity, and, perhaps, in all the duties of humanity. We ought to be "just before we are generous;" and yet never want "a tear for pity, and a hand open as day, to melting charity."

foundation of his grand fystem of Equality of Property; that he should infer from it, even though erroneously, the justice of that confused fort of a community of goods, which he affects to be fond of being introduced. But it is possible for a man to be a hypocrite, and yet an insidel, to cant, and yet thrust at religion. When a man thus acts a double part, it is a proof not only of a little mind and a bad heart, but of a wrong cause. Truth stands in no need of such arts; its own native strength supports it; and all such little artifices, when made use of to prop error, are, in reality, so many weights hung round it, which in the end bring it to the ground. Can say thing be more impudent, or more absurd, than to deny the truth of religion, and yet argue from its doctrines? Is this "an insult to the understandings of men?"

The doctrine of our holy religion, made use of by Mr Godwin, and to which I refer, is that very important one, which teaches us, that we are the slewards of almighty God, and

answerable to him for all our talents.

orde

. 88

le of

doc-

hief

tion

ough r Sa-

e, of

moft

ami-

r us

ene-

ffion

n it-

ef;"

ind.

rthy

the

The

huts

cfs.

t ca

ati-

r sa

em,

and

ITY

net

the

of

wn

er,

Ty

24

:n

Ve

ar

or.

reate .

We are, indeed, the flewards of God. All that we poffels, we receive from him, and hold of him only as a bounty and in truft. We have no right, or "difcretionary power" with regard to him. We are bound to use our strength, understanding, wealth, all our natural, and all our acquired talents, every farthing of our money, and every instant of our time, in that way, which he, in his law, directs; and to him we are answerable for the use of all his gifts. But we are the flewards of God, and not of man; and for all those actions, which are not injurious to our fellow-creatures, but which may be unjust with regard to God, we are answerable, not to man, but to the Lord of the univerfe. To this matter, and to him only, we must, with regard to fuch actions, fland or fill. If I had not a difcretionary power to do what I will with my own, except injury, I should be a steward not of God, but of man. Some other person, or persons; would have a power of commanding me to do what they pleafed with my own (for such a power must, in the last place, be lodged somewhere, before any right can be used, before my thing whatever can be done; because God does not interfere, and therefore man must, in determining what is the most proper use of any talent, in any particular case) and, in that cale, I should be their steward, and not the steward of God; for they would have a discretionary power over me, or a right Action of the state of the stat

to command me to do what they pleased with my own. But a it would be but reasonable, that I should have the same power or right to command them to do what I pleased with the own, they would also be my stewards; and thus, no man would be the fleward of God, but every man a fleward of asother. In other words, every man would have a power or right of commanding every other to use his goods, time, money, and all his talents, as he should think propers that is he would have a right or " diferetionary power" with regard to all that is another man's, but no fuch right or power with regard to what is his own. Now, according to this scheme. rights are not destroyed, but exchanged; and, instead of every man having a diferetionary power or right with regard to what is his own, he would have fuch power or right only with regard to what is another's. But as every man muff, in general, be the best judge of what ought to be done with his own; and as disputes would arise between the real; and the pretended owners of any thing, with regard to the use of it; it may be better to let things remain as they are, and to fulfer every man to do what he thinks proper with his own, es-

cón

dow

pur

diffe

fite

and

that

falle

one

who

to W

of g

conf

crim

bis f

if th

othe

mife

bowe

cal J

himf

ven-

not !

troy

chure

on of

lifh a

rich :

fical

of ne

litical Arens

they

bour

nilhe

down

. 0

1 Vid

133, 1

Id.

T

cept injuring others. It is curious to observe the different ways, which different men take to accomplish the same end, and the errors, into which men are obliged to fall, when they deviate from the path of truth. Mr Paine declaims much, and reasons very little, on the rights of man, never flates their duties, but fimply mentions them in such a way as to missead the unway, not to instruct the ignorant. Mr Godwin Jenies that either individuals, or fociety has any rights; and afferts, that all that belongs to men is duties". But both of them have the fame object in view, infurrection and the subversion of government t. The latter author, indeed, is not always so absurd as one, at first fight, would imagine; for he corrects, in some measure, his absurdities by his contradictions. When he aims at deflroying the right to property, or at giving all men at equal right to it, that is, at equalizing property, he tells as that " men have no rights:" When he aims at subverting the government, he fays, " that fociety, i. e. all the men in a sation. have no right to establish any form of government;" and when he wishes to unite men in thought, word, and deed in order to the accomplishment of his plans of destruction, he

Godwin's Engury, v. 1. p. 105, 108. + Idem v. 2.

contradicts himself, and resumes the rights which he had laid down; he then boldly afferts, that men have "a claim to the affishance and co-operation of their sellow men in every lawful pursuit; "" that is, as appears upon a sull comparison of the different parts of his work with one another, a right to be affished by one another in robbery, plunder, and destruction; and that they have a right, "to conscience and the press;" that is, as appears upon a like comparison, a right to express false and mischievous thoughts, in order to missed and ruin one another. There is, in short, but one object through the whole book, which the author constantly keeps in his eye, and to which all the parts are subservient; I mean the subversion of government. For unity of design, therefore, it must be

confessed, he ranks with the first writers 1.

This author not only annihilates all virtue and vice, all crimes and offences, all merit and demerit, at one stroke, by his fystem of necessity, or making men necessary agents; but, as if this were not fufficient, he attacks different virtues fingly by other arguments; fuch as gratitude, faithfulness in fulfilling promifes made by oath, and obedience to n agiltrates |. He has however very absurdly called his book "An Enquiry into Political suffice." This indeed was a virtue, or rather, as he explains himfelf, a vice, which he could not spare; and, therefore, even his necessity, to which all other men must submit, could not force him to part with it. His political justice is to detroy all established government whatevery, all established churches , all fchools , all religious focieties +, the inthition of marriaget1, and all inflitutious of every kind; to abolish all diffinctions of magistrate and subject, high and low, rich and poor; to level all distinctions, except what are phyfical and moral || ||, if any thing can be moral, upon his plan of necessity. This destructive and levelling scheme is his political justice: and though labour contributes to the health, frength, understanding, virtue, and happiness of men; when they are thus levelled, he employs them not in moderate labour (for in the event of his plan, manual labour is to be banished, being superseded by inventions (6) but he sets them down to converfe, to contemplate, to expand their faculties,

of it; to fufifferent s, into

om the

ns very

But as

power h their

of an-

wer or

e, mo-

th re-

heme.

d of e

regard

at only

nuff, in

ith his

nd the

nwary, c either that all ave the governabfurd in fone he aims

men an tells us, ing the in a usment;"

ion, he

^{*} Godwin's Enquiry, vol. I p. 108. ld. p. 108. † ld. p. 108. † ld. p. 108. † Vide Idem passim. || Idem v 2 p. 232, 233. V. I. p. 82, 84, 135, 117. § Idem v 2 p. 383. ¶ ld. v. I. p. 90. * ld. v. 2. p. 579. † ld. v. 2. p. 130. †| Id. p. 137, 140. || || Id. p. 211. §§ Id. p. 117.

to improve their virtue, and to fill their heads with great de figns. It is probable, however, he has not forgotten, "the idle dogs worry theep;" for he has candidly acknowledget that he does not think men yet fit for this flate. Had he been candid enough, he would probably have added, that they never will. The superseding of manual labour by any degree of improvement in inventions, if we may judge d what is to come by what is past, is a chimera. In this comtry, the quantity of bodily labour has kept pace with the dilcovery of those things, which facilitate and abridge it. There is much more work performed by men's hands now, than formerly, though the quantity of machinery is a great deal more in those parts of the kingdom, where the labour of the perple is leaft affifted by machinery, the people are leaft laborious; and, in favage countries, where inventions are scarcely known, the people are necessarily idle. The propensity to action, and to proceed in all forts of improvement, like anrice and ambition, is strengthened by indulgence. It seems to be a law of man's nature, that his labours of any kind should never come to a close; and it is certainly his happinels That happiness may not, perhaps, be much encreased by as accession to the accommodations and ornaments of life; butil must be much increased by an increase of that honest industry of every kind, by which those accommodations and ornaments are acquired. For, to be employed in a certain degree, isto enjoy pleasure; to have nothing to do, is to feel pain. The hature of man confifts of a body, as well as a mind; and each requires its proper employment, as the eye requires light, and the stomach food. There is no doubt, that the hand is made for labour as much as the foot for walking; nor is there any probability, that either of them shall ever want employment, or that their natural offices shall be superfeded by any kind of inventions. The whole nature of man must be employed in order to happiness; and, therefore, should ever that period arrive, which is to terminate his labours either of a bodily or mental kind, it must also terminate his happiness.

The terms " prieft-craft," " flate-engine," " flate juggle," " trick," " imposture," " quackery," and the like, have been much used by every declaimer against government, and every canting writer for what has been called the rights of man This writer feems to deal very much in this fort of political cant. His plans, however, feem to have too much of them

dieulous

liculo ollow

ore l

s pr re o

lectio east a

is in ent

e wh

Mon, The

ertain

ar to

Maria.

ib a f

hich I

שו מש

ed our

oil of a

ward.

ming

Hack

Supp

tres ?

16, T

e, bu

t we ge,

res in

agri pole

ery thi

exteri defire

i be a

natu

of i

geniu

red widin

e de

liculous in them to prove dangerous. He is but a humble blower of Mr Paine; less acute, less fagacious, but abfurdly norelearned, a strenuous supporter of his doctrine, and zeal-us promoter of his views. He has attempted to draw a picture of what ought to be, and of human happiness; but ne-decting the beautiful original, and copying from his own test and head only, he has produced an appearance, which is impossible to look on without feeling a certain mixed seniment of ridicule and scorn; a picture, which, after viewing he whole of it, one may very properly eall

Monstrum borrendum, informe, ingens, cui lumen ademptum."
There were; in the days of the apostles Peter and Jude, main men, whose characters, I am sorry to say. seem to ear too great a resemblance to those of certain mostern write. They were wells without water, clouds that were carried is a tempest. They spake great swelling words of vanity, with this bies allured.— Whilst they promised liberty, they themhas were the servants of corruption. They denied the Lord God, if our Lord Jesus Christ. They despised dominion, and spake it of dignities. They ran greedily after the error of Balaam for mard. They were trees whose sruit withereth, without fruit, we dead, plucked up by the roots; raging waves of the sea, ming out their own shame; wandering stars, to whom is reserved.

blackness of darkness for ever.

at de

this

does

ad he

, that

y any

ge of

coun-

There

in for-

more

e peo-

labori

rarcely

fity to

e ava-

leems

y kind

pinels

by sa

butit

duftir

aments

c, is to

nd each

s made

ere any

ymen,

kind of

peried

odily or

uggle,"

e, have

of man

political

the si-

Suppose, that all rights were annihilated, that all that beged to men were duties, would they be as industrious in widing for others, as they now are in providing for thems, rich, and happy? Suppose "that mind" (not the dibut the human mind) " were omnipotent over matter;" twe need but bid a plough till a field, or a fhip perform a age, and they should be done; suppose, that all the creais in the universe were obedient to the nod of man, would agree how the different parts of it should be employed? pole this world again turned into a paradile stocked with sy thing to please the senses, that all were common, and external diffinctions amongst men; suppose even, that all defire, offered itself spontaneously to our wishes; would be a fituation for man? They must be ignorant of hunature, who think fo. It is necessity which is the moof invention and improvement. It is want that wheta genius. It is inequality that excites to emulation. It is difficulty.

blu

e an

n a

ortal

duel

ture,

great

nan l

Baco

timp

the l

ere, t

in l

H

s to c

hat B

ong an

odwin !

If I n

ntryn

rover

nent

ties, th

led feli

mainte

ter foll

food.

but l

earance

no mo

charit

Though

nan hap

at not

may

the

difficulty, and a degree of uncertainty and danger, that he the foul from its flumbers, and make it more resolute in pursuit of any laudable and defirable object. It is by action and not by negligence and floth, that men are improved, It is in the ardent pursuit of some distante made happy. ject of a worthy kind, more than in lazy contemplation, in the possession of the greatest blessings, that human has nels confifts.

We are made for alternate rest and motion, for alter thought and action : we are made with defects in our nate and placed in a fituation, in which our external wants, by exertion which they canfe, both fupply our defects, and c duce to our happiness: And if we consult the health firength of the mind and body; the improvement of our tellects, morals, and happiness; a situation of plenty with want, of eafe without difficulty, and of pleafure without will not be the object of our choice. Were all those the which afford us enjoyment, placed under the eye, and w the reach, the full foul would heathe them, and turn away i difguft. The very curfe which was pronounced upon earth, after the fall, is to man in his tapfed condition, a fing. It obliges him to employ himself, by which he is a great measure, preserved from vice and misery, and reed wifer, better, and more happy. It forces him to be e ful, and to practice that honest industry, by which the w has been much improved, the character and condition of ameliorated, and human happiness augmented. But had world, after the fall, remained in its primitive frate of fert and beauty, inflead of being to man a real paradife, it w rity, t with all its beauty and richness, have proved to him a ga overgrown with briers and nettles, with rank and weeds; and full of all noxious, loathfome, and abomin creatures.

To improve the nature of man, especially the moral part it, is the fure road to human happiness; but to render possible, "the human mind omnipotent over matter;" place men in a fituation in which they should have nothing do; to render all equal, and to leave them to foar on wings of imagination, in planning great enterprizes, by the supposition, no such enterprizes would be necessit suppose, that all these things, the whole of this vilia Scheme were practicable; yet all these things put togeth

add not form a road to happiness, but to the extreme of and wretchedness. But such a scheme may be expected om a man, who is not without hopes of rendering man imortal; but who thinks that, in order to be fo, he must be ways gay and banish seep . All other improvers have aducted their improvements by fludying the language of ture, and yielding to her commands; he alone flands forth great example of one, who is to improve human nature and

man happiness by opposing her.

nat he

te in

y ad

ved.

ftant o

ation.

in happ

alter

natu

, by

and c

ealth

f our

with

out p

fe thi

d with

Way

upon

n, all

he is.

d res

be e

he w

n of

t had

f fert

it we

a gar

d p

omin

al part

renden

ter;" othing

r on

S, W

necell

vilia togeth Bacon, indeed, speaks of rendering men immortal as a thing timpossible, but he directs men to the study and observance the laws of nature as the means of accomplishing this end. ne, this very great man feems to have been dreaming; but, in his dream, his fagacious mind was confiltent with it-He had but one rule for all his improvements, which to comply with nature; never to force, or oppose her.

at Bacon fays of making men immortal, is the flight of a ng and vigorous mind, which feems to have forgotten, to decay is as much a law of our nature as to grow; what

dwin fays of it, is like the delirium of a madman.

If I may be allowed to offer my humble opinion to my strymen, it is this. It may be our wisdom to leave this rover and those who choose to follow him, to try their exnents of their equal rights, or no rights, and of unequal s, their contemplations, imaginations, and all their proed felicity, on themselves. If they succeed, we may then w them as fast as we can. Meanwhile, as we are better mainted with our own old way, than their new one, we had follow the old rules of juttice, honefty, benevolence, nity, temperance, and industry, as they are commonly un-By doing fo, we shall most frequently be successbut by following their plans, there is no likelihood, from arances at leaft, of any thing but disappointment and ry. With regard to morality, God hath fixed it; and we no more alter the nature of right and wrong, of justice charity, or of any other duty, than we can alter our own

Though the probability of producing the greatest degree of an happiness were to determine our conduct (which it t not) yet we are ignorant of what, in any number of may, upon the whole, produce the greatest happiness

[·] Godwin's Enquiry, vol. 2. p. 403.

of which the persons concerned are susceptible, unless it the performance of what is commonly called their duty. Wind, indeed, with regard both to individuals and communicath that the tendency of following the rule of duty, is to lead happiness; and that the tendency of neglecting, or violating it, is to lead to misery. Experience, therefore, teaches a that, if the production of the greatest possible degree of the man happiness is to be the object of all public and private conduct, we have no rule to go by, but the rule of duty; a man which he who is intimately acquainted with the nature of man of his fituation, and of all actions, bath fixed, unaltershifted; a rule which he hath made a way of pleasantest and peace to induce us to walk in it; but which he hath senced, a every side, with thoras and precipices, with a thousand are ed enemics, with misery and death to prevent deviation.

I shall conclude this letter with a quotation from an auth who was a Christian and a very great philosopher. "Some great and diffinguished merit, have I think expressed the felves in a manner, which may occasion some danger to a less readers, of imagining, the whole of virtue to confit fingly aiming, according to the best of their judgement, promoting the happiness of mankind in the present state; the whole of vice, in doing what they foresee, or might so fee, is likely to produce an overbalance of unhappiness in than which millakes none can be conceived more terrib For it is certain, that some of the most shocking instances injuffice, adultery, murder, perjury, and even of perfecution may, in many supposeable cases, not have the appearance being likely to produce an overbalance of milery in the fent flate; perhaps sometimes may have the contrary appe ance. For this reflection might eafily be carried on, but forbear --- The happiness of the world is the concern of who is the Lord and Proprietor of it. Nor do we know w we are about, when we endeavour to promote the good of m kind in any ways but those which he has directed; that is deed in all ways not contrary to veracity and Justice. I spe thus upon supposition of persons really endeavouring, in le fort, to do good without regard to thefe But the truth fee to be, that fuch supposed endeavours, proceed almost alw from ambition, the spirit of party, or some indirect principal concealed perhaps in a great measure from the persons then felvest." R. T.

ON

1

Co

gerou

my co

under

an eq

and of

thing

the wa

fmalle

variet

regard

Till ti

nor eq

appear

fexes,

fex, fr

of her

infanc

paren

been t

Subore

the w

ON EQUALITY.

LETTER X.

The Inequality of Men a Law of Nature.

COUNTRYMEN,

fs it by. W

of he a me of man

ced,

on.

Some

to ca

onfil

ent,

te; a

is in i

terrible

nces ecution

ance o

appe

but

of his

of ma

at is

1 fpe

in to

h fee

rincip.

. Т.

ON

THERE are no words which have had a greater tendency to deceive and missed some, and to excite a dangerous ferment in their minds, than the terms equality and equal rights. I shall, therefore, take the liberty of offering to my countrymen a few thoughts on the subject of equality, understanding the word in its utmost latitude, as signifying an equality of capacity, fortune, rank, power, authority,

and of every conflituent in the flate of man.

It hath been often observed, that there is not one person or thing exactly alike, or in all respects equal, to another. All the way down from man, the noblest creature on earth, to the smallest particle of inanimate matter, nothing is to be seen but variety and inequality. One of the most general facts with regard to men, is, that they have been, in all ages, unequal. Till the creation of Eve, Adam had neither superior, inferior, nor equal, of his own kind. Eve was made his inferior, as appears from a comparison of the different natures of the two feres, from the uniform subjection of the female to the male les, from the words of the apostle Paul*, and from the words of her Creator to Eve upon the fallt. There can be no doubt, that Cain and Abel were subject to their parents during their infancy, and, at least, the early part of their youth. Their parents were their rulers, and they their subjects. This has been the case between the parent and child ever fince; and both the preservation and happiness of children render this subordination necessary. Thus, the creator and governor of the world laid, in the first family, and hath ever fince conti-

^{*} Ephesians v. 23. I Tim. ii. 12. + Gen. iii. 16.

nued to lay, in all families, a certain foundation of inequality,

fubordination, and government.

But when men had multiplied, the greatest inequality must have prevailed amongst them. Instead of being equal, they are, at all times, naturally and originally unequal. They are unequal in strength, capacity, and disposition. They are upequal in their conduct and with regard to the various difpenfations of Providence. One man is flrong, and another, weak one, wife, and another, foolish; one, industrious, and and ther, idle; one has naturally a strong propensity to those vices which tend to fink him; another, a natural disposition to those virtues which are the natural causes of his rising. Add to all this, that one man is almost constantly burne on the tide of prosperity, whill another is made the sport of advertity. From all those causes variously combined, it happens, that one man becomes a mafter, and another, a fervant; one rich, and another, poor; and as respect, influence, power, and authority are generally attendant on riches, one becomes a leader, and a number, followers. Some men, by their dilpositions and virtues, their natural and acquired talents, are qualified for being rulers, whilft others are fitted only to ad under them in a subordinate capacity; and if a man be rich, virtuous, and qualified to rule others, he naturally, in fome degree, obtains an ascendant. Though there never, therefore, had been, any violence, deceit, or injuffice, in the world, men must, in the ordinary course of providence, have become very unequal to each other, in riches, respect, honour, in fluence, power, and authority; which, if we except the tolents, dispositions, and virtues, of the mind, are, perhaps, all the conflituents of their condition.

Where then is that equality, about which there has been to much talk? It is neither original, nor adventitious. It is neither natural, nor artificial. It confifts neither in equal riches, honour, nor power. It never has been known, and never can be feen. Scarcely, perhaps, can it be imagined. Or if fome men of strong imaginations have been able to represent it to themselves, yet it never can be realized. If the Almighty Being, who hath ordained this inequality amongs men, should, by working a miracle, reduce them to a state of equality; yet, nature being again left to herself, the same causes that have produced inequality, would reproduce it do

ring the lapfe of countless ages.

Con

ty

In

In

for

inf

wit

ed

are

the

be a

Rati

we c

18, 1

its o

circu

food

to ad

duct

M

man,

fitual

form

venti

provi

tered.

opinie

ing 33

equali

All men, indeed, ought to be free, i. e. to enjoy the liberty, in all fituations, of doing what is right, but no wrong. In this lingle respect, they either are, or ought to be equal: In all other respects, they may be, in most, they are, and in

fome, they ever must be, unequal.

nality,

mul

they

cy are

re un-

ispen-

weak

and

e vices

ion te

on the

dverfi-

ppens

; one

ower,

comes

eir dif-

ta, are

to ad

e rich.

a fome

refore,

world.

ecome

ur, m

he to-

erhaps,

been fo

equal

n, and agined. to to If that

mongt a flate

e fame e it du

It is

Add

And as men are unequal in the present life, so they will be nnequal in the other. If we give any credit to revelation and the general creed of men in all ages and countries; if we can infer any thing from the present moral government of God with regard to his future administration; if the well-grounded hopes of good men, and the natural fears of the wicked, are confidered as intimations of God's purpofes with regard to them; if distributive justice, which nature so loudly calls for, be an attribute of the God of nature; it mult, in a future hate, be well with the righteons, and ill with the wicked.

In whatever light, then, in whatever period of their being, we contemplate men, still we find them unequal. Inequality is, with regard to them, a law of nature, a law as certain in its operation as the falling of heavy bodies to the ground, the circulation of the blood in animals, or their propenfities to food and fleep. To attempt, therefore, to equalize men, is to act against nature, that is, against God, and must be pro-

ductive of nothing but mifery.

Mr Paine's error lies in mifrepresenting the original state of man, and in overlooking those variations in the character and fituation of men, produced by an original inequality in the formation of their minds and bodies, and by numberless adventitious causes. " For every history of the creation and of providence, every traditionary account, whether from the lettered, or unlettered, world, however they may vary in their opinion, or belief of certain particulars, all agree in establishing" not "the unity" or equality, but the diversity and inequality of men.

LETTER XI.

To attempt to equalize men is to all against God.

COUNTRYMEN,

HAT can Thomas Paine mean, when, speaking of the original state of man, he afferts the unity of man? Does

Does he mean, that the foul and body of Adam, being united, formed one man? or does he mean, that Adam and Eve, being joined by the tie of marriage, formed one couple? No. He explains his meaning by faying, that men are all of one degree, and, confequently, that all are equal. He might have given us as much information, and he certainly would have expressed himself with more propriety, had he told us, that men are all equal, and, consequently, of one degree. For the sameness of degree being but a part of equality, could he have established the equality of men, their being of one degree would have followed as a necessary conclusion. But very unluckily he has assumed the conclusion of a syllogism to prove the major proposition.

What light does it throw on the equal rights of men? (as he expresses himself,) to tell us, that Adam and Eve were equal, though this untruth were admitted as a fact? Must all men be equal; because the first couple were so? As well might he tell us, that all the trees of an immense forest are equal, because they might originally have spring from two acorns so equal, that no person would have preferred the one to the other. The best and greatest man that ever lived, considered merely with regard to his humanity, is but a man: But his virtues, his abilities, his merit, his riches, power and influence, in short, the whole constituents of his nature, character, and condition, give him a preference to others, and entitle him to rank above them.

In confidering the equality or rather inequality of men, there is one marked diffinction between man and the inferior creatures, to which we ought to attend. Among all the lower orders of creatures, every individual without art or education, and fimply by the use of its natural powers, arrives inflinctives ly at the perfection of the species. All that nature ever intended the species should be, may be seen in any individual. There is a certain limit to which nature leads them, and beyond which they do not pass. But the case is very different with the human species. The fon improves upon the experience of the father. He adds riches to the father's flore. He joins invention to invention, herd to herd, and field to field; and thus, as knowledge, arts and riches, advance, the offspring differs from the founder of the family, till, at laft, this difference becomes fo great, that he who has always lived in an improved and polished society, can have no exact idea of the

huma quifiti thing; cial ft flance in diff muft i dard t impro

All

ought

perfor

the pr

and E

the B

mtur

eaufe beg le to the ture, it woo gree, ficting into the

Th

the fpi male, tion ticular or congreate born ware plabuoys obscurforth, should will ev There

Thou

unit

Eve.

No.

night

ld us,

could

f one

But

ogilm

(25

ere ce

ift all

night

l, be-

ns fo

other

nerely

rtues,

e, in

and

him

there

crea-

lower

ation,

Ctive-

er in-

idual

d be-

ferent

ft ore.

ld to

, the

t laft,

lived

dea of

the state of men in a rude and barbarous age. The law of sature, both with regard to individuals and societies of the human race, is, that they should make a progress in the acquisition of knowledge, of virtue, of riches, and of every thing, which constitutes the happiness of the individual or social state. But this progress depends on a thousand circumfances, and is very different both in different judividuals, and in different societies. How preposterous then! how absurds must it be! to take the original condition of man as a standard to measure what man should be in a state of very high improvement, or as a level to reduce them to equality!

All reasoning from the original state of man to what he ought to be in any period of society is nugatory. But if any person should think himself justifiable in attempting to reduce the present race to a state of equality, because, truly, "Adam and Eve were equal" (which by the way, is nonesense, because it supposes men were equal before they began to exist) It beg leave to observe, that to attempt a reduction of all men to the same level would be to act contrary to the course of nature, contrary to God, both in creation and providence; that is would be an attempt even to deprive him, in a certain degree, of his sovereign authority in bestowing rewards and insiding punishments, and to frustrate the end of our coming into this world.

1. To attempt to reduce all men to a flate of equality would

he acting against God in the work of creation.

The renovation of the face of the earth, on the return of the fpring, and the continuation of the different kinds of animale, have been, by fome, very justly stiled a perpetual creation Every man also acknowledges his own creation in particular, when he calls God his creator. But in the creation recontinuation of the human race, our creator makes the greatest difference between individuals. There are fome men orn with fuch talents aud dispositions, that wherever they we placed, they will rife in a certain degree. buoys them up. Though accident, or delign, may tend to bleure, or bury their talents; yet they will occasionally burft forth, like the fun from behind a cloud; and though they hould never rife above the level, where they are born, yet they will ever be the first in that rank, where they are placed. There are others, whom nothing can both raife and supports Though raifed by their friends, they cannot hold their place.

Like stones placed on the surface of water, they naturally in to the bottom, Julius Cæfar faid, that he would rather be the first in an obscure village of Italy, than second at Rome. He bravery, his clemency, his generofity, his eloquence, all his talents and virtues, favoured his ambition, and raifed him to the empire. Claudius was raifed by his friends from oblem ty and contempt to the throne; but all that those friends co do for him, was never able to give him the common fense and dignity of an ordinary man. The young shepherd, who, by the divine affiftance. refoued his father's flock from the par of the lion, and from the paw of the bear, discovered, on those occasions, that courage and conduct, which afterward enabled him to triumph over the champion of Gath, and at laft, in spite of all the envy and persecution of Saul, raise him to the throne. The natural rashness, imprudence, over-bearing temper, of Rehoboam, as much as his you counsellors, dictated to him, that answer which was the mediate cause of the ten tribes revolting from him; otherw he would have rejected the counsel of the young men with tempt. I know it will be faid, that the exaltation of David. and the revolt of the ten tribes, were determined by God The observation is just. Every thing, even the most triffi in appearance, is determined by an over-ruling provider But in the conduct of providence, the great ruler of the world commonly makes use of natural means. And the natural means of David's exaltation and honour, and of Rehoboan' partial fall and difgrace, was the courage and conduct of the former, and the haughty answer of the latter; and that co rage and conduct, and that answer, arose from a natural a original difference in the men. In fort, men, with regard to each other, are like liquors of different specific gravities in into a bottle; the natural tendency of which, however hab en, is each to assume its proper place. But to attempt the reducing of all men to the same level is to act in opposition to God, who, in creating men, has made original and infuper able diffinctions.

2. To attempt the reducing of men to the fame level would be to act in opposition to the all-wife and irresistible provides toye the les

We have but now feen, that there are original diftinction amongst men, distinctions formed by nothing but a divi hand; and on these original distinctions, as a foundation, and

man wes who disp mor com rigit does

term

the

rich vide wor give educ tue

alfo

fon. and him

pole

all la

nam

men

forw Dav

than but o

table

T

frequ ons,

Iona

the a

chara the t

wate,

all hi

It 1000 ally fink

r be the e. Hi

all bis

him to obfemi.

is could mle and

vho, by he paw

red, m erwards

and, at

raifed

ce, and

young the in-

herwife

ith con

David

God

triffing

idence

e world

natural

boami of the

at co

ral and

gardte

les p That.

pt the

ofition

nfaper.

ridene

nelin

divi

n, an

24

miled innumerable others of an adventitions kind. A wife man is always diftinguished from a fool; a strong man from a week; and a virtuous man from a vicious. But if a man, who unites in his own person, many natural talents and good dispositions, has virtue to improve and apply them, his superity over a man of a different character, will, in time, become very great. In this manner providence favours those oinal gifts, which are beltowed by a divine hand. But it does more. It is folely owing to that providence, which determines the time and all the circumstances of our birth, and the bounds of our habitation, that one man is born of virtuous, rich, and powerful parents; and another of parents that are vicious, poor, and of no weight in fociety. And thefe proridential advantages contribute much to raife a man in the world above the level of his equals. A virtuous parent will give his fon a virtuous education; the tendency of a virtuous education is to form a virtuous man; and the tendency of virthe is to acquire wealth, respect, and influence. A parent also who is rich and powerful, will do much to promote his fon. He will give him a certain portion of his goods or estate, and he will recommend him to others, who may be of use to him in the acquisition of wealth and respectability, or even hosour. And who can blame him? A man furely may difpole of his own as he fees meet. And he who will not, by all lawful means, provide for his own, though a Christian in same, is, in reality, an infidel. Nay the children of good men, though left orphans, are often favoured, and brought forward in the world, for the fake of their fathers. Thus, David shewed such favour to Mephibosheth for his father Jonathan's fake, that he not only reflored to him the lands of Saul, but did him the honour of having him continually at his own table. water of the and other

The fortunes of men, in a natural or providential way, frequently depend very much on the fortunes of their connexions, and are fometimes wholly involved in theirs. Though Jonathan had not fallen with Saul on mount Gilboa; yet as the appointment of David by God, his natural abilities, his character, and confequent popularity, had all, deffined him to the throne, the family of Jonathan would have funk into private, perhaps, obscure, individuals. But when David rose, all his family acquired a proportionate elevation.

It might be eafily shewn also, that the vices, the weakness Water !

or poverty of the parent, may, in some cases, fink the ship below the condition, in which he is born; and that they we ever retard, and, in some cases prevent his rising; but this

omit, that I may not be tedious.

But independent of natural talents and dispositions, independent of the adventitious circumstances of birth, education, and friends, there is a providence, which rules over all, and varies indefinitely the condition and rank of men. You will find two men horn with equal natural advantages, born, educated, and brought forward in the world upon a level; and yet, in time, by a concurrence of unforescen causes, one of these men shall remain in, or fall below, the condition, is which they were born, and the other rise far above it. Thus it is, that the Supreme Being cheeks the presumption and felf-considence of men, and shews, that promotion is not the necessary consequence of natural and circumstantial advantages; that it cometh not from the east, nor the west, nor the south, he that he is Judge; and that he putteth down one, and settled a another.

We see therefore, that though providence, in its natural and ordinary course, promotes men to riches and honour, according to the use they make of their talents and dispositions, and according to the power and influence of their f milies and friends; yet it frequently rules the fortunes of men in a sovereign way, and creates distinctions, which none could have foreseen. To attempt to abolish these distinctions, therefore, and reduce all men to a state of equality, would not only be unjust and impracticable, but it would be asting as much against the dispensations of an all-wise and beneficent providence, as it would be to attempt to alter the course of nature in any one respect. I say nothing here of the injustice and impiety of the attempt. I speak of it only as contrary to nature, contrary to the providence of him, who is the God of nature.

3. To attempt to reduce all men to a fiste of equality would be to act in opposition to God's moral government of the world, that government, by which as a king or matter he respectively rewards and punishes good and bad actions in his subjects or servants.

There are fearcely any of those natural and adventitions distinctions amongst men, such as strength, understanding good dispositions, riches, and honour, which may not be im-

preved

proved

ceffary

Tho

al go

the

ary to

heir p

lo, th

the lon

road h

hemle

re for

vidou

inhe

ificial

for his

tatio

be ftar

our,

But

ther,

fpect

rings, nent.

econor

ions ;

ts of

nd dif

ale,

atem

of w

ind;

as, ar

arker

uja in

quire a or

ngiar or nai

the

med by the practice of virtue, and lost by the practice of ie. And the practice of virtue is always indispensibly ne-

reflery, in order to preferve them.

t this i

cation

l, and

OU W

ıl; e

, edu

one of

on, is

on and

ot the

th, but

Hab w

ral and

ecord.

s, and

es and

Cores

have efore.

aly be

ich >

provi-

ature

e and

to na-

od of

pality

ent of

er hi

tions

dinge

tovet

e in

177.53

Though health depends, in a great measure, on the natugoodness of the constitution; yet temperance is necessary othe preservation of it; and, generally, this first of bleffings the natural reward of that virtue. Temperance is as necefto the preservation of the faculties of the mind in their our; but, in order to ripen them, and give them a frength atural to them, care and diligence in applying them to beir proper objects is absolutely requisite. Good dispositions lo, though the gift of nature, require careful cultivation, and belong, and frequently painful practice of our duty, before od habits can be ingrafted on them, before they expand melves, and appear in all their richnels and beauty. Riches e fometimes an inheritance, but they cannot be preferved rithout proper management: Nor can they ever scarcely be quired without some fort of labour on the part of some peror other. Honour, in a certain degree, is sometimes also inheritance, even in those countries where there are no ariscial distinctions, as when a fon is respected and regarded for his father's worth; but, in all countries, every man's retation will rest ultimately on his own merit; and by that, as he flandard, will men measure that share of respect and hoour, which is his due.

But all those bleffings, which diffinguish one man from aother, may be forfeited by vice. For, in flort, virtue, in feet of these distinctions, as well as in many other respects, ings, along with it its own reward, and vice its own punithat. Thus it is, that God rewards temperance, industry, money, and every species of virtuous actions with health d ftrength of mind, with riches, honour, and other diftinens; and punishes excess, negligence, prodigality, and all ts of vice, with bodily and mental weakness, with poverty d difgrace; by which men either fink below their original te, or become diftinguished only as objects of avertion and stempt. Length of days, fays Solomon of wildom, that of wife and virtuous conduct, length of days is in her right ad; and in her left; riches and honour. And the diffinctis among't men, created by wife and foolish conduct, are ried by him particularly, and in the ftrongeft terms. The if in heart, faith he, shall inherit glory, but Shame shall be

hem f

the proother; heir fer

25 2

rir pr

ers, a

the

d kir

eans,

aty,

he pro

is laws

the

irtue,

rld,

Ainct

verty

08 0

afirm

er the

he wo

But

Minch mkes

d go

e to ad

he pro

rorld, is chil

gnod

oafirm

then, 1

others !

Upo

a Alı

oral g

for a it

the promotion of fools. The effect of virtuous or vicious conduct is not indeed always to exalt or fink men. For very find quently there is one event to the righteous and to the wicked. But the tendency of virtue is to diffinguish men by all that is beautiful, lovely, and good; the tendency of vice to diffinguish them by every thing that is ugly, detestable, and end but he who gives these different tendencies to virtue and vize is the judge of all the earth; and by giving them these tradencies does he show himself to be a governour of the world who rewards and punishes his servants by a certain rule opening, in a great measure, and generally, according to the works.

The sum of this argument is, that the great governours the world distinguishes some men from others by conferring a them superior power, riches, and honour, as the reward of the rectifude of their conduct; and that he punishes other for their vices, by placing them in a condition directly apposite. Whoever, therefore, shall attempt to abolish these since the superior of the super

4. To endeavour to reduce all men to the same level, is a act in opposition to the intention of the whole plan of produce with regard to us, in opposition to God in all his di

pensations of nature and grace.

We came into this world not only imperfect, but also content, the not vicious; we are by nature noble, but falled ereatures; and the intention of all the dispensations of God providence towards us, whether of a prosperous, or advantaged, whether of nature, or of grace, is to supply the defed in our nature, to correct what is wrong in us; and, in a word

to form in us habits of piety and virtue.

All those dispensations may be reduced to those, which are of a prosperous, and those, which are of an adverse kind; as both kinds are designed to enlighten us, and to render a both wifer and better. When it pleaseth God to reward poty and virtue with external blessings, his intention is to tead men. that, in keeping his laws, there is great reward; that yet lines is prossible unto all things, having promise of the life that we is, and of that which is to come. When he bestoweth such blessings on the impious and vicious, the intention is, that his god ness may lead them to repentance. When he afflicteth those, who

cionse

r very fre

e wicked

all that i

and en

and vice

hele te

he world

le open

to the

ernour (

erring

eward o

y 000

thefe !

ty, w

ing, a

ceptre.

el, ist

of prov

his di

alfo co

ut fall

of God

adve

e defe

hich an

nd: an

ender 1

ard p

to teach

that w

ich ble

bis go

le, who

reproceeding in a course of impiety and vice, by making tem feel poverty, contempt, and disgrace, it is, that, like the prodigal son, they may come to themselves, and return to their ther; it is, that they may think upon their ways, and turn to feet unto his testimonies. And when he correcteth those to have resourced their lives, but who are still impersect, it is a father correcteth his children, not for his pleasure, but for it profit; namely, that they may be partakers of his boliness.

Those means, which he employs for the conversion of finers, and for the confirmation of those, who are converted, the ways of religion and virtue, are frequently of an exterkind. Under the old testament dispensation, the chief ans, by which his people, were kept in the way of their my, or brought back, when they had strayed from it, were prosperity and advertity, which they were alternately ade to experience, according as they observed, or violated haws: And even now, notwithstanding of the clear light the Gospel, which discovers to us the rewards of piety and inte, and the punishment of impiety and vice, in another rld, he still employs advertity and prosperity, that is the finctions of riches, authority, esteem, and honour, and of merty, contempt, neglect, and difgrace, and other diffineas of a fimilar kind, as the means of reforming men, and norming them in their duty; by the practice of which, unrthe operations of his spirit, they gradually become haper in the prefent world, and qualified for the happiness of he world which is to come.

But to equalize men, were that practicable, to abolish those sales between the righteous and the wicked, between wise and good conduct, and that which is foolish and bad, would eto act against the intention of his providence towards us in the present state, against God himself; who, in the present wid, deals with men, as a wife and affectionate father with inchildren, making them to feel alternately every thing that is good or bad, in order to their conversion from fin, and their matirmation in the practice of their duty. How impious then, how unnatural, how unjust, how cruel to ourselves and others must the levelling scheme be!

Upon the whole, to attempt to equalize men is to act against the Almighty, in creation, in his natural providence, in his moral government of the world, and in his preparing men for a state of perfect rectitude and perfect happiness.

re the

lense of ber

late o

nen ca

remel

II. an

thefe a to fay, their n their c

elves.

erated

hall plo nalize

he cir

Mr Go

for the

onfou linofe

He had

lace,

2 I

Our ept pu

livided

igned is own

ctorie

tating

f the

intal

1

buntry

I am certain, that many of our modern levellers are not ware, that, in endcavouring to establish equality, they acting against God; otherwise they would turn from the is with abhorrence: Nor do I know, that any political win has represented the levelling scheme exactly in this point wiew; but if they will give themselves the trouble of researching, they cannot but perceive the truth of all that has be advanced.

R. T.

LETTER XIL

To establish Equality is impossible.

COUNTRYMEN,

S Hould ever the people of this country become weary that inequality in their condition, which he, who may and ruleth the world, hath appointed; and long to establish equality, they would have a great deal to do, before the could accomplish their desire.

1. They must change their nature.

It is not "a regeneration of government," which, in the ease, would be necessary, but a regeneration of man. The must extinguish the desire of distinction, which hitherts he been found inseparable from their nature. It is an innocest a useful, and even necessary law of human nature, moderated to desire honours, distinctions, and eminence of every kind. The love of equality" has never been known to have a place among our affections. It has no real object, to which, he the other affections, it might correspond; and though may desire to equal their superiors, yet they have never been known to desire equality with their inseriors. This is against their nature.

But, before we can establish equality, we must annihilate not only the natural desire of distinction, but a sense of right and wrong. As every man knows, that he has a right to maintain what is his own, he will be very averse to give it another, before he be convinced, that that other has a right to it; and to convince men of this, we must first convince them, that there is no difference between mine and thine, that there is nothing like justice, or that justice and benevolence

are not they : the i al w point of refle has bee R. T.

weary vho m eftabli fore the

h, in th The nerte b innoce oderate ery kind re a plac ich, lib ugh m ever be is agai

mihilate of right right ! give it to convin ine, that

evolence art nethe same. And though the desire of distinction and a case of justice were wholly extirpated; yet the production benevolence, in the degree necessary to dispose men to a ate of equality, would be found a very hard talk. It is with ifficulty, in the prefent thate of human nature, that fome en can be charitable, and others, just; but it would be exremely difficult to perfuade the rich to part with almost their Il and place themselves on a level with the poor. On all befe accounts, it will not be thought an extravagant affertion, ofay, that before we can equalize men we must fo far change heir nature, as to make it different in kind from what it now For God never did, and never will, make men equal inheir circumttances; and if he do not, it mutt be men themelves. But before men be brought to undertake this great rork, they must be fitted for it by having their nature rege. erated or specifically changed; and as this regeneration or spethe change depends on the will of God, we must wait till it all please him to produce it, before we can undertake to eulize men, with any probability of fuecefs. Equality in be circumstances of men, or a " revolution of property" as Mr Godwin terms it, " cannot take place, till the general mind as been highly cultivated "." It will not take place then. for the more men are cultivated, the farther will they be from afounding things, that are diffined; the more will each be iposed to preserve his own, and to allow to others their own. he had faid the truth, if he had faid, that it can not take hee, before men undergo a specific change.

2 Before we can equalize men, we mult produce the great-

thehange in their fituation.

Our cities and towns, our elegant and neat houses, all, expt public buildings, must be razed to the ground, or lufferto crumble into dult. The whole of the country must be ided into fmall plots of ground, one of which must be afmed to every man at leaft, above the age of twenty-one, for town substittence and that of his family. All our manudories, all infiruments and machines for abridging and faciuting labour, and all our thipping (except what makes a part the public force) must be destroyed. All local and inciental advantages, by which one man, or one part of the Nay, a man must abitain from uting his thrength, skill,

> H . Godwin's Enquiry, v. 2. p. 353.

forelight,

forefight, and any advantage fortune may give him, in such a manner, as might render him, in time, unequal to others, a much as honest men now abstain from stealing. All this mut be done before men can either be made, or remain, equal but this would produce such a change for the worse, upon the face of the country, and in the whole of the external condition and happiness of men, as we can, at present, have a sufficient of.

3. Before we can equalize men, we must produce a war great change in their employments, and whole mode of life

All arts and sciences as the means of procuring riches, commerce and manufactures, all luxury (if it were possible that men, in such a state, could enjoy the means of luxury and all frugality, all labour and idleness, all care and new gence, all means, in short, of acquiring and spending, bevo a certain measure, must be strictly forbidden. Every ma must build, and keep in repair, his own house, and must me nufacture for himself and for no other, all the instruments of labour, and the different articles of food and cloathing. For if any man is permitted either to work to another for wages or to manufacture and fell any thing whatever, there will, fo far, be a certain degree of inequality; and if fuch protices, however small, are, at first, winked at for the fake conveniency, they will foon become greater. The avarice and ambition, perhaps even the necessities, of men, will prom them to extend their labours and commerce; and the fociety which began with ettablishing equality, will thus, after for time, become, in any degree almost, unequal.

4. Before we can ettablish equality, besides those mentioned above, we must enact and observe several other very unjust and

difagreeable laws.

Suppose an equal partition of all property amongst all the men of the society, that are married. If one family should die aut, their portion must either be unoccupied and unappropriated, or given to some person who wants. If one man had no children, or fewer than another, he must, by the laws, to obliged to adopt the children of another in order that they may be equal. If the inhabitants should either increase of decrease, there must be a new partition of property; and it they should increase beyond the number, which the lands on supply with food, the surplus must be banished; all other sources, from which they might derive a support, being such

In Arifford

k afted

the in

he num

an fup

elves to

means O

a: and

But, in

has beer

long w

bitant

he who

an, bu

equality

The

Mish equ

regener

greateft the most

endent,

oder th

equality

berty

o be ec

dan an

f equa

hecific

gard to

The

Sparta

lidorica

two cla

men, v

were,

qual in

were al

though

(for the

In this country, at present, the diminution, or increase, the inhabitants, can occasion little inconveniency. Though be number be greater than the fields, in their present state, in supply with sood; yet all having liberty to apply themdres to trade and manusacture, they acquire, by them, the
means of buying corn from other countries, which can spare
it; and thus their industry supplies the deficiency of the lands.
But, in order to establish equality, trade and manusactures, as
has been already observed, must be banished; and, therefore,
dong with them, must be banished, all that surplus of the inlabitants, who can have no subsistence by agriculture. And
the whole of this method, it is evident, must not only be bemun, but continued, if we intend to establish and maintain
must supplied to the surplus of the supplied to the suppli

The sum of what has been said, is this: If we are to establish equality in the circumstances of men, we must literally agenerate their understandings and hearts, change, in the statest degree, their situations and employments, and enach the most unjust and disagreeable laws; from all of which, it is mident, that men must suffer the greatest injustice, and lie ader the most intolerable restraints; that, if they are to enjoy quality, they cannot enjoy liberty; that they would lose their berty in proportion as they gained equality; that, in order to be equal, they must lay themselves under greater restraints, than any master lays upon his slaves. But as the establishment of equality, even in the circumstances of men, depends on a specific alteration of their nature, it is, therefore, with re-

pard to man, impossible.

n fuch

hers.

his m

equ

pont

have

e a ren

of life

hes,

poffible

luxury

neg

beyon

TY MM

uft ma

. For

wages

will,

ch pra

fake

rice a

prom

Cociety

er for

ntione

uft and

all the

thoul

nan ha

ws, be

at they

eafe or

and i

nds em

other

g but

napp

cond

The whole of what has been faid, is confirmed by history. Sparta is the most remarkable state, of which there are any historical records, for equality amongst the individuals of the wo classes of men, into which it was divided. Their freemen, who, compared with the rest, were a fort of aristocracy, were, by Lycurgus, made, and for a long time preserved, equal in their situation; all the rest of the men in the state were also equal, for they were all the most abject slaves. But shough the aristocrates were kinsmen, or of the same tribe, so suggested they were a distinct race from the Helotes or slaves, a suggested people.) though the inequality amongst them, as

In Mr Godwin's scheme of equality, all men are to be Spartans or Aristocrates; and all matter, Helotes of slaves. Let him make his avestractable, and he will not want aristocrates. His farce will then kasted in life, and more than poetical justice done to all.

Godwin's Enquiry, v. 2. p. 389.

in favage tribes, must have been comparatively fmall, and comfequently the difficulty of establishing equality of property a mongst them little, compared with what it must be in any European country; though Lycurgus, by his plan, proposed to exempt them from all labour, (for that was to be the take of the flaves only) though the rich ariftocrates could scarcely refuse to the poor members of their own body what was no ceffary for their support (for the whole order were, for their wn fecurity, under a perpetual necessity of being leagued a gainst the slaves) yet it was with theutmost difficulty, and after a violent thruggle of parties, that he could induce them to a dopt his plan. In that country, their best houses were, what ours would be, should we try the levelling scheme, mean cottages. The freemen were prohibited, not only from trade and all fervile employments, but even from agriculture. Ther were obliged to neglect the arts of peace for those of war, and to suppress or neglect the humane and gentle virtues for the cultivation of those that were harsh and severe. They were obliged to be all equally barbarous and rude, except in the art of war. Wives and children feem to have belonged to the flate more than to the individual. In short, after all that has been faid of Sparta, nature feems there to have been, in a great measure, inverted; and when they became more numerous than their lands could support, they were obliged either to murder their flaves, or fend out colonies of their free men, that is, in a manner, to banish them from their native home. At last, that unnatural system of equality, amongst the freemen of Sparta, which, in a great measure, prevailed (for they were far from being equal in power) and which was propped by injuffice, cruelty, idleness, barbarism, and the suppression of the humane affections, fell to the ground.

Upon the whole, whenever we confider the levelling scheme with any degree of attention, we are still led to this conclu-

fion, that as it is against nature, fo it is impossible.

The inequalities amongst men, with respect to wealth, power, estimation, and rank, are the necessary result of unequal talents and dispositions, of unequal opportunities of displaying those talents and dispositions, and of a variety of circumstances, determined, indeed, by God, but, with regard to us, entirely fortuitous. If it be natural for the sea to ebb and show; if it be natural for the moon to assume various phases; if it be natural for the sun to rise and set; if it be natural for

Co I T to be atte prehe count

certa

H ves

e une

no l

and fi

ir ho

new li

when,

fet bo

out ar

kim to

we th

proba

ofthi

bellio

of the

talk,

which

BRIUT

Th

In necession all ney, must, equal easy only proper

all regetables to fpring and wither; it is natural for men to be unequal. The inequality, which prevails amongst them, is no less natural, no less necessary, than their bloom, decay, and final dissolution. But when we are able to invert, or aty how alter, the course of nature; when, by means of our saw light, we can teach the rivers to return to their sources; when, by our new laws, we are able to chain the deep, and it bounds to its "proud waves;" when we shall have sound at an invention for arresting the sun in his course, or forcing him to alter it according to our humour or caprice; then may we think of establishing equality, and of doing it with some probability of success.

There is a fable that prevails amongst some of the people of this country, that certain of the fallen angels, for their rebellion against God, were doomed to make ropes of the fand of the sea. Such would be the curse; and such, the fruitless talk, of those, who should endeavour to establish equality; which would be a violation of one of the most general laws of

nature.

and con

perty &

e in any

propoled

the talk

fcareely.

Was ne

for their

gued a

ind after

m to a

e, what

ean cot-

m trade

ar, and

for the

y were

in the

hat has n, in a ore nuged ei-

ir free-

mongst evailed

ch was

cheme

enclu-

, pow-

nequal

laying

mitan-

to us,

b and

hafes;

ral for

They

LETTER XIII.

Confequences of an Attempt to eflablish Equality.

COUNTRYMEN,

I T must be evident, from the foregoing considerations, that the establishment of equality is impossible. But should it the attempted, there are, at least, three consequences to be apprehended; civil diffentions, slavery, and the subjection of the country to a foreign power. The former of these would most

certainly, the two latter, most probably, follow.

In order to render men equal in their circumflances, it is secessary to make an equal partition, not of land only, but of all other property of every kind. The whole of the money, goods, and effects, of every individual in the nation, must, as it were, be collected into one heap, in order that an equal division of all may be made amongst all. But is it not taly to see what opposition this scheme must meet with, not only from the proprietors of land, but from all men, whose property or income is greater than their neighbours? nay, from

H 4 every

It

all re

Aitut

falle

body

Ther

who

vicio

both

of th

them

of m

onfine

time

war.

this c

drawi

of his

dema

nochi

divili

fiend that i

hazar

horro

or to

that to justice him f

pinef

mind

certai

celli:

woul

mifer

The

lipeec hono

of its

anga a

Su

every man, however mean his present condition, who may at any distant period, expect to better his circumstances, and rise to some degree of inequality? And would not this oppofition, should it become a civil war, be productive of more horrors, than have yet been experienced by wretched France?

The nation, upon such an attempt being made, would inmediately divide into two factions. The one faction would be composed, not only of all proprietors of land, but of all those possessed of property of any other fort; of all substantial tenants and tradefmen; of all those, who, the' poor, had any reasonable expectation of bettering their circumflances by honest industry; of all those, whatever might be their circumstances, in whose breasts there were any sense of justice; any tendernessin fhedding the blood of their relations, friends, and countrymen; any regard to the divine laws, or any fear of God, before whose judgment seat we must all appear. The other faction would be chiefly composed of those, whose vices had reduced them both to poverty and despair; of those, whose disappoint ments had produced, in their minds, a species of madnely and of fome ambitious and defigning men, who would ride in the tempest of civil diffension, and direct the storm towards the destruction of all power but their own. These different descriptions of men would compose the two factions. And if we confider how many are possessed of property to such extent, that they would not submit to a division; and how few are possessed of so little, that they would quietly put it into the hands of licentious, unprincipled, unjust men, in expectation of receiving it back with interest; if we consider what influence those in the higher ranks ever have had, and, in the nature of things, ever must have, in attaching to their intered those in the lower; if we consider, that the great body of the people of this nation is a thinking, fleady, fober-minded race of men, who have penetration to discover the delign of those, who are liberal in their professions of zeal for their interest, and fagacity to fee the end of any measures proposed to them; and in whose breasts, without any oftentation, jultice, humanity, and the fear of God, in a great degree, prevail; we shall entertain no doubt, that that faction, which should be for an equal division of property, would be by far the weakest, and most probably but a handful of men, compared with the other ..

[.] When a certain author concludes, that a majority of the people of

o may

es, and

of more

France!

uld im-

ould be

tenants

fonable

induf-

ces, in

rnefs in

rymen; before

faction

ppoint

adness

ridein

towards

ifferent

And if

ich ex-

ow few

it into

expec-

er what

in the

interef

ody of

r-mind-

deligns or their

ropoled

n, juf-

e, pre-

by far

com.

people of

thà

It would not only be the least numerous, but the weakest in all respects. These men would not only be almost wholly defitute of money, arms, of every thing to make good their false claims; but they would want that strength of mind and body, which would be necessary to maintain their cause. There is no doubt, that the whole almost of that faction, who should be for an equal partition of property, would be vicious men; and the constant tendency of vice is to weaken both the mind and body. It tends to deprive men, not only of that animal strength and courage, which are natural to them, but of that penetration, that foresight, that presence of mind, that firmness and intrepidity arising from a consciousness of integrity, which are possessed by the virtuous in times of necessary, and which are necessary for conducting a war. When men are conscious of a good cause, they main-

this country would contend for equality of property, his conclusion is not drawn from the actual flate of their minds, the' most probably from that of his own.

Suppose all those in the lowest rank, collected in a vast plain; that a demagogue should ascend a stage, and, with a voice heard by all, should fay to them; " It is not just, that the rich should have so much, and you nothing but your earnings; it is just, that there hould be an equal divifon of property: All is before you, and you have only to fight for fuch a divition: Follow me, and you shall obtain it." Would not the people's conkiences tell them, that they have no right to the property of others, and that it would be unjust to feize it? Would not they reflect upon the hazard of fuch an attempt? Would they not fhrink back, with fear and horror, from the thought of being obliged either to murder the innocent, or to be put to death by them? Would not their very nature tell them, that they should be answerable to the Lord of the universe for all the inoffice and murder that should be committed? Could they look up to him for a bleffing upon their attempt, or for peace of confcience and hapnels in the enjoyment of what they must wade through streams of blood to obtain? Would not they helitate much, and revolve, in their minds, with the utmost feriousness, the nature of the business, the untertainty of success, or rather the certainty of disappointment, the netellity of murder in order to fuccefs, and the pain of that worm that graws the heart of murderers? And after thus thinking, how few would be disposed to follow this demagogue to a harvest of blood and mifery?

The case, here supposed, was actually, in some fort, tried at Rome. The proposals of Cariline to his followers contained more than the above speech. He promised his followers, not equality, but riches and all the knours of the state. Yet, even in that Heathen country, in the time of its greatest corruption, he was followed by none but the vicious, the siminal, and desperate. And if sew in the lowest ranks of life would magage in so horrid a business, much sewer in the higher ranks would, and home in any rank but the vicious and surjously desperate.

ed in

woul

if, b

with

who

the le

atten

by fi

head

this o

confp

tuous

never ineffe on th

ple, I

an eq

They divide who l

enacti

effate

long o

Th

of the feuda]

to tak

and vi

were]

terwas

campa

ceffary

power

many

found

tom t

by the

in thei

hould

those l

TI

tain it both with courage and confrancy; but they never can have much courage, or constancy, when their own consciences fight against them; and this mult be the case in a contention where the unjust partition of property is the object. wicked, faith Solomon, flee, when no man purfueth; but the

righteous are bold as a lion *.

Should thefe two factions, therefore, take the field, how anequal would be the contest? On the one hand, are a superis ority of numbers, riches, arms, and wifdom, a good caufe, and courage to maintain it; on the other, inferior numbers, want of all things necessary for conducting a war, a bad cause, a bad conscience, and of course pusillanimity. But it is not probable, that those men would bring their cause to be decid-

. Good men, in general, are poffe fled not only of native frength of mind, but of much additional courage and fortitude, which they derive from the confciousness of serving God. They generally have a certain elevation of mind, which fets them above fear, and which is nobly espressed by a French writer of former times. " Je crains Dieu, je n'ai point d'autre crainte." " I fear God, I have no other fear." That support, that strength and courage, which the pious and virtuous derite from their piety and virtue in time of danger, are well exemplified in the life of David. The confidence, which he placed in God (and he could have placed no confidence in him, had he not been confcious, that, not wirhstanding of the occasional prevalence of fin, the aim of his life was to ferve him) made him, when a youth, run with ardour, naked, and searmed, (except with a shepherd's staff and sling) sgainst a man of gigstick fize and ftrength, and experienced in war. And afterwards, when he was in fo critical a fituation, that his followers became feditions, and spake of stoning him, because the Amalekites had carried off their wive, children, and goods, this confidence gave him that presence of mind, and that courage, which enabled him both to escape danger from his own men, and to recover all from the Amalekites. It was on that memorable occasion, that David comforted bimfelf in the Lord bis God. It was a fuch oceasions, that this great king and pious man could fay: " The Land is my light and my falvation, whom shall I fear? The Lord is the strength of my life, of whom shall I be afraid? Though an host that encamp against me, my heart shall not fear; though war should rife & rainst me, in this will I be confident. For, in the time of trouble, he shall hide me in his pavilion; in the fecret of his tabernacle shall he his me, he shall fet me up upon a rock "

The case of wicked men, in time of danger, is quite the reverts. Their impiety and vice deprive them of their natural fortitude and conrage, and make them fuperflitious, timorous, and faint-hearted. dream, an incident conftrued into a bad omen, a rumour, a noife, the shaking of a leaf, even their own shadow, are, at such times, sufficient to put them in fear or terror. So true is it what Solomon fays of these

The wicked for, when no man pursueth.

ed in the field. Their mad ardour and groundless discontent would evaporate in riots and tumults about hig towns. But if, by a concurrence of accidents, the cause should be decided with arms, there is no doubt, all things considered, that those, who should be for an equal partition of property, would be

the losing party.

This will appear evident to those, who consider, with due attention, what has now been faid; and it may be illustrated by fimilar cases in the history of Rome. The conspirators, headed by Catiline, were a body of fuch men, as would, in this country, contend for an equality of property; and that conspiracy was soon crushed by a combination of all the virmous men in the republic. At Rome, the Agrarian Laws never produced any thing almost, but fedition, tumults, and ineffectual thruggles; and yet the object of those laws urged on the Senators, with fuch force by the tribunes of the people, backed by the people themselves, was not, by any means, an equal partition of lands, or of any other kind of property. They were of two forts. The object of the one fort was to divide certain lands won from the enemy among the foldiers, who had won them; and thefe laws the people never could get macked. The object of the other fort was to limit the fize of flates; and this indeed was paffed into a law, but was not long observed.

The people of Rome were foldiers, and, like the tenants of the Crown, in this country, during the prevalence of the feedal fystem, were, when summoned by the conful, obliged to take the field, and provide themselves with arms, cloaths, and victuals. At the time, the former fort of Agrarian Laws were proposed by the tribunes, and for a considerable time afterwards, they received no pay from the republic; and, if a compaign was long, their fields lay uncultivated, and their necellary expences involved them in debt. Their creditors had power not only to confine, but to torment, them: So that many of the Roman people, on their return from the wars, found themselves deprived of their freedom, and involved in poverty and mifery. But they had conquered certain lands hom their neighbours. These lands, therefore, were theirs by the right of conquest; but the rich had long retained them in their own hands; and now the people infifted that they hould be divided. To effect this divition was the object of those long and violent contentions about the Agrarian Laws,

The

ed in the he could hat, notfe was to and unof giganis, when

ver can

ciences

tention

but the

d, how

fuperi.

caufe.

imberi,

d caufe.

t is net

e decid-

ength of

y derive

a certain

obly endi

us derive

The

is, when
ous, and
ir wives,
of mind,
his own
memort was on
'he Lord

d is the hoft shall d rife souble, he hade

ted. A coife, the fufficient of them,

tution

when the al

moro

what

For.

people

and en

joy, t

ics, a

nothin

In

to cate

hws;

herty,

by for

which

ple for

with th

thole

which

civil w

democi

ret the

and, fr

lecame

er, til

ttemp

wishin,

M foffr

nities ?

God w

heed b

which

ed in

ery lor

tions m

The case of the Roman people was very different from their in this country, who should aim at an equal partition of property. They contended only for a division of certain conquered lands; which ought, on the one hand, either to have been restored to the conquered, or, on the other, to have been divided among the conquerors, or to have been common. But whether their cause was right, or wrong, the people never could get their resolutions, with regard to a division of those lands, passed into a law. And if the Roman people could not, after many and violent struggles, obtain a division of certain lands, which really seemed to be theirs, upon what ground can an equality of all property, in this country, be expected?

When the people of Rome saw, that all their struggles to obtain a division of the conquered lands, were fruitless, they dropt the contest; about which time, Licinius Stolo made a motion for limiting the fize of estates to sive hundred acres. The motion was, indeed, adopted, and passed into a law; but it was not long, before Licinius himself was sined for transgressing it. The violation of it became afterwards frequent; and notwithstanding all the efforts of the two Gracchi and Drusus, tribunes of the people, it became, in time, quite ob-

folete*.

It is very remarkable, that though at the founding of Rome, two acres only of land were allowed to each man as his portion; yet, notwithstanding of all the contention about the grarian laws, there was, at last, a greater inequality, in point of property, amongst men in that republic, than in any other government, perhaps, either before or fince, in the whole world.

Indeed it was usual, at Rome, for any leader of the prople to urge the agrarian laws on the rich, when he intended to give popularity to any ambitious measure, which he wished the people to adopt. In the republic of Rome, a line, drawn by the fundamental laws, for a long time, separated the plebeians or commons from the patricians or nobility. The rich plebeians burned with ambition to pass beyond this line, and mix with the patricians; to become eligible to all effices and honours; and, in short, to be in point of consistutional

Sometime after the enacting of this law, and before it became oblilete, it being found, that certain rich men were possessed of more land than what was allowed by it, the illicit surplus was taken from them at a fine, and divided among the people. This seems to be all the division of land, that was made in consequence of the agrarian laws. tutional rank, wholly on a level with the Patricians: And when they wished to pass any law, which paved the way for the abolition of all distinctions, they were sure to be very clamorous for the agrarian laws, that the people seeing their apparent zeal for their interest, might support them in obtaining what was really the object of their defire. This is evident. For, when the rich plebeians had, by the affishance of the people, rendered the whole order capable of filling all offices, and enjoying all honours, offices and honours however, which they themselves only could, from circumstances, fill and enjoy, they abandoned the cause of the people in the lower classes, and formed connexions with the rich only, whether defended from patrician or plebeian families; there was then

nothing more heard of the agrarian laws.

their

pro-

con-

have

been

But

never

those

could

of cer-

round

caed?

les to

they

nade a

acres.

v: but

tranf-

uent:

hi and

ite ob

Rome.

s por-

the a-

point

other

whole

e peo-

tended

e wish-

a line,

parated

bility.

ed this

to all

confi.

utional

ne obfo-

ore land

them as

In Rome, the bait with which the rich demagogues fished to catch the people, was a profession of great zeal for these hws; and it should feem, that, in these times, the cry of liberty, equality, and universal suffrage, has been made use of, by fome, for the same purpose. There was one mischief, which at Rome. followed the ineffectual struggles of the people for the agrarian laws, against which we ought to guard with the utmost caution. The attempt to enact and enforce those laws, joined to the privilege of voting individually. which the people had acquired, was the remote cause of the wars that ruined that state. Rome was now a monstrous knocracy, in which, tho' there were no legal, there were the greatest real, distinctions The people were divided, nd, from the habit of contending, prone to faction. ous men feized the opportunity, and placed themselves at the lace of the different factions; and from that time, Rome came a continued scene of tumult, civil war, and slaugh-, till Augustus gave it peace and slavery. And were we to tempt to establish equality, and should succeed in the extinmilhing of conflitutional rank, and the establishing of univer-Infirage (for here, as in Rome, where it was tried for a my long period, equality could be carried no farther) what fon have we to believe that we should escape similar calaaties? None at all. We are made of the fame flesh and sod with the Romans; and fimilar effects will ever be proced by fimilar causes. That monstrous democracy of Rome, which Augustus gave the finishing blow, was but lately reied in the Convention of France.

It is as certain as any thing in our history, that the or nal fettlers in this island could not have a right of poffer to all of it; for, being few in number, they could occur part only. It is equally certain, that the tribes, who c after them, contended with them about their poffeffigns. certainly had a right to possels any parts that were uno and unappropriated. How these different tribes settled t disputes, is not known; but it is certain, that, between tending nations, and tribes or families of the fame nat there is not, perhaps, a spot of ground, which has not b frequently conquered and reconquered: And the lateft querors were always supposed to have a right to what t possessed in consequence of their conquering it; a law, whi though absolutely unjust, was yet relatively equal, because was the same to all independent societies of men. Breu king of the Gauls, expressed the law that has ever obtain amongst favage and barbarous nations, " the rights of valid men lie in their fwords."

For one nation to take from another its property, does not give it a right to that property, more than robbery gives robber a right to his plunder; yet when two nations have been at war, whatever the cause of the war has been, and he agreed upon, or acquiefced in, certain conditions, upon which they are to live together in peace, what property and advantages each of them retains, by their mutual agreement or so quiescence, are to be accounted its rights, otherwise there as

ed to

ell :

the ri

onni

the ti

Was n

der

Ri

the rip

GIE AL

action

It is

riod

There king a

Ind a

and

pualit

ver would be peace. Though the right of conquest cannot be admitted in civil fociety, because the admission of it would be the cause perpetual robbery and murder; and would at once put anes to the fociety; though in fuch focieties there is no necessit for admitting it, because if one man is deprived by another his right, the magistrate can restore it; yet, in the case of as tions at war, this right must be admitted, because there is necessity for admitting it, there being no third party, likes magistrate, who has a right and power to command the injurious to repair the injuries they have done; and because, wi out admitting this right, hostilities would be perpetual Itu not juffice, which creates the right of conqueit, but necessity and as necessity urges the validity of this right, it has been and, for the lake of mankind, for the prevention of the pe petual effusion of human blood, it ever must be admitted

cup

0 0

30,

en c

nati

ot be

eft d

at th

which cause

btained valige

gives a

ns have

nd bare

n which

advan

t or w

bere as

in au

cause

anen

receff

other o

e of m

ere in

like a he injuic, with

Little

ecellity

is beer

the pe

itted !

ald. Men are, in the case of one nation being conquered another, left to choose the least of two evils, either to refill the conqueror, or to admit his right as valid. Wars mult ne: human nature makes it fo; but if the right of the conqueror is not to be acknowledged, there is no hope of their ning to an end. Necessity, therefore, irrelishable necessity, iges mankind to recognize this right. We find, therefore, that the validity of this right has been admitted from the time e have any account of mankind. We find, that Melchizereceived tithes of all, and that Abraham permitted the who accompanied him to receive a portion of the spoil. the the battle of the kings; and that Jacob, when dying, the to Joseph, a portion, which he took from the America with his fourd and his bow; in which cases, they used the right of conquerors. It will be faid, that these good men used their right as conquerors only in so far as to indemnify themselves for the trouble and expence of necessary wars. I am dilpofof to think they used their right only to far; for good men will not, in the character of good men, use any advantage ned in war for any other purpole; they will never use it, at But the above-mentioned alt, to injure their neighbours. als are recorded in such a way as gives us to understand, that the right of conquerors to the spoil taken by them was then icknowledged as a ralid right: And the history of our own ontry, and of all barbarous nations teaches us, that, during he times of barbarifm; what is called the right of conquest, was univerfally confidered as valid. I wish here not to be misunderstood. Though a custom unjust in itself, is less injurim, when it is universal, than it would be, were it local, be ife, mutual injuries in such a case are, upon the whole, in one measure, repaired by each other; yet I maintain, that right of the conqueror entitles him only to indemnify himand to provide for his own fafety, not to injure another nation.

It is a very great error to suppose, that there was a remote priod, when the inhabitants of this country were equal. There were always, so far as history goes, the mequalities of ting and subject, of chiestain and follower or visital. The Satons and Normans brought with them the same diffinctions, And as those diffinctions had always an inequality of possession and property, corresponding to them, so both forts of inequality must have arisen, partly from the right of primogeni-

de unital fime Combitación

ture,

ture, that universal right, which, in a certain degree, is found ed, in nature and the appoinment of God; partly from unequa wildom, strength, and probity, and the unequal dispensation of providence. These inequalities, therefore of fortune and rank, being, in so far, made by God, no human power could have prevented them. But they were rendered much greater by persons selling themselves and their children for slaves; a practice, which seems once to have prevailed in every part of the world. In Europe, war does not appear to have been the cause of greater inequalities amongst men, than would, by the above-mentioned causes, have taken place, though men had lived in perpetual peace; though it certainly has been the cause, why one nation, or family, has fallen, and another til-

ef be of th

(III

pro

no

in a

him

con

Ad

both

bala

which

ed,

the f

reflit

tutio

is, a

cities

merc

that t

foil,

tors o

as the

penfa

mote

en up in its room.

The conqueft of England by the Normans has been objected as very injurious, by certain political writers, with a very philanthropic air, but with the very worst intentions. It gives the lie to a man's philanthropy, it argues malevolence, and dark design, when he wes all the powers of language in stir the sediments of malevolence, revenge, war, and misery. If any nation has a right to conquer another, the Norman certainly had as good a right to conquer the Saxons, as the Saxons had to conquer the Britons. The conquest by the somer was in itself less injurious than that of the latter, and it was much more beneficial in its effects. Towards the end of the Saxon monarchy, there was no true liberty. The Norman conquest, "by regenerating the government," was the true, though remote, cause of all that liberty, which we now enjoy."

In wars begun about two thousand years ago, and carried on, with no great intervals of peace, for about twelve hundred, between different nations, and different tribes or families of the same nation, there must have been much injury committed. But those injuries were mutual, and, in a long course of years, as between the Scots and English, must nearly have balanced each other. This we know, that the people of those times composed their differences themselves according to the laws or maxims that then prevailed. They had a right to do it, we neither have a right to do it, non can we do it, nor, had we both the right and power, ought we is do it by the laws of the present day. For no individual or and

De Lolme on the Constitution of England, b. I. c. I, and s.

ound

equa

tion

e and

coul

reate

8.4

art of

n the

me

n the

er rif.

bjet.

It

ilery.

rman

as the

ne for

and it

end of

Nor

as the

e new

arried

hun

fami

com-

long

near

e peo

CS 10-

y had

an we

we to

or ...

LIDE

1 3.

sion ought to be judged by a poll fullium law; or, as the French express it, the laws ought not to have a retro-active effect. All causes whether public or private ought always to be tried by the existing laws, because they can be tried by no other: Nor ought any cause, which is equitably decided by those laws, to be again tried; otherwise, there would be no end of contention.

As it is a wholesome maxim, that every man he deemed innocent, before he can be proved guilty; fo it is a maxim equally wholesome, that the present possessor of any subject be deemed the just possessor, before some other person can difprove the supposed right of the present possessor, and shew his own. But the doctrine of reflicution has been taught with no oblique reference to the Norman conquest and other wars in ancient times. If any man has himself suffered injury, let him shew it, and the law will repair the injury. If any man complain, that some of his ancestors may have been injured in remote periods, let him trace up the chain of his ancestors to Adam; let him shew, that some of his ancestors have been injured, but that none of them have ever been injurious; let him shew, that between his ancestors and their contemporaries, during a course of two thousand years or more, there has not been a retaliation of injuries 4 and that the injuries received by both parties have not by retaliation, and other means, been balanced. All this he is bound to shew, before he can shew, that he has a claim to reparation for those supposed injuries, which he has received through his ancestors.

As every inch of the island has been several times conquered, if a restitution of lands is to be made, it must be made to the first proprietors; and they can no where be found. If a restitution of lands is to be made, for the same reason, a restitution must be made of the whole produce of the land; that is, a restitution of the money and goods of the farmer, of the cities and houses through the kingdom, of the riches of the merchant and manufacturer, in so far as it can be proved, that those subjects or riches have either immediately or remotely, either in a direct or indirect way, slowed from the foil, which is the support of all. But as the original proprietors of laud can never be sound, nor their descendants known; as the measure in which any man may be entitled to a compensation in consequence of his ancestors being sigured in a remote period cannot be ascertained, as the restination of lands

I 2 fuppoled

U

Ser.

4160

00

May :

No.

183

Sale of

IT

terio

of a

and

of ec

J.

2 15

lowe

Bu

there

ged,

good

as for

to wi ducti ing,

Petty

of m

fupposed to be unjustly possessed, i.e. all the lands in the kindom (for all have been several times conquered) involved it, so far, the resistation of all property that may have it any way, arisen from them; in short, as there is a read impenetrable darkness, that covers past injuries, and past reparation of injuries; if restitution is to be at all made, it must be made by what men would consider as very injurious, by collecting all the property of every kind, in the country, into one common heap, that an equal distribution of all may be made amongst all. But as this would be injurious, so, as has been already shewn, it would be impracticable. The attempt to do it, would be fruitful in nothing but mischief.

Such an attempt would breed the greatest animolities and deep-rooted enmities amongst friends and neighbours. It would produce the greatest diffentions and tumults; it would prove the cause of blood-shed on such occasions, and of eneutions afterwards. It might, as at Rome, be the cause of fuch faction, as would terminate in flavery; or it might h us open to invafion, and fubject us to a foreign power; w would terminate our domestic quarrels by seizing the prop both of poor and rich. A fimilar contention between the tons, who inhabited the fouthern part of the island, and the of the northern, was the canfe why the former invited Saxons to affift them against the latter. The Saxons, inde affifted them; but when they had driven away their enem they feined the country, and the property in it, for th felves. Few conquetts have been more dreadful, in any fped, than the Saxon conquell of this country. It is fur fed that they either put to death, enflaved, or wholly ex minated, the inhabitants . The maxim and practice of the Romans was " divide and rule." By dividing the Green they were able to fubdue that people, who, when mill could bid defiance to all the great force of the Perfian king William the conqueror led his brave and warlike troops to the coast of France, opposite to England, and shewing them the land, which should be theirs, if they won it by their valous fired them at once with the love of glory and with ambition. The defires of men are ever the fame; and certainly the country, fo enriched and fo improved, with all its trade as

Hume's Hiftory of England. Goldsmith's do.

alits infular advantages, is of all countries in the world, the most tempting prize to a foreign power.

Upon the whole, when such observations, as the above, are confidered with feriousness and attention, no poor man, if honest, will be disposed to claim any property, which he canmt prove a right to; nor any rich man disposed to grant fuch me, before feeing the justice of them. To it will english at short of the national state of the State of the

Log L. Alexandria extend on Parale membrane ed the worlde atte we have a superior to the superior of the supe

LETTER XIV.

11 - 11 Section 1800 9 3/ Bruits of Equality Supposed to be Established. in consider resordie, and an ordered has positive to and theil

lves

ave, is

veil of

paft m.

ous, by

Y, into

as has The at-

ief. ies and rs. It

woold

of ext aufe of

d the

nemie

the

fup

exter

reek.

tot

ICCOUNTAYMEN, This experience now for a row mile appropriate T must be very plain to persons of restexion, that the effe-I bliffment of equality, even in those things, which are exterior to men, is impossible. But, suppose, that those things of a patural and moral kind, which render fuch an establishment impossible, were removed, and that men were not only made equal, but rendered permanently fuch, the establishment of equality would be productive of the most pernicious effects. 1. It would suppress the defire of distinction ; for there can

he no gratification of this defire, where no diffinction is al-

But as men are deflined to be unequal, the defire of diffino tion corresponds to their destination. This desire, like all others, considered simply as a part of our nature, is neither vire thous nor vicious, but indifferent. It is the objects to which it is directed, and the way and measure, in which it is indulged, that characterize it. To defire diftinction for perfonal. od qualities is virtuous in itself, and the symptom of an improving nature. To defire distinction in external things, such a fortune and reputation, if it be neither excellive, nor excito to wrong methods to obtain it, is not only innocent, but productive of wife and virtuous conduct; for, generally speaks ing, excellence in all departments, as well as superior fortune aputation, and honour, cannot be otherwise acquired. The petty frauds of scholars, statesmen, foldiers, merchants, and of men in all the different employments in life, are commonly

derected; and then the means used to procure diffinction, feat the end. The just defire, therefore, of slitinction, only tends to increase the wealth and reputation, but to prove the character and happiness of mea.

It has been said, that were men equal in their circumstance.

ces and rank, they would feek diffinction in perforal es lence only. During the thort time, that the agrarian law, Rome, for limiting the fize of effates to five bundred ac was observed, the Patricians aimed at being distinguished for the Plebeians by their virtues and manners. Their aim laudable; but perhaps their motive was rather pique and just fenfe of their rank, than a defire of diftinction for what was excellent in their conduct and behaviour. Neither there, by any means, an equality at Rome, during the fervance of this law. There ftill remained, not only the definctions of Patrician and Plebeian, but a great disparity of fortune. But were all men equal as to riches and rank, bility of becoming unequal, they would probabl A the diffinction of personal excellence, as much as the liftinctions, which are produced by things, that are exter to them. There being nothing but equality in all fentithings that are intellectual and moral, especially, if we t into confideration that ignorance, which would follow the stablishment of equality, and which would render men is a recat measure incapable of differing and valuing person good qualities. And to place diffinction on account of inte-lectual and moral excellence before persons stupid for want of information, as an object of defire, would be to call pe being living.

not their derft fpeci coun gard guith only

that : contr

tain j heart gives tive t

due re

ot ot

hich hug le co

of not

d co

ut rep

ch

But granting the truth of the observation in its full exten there is no reason, why we should equalize men in order turn the defire of diffinction to its most laudable object, defire of personal excellence; for this effect is already pro sed amongst us in as great a degree, as it could be in a of she greatest equality with regard to riches and ho Probably it is produced in a much higher. For in all re personal excellence will give eminence to those who are leffed of it, and, in moli cases, place them, if in the hum flations of life, by the respectability and influence, whire gives them, on a level with those, who are in the higher.

But even the defire of fame, or of diftinction on acce A Probable

of good intellectual and moral qualities," it has been faid by a writer, who plead for the fyttem of equality, " may be lost in the strong defire of benefiting mankind, absorbed by a high degree" of benevolence or " philiathropy."

Wa from D AN and a

rity of

.

tho

ten

e I

the

0 10 0

intel rant of

exte

a, th

degree" of benevolence or philasthropy

Men have no merit in being benevolent to a certain degree;
the they are to by their very nature, without culture and without effort. But they are also actuated by felf-love in to high a degree, that all their actions have been, by somethough errongously, traced up to this principle as their proper source. In the human constitution, the regard, which men have for themselves, bears a certain proportion to that, which they have for others. In this constitution, their reed for themselves must be greater than their regard for there, because men are a charge, and must be the conant objects of attention, to themselves, which they would not be, were their affection for themselves no greater than their affection for their fellow-creatures. I would here be understood to speak of the regard, which men have for their own pecies, in general, not of those particular friendships and connections, in which regard for a man's felf is loft in his repard for others; in which all the felfish affections are exti mished by the focial; in which a person seems to live and act ly for the fake of those, who are dear to him; in which all that men commonly defire for themselves, is valued only as it contributes to their happiness. Self-love, however, in a ceruis just degree, does not, by any means, exclude, from the ort, regard for others, but, in its confequences, rather es scope to the exercise of it; just as a man, who is attento his own affairs, has a greater power of doing good to others, than he who neglects them. But to superfect due regard, which men have for themselves, by giving an exoffive and disproportionate prevalence to that which they have be others, is just as impossible as it is to alter the proportion lich the different parts of the body bear to each other; and, hough politible, it would probably be fuch an innovation contlitution of the human mind, as would be productive f nothing but milery. At any rate, such is the present frame ad condition of men, that any inflitutions for suppretting t regulating, the regard, which men have for themselve giving a disproportionate frength to the focial effections, be productive of the work confequences. It is not fi nch culture, as nature, which makes us benevolent , and as

no culture can make us really more benezolent, than we out to be, in a confidency with that regard, which is due to out felves, fo any political infitutions, which should require the expressions of benevolence in such a degree, would be, in a great measure, fruitless, or productive only of evil.

The defire of diffinction for things, that are neither in ral, nor unworthy of attention, and in a certain degree portioned to our other affections and the salue of thole this in which men feek diftinction, is a natural, juft, ufeful, laudable principle of action, and productive of the hap effects; and it is vain to attempt to confine it to the defire diffinction for personal good qualities, or to supersede the ercife of it by giving an unnatural, and undue firength to t affection of benevolence. This defire, which is productive fo many beneficial effects both to the individual and to fo ty, has full fcope amidst that inequality, which now press in this country; but to establish equality, would be, in a gre measure, to suppress this defire, and of course would be a tended by much loss and mischief. The amputation of limb, or the differtion of the body, is just as likely to preduce happinels, as the partial suppression of this defire, whe it is just, and in its just degree, or the total absorption of it by good-will to mankind, " or philanthropy"."

2. The

10 1

e o

by fu

han

recki

end ch

the b

I here

at ba

m, it

ng i

The most benevolent men are generally the happins; for benevience is pleasant; and as piety is a species of benevolence, a high digre of it conduces much to our present happiness, independent of all function fiderations. An excess of benevolence is very rare; but if the success of this affection were to become general, it would not contribute to be man happiness, because it would in proportion to its excess, lead man neglect their own interest, and attend to that of others; which they set to take care of it. It is, therefore, a mark of the divine wisdom in its moral constitution of man, that men in general should have a greater gard for their own interest and happiness, than the set of others. I was not be here understood as saying any thing against a very high degree benevolence, which is a very great excellence in the character, and carrain source of pleasure, but against that chimerical, that impossed egrees of it, which, if it could be produced, would be excessive, and trimental.

Mr Godwin is of opinion, that the communication of what he called the property of this excelline agree, which he called interconnects, that this excelline degree of benefic or will dispose them to adopt his fyshem of equal property with compulsion, but spontaneously, and to maintain it; that in this same

By this plan, the defire of diffinction is suppressed; and ammerce, all the means of acquiring and spending, and all accumulation, except for public purposes, are profitted. The attention and industry of men, therefore, must be directed wholly to what is necessary for their substitutes, and, perhaps, in some degree, to their secommodation, if any accommodation worth notice, were to be expected in state of equality. But it is not the desire of the necessary or even of the accommodations of life, which is the great spring of industry, but the desire of distinction. It is the desire of eminence, of those distinctions, that are created by superiority in riches, respect, influence and power, more than the defire of the necessary in the desire of industry; and as, without industry, the earth affords little or nothing for the

grea

o of a to prowhen of it by

dq H fu

-

promin to

1 10

sual property, to do good will be the univerfal paffion; that all fharing this shundance felifibrets will vanife; that each will lake his holividual titlence in the thought of general good. Had a perfer of a found understanding from nothing but these policyes of his writings, in which he tushes this doctrine, he would probably have been disposed to call the work under Mr Goodwin's fytiem of equality, philanthropy, and happing, "the paradise of sools;" and to imagine this author to be a well-reining wrong-headed man; but thould he afterwards read the immonility and irreligious of his writings; should he read what he afterwards fine of the difficulty of restraining men from violence and insidiacre in the critis of a revolution, which he afterms to be not far off; should he and the caution, which he gives the rich to make only a temperate resistance to the system of equal property for fear of massace; and the spology, which he offers for the massace which would attend such a revolution, by the happiness, which he says, would arise from equality of property to a degree of hencyolence sufficient to maintain it, after it is established; should, I say, a person read these doctrines and such as these, which are diffused through his book, he will be disposed to think him, worse, his wrongheaded.

There aftirm in opposition to Mr Godwin, that the production of betrelence in the degree necessary for the peacesble introduction of equaity of property is simply impossible, and that, could men by any fort of ulture, be rendered so benevolent, it would be detrimental to their hapness. And this, I stater myself, is already sufficiently evident from that has been faid. If we really intend to promote the happiness of mn, it would be our wissom either to suffer them to be what God in the course of nature makes them, br, if we are to augment their happiness by improving their nature, that we do not, by our pretended or

afe of man, so industry both of a bodily and mental kiel, the source, from which, under God, all our blessings at Establish equality, therefore, and you is a great measury up this source of subsistence, of siches, and of happing In the present state of things, men are stimulated to be industrious, not only for the necessaries, but for all the other thin of life, that are desirable. Of course, the quantity of dustry must be much greater than it would be in a state of quality. The establishment, therefore, of equality, would the diminution of industry.

3. Another confequence of the eltablishment of equi

would be the lofs of the fciences and arts.

In a flate of equality, there would be no great men to tronize the ingenious; and patronage draws forth to which, without it, would be forever latent. There wo scarcely any need of knowledge of the sciences and skill in arts, in a flate of mankind, in which navigation, trade, use of all machinery, and almost all inventions, must be bited, as the means of introducing inequality; in which would scarcely be a private house better than a hut; in a the whole attention of men would be limited to the in cultivation of their fields and manufacture of things for own use. Nay the seiences and arts as extending any fa than to amufement, must, by the supposition, be ban It has often been observed, that skill in the arts is acquir dabour being fo fubdivided, that each may have his att folely directed to one kind of it. But how foon would loft in a state, in which the minds of men, could they to them, would be turned to a thousand different of Thus, in a state of equality, the want of patronage, t ceffary prohibition of the sciences and arts as the means of ducing inequality, and the diffraction of men's minds, banish almost wholly, from lociety, both knowledge and

4. The establishment of equality would be attended

corruption of morals and manners.

In proportion as industry would be lessened, sloth would wail; and sloth is not only a vice in itself, but the cause ther vices. Under such an establishment, men would have defire of external distinctions, because it could not be an ed; scarcely any desire of distinction of any fort; and or quently no desire to cultivate themselves and acquire those tues, by the practice of which all distinctions are generally

reald sontry As c minifi omber

oold on ac

ad the last for ever its mu freece; had on

othe appropriete construction and a construction areas, foreign as a construction areas, and a construction areas areas

Both proper the falled happy to drively a langualistency to industry wremant to all burns. In

rented for thundrentes ferences filer h fible to

4

And thus, through floth and liftlefloefs, the mind, heart, the whole man would be neglected. Human nature Id lie uncultivated, barren of those virtues and graces which radorn it, and fruitful only in vice and rudenels .

Another confequence of the establishment of equality ld be a diminution of the population and firength of the

As equality would diminish the industry of men, it would with their numbers; for, all other things being equal, their abers are always in proportion to the means of fubfiftence d the means of subsistence in proportion to their industry. the established equality must be maintained, when they bee fo numerous, that there would not be a fpot of ground every family or man above twenty-one, the supernumeramust be banished or fent out as colonies, as in ancient ecces or the fruit of marriage must be suppressed, as in the and of Formofa; or children must be exposed, as in China; the aged and infirm must be put to death, as in some other ntries. In a country, where commerce and the arts are emitted, there is no necessity for having recourse to such barrous and unnatural expedients; because, by the practice of arts, the inhabitants may supply themselves with all the erent products of the earth. Tyre was but a rock in the yet, by commerce; the inhabitants were able to supply themselves

Both Godwin and Paine have afferted, that the prefent inequality property is the caule of the frequency of execution of many of those fillehood of this affertion is flewn by the confession of many of those with perty is the cause of the frequency of executions in this country. ppy men, who forfeit their lives by their crimes. Those men, with dring breath, affirm, that it is their vices, which bring them to an

juality of fortune, together with trade and manufacture, has a dacy to lessen the number of executions. For inequality stimulates industry, the commerce and manufacture of the country give men an examity of being industrious, and industry both preferves men from and, by furnishing them with necessaries and conveniencies, preall but the vicious from doing what is injurious to the property of In the reign of Henry the eight, there were about 2000 a for robbery and thefr; in that of Elizabeth, between three and hundred; and at prefens perhaps there are not above forty to These reness form to have been produced by a difference of industry, and once of industry by a difference of opportunity of being industrii.e. by an increase of commerce and manufactures. But were it ble to introduce Mr Godwin's equality and plenty, men would be idleness would produce vice, vice crimes, and crimes executions.

Hume's Hiftory of England, vol. s. Note [M M]

themselves with almost all those things, which any course produced. The same may, in a great measure, be laid of its land. The same is literally the sact with regard to all lar trading towns, the soil of which produces nothing; and yes, such towns, one may find all the different things, which to earth affords.

But if commerce is banished, and equality maintain means, whether right, or wrong, must be used to prevent increase of the people beyond what the fields, ill cultivate can support; and thus population would be hurt. Before the mean, fordid, penurious way of living, which the people would be under a necessity of adopting, would probably duce diseases, and lesses the numbers. All the people was be literally savages, and savage nations are never population.

The same earlies nearly, that would diminish the number would diminish also the riches of the people, and, in the vent, reduce them to abject poverty. And it is the riches numbers of a sation, combined with its laws, customs, rals, and manners, that form its strength. The establishm of equality, therefore, would diminish both the riches

for the product

dibil

poor, ioine, in the

oran

tho o

in f

appo

vorie

manne cellary Mould

by,

of equ

Bet

firength of the nation.

6. Another great evil, which would, in a certain degre

follow the chablifhment of equality, is anarchy.

" It has been faid, that a subordination of rank is neces to the support of a monarchy. It may be faid with truth, that a certain degree of it is equally necessary to support of all governments, not excepting even that of mily. For in all governments, the magistrate requires fort of authority, which arifes from superior riches and as well as that, which is legal, in order to the execution o laws. They are ignorant of men, who think, that they submit to their equals. " There is, in all governments, Hume, a certain firuggle between authority and liberty But in governments, in which all should be equal, this gle would be rebellion, licentiousness would succeed lib and anarchy rule. In time of war, common danger un favages, they put themselves under the government of er; but, in peace, they have fcarcely any fort of government The reason is the great equality, that prevails amongst t and, in the fame fituation, like causes will produce like of

† Political Effays.

1. To crown the whole of what has been faid, the effectionment of equality would be the ellablishment of milers.

It would suppress almost wholly the desire of distinction, the gratification of which, as well as the effects of that gratification, affords pleasure. By diminishing industry, it would not only diminish the activity of men, which is in stiell pleasing, but the means of subsistence and accommodation, and it would wholly destroy those of ornament. It would deprive men of that pleasure, which, in a certain degree, is now conson to all in eyery rank, in this country, the pleasure, which siles from information or learning. By tending, in a great degree, to corrupt men's morals, it would tend as much to reader them miserable; for corruption of morals is but another name for misery. It would be a constant cause of firster for there would be no subordination. In short, the idleness, the poverty, the vice, ignorance, and rusticity, which it would

produce, would all tend to mifery.

n I

76

ent tiva

bly alog

um

n th

193

lifb

ece

h i

to

of

res

id r

n a

16,

erty s ft

13

1 1

ine

k.t

ch

7

1

Let us represent to ourselves all the shipping destroyed. cost what is necessary for the defence of the illand; all e at buildings and even comfortable houles, except that hich belong to the public, thrown down, and miles es crected in their steads all trade and manufacture for ed; all the intercourse of commerce broken off; and all the I ibility, various improvement, and riches, which it produces, b nilhed; the country divided into spots of ground, polleffed by or, ignorant, naked, dirty inhabitants; and we shall have e, though a faint, idea of the effects of equality. the difference between lavages, who either want, or are sorant of, the means of improvement, and a polished nation the deprives itself of them? If there be any difference, it is favour of the savages. To establish equality, therefore, poling it possible, would be to fink ourselves into a fin worfe than the favage. Among favages, equality is, in a manner, accidental; amongst us, the laws would render it necellary. , Savages may emerge from their present state; but w hould be constantly detained in the condition of favages adignant nature, spurning the maxims of an absurd phil by, and recollecting her own flavery, would break the chair ef equality.

Better were it for fair Albion to be torn and mangled by fome convultion of nature, than subjected to the yoke of equality. When this system is established, her children must exchange their elegant abodes, and even their neat and comformation.

A

alder "

table dwellings for mean cottages or dirty hovels: The beauty of her daughters and the gracefulnels of her fons, inflead being adorned with apparel, which, even in those of the low eft rank, is neat and clean, and which dillinguishes them from those of the same rank in all other countries, must appear is the nudity of a favage, or in the fordid rags of a be When equality is established, farewell to those noble and am mating fentiments, by which the virtuous in the various ranks of life, aspire to riches, elegance, and distinction: Farewell to all thole fond hopes, which the worthy and industrious entertain, of feeing their children succeed them in their virtues and rifing above them in point of wealth and respectability All these hopes, which though frequently fallacious, yet give able, and support and comfort to life in its decline, mult be aliandoned; and the parent must confine his prospects to the feeing of one of his fons fettled in a mean cottage, on a few agree of land, and the reft of his children turned abroad into the wife world to make room for him, that remains at home. Enterell then to fair Science, and all the arts that follow in her takin. Farawell to rational and found Theology, the gift of our heavenly benefactor, beltowed upon us indeed by revelation, but preferved, like his other gifts, by human care and prudence, directed and affifted by the Universal Spirit. Farwell to Philosophy, that beautiful maid, the daughter of Experience and Reafon, the comfort, the joy, the pride of man, vell to all those virtues and graces, all those arts which compose the train of Philosophy and Theology. Farewell to all that fair and graceful troop, which is first followed by the wife and learned, and then by men of all ranks, till all the multitude acquire the air and manners of their celetial leaders, and appear themselves to think and speak and act, as if heaven-born. We have long been in a paradife, and, though far from being innocent, have been permitted to converse with celeftial beings; but should equality be established, like our first parents, when leaving the garden of Eden, we must defeend into a world, a fecond time curfed by the laws of equality; and assume in some measure the appearance and brutality of the beaks, with which it is flocked.

To conclude this letter, with the subflance of what he been faid, were it possible to establish equality, the citablish ment of it would extinguish, in every breast, that same, which gives life and motion to all, which keeps every head and every bear and every

and and prof

nto

ful f

11

10

100

100

and t

Sept.

C

elfab agur whic

etter

tance

of the

dothi

ed:

locks of th

foon a

fance

not er

In

or ch

things

212, C

polifi

60 0

by hand active, which gives riches and beauty to the country, and information, virtue, and happinels to the inhabitants and we should fink into a lethangic liftlefenese, into the most profound ignorance and flupidity, into vice and anarchy, an into the extreme of poverty and milery. Thefe are the base fel fruits that would hang on the tree of Equality!

the profiles on the design term sent terminate to determinate the Tool this concept are in conferencement well well a constitution of to some that adopted the second about the state butter a

en bei bei gene bunten einer I. verrferen ihrten strog gelt LETTER XV.

Reflexions on certain Approaches towards Equality.

of the district lend and bear a continue to the district descriptions COUNTRYMEN, MARCHE & CONTROL STORE CHARLES AND LINE

auty

tues

laud.

A be

the

n he

ift o

204

Er-

ell to

r the

I the

as if

with

hich

CYC

E Quality in the gifts of nature is not to be expected. The elablifh, is equality in external things; fuch as riches, how sour, and power. But if we take a review of those attempts hich have been made towards fome forts of equality, we all find reafon, in addition to what has been faid in former letters, to believe, that the latter fort of it is eventually as impossible, as the former, or has a man hardful widt o no f

In some savage nations, men are nearly equal in their circumfauces, because they cannot be otherwise. The whole almost of their riches confilt in the inframents of war, labour, fifting, and bunting , and in their miferable hute, furniture, and clothing. If they take any thing in war, it is equally divided. The women fow the corn in common, and all equally have in the produce of the fail. They have no berds nor locks, little or no trade; nor do they underfland the culture of the foil; elfe pasturage, commerce, and agriculture would foon render them unequal . They are equal in their circumfances, only because they are all equally poor. Yet they are not equal in all respects.

In all on migh favage nations, there is the inequality of prince or chief and of subject or follower, They use also certain things as the marks of rank, which, in those rude nations, are, equivalent to sitles and enfigns of rank in nations that are polished. A grional qualities there give pro-eminence, because to the home K 2 there

¹¹ See Dr Ferguson's Effay on the History of Civil Society.

there is nothing elfe to do it; yet no person born in this comtry would prefer a favage to a civilized state of fociety, b cause, in such a state, merit is the chief cause of distinct for even merit is valued chiefly, as it contributes to happine

Amongst the freemen of Sparta, equality of property was established by the efforts of Lycurgus, and preserved by laws. But at the time that he established this fort of equ ty, the lucrative arts of commerce and manufacture which, in this country, are fo copious fources of wealth, were little understood at Sparta; the lands were almost the only source of support; and, therefore, if a man wanted land, he had me means of supporting himself: But the lands were conquered from the Helots, who still lived amongst the Spartanes the possessors of land needed the assistance of those that had now, and were deflitute, to defend them against the Helots; and those who had no land, and were destitute, but who were the most numerous party, insisted on a division of it; All these causes, none of which exist in this country, paved the wa for a partition of landed property, which, after much ar gle, was effected by Lycurgus.

Yet the inhabitants of the Spartan territory were more usequal than those of any other. There were there the odio unjust, and cruel distinctions of Spartan and Helot. The Helots were the most abject and wretched of all slaves. At home; they suffered contempt and ignoming; sod, both at home and abroad, they performed all labour for their mafters, who were either idle, or employed in hunting, or military as ercifes: And when they became too numerous, they were hunted like wild beafts, and put to death, by the young Spartant Upon one of these occasions, about two thousand of the were maffacred. The Spartans performed thefe cruelties is the night, as if they had blushed to perpetrate them in the face of day. But what complicated the milery of the Helatt was, that they were from generation to generation in the fame condition. They wanted hope, that support of the wretch-

ed for none of them could ever obtain liberty.

want not the It is not

Even amongst the freemen of Sparta, there was no equility of political power, though there was an equality of property. There was the great inequality of two hereditary kings and their fubjects. The whole of the Spartans were most hateful ariflocracy to the Helots; and the fenate was as ariflocracy to all the reft of the Spartans. The power of the 10 mil. 14

people

6

di

ica p

grea tana neg politi

Kin

in el

the S

gain

equa

been

hine

law v

and :

trem Patri

fuch

tricia

the f office

bave

.

tin er

y, he

by his

tle un-

irce of

ad me

quered

the the

nose,

; and

ere the

l thefe ic W

Are

ore tie-

T

SHOAT oth at

afters,

ary cut

hunt-

artage

them

lties in

in the Helate

e fame

retch

equaf pro-

were I

was an

of the people

people was only nominal. They were left out of all offices of he state. They had, indeed, their conventions or meeting of the people; but the fenate, the members of which enjoyed their places for life, could either convene, or difmile, them its pleasure. The subject of deliberation was also propose by the fenate, while the people, desied the privilege of deing or discussing, could only reject or ratify with laconic deifion. In the constitution of Sparta, there was a happy. balance of power; but the people were only inflrements in the hands of the wifer citizens. Perfonal liberty was also in great danger at Sparta, from the power of the Ephori, cere a magistrates, who had a power of arresting and imprisonall. In thort, if we confider the liberty of difculling all litical subjects, and convasting all public business, in popuar meetings, the liberty of the prefs, of petitioning the King and Parliament, the Habeas Corpusace, and the trial by jury, privileges sujoyed by all subjects to this kingdom, we all find, that the people of this country, who have no vote, is electing members of Parliament, have as much (if not more) political weight, than fell-totthe there of the people of Spartage Thus, though there was an equality of property among the the Spartans, there was no equality of power. "The equality" of property was maintained by a prohibition of trade. But 1 when the Spartans joined with the other Greeks in the war and guinft Perfia, the riches acquired in the war put an end to this equality of property than an an emerged year asky how wellers

When Romulus founded Rome, his followers must have been nearly on a level; for they were all a fort of robbers of plunderers. He found it easy, therefore, to divide the serthery equally, amongst them, by affiguing to each two acres affand. But in the progress of their conquelts, the Lieinian law was enacted for limiting the fine of effates to 500 acres ; and afterwards fome became enormously rich, and others cat. tremely poor. In Rome there were also the diffinctions of Patrician and Plebeian, even from the very beginning, when fuch diffinctions were less natural than afterwards. The Patricians enjoyed all the bonours, and filled all the offices, of the flate. The Plebeians were excluded from all honours and offices, and had only the name of power. Nature frems to have been twige inverted at Rome. At the commencement were collected and in the Karpattinger

⁺ See Goldfmith's Hiftory of Greece for the above account of Spartan equality.

of the flate, though every subject feems to have been equal to another as to riches, they were rendered unequal as to pow and rank; and towards the decline of the republic, th there was the greatest diversity amongst them with regard wealth, all diffinctions of rank, honour, and power, exc what was official, were abolished. The invertion of the der of nature in the first instance was, perhaps, a species of inflice; in the second, it was, without doubt, the occusion

of political flavery, It would have been happy for the Romans, if the diffine tions, at first made by Romulus, whether then necessary, not, had continued, when they certainly became necessary account of the great inequality amongst the citizens, with gard to riches, which had taken place. But when thefe d flinctions became most necessary, the people were prempte by their leaders, to infift, that they should be broken do Their leaders effected their purpole; the distinctions were a bolished; but, from that time, if we except the interests a rich and poor, there were no separate interests in the state to balance each other; and a few leaders conducted the p ple to savery. At Rome also there was the degrading i quality of freeman and flave. In this country, all are fre and the inequalities amongst the subjects, with respect to sours and power, are in a certain proportion to proper that is, in such a proportion as to protect the private ri of all, that is, to maintain all the equality that can be p

In the republic of Athens, the government being de cratical, the people were equal as to the right of fuffrage their public affemblies; but as they were very unequal pint of riches, the equality of fuffrage fitted them only be the tools of rich demagogues; by which, as at Re factions were created, and the people several times dept of their liberty. If they recovered it, when lott, (which not the case at Rome) it was because the Athenian repr was much less extensive and powerful than the Roman p. of course, the power of their leaders, now become their to

rante, less fitted to keep them in flavery.

The Athenians were divided into four classes or ranks, brding to their riches. The fourth or lowest rank confis of those who paid no taxes, (at least directly) were exclude from all offices and magistracies; and, in this state also, the was the distinction of freeman and flave.

When

w

to ric

foreve

ed to

free,

iaws I

ty am

that

great

mean

other

took

a gres

W25 2

Permi

a who

the S

encha Th

hatfe Noo,

hey w

onj ti deir

le thi

withou impul!

BUILT

wal to

he

of in

iftine

ly, or

ele di

nptel

efts of

flate

e p

ng u

to i

per

right

des

only

Rom epriva

ks, a

ntifii.

, the

When

frees

down

When the children of Ifrael were in Egypt, as they were all flaves, they were probably nearly on a level with regard w riches. The greatest inequality amongst them was pr bally produced by superior age and the right of primogeniture. When they had come into the land of Canaan, it was ided equally amongst them; usury was prohibited; no man sould become a flave; no man's property could be alienated forever; but, though alienated for a time, constantly returned to him at the year of Jubilee, when every fervant became free, and every debtor was released from his debt. These has had certainly a tendency to maintain equality of propermamongst that people; and were, perhaps, all the laws, that could have been enacted for this purpose, without the greatest inconvenience; yet they proved ineffectual. means of successions, legacies, a difference of conduct, other causes, the greatest inequality with regard to riches nok place. Nor were ever the Ifraelites equal to one another is point of rank and power. They had their " elders," their inces," " the renowned of the congregation," who ocmpied a higher place, and enjoyed, both in war and peace. agreater power than others. Amongst that people, there was also the degrading inequality of flavery." ermitted to make flaves of the Heathens. The Gibeonites whole nation, lived amongst them, like the Helots amongst the Spartans. To breathe the very air of this illand, as if by mehantment, makes a flave fr.20.

The primitive Christians burned with such zeal for the suse they had espoused, and glowed with such mutual affection, that, for that cause, and for the sake of one another, they were ready to facrifice every worldly consideration. They should be such that they were perfectly on all sides, which both encreased their seal and their affection to each other; but whilst thus refectled, chased from their homes, and cagerly engaged in monoting the common cause, many of the poor must have prished of hunger, had they not been supported by the rich in this time of necessity, the rich, without any compulsion, without any precept humin or divide, but merely from the impulse of seal and affection, made, of the things which they make the necessitions were supplied. On this very extra-

Blackfone's Commentaries.

The Americania

th

o tr

and p

New !

ed in

nd. promi

veral

Sette

all p

Lidier

ich hi

a folle

In I

ting

of gove

diffin

eld u

a lot lained

ordinary occasion, they feemed to be moved only by one f and to be literally the members of the fame body. They of one heart and one foul. The confequence of this entire in of affection and fentiments is marked in feripture. Neit faid any of them, that ought of the things which he possible was oven; but they had all things common. Neither was there an mong st them that lacked; for as many as were possible for of land bouses fold them, and brought the prices of the things that were so and laid them down at the Apolles feet : and distribution was unto every man according as he had med. Here then we perce a fociety living together in a state of equality with regard riches. But the caules of this equality were fo extraordin as never to be expected to return. These people were un the infraculous influence of the Holy Chaff, and actuated the warmeff zeal, and affection for one another; and their quality was the natural-refult of their peculiar figuation feelings. And it is true, were men to be again placed fimilar fituation, and to be inspired with fimilar affection like effects would naturally be produced. But this equa or community of goods, like the causes of it, was only to porary. When the primitive Christians began to be less flienced by a miracuous pawer, when their danger in fa meafure ceased, when their zeal and mutual affection abi (all of which feem to have taken place as the cause of Chi affity gained firength) they fenarated, and every man I upon what was his own. Even whillt the equality of pro ty, or rather the voluntary community of goods, latted, t was no equality or confusion of rights. That every man his own rights, i. e a discretionary power to do what he sed with his own, excepting injury, is plainly implied in ter's words to Apanias; While it remained, was it (Anap polleffion) not thine own? and after it was fuld, was it thine own power? Were it possible, that any man or le men, by the diffusion of knowledge, or any fort of discip or education, or any other means whatever, could place there in a fituation the same with that of the primitive C ftians, and give them opinions, affections, and dispositi the same with theirs, he might then astablish equality of perty or a community of goods; or to speak more acquire this equality would, as among these Christians, be the s tancous growth of that flate in which he might place then it would rife, even though he used means to suppress it. Be as the placing of men in such a state is just simply impossible, to man possession of any degree of reflexion will ever attempt it and it is certainly doing the unwary a very ill office, to make in their minds an expectation, which never can be granuled.

About the time of the reformation of religion in Germany, certain anabaptifts forung up, who were for establishing equato, and began to carry their wild scheme into execution; but

they were repressed by the civil power.

* 19

erce

und

hoi

n

ed i

Clie

ly te

1 60

aba

n li

pre

and

e p

r. Se

e C

of

urate e (pe the

it. Bi

In the time of Cromwell, the doctrine of equality was reed in England; a doctrine, which Cromwell himself cheled, " and employed fuccessfully against both King and Perliament." The levellers of that time, a fort of fanatics, e for " abolishing royalty, fetting afide nobility, introing among the citizens an univerfal equality of property and power, and levelling men of all ranks. They difullowed I subordination, what soever, and declared, that they would hive no other chaplain, king, or general, but Chrift. declared, that all men were equal; and all degrees and ranks hould be levelled, and an exact partition of property established in the nation. This ferment spread through the army; ad, as it was a doctrine well fuited to the daring foldiery, it miled every day to become more dangerous and fatal. Seenl petitions were presented, urging the justice of a partie , and threatening vengeance in case of refusing redress." romwell's power was now threatened with an overthrow by doctrine, by which it had been acquired. The army had come factious and mutinous to obtain equality. But Cromall never preached this doctrine with an intention that his oldiers should practife it. He rode in amongst the mutineers with his red regiment, killed one or two of them with his own had, hanged fome on the fpot, fent others priloners to Lonon, " and thus diffipated a faction no otherwife criminal than following his example .

In France, while the agitative of that country were mediating the extinction of royalty and nobility, the subversion of government, and their own exaltation, the cry of equality was londly vociferated amongst the people; but they never exclained to them what that equality was to be. An obscure, missinct image of some good under the name of Equality was all up to the people, who were blindly led to worship it

Hume's and Godwin's histories of England.

elec

hat

Co

anle th

in fuffi

he'nat

afon t

200001

-

all your

ST I

MEZÍ 1

Libra

1

100 2

Cour

T H d

ned e

10 0

famil

nee, p

Harr

11. p.

fath

in li

more than ever they did the reliques of their most favor faints. They knew not, that the devotion which they p to Equality, was a prayer for destruction to themselves, the downfall of all that was noble and venerable amongst the and for the elevation of Irreligion and Immorality, Avaria Ambition, under the semblance of Patriotifm, Justice, and curity.

It should feem, that, in France, men were land is t praifes of equality in proportion as they were conficient their own deteltable deligns; which, indeed, is the way pretenders in all countries; real patriotifm, like real affect of a private kind, dealing little in shew, and noise, and a in benefita. A nobleman, whose character is branded, fumed the name of Egalite, or Equality, as if he had ! to be foremost in establishing it. A gentleman from the country, converling with Danton, told him, that they co have no other equality in France than what they had in E land, laws that Should be the fame to all. Danton finding felf preffed by his arguments, and unable to answer them, an end to the conversation by faying, " we must have equ ty." He was fo far in the right. There was no other of accomplishing their plan but by beginning it at least, w levelling royalty and nobility. But they have not yet of pleated their delign; or they have changed their minds, left off where they began. Even Paine's Equality is now fed by them. Their plain drefs is exchanged for fopp their frugality for lexury; and, initead of the inequality one king, they have that of five directors. But to supplie fystem of Inequality new moddled, they have legally dopted that part of equality, which has been long establish and maintained in England, and made the laws, on paper st leaft, equal to all.

It is evident from what has been faid in this letter, that the different attempts made to establish equality, that have been mentioned, have, in the end, proved abortive. This revise of equality, therefore, as established, or attempted to be stablished, in different ages and parts of the world, serves to consist us in the belief of what was shewn in former letters that in all situations where men may become unequal, equals

by is unnatural, and, in the event, impossible.

It is curious to observe the different reasons which leveller at different periods have affigued for their attempts to intedece equality. "The faints," faid those in Cromwell's time, were the falt of the earth: An entire parity had place among he clect: And, by the same rule, that the aposlles were exited from the most ignoble professions, the meanest sentinel, relightened by the Spirit, was entitled to equal regard with he greatest commander." Mr Paine traces the rights of man on that he calls their source, and argues, that men are equal, because Adam was just a "male" and Eve, just a "female.!" Hr Godwin contends that men have a right to be equal, because they have "no rights;" from which another would argue, that, in that case, tho' they should be unequal, they as suffer no wrongs. The error and absurdity of men change he nature neither of truth nor of things. Men become unqual like the trees of the forest; and history, experience, and reson teach us, that they cannot be made equal.

R. T.

LETTER XVI.

Of Nobility, and Titles of Hour

COUNTRYMEN.

T W

at the

e been

review

bee

ves to

ctten

quali

vellen

intro-

duce

Helle bounded awar out a state

HE tendency of a high degree of capacity and good disposition, carefully cultivated and properly applied, is attain distinction with regard to riches, power, honour, and thate eligible things of an external kind, in which men distributed the form each other. If a man who is thus distinguished, is useded by a son who is like him, and who pursues the same of conduct, the son probably rises above the station of sather: The grandson, from the same canses, may rise ther. And thus a man that becomes coninent by his own additional merit, is frequently the sounder of a great family. Samily is like a river, which, though at its source, it may be very small brook, receives, in its course, an accession of same, till at last it become so great, that it awes with its re, pleases with its grandeur, and is valued for the fertility lich it communicates to the neighbouring banks.

When

Hume's Fishery of Zugland, vol. 7. p. 109. 1 Rights of Man, EL. p. 22.

anti

parei

lesce

puni

great

confe

of fa

toes o

BOUTS

This

er to

to the

Bu

and fa

to ha

lunk i

only

арру

angua

th not

heir g

port ;

and pr

When a family has acquired its highest elevation, its ty is supported, not only by the same means it has been tained, talents and virtues, and by its fortune, but by the spect, which men of ingenuous minds naturally enterted families that have been long distinguished. All men i ages, unless their understandings have been somehow per ed, have discovered a disposition to value somethings me for the take of their antiquity; the universality of which polition proves, that it is not a prejudice, but an original penfity like any other in our nature. And we have a nat propensity, (not a prejudice, but a natural disposition or penlity) to respect ancient families, as such, in the same ner, that we are pleased with an ancient edifice, or an old or as we venerate an old man. We cannot help transferr in some degree, the worth or eminence of the father to the fon, and in this, it must be confessed, we do not always or for very frequently the fon is found to bear a firong rele blance to the father, not only in his appearance, but in qualities of his mind and heart. 'Those who have turned the attention to this subject, have observed, that the qualities of progenitor may be feen to descend from father to son three many generations. And this probably has led fome to this that great families have fomething in their nature differ from that of the lower ranks; as they are apt to imagine, t those qualities which raised the family, still remain in it, the in a dormant state, and require only proper occasions to detiem forth. But the rife or fall of families does not dep on their merit or demerit only, but on a variety of other cal all of which are directed by that Almighty Being, who all to every family and individual their lot of wealth and hor or of poverty and difgrace. Many, faith Solomon, who, ing a reign of about feventy years, had great opportunity observing the fortunes of men, many feek the rulers for but every man's judgment cometh from the Lord.

When we regard and honour a fon for his father's work we, in this, imitate that just and good Being, who forward ay to thousands of them that love him, and, keep his commands that is, favour to thousands of the descendants of those ware pious and virtuous: And though no man ought to be glected, despised, or hated, for the obscurity, or even crime of his parents or other ancestors; yet we conceive it to be proper expression of our effects and regard for worthy me

rtein

n is

nati

OF

ferri

r tot

ays en

refer

in the

ed the

ties of

o think

differ

ne, t

to d

dep

r cal

o all

ho,

unity

s WOT

ofe w

o be l

cris

to be

thro

then we fignify a partiality for their offspring, prior even to knowledge of what they may be. The excellence, therere, of one man fucceeded, in the fame family, by men of millar characters, is the natural cause of a family's becoming reat: And though, when a family has arrived at the fummit its greatnels, there should semetimes be a stagnation of ofe virtues which first distinguished the ancestors of it, as here may be no occasion for the exercise of them; yet, not on-It its fortune, but the natural disposition of men to respect intiquity, and their just partiality to the descendants of worthy men, contribute to its support. And, indeed, this parity to the offspring of great and good men is not only extremely natural to men of probity, but highly political: For, we except the confiderations of future rewards and punishhents, we shall not find a greater preservative from base and injurious actions, nor a greater incentive to actions that are noble and heneficial to mankind, than the perfuation which a parent may reasonably entertain, that his children or other descendants may, in some way and measure, be rewarded or punished by others, according to his deferts. In mort, the greatness of individuals is a natural, though not a necessary, consequence of distinguished talents and virtues; the greatness of families is most frequently the effect of the talents and virtoes of feveral of its progenitors: In all ages past, the honours of the parent, in a certain degree, have been derived; and, in all future ages, they will be derived, upon the fon. This is the providence of an infinitely wife and good Being, ding on the inflinctive principles of our nature, and, in orer to encourage virtue, extending the benefit of good defert to the posterity of good men.

But families, like nations and individuals, have their rife and fall. A great family, like the fun, may be feen in history, to have its rife, its meridian height, and its decline. For a while, like a tower, it has been proudly eminent, and then has funk into a humble cottage. Such changes, though commonly lamented, and always grievous to individuals, are yet happy for mankind. They tell the great in very moving anguage, that riches are not forever, and that the crown endurations that only into all generations; but that those virtues, by which their greatness has been acquired, are still necessary to its support; whilst, at the same time, they cherish nateent virtue, and promote its growth. By such changes, there is a circu-

tion wenthe The The King

ty in

COUNT

Dul

tages

that o

lity.

he w

Milla

former

man

the pr

The

the no

lendal

The ba

romics,

carls th

people

tranqui

of the

being e laftead prefent

+ See

ni of La

mili

lation of riches, honour, and power, according to a fche which, if we faw the whole of it, would probably flew that, these temperal confiderations are distributed amo mankind, confidering them as compoled of many different milies, with greater equality than we commonly imagine; there is not a family, which, though at one time obscure, not, at another, thone; or which is not deftined in fome ture period, to experience fuch viciflitude. And if we fider, that this very probable circulation of these temp bleffings, in a greater or less degree, through all families an indefinite space of time, is produced by the great Go nor of the world, not in a partial or arbitrary way, but wife purposes, and generally according to the merit or merit of families and individuals, we shall not be disposed, case we want them, to murmur sgainst providence, and the Lord's ways are not equal, or to enry those who pol them; but to submit to the hand of God, and betake felves to that course of life, which he has appointed as it means, on our part, hy which they are to be obtained.

But, in whatever manner greatness may be acquired, however long it may laft, there are always among mank certain powerful individuals; and as the power of fuch a is never to dangerous as when the bounds of it are not ale tained, it has been the policy of nations, in which the has been any degree of liberty, to fix the limits of it by h But, by thus limiting it, you make them a diffinct order the flate; and when you give a name to this order, you them a title, whatever that name be; thus the names of tricians at Rome; of elders, princes, and chiefs of the fath among the Ifiaelites; as well as that of Lords in this coust were titles or general names of men of great power and

rank +.

A Baron or Lord was anciently a nobleman, who lands of the King. Over the tenants under him, he h

^{*} De Lolme, p. 491. † In order to ridicule titles, it has been faid; " no man among Romana would have faid, my Lerd Pompsy, or my Lard Cefar." Nor would they have faid Sir to Brutus or Coffins in fpeaking to it or Mrs when speaking of the greatest lady in Rome; and yet by courtefy of this country, these modes of speech are allowed to be of every body. The truth is, we are more courteous or polite than end he Greeks or Romans were. And yet " Cæfar and Brutus, the Pa tjans," was as great a diffinction as " my Lord."

ew

moi

310

re,

me I

7C C

mp

lies

Go

but

or c

fed.

and I

pol

ke ou

as th

ed, 1

anki

ch m

t afe

h the

by b

orde

00

of

fath

count

nd

ho

he he

melie

mong.

to th

et by

o be

nam es

the P

ailitary command and a civil jurifdiction. These were his ghts. He was obliged to affift the King in his wars, in the ministration of justice, in levying subfidies, in all the national councils; and to perform certain other fervices. Thefe were his duties. The vallals or tenants of the Lords flood in he fame relation to them, in which they flood to the King, The duties and rights of both forts of vallals were the fame. The feudal governments were on the plan of an army. The King was the commander in chief, and the nobility were the officers. The lands which they held, were their pay; and the services they performed in war and peace were their duty". The titles of alderman or elderman, earl, and count. were fynonymous terms, and fignified a nobleman, who, befides his barony, had the civil government of a thire or county in the mauner of sheriffs; and, perhaps, also the military command of the freemen of the county. A marquis was a sobleman whose office it was to guard the marches. In France, Duke was a nobleman, who had an authority overtwo or three counts; but in this country, the title of Duke, as well as that of viscount, served only to denote a certain degree of nobility. A Knight was a tenant of the crown, who ferved in the wars, and allitted the King in all public affairs, where his allifance was due and required. Honours and offices were formerly convertible or fynonymous terms; and to have called aman an Earl, Lord, or Knight, was only calling him by the proper name of his office; as if one had fald general, coonel, or judge fuch a one +.

Through the changes for the better, that have taken place, the nobility, like the commons, are freed from the burden of feudal fervices, and have lost some of their offices and rights. The barons have lost their civil jurisdiction within their baronics, and the military command of their tenants; and the cast theirs over the counties. But what they have lost, the people have gained. Their loss has contributed much to the tranquility and security of the people, and to the steadiness of the government. The lesser barons have lost the right of being each a member of the national council or parliament. Instead of this, they send representatives to it; and their respectantatives, together with those of the towns and burghs,

2 now

^{*} See Dr Robertson's History of Scotland, b. 1. † See Blackitone's Communicatories, b. 1. p. 393. Montesquieu's Spint of Laws, b. 30.

Jo de

am

wh

wh

It

gre

fuc

twes

Pro

A

SHY

B0 0

are g

any i

ceffai

ties ;

limite

that i

them

epara

Epara

curri

nature

man,

lo hav

is ow

ortun

Bu

now compose a third branch of the legislature, the House Commons, which is a check on the Crown and nobility, as a desence to the rights of the people. Still however the title of the different degrees of nobility are the names of office: For the nobility themselves are still an essential part of the state; and discharge the most important offices of legislature and judges, in such a way, as not only to maintain their own rights, and the prerogative of the Crown, but also the rights

of the rest of the subjects.

It is of no consequence what name is given to this order of men. If we confult the welfare of the nation, they must all continue a diffinct order : If they continue a diffinct order that onler must have a name; and that name will be the title. Whatever ridicule, therefore, Mr Paine or any other may vainly attempt to throw upon titles, it is evident that there is nothing more ridiculous in the use of them than is giving to any man the name of his office or employment; a than in calling Mr. Paine by his proper name, which all allow may be well enough applied to him, though it might a " nick-name" to another. Nay, whilft that honourable and useful order of men, our nobility, continues a diffinct order a the state, it is as impossible to avoid using titles, as to av using the name of any office or employment whatever, who we speak of it. And though the office of the nobility be see now the same with what it was formerly; yet to call them their former names is just the same with calling a man lob or Thomas both in his childhood and manbood, though the same individual differs very much from himself in these to periods. Words very often oceasion much disputation; and amongst those, who admit the necessity and use of nobility is this nation, all disputes about titles must be merely disputers. bout words. If we admit, that the nobility are a useful order in the state, we cannot condemn the use of titles, which we neither more, nor less, than the names of that order.

As to the appellations or terms of address "my Lord" and "Sir," the former is an expression of respect to a dignised person, the latter is the same to a person of respectability. These expressions of respect are justified by usages in scriptum and never condemned by it. On the contrary, we find a scripture nobility itself justified by God, who appointed the right of the first born, a certain fort of superiority; and who expressly commanded the Israelites, that with them there should

he a man of every tribe, every one chief of the house of his fatheres. Josephus says, that that people were first governed by an arilocracy; which was certainly true, if we abstract the theoeracy, and confider only the human part of their government, Nothing is more evident from scripture, than that there were amongst them an aristogracy or nobility of different degrees, who feem to have had the fame weight in their government which nobility in general have had in most other governments, It is impossible but there must be distinctions, or different degrees or ranks amongst men. Providence, as well as men themselves, who are but instruments in the hands of providence, is conftantly employed either in creating or preferving such distinctions or degrees: And to express, in words and every other proper way, that respect which is due to men of superior station, is what simple nature, unless corrupted, prompts us to; what is decent in itself; what conduces to order, good government, and happinels; and what the law of God expressly requires.

As to that torrent of illiberal and abufive language, which Mr Paine discharges against nobility, though it were true of any of them, this would be a fault in their characters, but so objection against the order, any more than the errors and filschood of some men are an objection against mankind, who are generally lovers of truth. Whatever may be the faults of any individuals amongst the nobility, the order itself is neceffary for the welfare of the flate: For fuch powerful men, whether with or without titles, always fpring up in all focieties; when their power is unlimited, it is dangerous; when limited by the laws, as in this country, it is highly useful; their ambition is gratified, and the whole community benefitm. But the very limiting of their power in fuch a manner, that it may be useful to the rest of the people, and yet enable them to maintain their rights, is the erecting of them into a separate order; and the names of the different degrees in this

Separate order are their titles.

, and titles tices :

laton r own

rights

der of

A 41

order.

their

others

t that

han m

nt ; m

ght be

when

iem br

II Toka

le two

; and,

ility is

al order

ich are

d's and

ignified

a bility

find in

ted the

nd who

But Mr Paine's invectives against nobility is as false as it is fourrilous. The titles of a man does not furely change his nature. He may, for any thing we know, become a nobleman, and still be a man. If the nobility have their soibles, so have the commons of all ranks. Every station of life has its own temptations; and though a vicious man of rank and sortune were stripped of his title, it is not likely, that his title, it is not likely, that his

but well with

-

cefia

25 21

the a

or vi

dang

certa

wher

conti

gives

of go be di

divid

A bo

of bo

withfl

Serves

peafar lound

afcend

any g

extren

mared

the the

its rui

mons b

titles o

pedien

dent b

lecting

down a

character would be much mended by it. The very confeoufness of his honours must be a check on him, without which he would be worse than he is. For titles serve, not only to improve the characters of men by exciting them to that meritorious conduct by which they are obtained; but is preserve an excellent character, when acquired, or to maintain an unblemissed one, by infpring those who enjoy titles, with a sense of their own dignity. Is it not likely that rich commoner either in our towns or in the country, may be

as vicious as the greatest nobleman?

It is not to be expected, that men will excell in things, to which it is not their bufiness to apply. The bufiness of our noblemen is not to be merchants, navigators, manufacturen, or artifts of any kind. This would, perhaps, be out of character; and it might lead them to be monopolizers; which the rest of the community would be apt to consider as injurious to them. How can it be expected then, that noblemes, except by accident, should be inventors in any of the arts, which belong to the mercantile or mechanical professions? Their chief bulinels, I may fay, the bulinels of their protesfion, is to defend the country from foreigners; to maintain their own rights, and those of their inferiors; to preserve the constitution and laws from corruption, and to improve both, when necessary; and, in a word, to take a part in the whole of the national business. And in all these things, they have diffinguished themselves; of which truth the constitution, and the fecurity and prosperity of the country at this moment, are witnesses. Even the sciences, and the arts, (excellence is which depends on a knowledge of the sciences) are much indebted to certain noblemen. Lord Bacon fo reformed plan losophy, that it is chiefly owing to his instructions, we have fince made tuch discoveries in the sciences, and so great in provements in the arts: Lord Napier had the honour of being the inventor of the Logarithms: And whilst the world flands, it is probable, that the names of a Bacon and a Napler will not be forgotten. In short, it is but candid to acknow ledge, that, amongst the nobility, one may always find a proportional number of men as much diftinguished from order pary men by their abilities and other good qualities, as they are diffinguished from the commons by their honours.

Judge Blackstone wrote at a time, when no disputes of a party kind could instruce him to say any thing of nobility but what he conceived to be just; and this circumstance, as well as his great character, should lead us to hearken to him

with attention.

nlei

m to

ot to nain-

hat a

ay be

s, to

our

ren,

char

njunis

men,

arts

rotel

ntain e the

both,

have

, and

t, are

h in

phie

have t im-

f be-

world

nd s

they

" The diftinction of rank and honours," fays he, " is necellary in every well governed flate: in order to reward fuch mare eminent for their fervices to the public, in a manner the most desirable to individuals, and yet without burden to the community; exciting thereby an ambitious yet laudable ardour, and generous emulation in others And emulation, or virtuous ambition, is a fpring of action which, however dangerous in a mere republic, or under a despotic sway, will certainly be attended with good effects under a free monarchy; where, without destroying its existence, its excesses may be continually restrained by that superior power, from which all honour is derived. Such a spirit, when nationally diffused, gives life and vigour to the community; it fets all the wheels of government in motion, which, under a wife regulator, may be directed to any beneficial purpole; and thereby every individual may be made subservient to the public good, while be principally intends to promote his own particular views. A body of nobility is also peculiarly necessary in our mixed and compounded constitution, in order to support the rights of both the crown and the people, by forming a barrier to withstand the encroachments of both. It creates and prelerves that gradual scale of dignity, which proceeds from the eafant to the prince; rifing like a pyramid from a broad oundation, and diminishing to a point as it rifes. It is this steending and contracting proportion that adds stability to any government; for when the departure is sudden from one extreme to another, we may pronounce the flate to be precarious. The nobility, therefore, are the pillars, which are reared from among the people, more immediately to support the throne; and if that falls, they must also be buried under Accordingly, when in the last century, the commons had determined to extirpate monarchy, they also voted the house of lords to be useless and dangerous. And fince titles of nobility are thus expedient in the state, it is also expedient that their owners should form a separate and independent branch of the legislature. If they were confounded with the mass of the people, and like them had only a vote in electing representatives, their privileges would foon be borne down and overwhelmed by the popular torrent, which would by the distances to the checually

effectually level all diffinctions. It is therefore highly needfary, that the body of nobles should have a distinct assembly, diffinct deliberations, and diffinct powers from the commons?

reta the

only lege

tion.

pow main gene misf

tain,

thori

deriv

of th

CT W

urt

iws.

chara

T.

Solon

temp

at on they !

ders,

satura

sobili

politic

extiné

An

most p

mocra idina

hira,

minty

fume

slaw e per

or dem

LETTER XVII.

Confequences of the Extintion of the Honours of the Notities, of rendering them personal only.

COUNTRYMEN,

IF the honours of the nobility were extinguished, or the order abolished, a necessary consequence of this would be the extinction of kingly power; which, under proper regula-

tions, is the furest protection of the people.

In kingly governments, the king and the nobility are for mutually dependent, that, in all fuch governments, it is maxim, " no king no nobility, no nobility no king." The nobility derive from, and communicate to the throne, a lake tre; and as they owe their existence to the king, so they are his immediate support. Their natural place is between the king and the people; and there they connect both. Their fortunes, rank, and honours, connect them with the king; and their interest, alliance, and friendship, connect them with the people. They are, therefore, a fort of mediators, w are well fitted to transact, between them, the bufinels the concerns both. A king deprived of his nobility, might, for fome time, occupy an exalted, splendid, and useful stations but familiarity would at last lessen that awful respect, which the people would at first entertain for him; and if he had not power to render himfelf despotic, he would either be depoled, or fink into the humble station of the president of a republic Whether the respect paid to the late king of France, by the National Affembly, was real or only feigned, when he a peared in that affembly, unsupported by his nobility, retain ing, indeed, the name, but deprived of the dignity and po er of a king, he was like " the fun shorn of his beams." wanted both the splendor and power necessary to royalty;

and this ful ban lest very Blackftone's Commentaries, v. J. p. 158,

both his deposition and death were the natural consequences of the entire annihilation of the honours of the nobility. feene of his appearance must have been an aukward, because an unnatural one. It must not only have been painful and hamiliating to himfelf, but painful to fuch of the affembly as retained the fentiments of a liberal and ingenuous mind. Had the French, instead of abolishing the order of the nobility, only deprived their nobles of all injurious and odious privileges; had they erected them into a separate house of legislation, like our house of Lords; had they conferred on them no power or privilege, but what was necessary either for the maintenance of their honours and private rights, or for the general good of the nation, France would have avoided her misfortunes, and been now happy. The nobility, as in Britain, would, for their own fake, have supported the just aus thority of the chief magistrate, from whom they should have derived their honours; and for their own take as well as that of the people, they would have combined to confine his power within due bounds; and the exercise of a just power on the part of the King, would have introduced " the reign of the im." But to run into extremes feems to be too much the character of that nation.

To return from this flight digression, as those owen which solomon planted round the brazen sea, that he made for the temple, were both its support and ornament, so a nobility are at once the support and ornament of the throne; and were they removed, it would fall of course. As the neck, shoulders, and arms, are the support and grard of the head of the satural body, so, in all nations such as France and Britain, a sobility are the support and defence of the head of the body solitic. The extinction of nobility, therefore, would be the

Another confequence of the extinction of

Another confequence of the extinction of this order would not probably be the erecting of a fort of reprefentative democracy, if a government, in which the chief men would, in addinary cases be chosen, and have the sole direction of assists, deserves that name. It is impossible to say, with certainty always, what form the government of any country may always, when the people have shaken themselves loose from askaysulauthority; but the natural form of a government, where the people have extinguished royalty and nobility, is popular or democratic. In this country, therefore, as the extinction

eί

419, 0

T

2612192

are fo

The a lubney are een the Their

king; m with s, who els that ht, for lation;

which
and not
epoled,
public
by the

he ipretaild por-Pe

y

of nobility would be the extinction of royalty, so the exti tion of royalty would most probably be followed by a gow ment fimilar to that of the revolutionary government France. The whole power of the flate would be lodged in body of men, the representatives, in name at least, of ranks, except fuch parts of it as they should put in comfion, by placing it in the hands of different officers civil as military, creatures of their own, who should vield obedien

et th

fettle

will !

fitut

figne

powe

and t

venta

and re

real pr

der of

reft w

purfue

king

to crea

Rome

King :

all this

nels, v

hand,

privile

the nat

deferip

houses

little of

abolitio

that th

house o had two

But

change

tution,

it is bu

ral pow

1000 m

to them, and become the tools of their oppression.

It is to be observed, with regard to such a body of me that they are wholly without any conflictutional check or co troul whatever, either in enacting, interpreting, or executi the laws. The whole power of the state is supremely lodge in them; they are above all other powers; all other power are responsible to them; but they are responsible to none. short, in a representative government of this kind, the rulen have it in their power to do whatever they choose; if the should not choose to shule their power, this might be own to their wildom, moderation, or some other cause besides the conflitution, which has provided no check to it . Should the representatives of the people abuse their power, and the people complain, till those lay down their power on the exp ration of it, or of their own accord, the people have only on way left to redrefs their grievances, rebillion; a mode of the dress which is in the power of the subjects of Turkey. if the commanders of the army and the deputies of the p ple understand each other, they may suppress all insurrection they may lengthen the duration of their power, and reads themselves absolute +.

Suppose, however, that instead of having one house of no presentatives, like the convention of France, the nation, after abolishing royalty and nobility, should choose to have a house of representatives and a senate, like the congress of Ameri or the present legislature of France, this would, in effect, mal but little alteration. The same party of men in the natio

* The want of a check was the cause why Roberspierre and his pa could guillotine as many as they pleafed. The fate of that tyrang party ferved, inflead of a conflitutional check, to moderate the powers their fucceffors.

This is in some measure illustrated by the deps taken by the Present Convention in forcing on the people the new conflitution, and contin ing the power of two-thirds of their number, that is, the power of the lewiers.

that would fill the one house, would also fill the other. It would be the rich and powerful only that would do both. For let the government be changed, if possible, into ten thouland afferent forms, it will ever be found, that when the people fettle after any infurrection, civil war, or revolution, fuch men will be uppermoft. It is a great excellence in the British Conflicution, that this party of great men is made a separate order in the flate, by having honours and peculiar privileges affigned them. By this means, they have, with regard to these things, a separate interest in the state; the bounds of their power is clearly marked; and a certain jealoufy between them and the commons created, which checks their power, and prevents them from leading the people, as in fimple democracies and representative republics. Nor is there any other effectual way of preventing powerful demagogues from acquiring a mel power that would be dangerous to liberty. Were the order of nobility, therefore, extinguished, their feparate interefl would be abolished; and the abolition of this separate interest would leave any great man or association of such men, to purfue their own individual interest, without the control of king or the check of commons; that is, it would leave them to create faction in the state, to engross all power, and, as at Rome and lately in France, to render themselves absolute. A King and the feparate orders of Lords and Commons prevent all this in the government of this country, and give it a steadisels, which prevents it from running into tyranny on the one hand, or anarchy on the other. But were the honours and privileges of the nobility abolified, the whole government of the nation would fall into the hands of rich men of a certain description; and as they would have influence to fill all the houses of legislation, that should be erected, there would be little or no controul in one house over another. In a word, the sholition of our nobility would be attended with this effect, that the rich would rule all with no controul, if we had one house of representatives, and with very little or none, if we ad two or more.

men,

uting

dged

la ulera

they wing a the

nould

d the

expi

V QUE

f rte

But

of me

after

house

erica

make

ation

WEFE

Pretica

of the

But this change in the conflictution would be a very great change for the worfe. It is true; that, in our prefent conflictution, the rich are allowed more power, than the poor; and it is but just they should: For as a rich man has no more natural power to detend himself and maintain his rights, than a poor man, and much more to lose, it is right, that he should

the the the

intr

fate by b

B

a not

lentia

are fo

ward

heirs.

feems

who o

have more authority. As the natural power of the rich is then that of the poor, the deficiency of natural power be made up by a greater share of that which is adventis in order that every man may be able, and no more than to maintain his rights, and cajoy security. If we mean to fore, by the constitution, to preserve to every man his pre ty and all his other individual or absolute rights, we multow to the rich a just constitutional powers, greater than of the poor, in addition to their natural power, in order the effective and real power of the rich may balance the the poor, and that neither class may be able to oppose the ther. Just equality of power in such a state as ours, does consist in each man's having as much power as another, he the balance of power among the different parts of which composed. When these parts are duly balanced, every in dual is safe, because the part, to which he belongs, has ever to protect him. Thus, in our government, the power the forester than the power than the pow the Crown, of the Lords, and Commons, are so properly to each other, that each is secure against any illegal in of another; and, as the Crown, which executes the la independent in the execution of them, all individual subjects are a check upon Lords, and the Lords upon the Commons; and the Cr a check upon both, and, in its turn, is checked by But were our legislature made to relemble the Convent France, its present legislature, or the two houses of leg on of the American Congress, the mutual controll of it ferent constituent parts would be lost. Suppose, that, is country, any popular humour or rage should so much influ the House of Commons, as to make them pass a hill, they did not approve of, but which they might pals to by their conflituents, the Lords, being independent of conflitments would most certainly oppose it in their hou they faw, that the palling of it would be against the inter the nation. Or if both Houles should resolve to pass ! bill; yet a negative might be put on their resolutions Crown. Such a cafe as this however, has feldom hap fince the conflictution has acquired its full maturity, and bly will happen but feldom; For when both the Lords Commons agree to pals any bill, it is to be prefumed, the will be for the interest of the Crown, as well as that of nation; buth of which interests, indeed, when rightly flood, will be found to be ultimately the fame.

In France, whilst the Convention lasted, there was show lutely no legal check on it; and, in the American e oth the senate and the president must be but a feeble c n the house of representatives. The same may be faid of the mutual cheek of the council of goo and that of the ancients in France. I mean not to find fault with the conflituion of the United States of America: It was the belt, peraps, they were capable of receiving, after they had become adependent; and, may answer them well enough, during the ife of prefident Washington, and, in some way, so long as he circumstances and character of the people continue what they are, or rather, perhaps, what they were at its formati-What I would maintain is, that fuch a conflitution will not answer in France, that it would not answer in this country, where there is fo great inequality with regard to riches; where many are disposed to corrupt, and many more to be corrupted; where numbers being crowded together are eatily rendered factious by those deligning men, whose talents, wealth, and popularity, may qualify them to be leaders. By introducing fuch a government into this country, we should lofe the check, which the different parts of our present goternment have on each other. If, in this government, that heck has, at any time, been less than it ought to have been, the reason must have been, that we are governed by men, it and have been owing to the men employed in public affairs, and not to the conflitution; which, by a proportionate diffribytion of power among the different constituent parts of the fate, has provided as great a legal check, as can be provided by human wildom.

But were we to abolifh nobility, the abolition of nobility would be followed by the abolition of royalty, and the government would be changed into a reprefentative one; which, whether simple or mixed, would either entirely want a check, or

have one that would be very feeble.

legi

hou

nter

ns b

apl

nd i

ords

at of

tly u

There are some, who know and confess the use of titles and a nobility as great encitements to worthy actions, and as essentially necessary to the happiness of this nation, but who are for rendering the honours of the nobility the personal rewards of those who merited them, and not descendible to their heirs. These people probably mean well: Their intention seems to be to make honours always the reward of his merit, who enjoys them: But they do not seem to take into confi-

ma ma life he ing

of a

-

m21

and

to I

pen

tery

and

in t

in t

and

05 1

lay o

in o

Aro

BY I

get

only

Goo

his :

quil

mile

deur

flow

plea

that

con

terr

deration, that such a change also would have a bad tendence that as the extinction of the honours of the nobility would a bolish royalty, so the rendering of them personal only, would

extend the royal power beyond its due limits.

For, let us suppose, that, at an average, the number of titled men are 2 to, that each obtains his honours at the app of 40 (when his merit may have been tried and known) the each nobleman lives to the age of 70, and that each reigns an average is 30 years, then seven peers would at an average is created every year, 40 every septeminal parliament, and the whole order renewed every king's reign. Now it is evident that, if these peers were really men of merit, they would set a strong inclination, from gratitude for their honours, to so your the Crown; for men of merit are generally the mot grateful. Nay their gratitude might even blind their eye, and render them, in a certain degree, not sensible of their our partiality. And thus the Crown might gain a degree of influence in a way, which, at present, is not practicable, and

which would wear the appearance of virtue.

Befides, if those men, who should be raised to the peerage for their fervices, were only those rich men of merit, who con eafily support the dignity of their new rank, men of merital smaller fortunes might complain, that the greatest honours of the state were confined to men, whose fortunes should be ample, and that the reft, however deserving, were neglected. And, on the other hand, if the Crown, in order to prevent or me move this ground of complaint, should also confer those he nours on the poor, or those in the middle ranks, it would be under a necessity of bestowing on them many pensions, that are now unnecessary (for poverty or meanness would brin these honours into contempt) and then there would be a conplaint of the unnecessary waste of public money. Thus, it whatever way these honours should be bestowed, there would he occasion for complaint. It is much better, therefore, the titles should remain in their present state; that they should be descendible, but extinguishable; and that they should be conferred on men of merit, capable, in some degree, of supporting the dignity annexed to them. Men of merit of more flesder fortunes can be rewarded in some other way more fuitable to their real rank. Upon this plan, the honours of the flate go in circulation: Few can receive too fudden an elevation and none are depressed, who are not depressed either by their

ould a

n) tlint

eign at

vident.

ild feel

to h

cyes,

of in-

, and

could

erit of

ample,

And,

le he

uld be

that

us. II

Munw

, that

ald be

-fipo s

port-

itable

ftate

stios.

their

OWE

own misconduct, or by causes, the operation of which no human inflitutions can prevent. Upon this plan, the meanest man of worth in the kingdom, if he enjoys health and reason, may enjoy the necessaries and many of the conveniencies of life, and even accumulate riches; he may see his children, if he bring them up virtuously, increasing his wealth and acquiring consideration; he may even see his virtuous grand-children wearing those honours, to which the virtue of the samily has led them by easy and gentle steps.

But let no poor man ever indulge the fallacions expectation of acquiring riches, respectability, or honour, by revolutions, er in any other way, than the way of his duty. No wife man will look for durable riches and honour in any other ways and it is certain beyond all doubt, that there is no other way to happinels. In a change of government, it fometimes bappens, that men of desperate fortunes, but of talents and enterprize, by puthing themselves forward, rife from poverty and obscurity to wealth and diffinction. But if there be any in this country, who have expectations of raising themselves. in this way, let them confider the great uncertainty and hazand of fuch attempts. A man who plunges into the dangers of a revolution, in order to make his fortune, is like one who leys out the whole of his flock on a ticket in a lottery, in which ten thousand blanks are drawn for one prize. But if fuch men blinded to their danger by intemperate defires, should, in order to gratify their avarice or ambition, refolie to involve their country in mifery and bloodshed, and to corrupt or defroy its religious and civil inflitutions, crimes the greatest amy mortal can commit, crimes greater than all others put together, let them consider, that they have to do, not with men only, who will revenge such injuries, but with an Almighty God, who, whether he operate fecretly or by making bare his arm in the fight of all men, uniformly punishes atrocious guilt with mifery. Let them call to remembrance those numherless ways, in which he can punish them, and render them milerable, though raifed to the fummit of power and grandeur. Let them confider, that he can fend a worm to nip the flower of all their glory; that he can infuse into the cup of pleasure, the most bitter dregs, and oblige them to drink it off; that he can raife the most direful of all wars in their own consciences; that he can in every place fill their minds with terror and raise up spectres in their frighted imaginations Let

M 2

and i

Incra

noor

fate

rich, they

indis

niche on t

and

and

poor

quei

on v

rich

vern

neit

ledg

thei

Pow

his.

one

ty,

gar

any

cer

pre

to

them feriously think how easily he can cast a gloom over all nature to their eyes, and make even the most pleasing object painful; that he can render them so miserable, that, is the morning, they shall say, would God it were even; and in the rough would God it were morn; for the fear of their heart whereasile they shall fear, and for the sight of their eyes, which they shall see; and that at last he can make them inexpressibly and forever were weetched.

It has been observed in a preceding part of this letter, that, whatever form any government may affume, the rich, when things are settled in their natural order, will always have most power; that the deficiency of natural power in the rich ought to be made up by a proportionally greater quantity of that which is political; and that the powers of the different confituent parts of this state are so proportioned as to afford security to all. That the rich will ever be the most powerful, is a truth, which is proved by every day's experience, and may be illustrated by the history of the Roman state from its source.

dation to the time it loft its liberty.

At first, that state consisted of a king, patricians or lords and plebeians or commons. Whill it continued fuch, it refembled the government of Britains and "fuch was the bard mony of power, that there was no instance of jealousy during the first reigns";" a certain proof, that the people enjoyed liberty. But the patricians or nobility expelled their kings and took their power to themselves, without giving any share of it to the common people. They engroffed all the legiffed tive and all the executive power. They allowed the people a vote, indeed, in most of their public deliberations; but they took care, that they should vote in such a manner as to do neither good nor ill; and, at the fame time, they excluded them from all honours and offices. They frequently infulted! them; nor would they ever fuffer them to intermarry with them. The people refented thefe and other injuries. They found means to get themselves rendered eligible to all honours and offices, to marry into the patrician families, and to abolife all diffinction between patrician and plebeian; but it was only the rich plebeians that were the better for these changes. It was these only that actually obtained honourable employments, and formed alliances with the patricianst. It was, at this per riod that the term nobility first came to be used by the Romans,

[.] Spirit of Laws, b. II. c. 12 .-- † De Lolme.

the recoulty thenk hosefulant and cafe a closes over all and fignified those rich men, whether of patrician or plebelan families, who ruled the flate !. The rich, however descended, connected themselves with each other, and kept for themfelves all places and employments that were honourable and herative. Little alteration took place in the fituation of the over, in confequence of these changes in the affairs of the flate, except that they were occasionally made use of by the rich, as instruments to promote their defigns; and, when they obtained the unjust and destructive power of voting individually in the affembly of the nation; a power, b which the poorest man had equal weight in voting with the richeft, they then became very fit inftruments for carrying on their deligns. The rich demagogues bribed, entertained, and flattered them; and thus they formed factions in the flate, and engaged it in those civil wars, which frequently drenched Rome in blood, and ended only in making both rich and poor flaves. But, in all this progress from a free to a defpotic government, the rich had always the most power and frequently the whole of it. Indeed every man's own observation will prove to him this truth, that the tendency of great riches is to procure great power. And, where, as in our government, the power of the rich is limited by the laws, and neither exhorbitant nor oppressive, it is but candid to acknowledge, that its being superior to that of the poor is but just and according to the order of nature, because necessary for their fecurity.

ught

nAle

NO.

may

oun-1 100

rds

1441

harm

dedo

ted!

hevi

liffs

ply

fe

teal

pe-

ns, nd

If, in this country, any man is defirous of enerealing his power, let him, by all honest and virtuous methods, encrease his riches. This is a just method of enereating it, and a fure one: For power, in a certain degree, always follows property, whether a man be in office or out of it. And furely no man in this country has any just cause of complaint with regard to the smallness of his power, if that power, however fmall, be in proportion to his flation; for here every man is free. And though very few can be in office, and few have any immediate power in the government; yet every man has a certain remote and mediate influence. By means of the press, every man has it in his power, in the course of eight days, to express his fentiments with regard to all public affairs, to every man in the kingdom; and the just fentiments of the sation ever have influenced, ever ought to influence, and,

Bot A

Airti

py, it

-11

1 (1

6 ...

3(1)

to ti

-000

70

C

T

dii a.

and

in ot

morr

lo in

to ex

that

figni

ty,

mea

to t

and

Di I

ties

EVEL

OT D

whi

the

whilft we continue free, ever will influence, its govern Or suppose a person, by his education, incapable himself forming just fentiments of public matters, and of express them to others in a clear and proper file; yet he has a certain d gree of influence even in adopting the just fentiments of other when thus expressed; and here there are never wanting men penetration and judgment, who will occasionally influent people. The only inconvenience to be apprehended from the channel of information, is, that defigning men and pretend ed patriots may, by fair professions and specious writings, deceive and millead the less knowing among the people. This has often been done, and, though frequently punished with justice, will yet be done again. A fure remedy for it, if the people would use it, is for them to suspend their opinion of fuch writings, and to form no judgment of these public matters that may be treated in them, till they fee both fides of the question fairly and fully confidered. Years sometimes may be necessary to arrive at the truth; but when this is dif covered, every man may affent to it; and the general, we founded, and thoroughly matured opinion of the nation will ever influence both the legislative and executive powers And thus, every individual capable of forming an opinion has, even in forming his opinion, a certain degree of influence or remote power in the direction of public affairs.

Upon the whole, in this country of freedom, every man however poor and mean, has a certain degree of influence or power; and the power of the different classes of men, is in a certain proportion to their wealth; that is, in fuch a proportion as to afford them fecurity. If the influence or power of the people of any particular town or diffrict, or of any delcription, be in a proportion, by which they either fuffer last themselves, or may injure the public, there is no doubt, the the legislature will rectify the error, when it can convenient be done. If any individual is diffatished with that share of influence or power, that is allotted to his proper condition, let him take the road, which God and nature points out to him, let him endeavour, by wife and good conduct, to en crease his reputation, his wealth and respectability. If he be fuccessful in encreasing these, even in getting a name for prebity, a thing in every man's power, it is impossible he can mils an encrease of power or influence. For in all the affain of the world, fo much reputation is really fo much power. Les should be be unsuccessful, if he be a truly wife man, intend of repining against providence, or finding fault with the institutions of his country, he will be disposed to say with Eposetus, "I am in that station, in which God has placed as." Resignation to the will of God rendered this man happly though a deformed and much injured stave, and has transmitted his name, with admiration, to posterity. This will make us happy in every station; and, without it, we must be unhappy in any.

R. T.

to total and the TTER XVILL

the state of the state of the state of the

Some William Balling Contracted

Of the Right of Primogeniture.

COUNTRYMEN,

and the same of the same

m thi

s, de-

with

if the

mat-

es of

Limes

is dif

well

ation

ce or

s in a

ver of

y del

r lot

thin

are di

ut to

o en

he be

pre-

e cm

ffairs

ower.

But

TITLES confidered merely as names, can, it is evident, do neither good nor ill; confidered as marks of honour, they have the best effects. They are rewards of merit, which tost the state nothing, and which stimulate to meritorious conduct. This conduct becomes habit in those who adopt it, and serves, in the way of example, to beget the same habit in others; and thus, in both ways, it is savourable to the morals and happiness of society. Since titles, therefore, are so innocent in themselves, and so useful, as marks of honour, to extinguish them would be doing society a material injury.

Though we were to extinguish titles, we should not, by that means, abolish nobility: For the term nobility properly signifies those men who are distinguished from others by their riches, and by their influence, power, authority, respectability, and rank; which, in all countries, where there are any means of acquiring and spending are generally in proportion to their riches. In almost all countries, therefore, there are, and have been, such distinguished men, that is, a nobility.

In trading countries, this is unavoidable. In all our counties and towns, of those men who enjoy no title or office whatever, there are four or five different ranks produced by little or nothing but mere riches; and those different ranks are too apt to feel that envy and jealousy with respect to each othes, which those who think superficially or not at all, impute to the distinction created by titles. Distinctions in general are

founded

George

time be

bend,

the oth

the No

the inv

as qual

fary, n

are a p

they pr

and the

power,

Commi

equalit

that is,

which

- But

curity,

if real

Aligo 1

in this their po

ples if when th

all, the

to any prejudi hoppine abolith

ly, or

E campo

ence is a qualities more of

md, in the

founded in the nature of man and of things, that is, in the appointments of God: And though, to the ambitious, ther may fometimes give a certain degree of uncafiness; yet in uncafiness, like certain bodily pains to the individual, is falue by to fociety. It gives to the individual and to the whole, that define of rising, or of maintaining their place, which is a pious fource of virtuous and useful conduct.

Not only at Rome, where the government was a mixture of aristocracy and democracy, but at Athens, where it was democratical, there were different classes of citizens, who ranks ed just according to their riches. There were also, indeed, at Rome, the diffinctions of Patricians and Plebeians or Lord and Commons; but after the abolition of these diffinction. there fill remained a nobility. Indeed, it frems to have been after the abolition of the diffinctions of Patricians and Pebeians, that the Romans came to use the word nobility, which fignified all their rich and powerful men, whether descended from patrician or pleberan families. In Poland, under the former government, there were no titles such as are in this courtry; but there was the very worft fort of nobility, an arifoeracy, to whom all the reft were flaves. In fome of the other kingdoms of the north of Europe, the introduction of titles is rather a modern thing; but thefe kingdoms had always a nobility; and, in former times, before the use of them, their nobility had much more power than at prefent. In short, tills are no more connected with nobility, than the name of any thing is connected with that thing; and it is very obvious, that the name of a thing ferves only to diftinguish it from four other thing, but does not make it either better or worfe, or any how alter it.

Real nobility, in all countries, is produced by riches and

The word real is here used in contradistinction to nominal; and by real nobility is meant external greatness, the chief constituent of which is wealth, in all countries, where there is a great disparity of fortunate is in the contract of the constituent of a man; superiority with respect to riches, birth, connexions, because, power, and all the constituents of external greatness, is the arbitry of a member of society. The former may be faid to constituent of the compared with external greatness is striking. It is an estimated in fruitful in happiness, and of which no man can be deprived against his will. It commands respect and even headurg, and the want

These are so effential to it, that, without them, it most fublish. In some countries, it consists in certain exclusion me privileges also, which may, according to the nature of In France, the nobility were the middle order, w protected the people, and diftinguished the too arbitrary goemment of that country from despotism; but, for a long se before the revolution, their privileges were, on the oness hand, too fmall to defend them against the crown, and, on heother, too great for the liberty of the people. In Britain, the Nobility, as formerly in France, are also a barrier against the invasion of the Crown; but their privileges are only such a qualify them to be that barrier; they are neither unnecelfiry, nor oppreffive to the Commons. To that order, they me a protection, as well as a support to the Crown, While they preferve their own proper place, they keep both the Crown and the Commons in theirs. They prevent that fluctuation of power, which is constantly fruitful in civil wars and revolution mi. They balance, and are balanced by, the Crown and the Commoner in this mutual balance, confilt political liberty and quality; and the effect of thefe is civil liberty and equality, that is, fecurity to all in the enjoyment of their private rights, which is all the liberty and equality that can be realized.

ure of

rank. ed, st

Lorda tions, been

Pie-

which

coup-

other

titles

2 10-

their

titles

. 10

and

itute l cae flam d so But if, in all trading countries, where the subject enjoys security, some become very rich and of course very powerful; if real nobility consist in riches and power; if it be of use to allign limits to the power of such great men (which is done in this country) if such men, when so limited with respect to their power, do no harm, but good, to the rest of the people; if even the very names, by which such men are called, when thus limited, have a beneficial tendency; and if, after all, these names, and not things, are so intolerable an eye fore to any; what shall we say? are these men guided by reason or prejudice? do they consult either their own happiness or the happiness of the nation? No! To extinguish such names, to sholish such an order of men, one is at loss whether to call soly, or an infatuated rage for misery and ruin. Titles and no-

cannot be compensated by all external bleffings. But personal excelmes is not confined to any rank; and a very rich man, whose personal politics are equal to another's, who is less rich, must generally have are of that power and external greatness, which arises from riches; as, in this external greatness, under whatever name or title, as always consisted bility may excite the enry of fome; but if the order of and lity, an order to necessary to the welfare of the country, for that reason, to be sholished, we must for the fame reason endeavour, by all means, to abolish all distinctions, not of fortune and merited respect, esteem, and honour, but unequal strength, wisdom, beauty, and even worth; for these distinctions excite envy, and the greater they are,

more envy do they excite.

To deprive our nobility of their titles and priviliges would indeed, be to abolifu an established and useful order of meaning the state; but it would not abolifu their greatness. There no other way of doing this, than abolishing the right of primogeniture: And even this would not destroy greatness would only be taking power from those who now possess with advantage to the whole community, to put it into thands of others, where it would be extremely dangerous. It fore we proceed, therefore, to the abolition of this right, will be prudent to consider, whether we should not do me

harm than good by it. .

The right of primogeniture, to a certain extent at leaft both founded in nature and appointed by God; and there no doubt, certain advantages attending it. By this inflitt on, there is always a confiderable degree of inequality prefe ed amongst individuals; this inequality, by exciting emul on, leads to industry; and industry preserves men from and renders them rich, virtuous, and happy, as individu and powerful as a body or nation. It will be faid, perh that the inheritance of the first born encourages him in A and the vices which attend it. But if, in a great family, fon should, from this cause, be in danger of becoming vie (for the very confeit ufness of his dignity in representing ! a family must, in some degree, counteract the bad tender of a large fortune) the other fons will be more industri and confequently more virtuous and happy, than they we have been, had their father's fortune been equally divided mongst them.

But that inequality, which is, in a certain degree, keptuly the right of primogeniture, not only produces more industry and virtue, and confequently more happiness, in the community, than would be without it; but it serves also to make tain that subordination, which is necessary for good government, that is, for the tranquil enjoyment of life and proper

timate in, and not m lation, which co In sta

good r e child Jiged to her eafe town, t hthers, erately. Il trade ed in the onopol ato a Il of their caule agres ort of u WD, W . feei nd, per he fathe divid

ould,
outh this
caceabl
The i

ences

with

lefe has

nosperio harpest ire of r al desire The world, it is evident, never was, and never can be almately governed by force, but by one part of it acting unto, and obeying, another, that is, by subordinations and it sot merely superiority of piety and virtue, of wisdom, years, taion, office, and descent, but superiority of riches chiefly,

Mich creates and preferves this fubordination.

fa

ry,

ot i

bu

for

e,

of t

100

TeA to 1

bt,

1

aff

re a

Aite

refe

duels

Dock

, o

fee

der

work

led s

pt u

mail

ope

In flates that fubfift chiefly by trade, fuch as Holland, or Agriculture, fuch as America, it may, in some cases, be good rule to divide the fortune of the father equally amongst children; because, by such a division, each of them is o-Wiged to be as industrious, as he was: But this rule is, in oher cases, attended with mischiefs; for instance, in a trading own, the fons of feveral rich merchants, being left by their thers, with great flocks, may, by uniting or even trading feentely, be able, by the excess of their capital, to engross Il trade as effectually, as if there were a monopoly establishdin their favour, by law. The consequence of their thus onopolizing is, that the rest of the inhabitants are thrown no a state of dispair. They become remis, and negligent their business; they have no spirit for enterprize in trade, resule they have no hope of success; they are obliged to be. agreat measure, idle, because they have nothing to do. A ort of unnecessary nobility, a hateful aristocracy, arises in the own, which engroffes all, and does all; the rest of the peofeeing themselves nothing, grumble, become refractory, d, perhaps, feditious But if, in fuch cases, the bulk of he father's fortune were left to the oldett fon, and the remainr divided amongst the rest of the children, these bad confemences would be prevented. The oldest fon being able to without trade, would leave it to the younger children; and hele having little or no advantage over the other citizens or rgeffes, all would have an equal chance of fuecess, and mld, therefore, be equally industrious; and being satisfied th this fort of equality, they would be good neighbours and raceable subjects.

The indultry of men is the fole cause of their riches, and, a great measure, the cause and support of their virtue; and beir riches and virtue are the sources of national strength and respectly, and of individual happiness; and inequality is the urpest spur to industry. Men are born with a very strong detection of rivalling or excelling one another; they have no natual desire of equality with any one, except as a stage in their

progress

of

in t

entu Oitu

of r

prop chan

bette

men,

Win

tion ; legue with

perni

Woul

oul

here

etak

roba

La

progress to superiority. Even some of those, who are clamarous for equality, would distain, were it not necessfor their purposes, to mix with their followers; and this of rising, if not excessive or irregular, is productive of calent effects. It obliges men to be industrious, and, in cases, virtuous, in order that they may gratify it; and tindustry and virtue repoter them happy.

All is the gift of industry, whate'er Exalts, embellishes, or readers life Delightful.

If it were possible, therefore, to annihilate inequality out force, and even with the eale and fwiftness of thought annihilation of it would be deftruction and mifery. If, is actually the cafe in this country, those, who are in the er ranks, enjoy the necessaries, and, in a reasonable de the comforts of life, and a fair opportunity of bettering condition by their industry, to limit the fortunes of men to attempt to render them, in some degree, equal, won to check their industry , it would do no good, but much ! to the poor, as well as to the whole of the community +. the greatest inequality, to which any man in this nation afpire, and that, after which the ambitious most ardenty is the inequality of the honours of the nobility; and, the fore, had those honours no other good effect in our con than exciting men to industry, every wife man would, ont fingle account, with to preferve them.

But besides the danger of destroying that subordinations mongst men, which, whatever some may vainly think, it folutely necessary to the administration of justice and the traquillity of society; besides the danger, in some cases, of a monopoly of trade and an unnetessary aristocracy on the per of a certain description of men, and of idleness, discontinuous

[†] The truth of the above observations, as well as of those made some other preceding letters, is confirmed by a learned man, who left country, fome years ago, for America. "This country," (America) she, is a letter to bis friend bere, "wants two orders of men, where highly beneficial to the reft. I mean the rich and the poor. The rea madiscrites (the golden madium) which does well enough for peak, wretched policy and occasions a stagnation of the faculties of the unwhich providence designed for action. A clemax or inequality of intenses, talents, tastes, and pursuits, is the infiitution of nature, and climately necessary for political happinels."

ed fedition, on the part of others; there is a much greater imogeniture.

If the fortunes of landed proprietors were, at their death,

TE

f

ity W

ght

the l

mer

FOR

ch h

tion

ity

, 1

coun

, ont

ations

k, 188

the to

es, of

the !

contr

made

ho lefts

Amer

en, w The

peda the Bull y of t

and el

uslly divided amongst their children, the greatest estates would, in a few generations, be reduced to petty farms, be-cause proprietors of land have comparatively few means of augmenting their patrimouy. But though the fortunes of merchants are equally divided amongst their children, every fon, by following his father's occupation, may, in the course of a few years, make his patrimony equal to his father's forderable proportion. By this means, therefore, there would in time be a number of very rich merchants, and few very rich reprietors of land, except fuch as have purchased land w he money which they have gained by trade; and the mimber of fuch landed proprietors would probably be few, as those poseffed of fmall portions of land would, by the fmallness of their fortunes, be enured to industry and economy, and louth to part with their portions, as land is the most certain and. aluable kind of property. Belides, those merchants who hould purchase property in land, by their habits of trading, their town acquaintances, and their third after power, would aturally be led to relide in towns. If the right of primogesture, therefore, were abolished, there would be a numb of rich merchants, and a great many petty, but few great proprietors of land, that is, the scene of things would be changed, but things themselves would not be changed for the better. Our real nobility, that is, our great and powerful men, would refide in towns instead of refiding in the country. Were this the whole effect of the abolition of the right of primogeniture, it would be of very little importance to the naion; but this is by no means, the whole effect. Another con-

fequence of it would be, that the towns would be crowded with people from the country, which is, in many respects, pernicious. The divisions and subdivisions of great estates ould foon reduce most of them to farms of fuch a fixe as fould maintain only the proprietors of them; the old tenant, herefore, would be turned out of his farm, and obliged to etake himfelf to some large town, where he would settle, and

robably enter into fome fort of bufinefa.

In the towns, therefore, after a certain period, there would SOP OF MILE THE UNITED STREET

be a numerous people and a monied ariffocracy; and, in the country, the people would probably be less numerous, and the greatest proprietor of land, the proprietor only of a middle fized farm. There is, however unreasonable, a certain difat. fection in men, who follow different occupations, towards each other; and there is always in all descriptions of men a flrong delire of superior power. Disaffection, therefore, whatever degree it might be, and a firnggle for power, would establish one party in the towns, and another in the country, But the country party would be no match for that in the towns. Individuals in the former party would have much less riches than individuals in the latter, that is to fay, they would have much less power; for, in trading countries, power is generally in proportion to riches. But there are other things befides inequality of property, which would make the power of the towns greater than that of the country. The rich is habitants of towns can eafily affemble; their ample fortunes give them leifure to meet, and even their business brings them frequently together. In all their meetings, they can discuss the politics of the day, and lay their fehemes for engroffing all power; and as they have a command of money, they may, in towns especially, have also a command of men. The inhabitants of the country cannot, without confiderable difficulty, affemble in any great numbers; they can meet but feldom, because their bufiness, fortunes, and scattered manner of living, will not permit frequent meetings. When they are met, they are less acquainted with politics than their neighbours in town; they have less learning and less address (for both their co try life, and their feanty fortunes, upon the supposition, that the right of primogeniture is abolished, must make it so) and they have no superfluous money, like the wealthy merchant, to attach to them followers. A correspondence also between the different diffricts or counties cannot be so easily maintain ed, as between the different towns.

According to the supposition, our nobility or great menow reside is towns, and our commons in the country; but the commons would be no balance against the nobility. For they have less riches and sewer followers, that is, less power and they have less conveniency and less address to use the power, which they have, in their own desence. The necessary consequence of this disparity between the two parties, is which the state divides itself, is, that the aristocrates of the

towns obtain all political power.

10 de 00 de 00

fan

ab

ly

che

Tus

def

in t

the

who

feri

On I

40 t

pou

pric

poli

to d

tow

enak

the

the

and

farm

the I

reftr

Supp

der,

and t

DOW

fbili

Becou

By the abolition, therefore, of the right of primageniture, the balance of power, between the towns and counties, and (which is of more importance) between the rich and poor, would be wholly deftroyed. It is one of the greatest excellencies of our government, that the powers of the different parts of the state are a balance to each other. It is in this equal balance of power, that political equality consists; and the result of it is civil equality, that is, the equality, which consists in the laws being, not in words, but in reality, the same to all. By this equal balance, every part of the state is able to defend its rights against every other; and consequently every individual is, by this balance, secured in the enjoyment of those rights, for the maintenance of which men

choose to live under government.

o the

20

difat

en a

e, in

intry.

h lefi

would

is ge-

hings

DOWER

ch b

them

lifeul

offing

may,

iculty,

iving,

, they

iswo:

COUL

, that

bas (o

tween

intur

it men

e po

celle

of the

For

But if this balance of political power is defroyed, as the quantity of power in the whole state would be the same, that description or order of men, which gains an undue influence in the state, may oppress that, which loses the power which they acquire. They may make laws, which would throw the whole burden of government from themselves upon that defeription of men, who has least power. They may lay taxes on them to any amount. But as this would not be a burden to those on whom they should be imposed, provided they had power to raise the prices of labour, provisions, and all articles, in proportion, they might, after imposing the taxes, fix the prices of labour and of every commodity. For inftance, suppoling what would be the confequence of obliging every man to divide his fortune equally amongst his children, that the towns should acquire all political power, that is, all power of enacting and executing the laws, there is nothing to hinder the freemen or inhabitants of them from laying all taxes or the greater part of them on the inhabitants of the country, and afterwards obliging the farmer to fell the produce of his farm, and the labourer and country handicraftman to work, at the prices, they should be pleased to fix. There is no legal restraint to hinder them from doing so; for they are, by the supposition, possessed of all political power. And if we consider, on the one hand, the numbers that must be in the towns, and the facility with which they can be united under rich and powerful leaders, and, on the other, the difficulty, the impoffibility almost, of uniting the inhabitants of the country, on account of their living at fo great a diftance from each other,

and having no powerful leader to conduct them, we shall for perceive, that, as the inhabitants of the towns would have in their power to enact what laws they pleased, so they would have it equally in their power to execute them. Thus, by this single change, the abolition of the right of primogeniture, the country would be entirely at the mercy of the towns, that is, the one half of the nation would be real flaves to the other,

The Lacedemonians, a Greek nation, subdued the inhabitants of that territory which they possessed; but the former inhabitants, who were countrymen called Helots, were permitted to live amongst them and enjoy liberty. But afterwards the inhabitants of Sparta, their chief town, imposed a tribute on the countrymen: The countrymen refused to pay it, and the citizens had recourse to force: They obliged the countrymen to submit, and made them the most wretched flaves of which there is any account in history: Nor were thefe countrymen or Helots ever able to recover their liberty. By the abolition of the right of primogeniture, and obliging emry man to divide his effate equally among his children, the power of the towns would be encreased, and that of the coutry diminished, in almost any proportion. What then would hinder the inhabitants of the towns from making the inhabitants of the country Helots or flaves? The power of the towns and the power of the counties are at present equally poiled: For though the number of the representatives of the nation, in Parliament, from the towns, be greater than that from the Counties; yet as many of the burghs are represented by country gentlemen, and as the House of Lords is conpoled of landed proprietors, no law is permitted to be enacted, which is not supposed to be of advantage to the inhabitants of the counties, as well as to those of the towns.

It will, perhaps, be faid, in answer to the above reasoning, that the effect of the abolition of the right of primogeniture would not be the diminution of the fize of country effaces and the encrease of the riches of the towns, that is, the diminution of the power of the country and the encrease of that of the towns, in the degree that is mentioned above. But it may be replied, that this would be the tendency of the abolition of that right; that any exceptions from the general rule are not worth mentioning; and that it is not even necessary, that the effect should be so great as has been stated, in order to put it in the power of the towns to enslave the counties. All

that

and

gen

or f

this

from

the o

will

the t

difco

It

that,

of la

le is :

ger o

a grea

vanta

Ev

in any

lo dif

his he

and lil

to his

provifi

on of

Gring

being

to the

that is necessary for this purpose, is to make the power of the towns preponderate in any confiderable degree; and this cerminly would be the effect of abolishing the right of primos niture. If a man be even but a few degrees thronger than his seighbour, he may both east him down and keep him down, mould they flruggle, as effectually as if the one were a giant, and the other a dwarf. But that the abolition of the right of primogeniture would make the power of the towns preponderate in a very great degree, is an unavoidable conclusion from the preceding reasoning. Abolish the right of primogeniture, therefore, and you lay the foundation of Baftiles or flate prisons for the inhabitants of the country. Abolish this right, and you make the towns a turbulent and fluctuating arithocracy, and the counties perpetual flaves. " Where riches," faith Hume, " are in few hands (and were the right of primogeniture abolished, they would be nearly banished from individuals in the counties, and mostly in the hands of the chief men in towns) where riches are in few hands, thefe will enjoy all the power, and will readily conspire to lay all the burden on the poor, and oppress them still farther to the discouragement of industry." At present, the riches and ower of the towns are balanced by those of the counties; and ence the inhabitants of both enjoy freedom.

ied a

Pky the

By

codi-

nad-

ing,

tun

200

inn

at of

are

Pot All It has been objected against the right of primogeniture, that, by the exercise of such a right, the younger children of landed proprietors suffer injustice and sometimes hardship. It is rather remarkable, that this objection should be most violently urged against this right by those who are in no danger of suffering by it. However, the objection itself is, in a great measure, specious, and of no weight against the ad-

untages arifing from the right of primogeniture.

Every man certainly has a right of disposing of his fortune is any way not injurious to any other, and of leaving it to be so disposed of in all future times, so long as it continues with his heirs; for this right is implied is the rights to property and liberty. But because a man leaves the bulk of his fortune to his oldest son, it does not follow, that he can make no provision for his other children. He may save any proportion of his rents, as the merchant does of his gains; and these savings, by accumulating at the rate of compound interest, in being lent out to farmers and traders, will, even with behefit to the community, become, in the space of 20, or 30 years,

a fum fufficient to portion a numerous family. If a man post live fo far within his income, as to be able to do this, is his own fault. In this country, there now are, and, while we continue la trading nation, and fland in need of a nay, there ever will be, so many ways of disposing of the younger fons of the nobility and gentry, that a little faving only in most cases, necessary to put them in a way of providing for themselves; and when a father has it in his power to leave his daughters in an independent fituation, and does it not, he is to be blamed for want of economy; for his being obliged to leave his property in land to his oldest fon, does not oblige his to spend more than he can afford, after what should be fet a part for portioning his other children, is deducted from his necone.

When what is fufficient for portioning daughters and bring ing younger fone forward in the world, is faved from the reof an effate, the effate itfelf may then be left to the olde fon. The daughters are either married, or if they live fingle, they may be independent. The younger fons, under the of that good Being, who feeds the young ravens, have th own good conduct to truft to; and by exerting themselves in the various employments of life, together with the good fices of their friends, they generally, perhaps, become able men than their older brother, though not more ufeful men bers of fociety, and frequently acquire greater afflues Whilft they bufile and firuggle through the world, they ferre to encrease the virtue, riches, and happiness, of the com mity, though their fole aim may be to encrease their own tunes. And thus, in our government, the oldest fone of lan ed proprietors, though they should be, in a great measure, in tive, remain as pillars of the flate: And under the roof that immenfe and superb fabrick which they support, all t rell of the people, as well as they, enjoying liberty, freque ly, by their industry, obtain riches, and almost always or petence and happiness.

The substance of what has been said in this letter, is the Titles are but the names of a certain order of men, who must in some fort, exist in every country, where there is an inequality with respect to riches. Titles, the right of primograture, and all forts of inequality or eminence, are not only case as of subordination, that is, of good government, but of incustry, of virtue in general, of individual happiness, and of

.

mo har ho dor pris

to t

part and atio special discount of a second of a second

Filt 64

hou mod ever juric migh fervi

trans

on,

מנוסת

exional strength. The right of primageniture does not lay my father under a necessity of not providing for all his children, as well as the oldest; but the abolishing of this right would, is the end, give the towns a power of enslaving the counties. It is, therefore, the interest of this nation to maintain their abolisty, the right of primageniture, and other forts of inequality; and to leave the door from one rank to another open to all

be in

fet a

brin

rents

fingles

ne ca

e thi

lves in

able

men

veno

y len

Fland

all the

s this

C

, in

Inflesd therefore of abolifhing titles and the right of primogeniture, it has been supposed, that certain regulations with regard to entails, might be of use to the country, " If," if been faid, " the method of entailing citates perpetually, hould be univerfally adopted, every inch of land in the kingdom may, in a certain time, become inslienable. The proprietors of land will be the only persons, who will have any permanent, certain, and valuable property. All the trading art of the nation will be thrown into the same situation with the lews, or rendered incapable of purchasing land with their mins. The confequences of this must be, on the one hand, hat a very great check will be given to the industry of such men, that is, that a great obflacle will be placed in the way, o the industry and other virtues, the riches, strength, and happiness of the nation; and, on the other, that the heirs, in rticular, of estates perpetually entailed, will be less virtuous d happy than otherwife they would be. Besides, these fituations of the landed and trading parts of the nation with reect to each other, might, on the part of the latter, be probelive of discontent, disaffection to government, and, in the end, of civil war, of a revolution, or of the total abolition of entails of every kind." a said to all account

"But," on the other hand, if proprietors of land are not permitted to entail their eflates either wholly or in part, as far as they can fee, or on a fon, grandfon, or great grandfon, hould they have any, they might complain, that one of their most precious rights was taken from them, the right which very man has of disposing of his property in any way not injurious to others. Besides, the entire abolition of entails might destroy that inequality in the nation, which, by preferring a character of weight, is one principal cause of the tranquil enjoyment of all our rights; which gives life, motion, virtue, and happiness to all; which, whilst it is a sence round every individual, is also a bulwark to the nation."

" Such

" Such regulations, therefore, with regard to entails, may ferve to keep up certain higher classes of men, which peceffary to due fubordination, and yet not preclude any dustrious individual from filling a place in thole classes, or to prevail, if we confult the tranquil enjoyment of indivisights, the stability of government, or the industry, virt and happiness of the subject."

How far thefe reflexions concerning entails are just the real er will judge for himself. All I contend for, is, that the bolition of the honours of our nobility and of the right of me mogeniture, would do us no good, but very much harm. Albura Course to making soft house in a 13

Man adur and later in several date. Tales of

LETTER XIX.

Ufes of Inequality. to built things of arting harded hopey accept

COUNTRYMEN, COLOR DESCRIPTION OF THE STATE O

THE great inequality in the condition of men, is but I part of that much greater inequality or variety whi prevails in the other works of God; a variety, which is ver pleafing, and which demonstrates the greater wifdom goodness in the Creator; as, by means of it, life and a tain portion of good are communicated to an immense num of fenfible creatures that otherwife could have no existence. all were earth, or air, or water, there would be no vegetab and the creation would be a huge male of wafte and dres matter: If there were no vegetables, there would be a living creatures; for they could have no subfiftence; without such creatures, the world would be a dull and lifele scene: And were it replenished with the larger and noble forts of animals only, there would be many chaim or empt place, which is now filled with creatures, which partici of life and happiness, and which ferve the most beneficial purpoles. If we may compare great things with fmall, the world confidered with regard to the variety and subserviency

its parts, is like an immense fabrick, in which there are man

apartments, all of them ufeful, though different, and all con-

tributing to answer some general end; or like the human be

tercou of ha Th the la The o

M

all fi take t

1. tivate those

noung be of to the ideals the h

of len affi inks (enden the ne d to prov ppine

ged

ad eve

ood h

dy

is each part of which contributes, in fome way, to the

good of the whole,

If we consider the variety of the human species, or the inequality that prevails amongst men, we shall find the same products and wisdom in their inequality, that is conspicuous in the variety that prevails through all nature, the same subferriency of one part of the species to another, and the same general tendency of all its parts to the accomplishment of one prest and benevolent end, the improvement and happiness of the individual and of the whole.

Much of what might be faid in illustration of these truths will suggest itself to the recollection of the reader. I shall take the liberty of pointing out to him only a few particulars.

1. The inequality of man leads them to affociate, and to cultivate benevolence, fympathy, humanity, moderation, and all those virtues, the practice of which are requisite in their intercourse with each other, and which are a very great source

of happiness.

the m

of pri-

m.

T

n W

um

tabil

drea

be I

noble

may e

Il con-

an be

db

The wealth of the rich is necessary to the poor; and the the labours and affiftance of the poor are of use to the rich-The counsel of age, and experience are necessary to the oung, and the vigour and enterprize of youth are useful to he old. The light and maxims of the learned are of fervice to the illiterate, who repay what they receive from them in mious ways. The hufbandman is more ufeful to the medanie, and the mechanic to the husbandman, than the indiiduals of either of these classes of men could be to them. elves, were each to raife his own corn, and manufacture for linfelf the different necessaries and conveniencies of life. he head of the nation, and all civil magistrates under him, of use to direct its common force for its security from exsmal and internal danger; and they require, in their turn, affillance of the subject. And thus, all individuals and miks of men are linked together, and rendered mutually deendent, by the need, in which they fland of each other, the need which each man has of another leads all to affociate, to continue in fociety; and their continuance in fociety sproves their understandings and hearts, and promotes their ppinefa. In their intercourse with each other, they are ged to practice the rules of truth, honesty, benevolence, d every focial duty; the practice of those duties begets and habits; and good habits are a fure foundation of happiness. Thus, the inequality of men renders every man pendent on another; their mutual dependence obliges the affociate; and the necessary practice of the social duties to

improves them and encreases their happiness.

It cannot, indeed, be denied, that men are always for in fociety, that they are disposed to it, and that they are py in it; but it is likewise true, that there is also in in certain aversion to fociety, especially to those societies they are thrangers. And were there no necessity for them ! affociate, they would frequently rather choose to live in fomewhat folitary manner. Man is compounded of very polite qualities; he is fond of company, and yet, in li certain cases, he is averse to it. He has in his nature, to principles analogous to gravitation and repulsion in the m rial world, the one impelling him to affociate with his fell creatures, and the other restraining him from it. And every man quite independent of another, and felf-fuffic (which would be the cafe, were they equal.) as they w have no occasion one for another, their disposition to a ate would decrease, and their aversion to it so encrease by habit of abitinence from company, that in a fhort time, int of those various focieties, which prevail, we should fee! country peopled with folitary families and individuals, and most all intercourse amongst men broken off. But, by intermission of their intercourse with each other, the would gather a ruft, the heart would be encruited with i fibility, and all the focial nature of man would lofe its b and strength. The eye would feldom glitten with pleasure the fight of an acquaintance; the brow would wear a nele; the heart would be contracted; the speech and man would become aukward and diffuseeable; the very as spirit and courage would be abated; and the whole man and obscured. All this is proved by instances of those live much in solitude, and of favages. But all those effects are prevented, or rather the contrary good effects produced, by the mutual intercourse of men; and this it course is continued chiefly by the need which one man ha another, by the need which all have of all; or, in or words, by the inequality, which God has in creation and vidence, produced amongst men.

2. The inequality of men excites emulation, which is

tended by many good confequences.

geiet

they tween

celle o

a com

boline each a

jefts,

cale.

hind h

fuperi.

the la

that e

bleffin

tain de

conipa

tion;

any o

It o

ang

what is

leadly

Section

nterta

day is

me fu

er exc

Page a

either i

but is i

sind,

pently

fuit

inful,

hort

ever, I

gard

This effect, it has in two ways. It tends to dispose men to ficiety by their mutual helpfulness to each other; and, whilst they are in fociety, the difference, which they perceive between themselves and others, stirs up a defire of equalling or irpaffing their superiors; and this defire is productive of excellent effects. A class of students learning the same lesson, seempany of merchants or manufacturers in the fame line of beliacis, a group of farmers in the tame neighbourhood, make each a greater progress in the pursuit of their respective obiels, than any of them would do by himself. In the former cafe, each is pushed on by another, each disclains to be behind his neighbour, each pants with a defire of rivalling his Superior, and firnggles with all his ardour to be foremost; In the latter case, tho' the defire of learning and of gain, of hat excellence which we cannot but admire, and of those beflings which we most value, will lead men to apply themselves to their respective employments and pursuits, in a cermin degree; yet their application will always be feeble, when compared with that which is produced by the ipur of emulation; when men that are unequal, act, as it were, in the commay of each other, and from a defire of equality or superi-

It must be confessed, that emulation is sometimes the cause angry contentions amongst men of warm tempers; and that is ftill worfe, that it fometimes terminates in eavy, or leadly hatred. But emulation and envy, are very different Medions. Emulation is simply the defire, which one person, intertains of equalling a superior, or of excelling an equal; my is the hatred, which one person bears to another for me superiority, which he himself cannot attain. Envy nerexeites a man to any thing but to hurt the person envied sulation firs the spirit not only to the acquisition of wealth, aspectability, and power, but to every thing that is excellent ither in learning, in manners, or in conduct; to every thing as is lovely or of good report. Envy is the mark of a bale mind, emulation that of a generous one. Emulation is freently a pleafant affection from that ardour of mind in the which it generates; envy is for minful, that Solomon calls it the rottenuels of the banes. mort, so very different are these two affections, that they ever, perhaps, have place together in the same person, with

regard to the fame object.

1 (60

fellow

d wei

afure

in l

ofe

ett.

s in

0

pd 1

16 a

beth

dipe

kind,

ed for

liffu (

and

dio

nio,

excep

and p

382.

· No

a cert daim delect

nanc,

est p

rards hing

ty of

ride a

and a

AU

sence

ritely

men n

ot an

an g

man .

But whatever, may be the good effects of moderate en on on men, in improving their understanding, their dife one, their conduct, and their external condition; all good effects would be loft, were men either made equ nature, or rendered fo, if possible, by any human in tions. For, where there neither is, nor can be, any quality, there can be no room for one firiving to equa excel another. And here I cannot but observe, that a monarchies, there is much greater room for this paffin exert itself, infinitely less danger attending the exertion of and much more security to men of eminence, than in re lies, for in these respects, as well as in many others, the narchial form of government has advantages over the re lican. In republica, there ere, indeed, those diffinction point of wealth and respectability, that are naturally pro ed by good conduct; but there are no honours, the defi which may, in some degree, excite emulation in all, but cially in those who are already fatiated with abundance wealth, and have little or no defire left them, but after pe and artificial diffinctions. There is, in monarchies, at dual scale of estimation beginning with the simple estees honest worth, though in poverty, rifing from esteem respectability, from respectability to the different dignities, from dignities to royalty; and to fome degree or oth this scale, except the last, every man may aspire. And afpiration is the parent or nurse of many virtues, which, out it, would either never be produced, or languish for of their proper nourishment. The love of our country republics, by way of eminence, called virtue, becau threngthens all those virtues, that are requisite in our cou fervice. How much more then may emulation in Monar be called virtue, which exercises all the virtues, which lishes and improves the whole man ? Besides, a subje the British government has a motive to love his country, never did exist in any republic, in so great a degree; I rational, flable, and undisturbed liberty.

3. The inequality of men is the cause of a certain ful dination, which is necessary for the peace and good good

ment of the world.

Thus, the superiority of the parent to the child, of master to the servant, and of the king to the people, is principal cause why both families and kingdoms are

b propriety ... But were all men equal, it would be impose to prevent, in any great degree, either in families or by person of attention and reflexion may observe, that the is immediately governed by the influence and authority, acther natural or adventitious, of one part of it over another, d not by force, except in cases rather extraordinary or out the common course of affairs. And this influence and apmity depend on fome fort of superiority; most perhaps, on inerior wealth, in which the bulk of men are most confeious any inferiority, and by which they are most influenced. Hen are respected for superior wisdom, piety, and virtues their descent from illustrious or worthy ancestors; for the fices which they discharge; and, in a certain degree, even or mere bodily qualities: But, with the greater part of manhind, it must be acknowledged, that they are chiefly respectd for their superior riches. Wordly wealth and prosperity diffuse a sunshine over a man's character, which adorns his d qualities, and fomerimes gilds even his vices and imperections, or leaves them in the shade. This, however, is certhat, of any number of worthy men every way equal, except in wealth, the richest will always be esteemed the best, pollels most influence and authority.

gy

194

lio

n o

re

re

tio

ut e

T (1

Ace

cen

ier,

and h, t

OF I

71.

cau

oun

go

are fi

A faint in crape is twice a faint in lawn."

Nor is there any thing unbecoming, or improper in paying a certain deference, a certain liberal refpect, to men, who can daim no other superiority to others, but superior fortune and defent. There is even something generous, something humans, something to which we have a natural propensity, in that respectful, not service, behaviour, which we observe towards men, who are well descended, and who have done nothing to forseit that efteem, which is excited by the superiority of their birth. To behave disrespectfully to such men, is not any unmannerly, and argues a defect of understanding or good disposition.

All superiority is a cause of respect; respect is so much influence and authority; and, by influence and authority influence and authority influence and authority influence and restrained from missing manura and crimes. "There is not any one thing more necessary to the happiness of the world than good government, and yet there could be no government, wan equality; and there is nothing which makes such an in-

.afr le , mani Que fin' Aulenfa . .

equality like an unequal fortune "." Were all men equ there could be none of that influence and authority, which a rife from superiority; and the world must, in that case,) governed either by a fense of duty, or the fear of punishment It never has been yet governed by a fense of duty; and were there not some certain subordination among it men, created by the superiority of some and inferiority of others, the very magistrate himself would be possessed of little power to inside those punishments, which the law has annexed to crimes. A company of boys, who, either know not, or acknowledge not any superior among themselves, a family of servants in theah. fence of their mafter and mistress; an affembly of men in popular government, who confider themselves as equal, are the most unruly, the most refractory, and turbulent societies, which can be named. But when, in fuch focieties, all is noise and uproar, contention and disorder, the matter, or an person of acknowledged superiority and great respectability, enters, immediately the noise is husbed, and order introduced: and each submits to this superior, and returns to his duty. And in this manner it is, that the natural and adventitious inquality amongst men contributes to the good government of the world, that is, to the happiness of men.

One observation will here readily suggest itself to the reader, namely, that a limited and mixed monarchy, fuch as the British, is much better calculated for the purposes of good government, than those democratical states, where there is little acknowledged or legal superiority, amongst a certain class, but where every man claims an equal authority. Never was there any flate, in which the members were perfectly equal: in all states, the government has, in the last place, uniformly come into the hands of men diffinguished by their riches, rank, and authority; and, amongst such men, in popular flates, there is conflantly a contention for the superiority. Athens, and Rome during a certain period of the republic, were flates where each freeman had an equal power in all things that came before the affemblies of the people; but nothing it history can afford us a parallel to the confusion and contents on, the mifrule and anarchy, of those states at certain times In order to preferve order and regularity, and to diffribute inpartial justice between great and fmall, there must, whether men will, or will not, be, in all governments, perhaps, fome

who men an er natu nour bridl wife fupp By t is protted the g

ledg

but ;

confeet pothe of

and o

caufe

Ther from and t concertale if om two e all middency

good fortun ferved rewar fornet wicke

ereate

riches

A Sherlock on Providence, p. 184.

men very much fuperior to the rest, some person of acknowledged and decided authority, some head vested with a limited, but great legal power. He, who thinks to rule men, amongst whom great inequality prevails, by any other fort of government, and do impartial justice to each, seems to be in as great an error, as he who should imagine, that the motions of the natural body can be directed without its head. Such a governour of men is the King of Britain. His authority is such as bridles the licentiousness and turbulence, which would otherwise take place amongst great equals; but is not sufficient to support him in ruling in a manner inconsistent with the laws. By the exercise of this just power, the peace of the kingdom is preserved, and justice equally distributed to all. And thus, the great inequality of the chief magnificate is, in part, the easie why all enjoy their rights.

Laftly, that inequality, which is, in this world, the natural consequence of good conduct, affords to a good man the strongest prefumption, that his piety and virtue shall be rewarded in

the other.

ment.

d were

ted by

e very

inflia

s. A

he ab-

n in a

l, are

all is

or any bility,

And

s inc-

ent of

read-

as the

od go-

little

r was

qual:

ormly

iches,

pular

. A-

were

ingn

tenti-

asmes

te im-

ethe

fome

.mu

The natural fruits of piety and virtue are riches, authority and diffinction; those of impiety and vice, poverty, neglect, and obscurity. The law of God, which is the law of nature, is, that, even in the present life, in some way and measure, good conduct shall be rewarded, and ill conduct punished. There are, indeed, exceptions from this law; but so are there from the most general rules. Sometimes the rewards of good. and the punishments of bad men, are of an inward kind, and concealed from the world; and here the exception from the Thus alfo, when smoke ascends rale is only in appearance. fom a chimney, or water rifes in a pipe, there feem to be two exceptions from that general rule or law of nature, that all matter within a certain diffance from the earth, has a tendency towards its centre. Sometimes wicked men acquire riches and reputation by certain actions of merit, though the course of their lives be vicious; and somtimes the faults of good men, though few and finall, have much injured their firtunes and reputation. But the law is not violated, but obferved, in such cases; for it is virtue in the bad man, that is rewarded, and vice in the good, that is punished. " But fometimes good men are punished for their goodness, and wicked men rewarded for their wickedness." ereates some difficulty; yet it may be solved. de

mic

that

but t

they

nithir

fully

pletic it wil

mora

perfe

fortal

concil rewar

verle :

of the

" Ve

hands

that w

good

and go

takes

are cu

andab

proves

of a ce

be wel

advanta

i fofte

which

orts t

hort,

We are

lo wife

of our

foture !

Each

It d

The

77

do not punish the good, strictly speaking, for their goodness but because they are jealous of their superiority, and because the goodness of such men reproaches them for their wicked ness: Nor do they reward wicked men firiftly speaking, for their wickedness, but because they perform some action that is agreeable to them, because the characters of such men keen them in countenance, or because they are, upon the whole, to their tafte. Some such causes, as these, will furnish us with reasons why, in this world, wicked men are sometimes rewarded for their wickedness, and good men punished for their goodnesst. All such cases, therefore, are no proof, a gainst the truth of this general rule, that piety and virtue are rewarded, and impiety and vice, punished, in some way and measure, even in the present life. Such cases, indeed, prove the counteraction of fuch a law by other caples; but do not prove its non-existence, any more than the stoppage of the circulation in some certain place of the body, and the suspension of a stone in the air, prove, that there is no circulation of the blood nor any such thing as gravitation. No person doubts, that the tendency of industry and frugality is to lead to riches, and of idleness and prodigality, to povery; that the tendency of integrity and benevolence, is top rocure efteem, confidence, and regard, of dishonesty and malevolence, contempt, diffruft, and aversion; though those causes may not uniformly produce their proper effects: And so of other virtues and vices.

It is, therefore, a general rule, that goodness has a tendency to its own reward, and wickedness, a tendency to its own punishment, in this world; that is, in other words, that goodness and wickedness have a tendency to create the greatest inequality amongst men, an inequality in favour of the pious and virtuous, and every way against the impious and vicious. And the thorough conviction of these truths must serve very

As it is quite against the moral nature of man to approve vice, and disapprove virtue, so it is as much against that nature, properly speaking to reward vicious actions and punish good ones. It is not the intenties of such actions, or the virtue or vice of them, but the actions themselves, simply considered as events, or what is done, which are always rewarded or punished. It is just as natural for goodness to be rewarded and wickedness punished, as for a stream to descend from a mountain; and, therefore, whatever now obstructs the natural course of their being rewarded or punished, when such obstructions are, in the issue are event of things, under the perfect government of God, removed, the good must be rewarded, and the wicked punished.

much to confirm a good man in the belief of those rewards, that await him in the other world; where that which is here but tendency shall become effect; where all internal and external causes of the sufferings of good men shall cease; where they shall be perfectly good, and of course perfectly happy.

The government of God by rewarding the good, and punishing the wicked, is a plan, which, in this world, is not fully executed; which is but going forward towards its completion; but which, in the other, will be finished: And there it will fully appear to every eye capable of perceiving it, that moral goodness is the true cause of all pleasure, that to be

perfectly good is to be confummately happy.

We had never discovered these most sublime and most comfortable truths, had not God been pleased to reveal them in his word; but now that they are revealed, we are capable of reonciling those general rules that goodness tends to its own tward, and wickedness to its own punishment, with all adrestrict appearances. No good man now, under the clear light of the Gospel, has any reason to say, as did the pious Asaph, a Verily I have cleansed my heart in vain, and washed mine hands in innocency." For, in the Gospel, we are assured, that wicked men have all their portion of good things, and good men all their portion of evil things, in the present world.

Thus, I have endeavoured to point out fome of those wife and good purposes which are answered by the inequality that

takes place amongst men.

eli

aufe

ced

for

atis

cep

ole,

L US

mes

for

, 4

are

rave

not

e cir-

ntion

n of

ubte.

rich-

ten.

con-

mpt,

raly

and

nden-

own

pood-

ft in-

piou

cious.

Very

much

c, and

aking,

curien

felves,

wick.

there

warded

hings,

00 10-

It disposes men to society, in which all the social virtues are cultivated, and, by that means, a source of cheap and landable pleasures opened; it produces emulation, which improves both their characters and circumstances; it is the cause of acertain subordination, without which the world could not be well governed, scarcely governed at all; and, so far as any advantageous inequality is the natural result of good conduct, a softers, in good men, the hope of eternal rewards; a hope, which confirms them in the practice of their duty, and supports them under any adverse dispensations of providence. In short, in whatever light we consider, that inequality, of which we are sometimes apt to complain, nothing could have been so wisely appointed for improving the rational and moral part of our nature, and promoting in every respect our present and sture happiness.

Each individual in civil fociety, is a member of the body

with

ap

in

Wil

in a

who

all it a bo

it p

pect

to c

perp

are |

lie b

parli for c

they

publ

POCE

In

dispa

politic, a member, which is interelled in, and contributes to the fafety and happiness of the whole; and which contribute to these ends in the regular discharge of its function. What the Apostle saith, in order to illustrate the usefulness of a diversi ty of gifts in the church, will ferve equally well to illustrate the usefulness of the great natural and adventitions inequal amongst men in civil fociety. For the body is not one mer but many. If the foot shall fay, because I am not the band, I not of the body, is it therefore not of the body? If the whole but were an eye, where were the bearing? If the whole were bear where were the finelling? But now bath God fet the members ever one of them in the body as it bath pleased bim. - And the eye ca not fay unto the band, I bere no need of thee; nor again the be to the feet, I have no ne'd of you. Nay. much more those bers of the body, which feem to be more feeble, are necessary .-God bath tempered the body together .- That there foodld be no febr in the body; but that the members should have the same care one for another 1.

LETTER XX.

Respects in which we are all Equal.

COUNTRYMEN.

In the preceding letters on equality, we have feen, that is equality is one of the most general facts relating to make kind; that riches, honour, power, and all adventitious diffications are the natural fruits of original distinctions which are made by God only; that any attempt to abolish those asset titious distinctions, which are useful, and which arise among men, according to the order of providence, would prove use ous and abortive; that titles, considered as the names of sices, have been common to all nations; that, with us, the are still names of offices, and, in our government, answers best of purposes; and that all those inequalities among makes the formation and the careful of the careful discontent, and murnings against providence, serve to improve their nature and calition, and to promote their present and future happings.

These considerations will doubtless have great weight with thinking men, in reconciling them to any thing in their lot, in which they may perceive their inferiority to others: But what may have this effect in a much greater degree, is, that the people of this country are much more upon a level, than appears at first view; that they are really equal in all respects necessary to their happiness. This, I shall endeavour to shew by the following observations.

1. We are all equal in being the subjects of a government,

in which the laws are the fame to rich and poor.

es to

at the

uffrate

ual

t hat in

diffie

nela

There are no privileged orders of men in this country. Exerpt freedom from arreits, there is no legal privilege, but what is common to all ranks. The law is no respecter of persons.

* The exclusive rights or privileges which the freemen of cities and boroughs have within the royalties of the fame, are of the fame kind with the right of every individual to his private property; and they are, for the fame or fimilar reasons, exclusive. But Mr Paine has faid, that, on account of thefe privileges, a " man is not free of his country." It is not to my purpose to enquire whether such privileges are, upon the whole, of advantage or difadvantage to the Kingdom. But I would observe, that for a man to be free in his country is to be free to reside where he pleases, to work to whom he pleases, to employ himself and all his advantages in any innocent way he pleases, and, in thort, not to be a hondman; a freedom which, in former times, was not fo fully enjoyed, as now, by any. When the Legislature, in their wisdom, shall see it proper to disfranchise the cities and boroughs, they no doubt have a right to do it by giving the citizen and burgeffes an equivalent for their peculiar privileges. Mean while, for others than citizens and burgeffes to claim a right to these privileges is the same with one man's claiming a right to live in another's house, or to use any of his property or poifeffions.

The person of the King is facred and inviolable, because his office is perpetually necessary for the public good. Freedom from arrests for debta is a privilege enjoyed by the peersof the realm perpetually, (and indeed is the only peculiar privilege they enjoy, worth mentioning) because they are perpetually counsellors of the Crown, and liable to be employed in public business; by members of the House of Commons during the fitting of parliament, and for forry days before and after, the time allowed them for coming and returning; because, during the time that parliament fits, they are also counsellors of the Crown, and employed in the service of the public; by elergymen during the performance of divine service and convocations; and by suiters, witnesses, and other persons necessarily attending any courts of record.

In all fuch cases, freedom from arrelts is more or less necessary for the dispatch of business and the general good; and therefore it is evident, that the law, in all such cases, is not partial, as it does not respect any in-

Do Ladres on the Condinuered of English

dividua

latter

the fli

25 18 1

infrin

this is

In ev

this or

fuit o

and, 1

thers,

from

felf.

emple

We f

merg

es an

comn

celsfi

the la

or to

riche

g. religi

It

ment

with

them

alrea

and

men

their

from

mitt fefs

which

fet a

fore

ther

the

Its precepts and its punishments are the same to all. It preferibes the same rule of conduct to the great and to the littles and it inflicts on both the same punishment in case of transgreffion. Like the law of nature, on which it is founded, it is fo fleady and uniform, that the most powerful offender cannot promise himself impunity. If a great man should injure a mean one in his property, person, or reputation, he is lisble to that punishment which the law inflicts, and which we fee is, in fact, inflicted in fuch cases. And as the laws are the same to all, so the independence of the judges, but chiefly the trial by jury, make them to be impartially executed. In thort, the laws afford equal protection to all, and, in case of injury, equal redrefs. In this respect, therefore, are the sabjects of this government equal. And this fort of equality gives every man fo great a degree of feeurity, and fuch a fenfe of independence, as never were nor are enjoyed, fo fleadily, and on fo fure a foundation, by the people of any other country, 2. We are all equal in respect of private liberty.

There is no restraint laid upon one man, that is not laid on another: Nor is there any restraint laid upon any man, which is not necssary for the good of all. Every man is, by the law, restrained from all those things that would be injurious either to the indivdual or to the community; and such a degree of restraint is necessary to liberty: For if a strong or cunning man

dividual from any private motives, but every individual, who may be in the above predicaments, for the public good. In all cases of crimes and other public offences, as well as civil rights and wrongs, the law is the fame to all subjects, and also with regard to taxes, which are so imposed, that every man pays, in the last place, according to his expences, i.e., generally according to his income, i.e. according to the value of the property, for which he receives protection from government. So that with a sew necessary exceptions, "the laws" of this country "are the same to rich and poor." Members both of the House of Lords and House of Commons may be sued for any just debt as well as any other subject, by process against their good.

If the laws are, at eny time, partially executed, it must be owing to fome defect either in the men concerned in the execution of them, or in the forms of execution. If the defect be in the men, the laws are not to blame: If in the forms, let any man clearly point out the defect and the remedy, and I appeal to any man, who candidly observe what parliament is occasionally doing, to improve the administration of justice, and for the general good, whether there is not a moral certainty, that such

remedy will be applied.

* See Blackstone's Commentaries † De Lolme on the Constitution of England. t pre-

littles

tranf.

ed, it

r can.

injure

is lia.

ch we

VS are

chief.

l. In

afe of

e fub.

uality

fenfe

, and

intry.

id on

phich

law.

ither

ee of

man

were

be in

s and

is the

npof-

f the

that

e the

and

other

g to

a gr

not

and

arli-

and

were at liberty to injure a man that is weak and fimple, the latter could enjoy no liberty, but would, in many cases, be the flave of the former. To reftrain all, therefore, in fo fars is necessary for the liberty and security of all, is really no infringement of natural liberty, but a confirmation of it; and this is all the restraint that is laid on any man in this country. In every other respect, he is perfectly free, or master of all his actions: a freedom, which is an invaluable bleffing. For, by this means, a man may employ himself in any innocent purfuit or occupation, that fuits his inclination and circumttances; and, though he may be less rich and honourable than many others, there is no legal rettraint or obstruction to binder him from becoming more fo. He is, by the laws, matter of himfelf, he is a freeman; all his powers are his own, and may be employed by him in any way that may promote or benefit him. We fee numbers, who, by honelt industry and care, have emerged from the very depths of poverty and obscurity to richmand confideration; and fome, who have rifen from being commoners to the peerage. If all men are not equally fuccessful, it would be the height of absurdity to ascribe this to the laws, which is owing folely either to their own conduct, or to the providence of God, who is ultimately the giver of riches and power, and the fource of all honour and diffinction.

3. We are all equal in being at liberty to chouse our own religion.

It is, on many accounts, very much and deeply to be la-

mented, that there should be so many differences amongst us with regard to those matters of religion, which are really in themselves indifferent or arbitrary. Some sects, indeed, have already discovered the absurdity of some of their peculiarities, and the emptiness of others; and it is most probable, that as men become more enlightened, they will be more united in their religious faith and practice. However, in the mean time, the law has provided a relief for all who may choose to diffent from the established churches. Every man is, by the law, permitted, not only to indulge his religious opinions, but to profels and practice them in any innocent way; a circumttance in which we are all equal, and a bleffing on which our forefathers let a high value when they obtained it at the Revolution; before which, for a long time, each feet, perfecuted another, as each obtained the ascendant. They did not consider the equity of toleration; nor were they acquainted with the

advantages

iaftiru

ty, or

iects of

countr

fuch co

pivated

theinh

of me

habited

proach

ous, vi

gree,

rence i

their 1

who w

to-mor

ty, an

honour

ity and

and of

this fee

6 far a

to imp

cent w

5. F

happin

tajoys

city or

y the

this cou

hall not

* " A

canfe l

las an es

yet has a

oblige

in art a

. 33. €.

multiplie

All :

advantages of it; but they knew, and each in their turn fel, the difference between "toleration and intolerance;" a diffusction, which, if we may credit Mr Paine, he has not yet best able to make.

We are, therefore, all equal in being at liberty to choose our own religion: Nor are any of the legal disabilities which any diffenter may lie under to be complained of. No man fuffers any politive loss by fuch disability; no man is, by fuch difability, injured in his person, property, or good name; he is thereby only excluded from voting in election of member for the House of Commons, and from holding certain officer under the crown; reftraints, which can affect very few in comparison with the body of the people, and which are inposed on those sew for the safety and tranquillity of the flate, that is, for the good of the whole. Dean Swift observe, " that it is abfurd, that any person who protesseth a different form of worthip from that which is national, should be truffed with a vote for electing members in the House of Common. Because every man is full of zeal for his own religion, altho'he regards nor morality; and therefore will endeavour to his utmost to bring in a representative of his own principles, which, if they be popular, may endanger the religion established; which, as it hath formerly happened, may alter the whole frame of government." The same or a fimilar reason may be affigned for the otherfort of legal disability the diffenters lieunder. When those reasons cease, there is no doubt, that all restraints of this kind will be removed. But let it be observed in the mean time, that, with regard to the choice, and the innocent profession and practice, of our religion, we are all as free as thought; and, in this, we are all equal

4. In as far as any human government can make us fo, we are all equal with respect to improving our worldly condition

in every right and innocent way.

The laws not only leave every man at liberty to choose his own employment, and to embrace every fivourable and proper opportunity of making, or in proving, his fortune; but they secure every man in the possession of what he honestly acquire; they give him, as it were, enteoffment of every article in his possession, and confirm him in the possession and use of it. In this respect, we have an advantage not only over those who are in what has been called a state of nature, or without civil institutions.

[.] Rights of Man, part J. p. 34.

irn felt.

difting

et been

choole

s which

Vo man

by fuch

me: be

emben

officer

few in

are in-

e flate.

blerves,

ifferent

truffed

mmons.

tho' be

his ut-

which,

olifhed:

whole

may be

lie un-

hat all

obferv-

e, and

we are

fo, we

ndition

ofe bis

proper

it they

quires

in his

t. In

e who

t civil

tions,

inditutions, and who, for that rea on, never can enjoy fecuritr, or call what they have their own; but also over the subdrof despotic and arbitrary flates. The difference between countries under fuch governments, and ours, is firiking. In fuch countries, may be feen large tracks of land either ill culmated and ill inhabited, or quite neglected and abandoned by heinhabitants; and a poor, miserable, dejected, and idle race of men. In our country, we fee the whole extent of it inbited, great part of it highly cultivated, and the reft fast approaching to that state; we see the people chearful, industrius, vigorous, and active, and enjoying, in a very great degree, the conveniencies and comforts of life. And this diffeence is to be ascribed chiefly to the security for the fruits of heir labour, which all ranks, in this country, enjoy. who would labour to obtain that to-day, which he might lofe morrow? This fecurity, the frequent alienation of property, and the expectation of confideration, diffinction, and onours, are the parents of industry and economy, of ingenuin and dexterity, of bravery and fortitude, of many virtues and of all professional excellence. And in the enjoyment of this fecurity, we are all equal. We are equal, therefore, in fo far as any human government can make us fo, with respect to improving our worldly condition in every right and innocent way.

5. All ranks of men in this country are equal in respect of lappiness.

All are not born to external effates; but every man that apply health and reason, has an estate in himself. His capacity or his natural powers are his estate; and, if he will apply them in any useful way, they are a fund of wealth. In this country, where there is employment for all, no man that apply a sound mind in a sound body can want, but he who reuses to work; and be that will not work, faith the apostle, said not eat. In ordinary cases, the poorest man can, hy his labour.

^{*} A man is not poor, fays Mostefquies, because he has nothing, but knass he does not work. The man who without any degree of wealth he an employment, is as much at his ease as he who without labour has a mome of a hundred crowns a year. He who has no substance, and at his a trade, is not poorer than he who possessing ten acres of land, a chiged to cultivate it sor his substitute. The mechanic who gives it are as an inheritance to his children, has left them a fortune, which is mining ten acres of land, divides it among his children." Spirit of Laws, 43, c. 20.

40 2

er c

mo

gre

our

pels

on t

the

eye.

but

on t

men tern

of I

pala

impi

Bave

both

virtu

refpe

difco

L

ad of

Me co

degree

aniwer fometh

the bet

bw of

al any

labour, provide for himself and his family, lodging, fool and raiment, which are all that nature requires; and in extraordinary cases, his wants are supplied by the public. A rich man may sometimes have many imaginary or artificial define, which he has it in his power also to gravify; but such define exist only in a diseased imagination. A poor man has selden any of them. Most of his defires are the simple craving of

nature, and these are easily fatisfied.

A poor man's table is not, indeed, covered with fo colle and delicious fare as the table of the rich; but his labour link furnishes him with wholesome food, and sensons it with here ger, that belt of fauces, which makes every bitter thing for The materials of his bed are not to fort as those of a re man's; but toil converte them into down, and his fleep in for His lodging and cloathing are not fo fine, 'nor always to warm; but they shelter and cover him, and use and hardisch make up the deficiency. The deliciousuels of food ad drink, the magnificence of houses, and the folendour of drie and equipage, certainly afford much fels pleasure to the real than the poor imagine. The pleasure of them depends als wholly on their novelty. Use either annihilates or rendered comparatively intipide The poor have not fo much leifure a many of the richt but their time hever hange heavy on the They never know the mifery of those who have thing to do: a miferve which fometimed makes the folco objects of envy, in reality, objects of pity.

The only way of knowing the happinels of rich and poor, is to fee and compare them. The faces of men express a their pains and pleasures. In this at least, they are a true ror; for, no att can teach men always to conceal their fatilities tion or their discontent. In spite of all art, the painful and pleafant affections thine on the countenance?" When we me fider, then, the whole outward appearance of rich and poor which of them give the greatest figns of happinels? Which them feem to eat and drink with most inclination? Which if them feem to fleep foundett? Which of them, when awake fmile oftenefl, or laugh loudeft? Is the rich man, who ride in his carriage, happier than the ploughman who whiftles to his horfes? Is a brilliant affembly of ladies and gentlemen & a ball, happier than a company of lads and laffes on a hand field? Is a rich man, in a spacious and elegant room, surrou ed by his wife and children, happier than a poor man in a col

extra-

\ rich

Hoth.

ban-

ye lo dinch

bes

die

ure as

re H

refi il

tidie-

e con-

hich of

ride

fles to

men at

harvet

round

tage,

tage, who enjoys the same fort of pleasure? No! The scene is shifted, and the actors changed; but the happiness of both is the same.

There is, indeed, one respect, in which the rich will ever have an advantage over the poor; and that is their greater power of doing good. This, to a wife and benevolent man, will appear the most enviable circumstance in their lot; though most probably that, for which they are least envied by the greater part of mankind; and yet this is the chief fource of our happiness. For it is evident beyond a doubt, that happinels does not depend fo much on any certain external condition or circumftances, as on the part which men act. God is the parent of all, and looks upon his children with a paternal eve. He makes indeed diftinctions amongst them. On all, but the vicious, he bestows some share of happiness, and most on those who are best deferving. But he is not partial, as men fometimes are. His apparent partiality is justice, or paternal affection, directed by wildom. He does not limit happiness to any rank, but proportions it to the piety and virtue of men in all stations. It is to be found indifferently in the palace and in the cottage: Like the light of the fun, it emanates from the Deity, and enters into every heart, from which impiety and vice have not flut it out. Autoninus, one of the greatest of the Roman emperors, and Epictetus, a Greek flave, were both happy in their respective stations, because both were good. Both were remarkable for their piety and virtue, both had brought their wills to entire submission to the divine will, and both were attentive to the duties of their respective stations. The one, therefore, wore a crown without any uneafiness; and the other supported flavery without discontent .

Laftly, we are all equal in this country, in being all equal-

If the rich and diftinguished enjoy no more happiness than the poor and obscure, it may be asked what good purpose is served by men's being industrious in procuring riches, honours, and all shose things, which are commonly effected by the server of the means of happiness, in a greater degree than that in which they at any time enjoy them? And it may be asswered, that men are formed to be active, to be perpetually changing forething in their condition upon the supposition, that they change it for the better; that, in being thus employed, as they yield to a necessary haw of their nature, so they sind happiness; that though the acquisition of any supposed blessing may not confer happiness, yet addends and neglect of affairs would be certain misery.

.

PA O GO ji

.

21

er

th

th

ho

ne

ed

ea!

ob

100

ha

T

lan me

lati

ly at liberty to improve our happiness in the present world, and to qualify ourselves for the happiness of the other.

However mean a man's fituation may be, there can be no. thing in it to hinder him from advancing in the ways of piety and virtue; and as thefe are really both to rich and poor the only means of happiness, worth mentioning, every man, by encreasing in the practice of them, has it in his power mol effentially to augment his flock of present happiness. But what above all things deferves our most ferious attention, the more we encrease our present happiness in this way, the more also do we lay up in flore for the future. The time will fom come, when death shall level all external diffinctions amongst men; and, after death, each man will find his rank and his happinels correspond most exactly to his life. The great plan of God's moral government will then be completed, and enry man shall be rewarded, or punished, according to the deed done in the body. To them, who, by patient continuous in well doing, feek for glory, bonour, and immortality, Gul will then render eternal life. And every man in this country may, if he chooses, both begin to do well and continue patient in well doing. There is nothing in the laws to hinder him; and, if he has not fufficient frength to do fo in himfelf, the grace of his Saviour is sufficient for him, and the throne of God is accessible to all. If a man will neither begin, no continue, to do well, neither the laws of God, nor thole of our country, are to blame, but he himfelf.

Every man, therefore, who feriously resolves to be and a continue good, and who makes progress in goodness, adds to the stock of his present happiness, and lays up for himself is store endless happiness against the time which is to come. And as every man may do so, this is another respect in which we are all equal. It is a part of that common equality, upon which no just value can be set, because its effects reach to eternity; a part which, to a truly good man, will prove a so vereign balm to every wound of the spirit, a firm support soler the pressure of adversity, and a strong consolation at the

approach of death.

It must be evident, from what has been now advanced, the in this country, however unequal we may be in some respect, we are equal in all respects necessary to our present and sum happiness. Very much of this common equality depends that private or individual liberty which we all enjoy; and the

be no.

oor the

nan, by

er mol

on, the

he more

vill foon

mongh

eat plan

and eve-

ne deed

ntinuame

y, Gol

country

patient

er him;

elf, the

rone d

in, nor

bole of

and to

adds to

COM.

which

y. up

each to

ve a fo

ort m at the

12 (6)

d, that

fpeds.

ende nd the

dibent

liberty depends wholly on the due balance of power amongst the different orders of men in the state. Never was the power of those orders so happily balanced, as it has been for a century past, by our excellent constitution. From the ara of the revolution at least, every man became free; and, till the present time, every man has continued so. This is not the effed of chance, but of the fleady operation of our conflicution. If we have any regard, therefore, to true liberty and oquality, if we fet any value on our prefent or future happinels, let us watch over this conflication with a careful and jealous eye, let us repair its breaches, should there be any made in it, and supply its occasional defects; but let us as soon think of extinguishing the sun to light a taper in its stead, as of exchanging it for the new-fangled, specious, and most dangerous schemes of our modern speculators. Let patience have its perfect work; and if experience, the best of teachers, should discover to us a better form of government, we hall unanimoully adopt it.

Though the above confiderations must have their weight with all reflecting men; yet though we were entirely equalour discontents would not be wholly removed. The causes of them lie deep and fixed in the nature of man. The children of Ifrael in the wilderness were at once the most equal, the belt governed, and the most discontented people, of whom we have any account in hiftory. It is the lot of man never entirely to acquiefee in any fituation. There is a want in his nature, which nothing earthly can supply. He is a stranger in this world, and can never be fatisfied, till he arrive at his own home. He is a sheep that has strayed from its shepherd, which never ceases to bleat, till it again join the flock. He is deftined for the enjoyment of the Eternal; and all his present upeafinels and complaints are but inflinctive efforts to ascend to

this, the ultimate fource of happinels.

Before dismissing this subject, it may not be improper to observe, that there is a certain degree of inequality that cannot exist in this country; I mean the inequality of one man's having abundance and another's having absolutely nothing. The different inflitutions of a charitable kind, both in Eng. land and Scotland, prevent such disparity in the condition of men, and so far establish a community of goods. Parliament has lately been, and, in consequence of a motion made by the chancellor of exchequer, is now actually employed in better-

P 2

ing the condition of the poor. When that is done as far a can be; this is all that man can do for them in a confidency with the morals and happinels of fociety. To establish any other community of goods, would, if practicable, be to establish vice and misery. To place men in such a condition that they should have plenty, and be under no necessary of doing any thing to procure the necessaries and comforts of life, were it possible, would be only to render them more vicious and miserable.

Some, on the Lord's day, are weary in church and out of church; weary of reading, reflexion, and devotion; fo weary of every thing belonging to that day, that they betake themfelves to unneceffary vifiting, to amusements, entertainments, debauchery, mischief, to any thing almost to kill the time and relieve them from their weariness. How miserable then should men be, if the whole of their lives were made a continual weariness by the whole of their time being converted into a perpetual fabbath? Now to make their whole time fuch is Mr Godwin's plan in its highest degree of perfection, except that he excludes from it religious duties. To read, reflect, and converse, to plan great undertakings, to practice virtue, and to be under no necessity of performing bodily labour, are, according to him, the fum of all perfection as it relates to man. Prayer, praise, and all intercourse with him who made us, and on whom we conflantly depend; duties, which, if rightly performed, are very pleafant, and must therefore very much augment human happiness, as well as exalt human nature, never enter into his philosophie, philanthropic art of living .

armit

63

100

411

E 4-51

10 (8 (3)

.

III A IS

That a certain portion of our time flould be fet a part for reflecting on our lives, learning our duty, or refreshing our memories with the remembrance of it, and for performing the duties of devotion in a public, and more folemn manner than

It is not possible, that men can be equal, there is such a great variety of opinions amongst them. Some of the French not long ago were for no Sabbath; Mr Godwin is for nothing but a fabbath, but then it is to be upon quite a new plan. If his great scal for the great of mankind would permit him to have patience, the Millennium would come of its own accord, just as his teeth and nails have grown. Could this extraordinary philosopher swallow and digest, labour and rest, and look up, and down, and straight on, like any plain man of common understanding and seeings, he might hope to see it, even though he should unfortunately die before the time, from want of skill to render himself immortal.

st other times, malt men will acknowledge is a very ufeful ofitution. It refreshes the mind and body, improves the man in all respects, and makes secular business go on the ter. God has ordained, from the beginning of the world, that a feventh part of it shall be devoted to those purposess and this portion has been found to answer very well as yet. Let any man demonstrate, that a greater or less portion would answer better, here or sho at

" styr new ween a hunter of the new con-

B. or in racinst mar both, but 25% 3.0

with a country of the country and the country

all the sold with a wint the dis-

A contraction place to the state of

and the second process of the second second of

20612 on will proper as the to a the

R. T.

an analysis and an allegan and help of the particular and a resident of PS

minst be nactivated and party appropriate to the state of the

with they make the option on all follows the design halos

rapid best alemanan a anal beamagas douby to be a beat beam there independed an am entry assistant at a country sees One Sala adding to the way on it of griefly now of the Bust

riee for a be ould 36nary

ious

t of

ary

em-

ain-

the

able

le a

on.

nole

fec-

To to

ing

tion

vith

nd

bos

well

for

our

the

han at

wn, cel die

Mariagonianien in Brigit, mitron on beifelande en berteinen. in well and the well and the manner with the service of the servic the freeze and the state of the to the state of the task the state of the st Party strain will a party ment with the board of the board of the

the street product, characte one term problem, to be the state of the ad almost beat men to post of state of the state of t

the second resident on more of sevel visites second coall queates yette and the same dendmone and him a said and the parties of a bolice, and the new toward for the

Office of the state of the state of the same of the state of the o letter worse and wardow of a frame work of the figuration in the interior a file to the fire and deed by her from in our messer as the high sactor a shop in to have some of the male of me of the

2. A state of the Manufacture of 1970 of the state of the and the state of t

the grand direct to the control of the same attention of the

tad

OF UNIVERSAL SUFFRAGE

ANNUAL PARLIAM

LETTER XXI.

Univerfal Suffrage contrary to Common Senfe.

COUNTRYMEN.

NIVERSAL Suffrage, according to the definition of thek, who have contended for it, is for "every man above the age of twenty-one, to vote in choosing a member of the House of Commons, unless he be incapacitated for voting by infanity or crimes;" and this, it has been faid, would be of advantage to the people, and is their right. As I have not been able to fee the truth of this doctrine, I beg leave to by before my countrymen, in one or two letters which follow certain reasons, which have led me to entertain very different fentiments of this matter.

The great rule, according to which the right of suffrage m well as all other political power and privilege, whether of als giflative or executive kind, ought to be diffributed, is, the the individual or private rights of all in any political fociety, may be secure : And these rights, whether they coasist in di nities, superior riches, or other diffinctions, can never be cure, unless the power, which protects them, be in proport on to the danger, to which they are liable, of being invad In all the ancient and almost all the modern government therefore, men have more or lefs, according to circumftang conformed to this rule; which shews it to be the general feet of mankind.

Univerfal fuffrage is not like or analogous to any usage a inflitution, which has obtained in this or almost any other

country.

eith H. 8 litica

to b prop lane chan

or t prop ter k Com

were In great Com powe canfe than

parlia ties. digni prero Lord dual (power only,

the m Th people and fo men. deputi

who a

fame r infant - In all trading companies, perhaps, the partners are allowed either tacitly or expressly a share of power in managing their common concerns, in proportion to their flares of flock. And if, fin fuch companies, where property only is the common concern, men are allowed to have a direction of the common bufinels in proportion to their interest in it, much more in political fociety, where not only property, but life, religion, morality, and happinels, are the common interest, ought they to have a power, which may fecure thefe bleffings to all; and that power, in order to effect this end, must bear some certain proportion to property and the other relations in which men find to each other. In our cities and boroughs, the merchant part of the council have greater weight in the internal government of them than any other description of freemen, for this reason in particular, that they are possessed of greater property. At the union of England and Scotland, the latter kingdom was allowed to fend members to the House of Commons in proportion to its taxes; and doubtless its taxes were in proportion to its supposed property.

In the government of the country, the crown has much greater weight than any individual amongst the Lords or Commons, not only that its weight may balance the other powers of the state, and preserve the liberties of all, but because its interest in the welfare of the country is much greater than that of any subject. The Lords represent themselves in parliament, whereas the Commons are represented by deputies, not only because the whole order of nobility have their dignities and peculiar privileges, and, in some measure, the prerogative of the Crown, to defend, but because individual Lords are generally possessed of greater property than individual Commoners; which greater property requires a greater power to protect it. For similar reasons, those commoners only, who hold property of the Crown to a certain extent, or who are freemen of cities or boroughs, vote in the election of

the members of the House of Commons.

That class of subjects, who are denominated the common people or the populace, both during the Saxon government and for a long period after the Norman conquest, were bondmen. In both those periods, they were represented, not by deputies of their own choosing, but by their Lords, in the same manner that women are represented by their husbands; infant children, by their parents; wards, by their guardians;

waded menta tanon, al fenie

v (4)

thofe,

of the

ing by

be of

toby

ollow,

rage m

, that

ociety,

be fe

oporti

in di

4 3

age or

wied !

In a

E, c

Veri Sidence

Lat Ra

Lonfan

tune

rin t

h in

ativ. HIA E

sift th

sad th

peop

to nion, f

cont

ariflo

ay b

Ran

mode of th

cient r

Mor

hical

gov

w nent

is date

d influe

a dem If the

Sec the Marke

tenants, by landed proprietors; and fo on: The Con had no reprefentation of their own choosing till the m Edward the first, or the regency of the earl of lu But they were not till then without a representation; very fuperior represented his inferior in the courts of th rons; and the nobility represented the whole nation in King's court, or great council of the nation. And, i nour of this old reprefentation, it deferves to be remar that, under it, and by means of the nobility that won it, the common people obtained Magaa Charta, which foundation of all their present liberties. But as they do now, fo they never did, enjoy any privilege like Univ wall following mil on hear July Soffrage +.

If we take a view of all other governments ancient or dern, we shall find, with fearcely one exception, that not equivalent to it ever did or does obtain under them, la the ancient republics as well as monarchies, the flaves he vote in public bufinels, nor any there whatever of po power. Of all the men in the territory of Sparta fam equality amongst the freemen, ten thousand only gave votest; and no man became a voter till he had attaine

thirtieth years. Tent I won ett. Idas And tage wanen in

At Athens, the number of freemen was commonly al twenty thousand 0; but no freeman was a voter in the a bly of the people, if a fervant to another, because he fupposed to have no will of his own q. And if we de the number of freemen that were fervants from the number, perhaps the number of voters was not greater in

flate, than in Sparta.

ANTHANY DE COMP. 167 STREET In the purelt and most happy times of the Roman rep it was property rather than numbers that decided the elect " But though Rome enlarged her territory, the never lin the number of her voters, and this was one principal of her ruin's ... It was not till the freedom of Rome was ferred on multitudes, and till the vote of the poorel man had the fame weight with that of the richelt, that a ambitious great men had an opportunity, by intrigue an ruption, of forming factions in the fine. Those fa

"Hume's Hiftory, v. s. p. 210, 273. † Hift. of England prise the reign of Ed. 1. † Spirit of Laws, book 3. chapter s. 104 fmith's Hift. of Greece, v. 1. p. 29. § Spirit of Laws, b. 3. § Goldfmith's Hift. of Greece, v. 1. p. 75. * Spirit of Laws, b. 3. §

ded by leaders each pretending, that he afted for the good the republic, produced civil wars; and those civil wars aled in a change of the government and the lofs of liberty .. le all of the American flates, perhaps, except Vermont, e qualification is required for becoming a voter in the e-Gion of the members of their legislature, besides mere maity of age and foundness of mind; such as the possession of much property, the renting of a tenement of fo much ar, or the paving of taxes, i. e. direct or affeffed taxes +. Vermont, no other qualification is required, than one year's didence and a good character. But in all the territory of he flate, there is only one large town, and about feventeen busind voters, amongst whom there is no great inequality of atmet. That flate is the most democratical, perhaps, of rin the world; and the fubjects of it may continue to enin some measure, the substance of a democracy or repremative government, whilst they are fo few in number; hilf they are chiefly employed in cultivating the fields; the great body of them are nearly equal as to riches; hill they preferve those manners which are common to counpeople; whill there are tew able to corrupt, and few difto be corrupted; whilft, in fort, there is no great temption, for any confiderable number of them, to act in a mancontrary to the public interest. Virginia is now, in effect, wiftveracy or oligarchy ||. When it is twice as old as now, By be a monarchy or despotism. The democracies of Aand Rome experienced such changes, why not any of modern democracies, when the circumstances and characof their subjects approach to those of the subjects of these ent republice? " The government of Virginia," faith Morfe, " though nominally republican, is, in fact, olihical or ariflocratical f." This is the oldest of the Amegovernments, according to the same author; and the why it is more arithogratical than fome of the other goments, is undoubtedly, that there has been more time in Mate than in the relt, for those inequalities of fortune influence to arife, which never fail to change the substance a democracy, though they may not always affect its form. If the qualification for being a voter be lower in America than

See the Hift. of Rome during the time of the common wealth. Marle's Geography of America. | Id. p. 472. | Id. p. 383. 5 Morfe's Geography, p. 387.

than in Britain, it is because the people are more on a l because by far the greater part of them live at a distance each other, " nine-tenths of the whole being employed griculture ";" because there are few who have either a or influence to corrupt and miffead others in their d Such reasons will account for the right of soffrage being widely diffused in America; reasons which do not en this country. The facility of sequiring the right of fu in America, is already found to be attended with mile ous confequences. The Americans, therefore, it is faid. tend to remedy those evils by raising the qualification fork a freeman or voter. This will exclude many from who now enjoy that privilege. But even now, those who no conflitutional right to vote, mult appear to be num if we consider how many there are, who are possessed of property, who rent none, and who pay no direct taxes

f its

ft 1

ive

canto

le giv

herty

ad fr

n rea

mint

re ve

Th

ton fo

ful fin 8

nived Lonbi

much ho F

Commo

One k is n

e fiz

PM

had an

th re and my

e cou

In France, universal suffrage has been exploded. by the new conflictution, not only fervants, mendicants, are excluded from soting, but all those, who pay no contribution +. The reason given for such exclusion, as pnequal diffribution of political power in general, mi hold in all countries, where there is any confiderable in ty of fortune. " If men," fays D' Anglas, " withou perty, were to enjoy the rights of citizens without they would foon attack property, and establish fatal tax effects of which they would neither feel nor have to A country governed by proprietors, is in a focial to nation governed by persons not proprietors is in a flate Ment wone, talking to a their

turet."

But if the object of all laws with regard to the fuffer the people, be the civil or private liberty and fecurity neither America, nor France, is a model for us to America confidered with regard to the comparatively disparity of rank and fortune of its inhabitants, their tions, their manners, and their feattered manner of refe is a country specifically different from this, and certain liable, as yet, to fuffer from intrigue, corruption, and fi though even now those roots of bitterness are there be to shoot, and may in the end check the growth of i

[&]quot; Morfe's Geography, p. 8a. | Conflitution of 1795. \$ Speech of Boilly D' Anglas at delivering to the Convention port of the Commission of Eleven.

derity and happines; should not their government, with a one of circumstances, change in some measure its form. sace, fince the death of its King, has been perpetually ened by fuccessive factions. Even that faction, which gave, parchment, the priviledge of fuffrage to all that paid a a tax, deprived, by force, the whole nation of two-thirds its rights with regard to the choice of its representatives.

It need forcely be observed, that nothing approaching to iverfal fuffrage, is enjoyed in any other government of Euhing like it be enjoyed by the inhabitants of any of those cantons, it must be for reasons that will not apply to Britain; hich is so extensive, so populous, and so diversified by inemalities of fortune, rank, and influence. But if political liberty be the only rational end of all political laws, it is very indent from different parts of Mr De Lolme's book on the conflication of England, that, in respect of political liberty. be gives England a preference to his native country . " If," th be expressly, " we consider the great advantages to public herty, which refult from the inflitution of the Trial by Jury, and from the Liberty of the Prefe, we shall find England to be in reality a more democratical flate than any other we are acminted with. The judicial power and the cenforeal power are vested in the people + "

Thus, we find, that, with one or two exceptions, and those ton for reasons that will not apply to Britain, neither univerfil fuffrage, nor any privilege equivalent to it; has ever obtained in any government ancient or modern. The reason doubtless is, that to give one man who is worth nothing as much power in the government which protects all, as another the may be worth five thousand a-year, is contrary to the

Common Sense of Mankind.

One fwallow, according to the proverb, makes no fummer. It is not the fize of a dwarf or of a giant, but a certain midfize, that is the proper measure of the human race. The Afternoon to and sented a transfer of aumerous

Mr De Lelme was a native of Geneva, a Lawyer, a member of the council of acc in his native country, is which he refided till about the age of feven-and-twenty. After this, he refided fome time in England and findied its political conflictation. His information, therefore, rith regard to the extent of political liberry in this country and Switzerhad must have been sufficiently accurate. See preface to his work on the

De Lolme on the Conflictation of England, r. 428.

numerous fect of some infects are very useful to them, has unnecessary, and would be inconvenient and huirful to the Every person knows, that the fame cost will not fust back; but it is not so generally attended to, that every gone ment will not answer every nation. Some nations are a fevent from others as one species of animals from analytic form the different kinds are fitted by nature for a ferent specific ways of life, so nations, by their characteristic ways of life.

non so a bline and die les la XXII.

colorate a grant per appear to the

Effetts of Univerfal Suffrage and Annual Parliaments, and Character, Condition, and Happiness of the People.

COUNTRYMEN,

named or boundary gloud water

If Aving, in the preceding letter, observed, that nothing the universal suffrage obtained in any of the ancient in publics; that it does not obtain in any of those modern on which some have professed to admire, except perhaps the later of Vermont alone; that if his any of the modern states, the new of suffrage has been more diffused than in Britain, this great diffusion of it has been owing to circumstances, which call in those states, but not in this country, and which render the models, in this respect, not fit for us to imitate; set us we consider some of its effects.

Univerfal fuffrage would have the worll effects on the chare

ters condition, and happiness of the people.

The more artificeratical the confliction of our borough the lefs the corruption and intemperance at the election of member of the House of Commons the more democratic the greater. In tome of the latter fort of boroughs, may been, on fuch occasions, ferner of idleness, distipation is semperance, and riot, to which other places of the king are wholly drangera. But to introduce universal followed by to make the whole kingdom; in it great mealing fuch as those boroughs?

and the boroughs as well the countries, there are force

1

as a le withou others, one, different by p The f wiveal the enterthe le and control of the le and control of t

of the

the p

1f

agent
would
ance.
jure t
on, a
create
ate wi
tices i
courfe
moral
rough

confed

the ef

change saufe No The way poses quent by the firaint and mer, till this loft in

fame v

duals,

pendent on others, that were univerfal fuffrage established malaw they would be under a necessity of voting with them, without being either corrupted or entertained. But there are others, who are in fo independent a lituation, though a mean one, and who, on most occasions, perhaps, would be so indifferent in their choice, that they must be determined partly by pecuniary confiderations, and partly by entertainment. The spirit of party also would lead almost all to excess in consiveality. And these two causes, the bribing of some, and the entertaining of all, at elections, would produce, all over the kingdom, scenes of idleness, dislipation, intemperance, and corruption, which are now almost wholly confined to some

of the boroughs.

If we take into confideration, all the different meetings of the people with one another, and with the candidates or their agents, we may suppose, on a moderate calculation, that there would, on the whole, be a week of diffipation and intemperance. On fuch occasions, not only would their excesses injure their health; but their very occasional idleness, distipation, and intemperance, would beget habits of those vices, and create in them a diflike to their former industrious and temperate way of life. And if, by annual parliaments, those practies returned every year, it is impossible to say what, in the course of a few years, the effects of them would be on the morals of the whole pation. It is certain, that, in the boroughs, even feptennial elections are attended with the worlt onlequences to some individuals. How great then would be the effect, if the whole kingdom were, by universal suffrage, changed, in some measure, into a corrupt borough, and if the cause of vice were to operate every year by annual elections?

No man becomes vicious at once; it is against his nature. The vicious become fuch by degrees. .. One vicious action difpoles to another; the edge of conscience is blunted by frequent repetition; a propenlity to vicious actions is produced by the fame means; and thus, as men practice vice, the refraints from it, which nature imposes on them, become more and more feeble, and their inclination to it, greater and greater, till they become vicious in the extreme; and, what is of all things the most wretched, lose all power of recovering their loft innocence and virtue. The cafe of a whole nation is the ame with that of an individual. Being composed of individeals, it also is gradually corrupted by occasional indulgence

off

rich

cho

thou

aua

vine

T

ple

by t

fequ

men

havi

mot

nifte

of t

inte

COLL

(for

to c

mor

maj

cou

atte

and

nift

very

the

whi

WOU

the

till i

exce owe

in vicious actions: So that were the object of sny people to render themselves vicious, they could not, perhaps, devise means more effectual, than universal suffrage and annual parliaments.

Their health and understanding would fuffer by intemperance; their industry, by idleness and diffination; their temperance and fobriety, by excess; and their honeity and patriotifm (whatever it might be) by bribery. The annual practice of felling their votes to the highest bidder, or of giving them to the best entertainer, would blunt the edge of conscience and dispose them to dishonesty in all their intercourse with each other. Did the people possess the privilege of universal suffrage, that part of the government, in which they would be active, would be entirely demoratical. But " the mischief of a republic," faith Montesquieu, (that is, of a democracy) "la when the people are entirely gained by bribery and corruption. In this case, avarice becomes their ruling passion; unconcerned about the republic and every thing belonging to it, they quietly wait for their hire "." The great principle of a republic, faith the fame author, is virtue. But univerfal fuffrage

would prove the bane of virtue.

In a political view, therefore, universal suffrage would prove the greatest evil to this nation. But its effects on the happinefs of individuals would be most melancholy. By rendering men vicious, it would render them miserable. He who made man, has ordained that, in the keeping of his laws, there shall be a certain reward of happiness, but that the violation of them shall be attended with misery: And it is not in the power of all the creatures together, to abrogate these laws, or even to suspend their operation. It is a characteristic of the divine laws, that the fanction of them is unalterable, that the pnealty of them cannot be escaped but by repentance and a return to the way of our duty, and not wholly even by thefe means. We every day fee how miferable many render themfelves by idleness, drinking, and other forts of debaucherys and the direct tendency of univerfal fuffcage and annual parliaments, is to render the whole nation such as those wretched individuals. A man may be as happy, though in a mean condition, as the highest in the land, provided his heart and life be good; but if he be vicious, let his circumstances and flatino be what they will, he must be miserable. The same truth

nole to

devile

al par

emper

emper-

tice of

hem to

ce and

fal fuf

uld be

hief of

1 46

ption.

they

a .re-

ffrage

prove

appi-

lering

made

e fhall

ion of

pow-

or e-

at the

a re-

hem.

hery

l par-

tched

con

d life

flati-

truth

will

sill apply to all the individuals of a nation, to the whole of the people. Though we were to lose much of our trade and riches, even though the constitution and laws were to undergo a change for the worse; yet the nation might be happy, though not so happy as now, if it retained its virtue: But though it had the government and trade of the whole world; though every subject were as rich as Crossus; though the oull itution and laws were immediately and expressly of divise appointment; yet vice, a needsary consequence, in some degree, of universal suffrage, would render it miserable.

The effect of universal suffrage on the condition of the people would be as bad, perhaps, as that which it would have on their morals. Let not any imagine, that they are to make rich by this plan, but those who are rich already, and who, in confequence of purchasing votes by their money and entertainment, are to fell them again to the highest bidders or who having thus purchased the suffrages of a borough or county, milt; to prevent bankruptcy, fell his own fuffrage to the mimilter in the House of Commons. Universal suffrage, by cormpting the people, would of necessity corrupt the ministers of the Crown. At least, however apright and patriotic their imentions might be, it would lay them under a necessity of corrupting the House of Commons. In this government, which is free, men have a will of their own; and therefore, (foch is human nature) there must be a fustem of due influence to determine the wills of a majority of the House of Commone in favour of the minister. For if the Crown had not a mijority on its fide, the majority might refuse it the necessary supplies; and then the business of the nation must stop, for it could not proceed in a conflicutional way; and if the minister attempted to raile the supplies by force, he would be refified, and a civil war might follow. To gain a majority for the miniller, there mult, in case of universal suffrage, be either a very great number of unnecessary lucrative places created, or the members of parliament must be directly bribed; in both; which cases, it would be corruption direct or indirect, that would determine them. And thus, the tide of corruption would, through the channel of their representatives, flow from the people to the minister, and from the minister to the people. till it supped the foundation of the government, or till the excels of this evil cured itself by obliging all ranks, for their own fake, to return to the prefent flate of fuffrage, or to put it in some such thate as would remedy the evil. Meanwhile,

Mean while, before we enter into this trade, it would be prudent in the poor to confider, that, whatever it might be to a few rich individuals, it would, at any rate, be a loss trade to them. In this trade as well as all others, it would be money only that would make money. All that the poor could expect, would be flattery and entertainment about the time of elections, and fome money to be scattered amongst the mon needy or corrupt of them, which, to each man's share, would be very little. But this would not make them rich, but, in the event, poarer. For, belides the loss of their time, the very money expended in entertaining and bribing them, mul, in the last place, come from the nation; and as our taxes are equitably imposed, an equitable portion of it must come from them. For as money would be necessary to influence them corrupt and venal reprefentatives, and as government has no money but the revenue, this must be augmented by taxation; and it is but just, that the people should pay an equitable portion of the taxes, because they are protected. So that, in stead of enriching the poor, the scheme of universal suffrage would only give money to the corrupt part of the nation, at one time, to oblige government to take it from the whole at another; and all the unnecessary expence at elections would be a national expence. It is the case with a nation, as with a family. If it give a great deal out at one time, it must receive as much in at another. The whole expence of elections (and by univerfal fuffrage that expence would be prodigiously in creased) it is evident, is a national expence; for no nation but the British defrays it. And though certain rich individuals would always defray this expense in the first place; ye it must at last be chiefly defrayed by the government; as government mult be reimburfed by the nation. And though the rich would, indeed, pay most of the expense of government, yet the poor would also pay their share; and that share mut generally he nearly equal to what they have received either is the way of entertainment and bribery, or any other way of inclining their wills,

It is plain, therefore, that none but the rich, who could pare chase votes to fell them, would, in the end, make any thing of the trade of universal suffrage. The poor would be just where they are (supposing what is not possible, that no alteration for the worle were to take place) that is, they would be free; and if they bettered their circumstances, it would be

only

mme

if the

of the

what

of the

excep

more

All th

ation

own h

gricul

all; b

and, i

by ble

he ma

order

not a

inap

Bu

ret i

time,

com A

the er

not b

COMIT

the w

injure

ly ind

mate

fpring

worle

milery

In

feet,

bly in

whole

the w

ty, in

to far

- Su

Bhive

of.

only by their industry, temperance, and acconomy. immediate cause of the riches of individuals and of nations, their industry joined to frugality or the proper management of the fruits of their industry. Let any man tell the poor what he will, whatever changes take place in the government of the country, even though they should be for the better, except a few individuals out of millions, no poor man will be more bettered than another, or than the rich, by fuch changes. All the rest of the poor must remain in the same relative situation in which they are. In other words, the labour of their own hands, when they are in health, mult support them. Agriculture, manufacture, and commerce, are the support of all; but none of them will support any man without labour; and, in that labour, every poor man must share, till providence, by bleffing his labours, shall place him in such a situation, that he may choose whether he shall labour, or not. This is the order of the providence of an Almighty Being, and man cannot alter it; nor even attempt to alter it, without producing, in a proportionate degree, confusion and misery.

But though universal suffrage would not enrich the poor; yet it would tend to make them poorer, by the loss of that time, which might be employed with advantage to their circumstances; by a waste of the necessaries and comforts of life, the expence of which would, in the last place, be defrayed, not by their representatives only, but in part by them also in common with the rest of the nation; and by the tendency of the whole business of annual elections by universal suffrage, to injure the health, understanding, and morals; which not only indisposes men for all labour and occupation, the only ultimate means of acquiring riches, but which poisons all the springs of human happiness. Whatever tends to make men worke, tends directly to poverty, and, in various ways, to

mifery.

old be

ht he lofing

uld be

could

med

mol

Would

ut, in

e, the

muff,

cs are

from

their

125 RO

ation;

e por-

it, in-

frage

n, at

ole at

uld be

n a fie

eccive

and.

fly in-

nation

indivi-

e; yet

; and

gh the

ment

mult

her in

ray of

d par-

thing

e jut

alter-

would

uld be

only

In this country, in which taxes are so imposed, that, in effect, and in the event, they operate, not equally, but equitably in taking from each individual, for the protection of the whole, a portion of his income proportioned to that income, the whole nation is very like a family; and national prodigality, intemperance, corruption, diffipation, and idleness, are, so far as they operate, national poverty, evealues, and misery.

Such, therefore, upon the whole, would be the effect of

Such, therefore, upon the whole, would be the effect of saiverful fuffrage on the character, condition, and happiness

of the people, that were government to confer it on them, a privilege, it would be fending them Pandora's box; out of which, according to the ancient mythology, all the evils of life iffued. Even hope herfelf would not remain behind, should the fatal privilege continue.

R. T.

that

has

cord

fuffi who rem that

lections

and

be f

1

ly e

the

and

nua

in c

of i

yea

on real

wha

nati

to c

of ner

11

the

too

pol

be

eac

Dur

ann

Mar Alat

22 48 1

LETTER XXIII.

Univerfal Suffrage and Annual Parliaments evould very much in crenfe the national expense.

COUNTRYMEN,

Nother effect of universal suffrage and annual parliaments would, on the most moderate calculation, be an annual addition of two millions and a half to the expence of the action.

For, let us suppose what may be nearly the truth, that the number of persons in the kingdom is ten millions; that a sound of them is men above the age of twenty-one, that is, voten; that seven days of their time is, one way and another, speat in the business of their elections; and that the money expended, during this time, in corrupting and entertaining them, it ten fhillings and sixpence for each voter; then there is seen days lost to each from his proper employment, that is, on a average, ten and sixpence; and this added to the other expense makes the whole expence for each voter just one guinea for in this country, where all are employed, a waste of time is equivalent to a waste of money) that is, the whole expense of an election is 2,500,000 guineas.

Now suppose the number of voters at present to be one to three and a half only of what it would be in case of universal suffrage, and the elections to return once in fix year then there will, at every election, be only one vote given, a cording to the present mode of election, for twenty one is would be given upon the supposition of universal suffrage annual parliaments; that is to say, supposing the expects procuring single votes to be, in both cases, the same, unit sal suffrage and annual parliaments would cost the nation a and twenty times more than the present mode of election do

that is, the expence of the present elections is just the one and twentieth part of what elections would cost, were each man above the age of one and twenty annually to give his vote. But that one and twentieth part is just 2.500,000 shillings according to the above estimate of annual elections by universal suffrage; which sum taken from 2,500,000 guineas, the whole expence of one election by universal suffrage, there will remain 2,500,000 pounds sterling. This is the neat sum that is annually saved to the nation by the present mode of election, or which would be expended or lost by annual elections and universal suffrage. But this sum equitably collected, and lent out, would, at the rate of compound interest, in about thirty years, reduce the national debt as much as would be safe and convenient, and in about forty, it would extin-

guifh it.

outof

evils of

I na

143

123

much in

amente

annu

the m

hat the

fourth

voten

x pend

hem, i

on at

x pence

a (for

ime i

x pend

be a

cale

yem

ren, a

ne th

ge M

This calculation will hold fo far true, though there were only eight or fix millions of persons in the kingdom; because the proportion between the expence of the present elections and that which would be incurred by univerfal fuffrage and annual parliaments, will always be the fame, whatever we may Suppose the number of the people to be. The only difference, in case the number of voters should be less than what has been supposed above, is just this, that the reduction or extinction of the national debt, according to our plan, would be a few years longer in being accomplished. And the fame observation may be made, supposing the expence of elections, or the real loss to the nation incurred by them, to be smaller than what has been stated above. Now it is vain to lay, that the nation could annually afford fo great a fum, or more or lefs, to defray the expence of its elections of members of the House of Commons, but not to pay off its debt, in whatever manner that fum should be collected.

It will, perhaps, be objected to the above reasoning, that the expence of annual elections by universal suffrage is stated too high. But this does not by any means appear. For, suppose the number of members of the House of Commons to be only 500, and the expence of each successful candidate at each election to be, on an average, only 5000 pounds (which is just twenty shillings for each voter, each election, if the number of voters be 2,500,000 then the whole expence of annual elections will be 2,500,000 pounds, the precise sum stated above. But if the unsuccessful candidate be at the

same expence, which is very probable, then there will be ded 2,500,000 pounds more to the expence of an election And if the loss of time to the whole electors be equivalent is the expence of either candidate, there will be other 2.500,000 added to the fame expence; which will make the whole expence of an election by universal suffrage to be 7,500,000, that is, just three times more than what it has been above supposed.

It may also be objected, that the expence of annual election ons by universal suffrage would not be all loss. But one half of it, perhaps, that is, the time spent in elections, which inight be spent in some useful occupation, would be mere lost And by far the greater part of articles confumed on fuch oc. cations, being a wafte, and not a just use of them, is also loss. So that nearly the whole expence of time and money, that would be produced by univerfal fuffrage and annual parliements, would be mere lofs.

It may be farther objected, that, though the whole or al. most the whole of the expence, produced by this means, more than the expence of present elections, would be lofs; yet it would be loss to individuals only, and not to the nation. But the very same argument might be made use of to justify all waste of public money. The loss of individuals is, in the end, the loss of nations. The riches of every nation are precifely the riches of the individuals that compose it. The common flock of every nation is the revenue of its government; and that revenue must always be in proportion to the riches of individual subjects. What is lost, therefore, to individuals, is loft to the common flock; for it is impossible, that either money or goods can be both loft and make part of the revenue. So that, whether we consider the riches of a nation as confileing in the riches of the individuals which compose it, or in the revenue of its government, what is a lofs to individuals, tha lofs to the nation.

But, besides the direct and positive loss which the nation would fuffain by univerfal fuffrage and annual parliaments, it would, by the same means, suffain a further loss in a remote and circuitous way. What is faved by the prefent mode of election; or, in other words, what would be loft by annual elections and universal suffrage, is employed in agriculture, trade, or some fort of improvement. What is employed in any of these ways, promotes industry; industry multiplies

macs w

reaue,

But and in

employ los of

that fu

Bairer

It is

to the

мента

contai tain, 1

poiver

numb helow

votes

be no

tion o The c

but t

parlia

tion.

would

natio

onal

tion (

the o

la fee

al de

bly v

natio

It

ferri

der 1

tion,

wan

to e

he s

riches ;

ides; encreasing riches enable the subject to bear encreasing miss without being oppressed; and, as taxes increase, the rerane, that is, the common stock of the nation, is increased.

But were what is now employed in stimulating to industry, and increasing the national wealth, lost instead of being so employed, there would be first a positive loss, and then the loss of all the fruits of that industry, which is produced by that sum's being saved and employed, which would be lost by

univerfal fuffrage and annual parliaments.

Il be at

election!

alent to

other

ake the

to be

t it has

l electi.

ne half

which

re lofe

ich oc.

to loss.

. that

parlia-

or al.

more

yet it

But ify all

e end.

cifely

moon

of in-

r mo-

cone.

nfift.

or in

vals.

tion

8. it

note

e of

nual

ure,

l in

dies

es :

It is not pretended, that the above calculation with regard to the expence of univerfal fuffrage and annual parliaments, is acurate. It is not necessary, in order to prove the doctrine contained in this letter, that it should. This, however, is certein, that if the number of voters upon the supposition of miverfal fuffrage and annual parliaments, were to the prefent number as three and a half to one (which is certainly very far below what it would be) and if the expence of procuring votes were in both cases the same (and perhaps there would be no great difference) universal suffrage would cost the nation one and twenty times more than the present elections. The expence may be more or lefs than what has been stated; but the general reasoning is conclusive, and proves, that such parliaments and fuffrage would add to the expence of the nation, fuch a fum, at least, as collected and left to accumulate, would, in the course of not many years, either extinguish the national debt, or reduce it to fuce a fam as would be a national advantage and fecurity, not a burden. And if the nation cannot afford fuch a fum annually, neither can it afford the expence of universal suffrage and annual parliaments. It frems, indeed, very inconfiftent to complain of the national debt and taxes; and yet to contend for what would infallibly very much increase both, and at the same time render the nation lefs able to support them.

It has been faid, that univerfal suffrage would put an effectual stop to bribery. It may be asked, how! The mere conferring of this privilege on the people would not certainly render them more honest; but it would expose them to temptation, and give them an opportunity of being dishouest, should there be any to bribe them; and such there never will be awanting. It would not, indeed, be possible for a candidate to expend as much on each of sifty or a hundred electors, as he may now do on each of ten; yet this would not prevent

corruption.

A bide

Frage

iserfal

Neit

densel abery

ace, F

irely

nied. declar

LA 3 !

andid:

a det

and the

belen 1

hich

ines t

e ver

intenti

aviou

rays a

oward

blue

arn th

they d

leps a

inthe

No.

or in

mpresi

the art

and fa

with t

friend

is dec

corruption. The fpirit of party, which is frong even me would probably become stronger in proportion as the right fuffrage were diffused; and this spirit would induce, not only the candidates themselves, but the leading men who she fupport them, to featter their money in corrupting and entertaining the electors. The ambition of men will never cease Like the point of honour, as placed in duelling, and like a our other paffions, perhaps, it will gain ftrength from dis culty in gratifying it; and, therefore, though the right of fuffrage were diffused so as to become universal, the difficulty of carrying their elections would most probably only make more candidates min themselves by their profusion. One read fon affigued for changing the duration of parliaments from three to feven years, was the great expence of elections to candidates; "a certain proof that there were not then fewer people to bribe than there are now." It would not be need fary, that the candidates should be at the whole or any great part of the expence of entertaining and corrupting the people. The spirit of party would furnish enow of rich partisans, whose keenness would make them willingly share in that etpence. At prefent, in those boroughs, in which voters are most numerous, there is, it is faid, most venality, diffipation, and intemperance,

Whilst fortunes are so suddenly acquired abroad by men, who, returning home, want either power themselves, or to connect themselves with those who have it, by supporting them; whilst wealth flows into the country from all quarter of the globe; and whilft there is fo great disparity of fortage there never will be wanting some who are disposed to corrupt, and others, to be corrupted. We are at prefent nearly in the fan e lituation with respect to riches and inequality in the polifession of them, that the Romans were when " all things were fold at Rome," when the wealth of provinces was lavished to the populace, who had most unjuttly caused a law to be made, that every freeman's vote should have the same weight with that of another. It was then that Jugurtha, king of Mauri tania, having escaped the punishment of his crimes by bribing the fenate, could not help turning about, when leaving the city and faying, "O Rome, how readily wouldnt thou fell thyfelf f there were any man rich enough to be the purchaler! The farcasm of this wicked prince was a prophecy. The prople continued to fell themselves, at every election, to the highA bidder, till their wealthy merchants made them all flaves, and in this country, as at Rome, the diffusing the right of frage would only be diffusing corruption. To render it use

berfal, would be to corrupt the whole nation.

righto

not only

nd enter.

er cest

likeal

om diff.

right of

lifficulty

v make

One read

its from

tions to

n fewer

e necel

y great

people,

artifans.

hat es-

pation,

y mes,

res, or

porting

uarten

ortuner

orrupt.

in the

he pol-

s were

made,

with

Mauri

e city,

vielf,

alerim

e peo-

cf

Neither would any law forbidding candidates to declare enfelves fuch before the day of election, prevent canvalling, mery, intrigue, nor even wholly diffipation and intemperet, previous to elections. The laws against corruption are present as severe, perhaps, as they can be made, without rely defeating the intention of them; and yet they are eded. It would be impossible to define, by any laws, what declaration of intending to be a candidate, should be, in the manner as to prevent every loop-hole, by which the andidates might violate the law with impunity. Words have determinate meaning, feparated from the air, the gesture, of the tone of voice, with which they are spoken. It is, lefe, much more than the mere articulate founds or words hich a person uses, that determines his meaning. Someines the manner of faying a thing gives one to understand hevery contrary of what is faid. It would be eafy, theree, for any person intending to be a candidate, to deny his mention in words, and yet to confess it by his manner, bebriour, and the whole of his conduct. And as there is almys a number of men in this country, equal in talents and bity to others, and superior to them in riches and influence, wards the approach of an election, the honfes of fuch men mild be reforted to by all their dependents and connexions. hele, though possessed of no great penetration, would easily amtheir intention with regard to the enfuing election, though by did not express it in words, and they would take their s accordingly. Parties would be active; and all the busisof electioneering would be gone through nearly, perhaps, the same manner as at present.

No law certainly could be made, prohibiting men from meeting in a convivial manner, and talking of the perfons fittelf to appear them in parliament; for this is friendly and patriotic; and, under the appearance of friendship and patriotism, all the arts of electioneering could be practifed with great facility and fafety. There are many inflances of perfons canvassing with the greatest eagerness, for offices, by means of their fiends and connections, even whilst they themselves profess adecline them. Mr Morse, indeed, in his geography, says,

se that

" that the base business of electioneering, which is so cal lated to introduce wicked and defigning men into office, it little known in Connecticut; that a man, who wishes to chefen into office, sets wifely for that purpofe, who keeps defires to himself; and that in New England (of which Co necticut, in his account, forms a part) the expression of a wi to be promoted, is the direct way to be disappointed "." there is nothing, in what this writer fays, contrary to six is affirmed above. The inequality amongst the freemen America is nothing compared with that which fubfills amos the inhabitants of this country; where there is no high deep of inequality, the people are not disposed to submission; republican spirit, which can scarcely brook a superior, is no verfally prevalent in New England; and this spirit joined a the equality, which produces, and fosters it, must excite difful when a man expresses a defire of being promoted, that is, becoming superior to his equals. But the inequality among men in this country, not only disposes them to submiffer but puts it in the power of the rich to corrupt and influence the poor. Mr Morfe acknowledges, in the passages now que ed from his geography, "that electioneering arts" are alread "a little known" in America. When the riches of the per ple and the inequality in the poffession of them become great er, those arts will be more known, Even now, by other so counts, the Americans are as venal according to their measure of riches and inequality, as the people in Britain.

Mr Morse ascribes the honesty of the Americans to the want of the means of corruption. That country is yet but in its infancy. In its maturity, if it resemble other countries in great inequality, amongst its inhabitants, with regard to riches, it will resemble them in certain inconveniencies a rising from that inequality: If it be more equal, it will be more turbulent, and want those advantages which arise from a greater degree of inequality. In this country and all other in the same predicament, all that can be done, is to discontenance and in some measure restrain corruption and undue influence; to prevent them wholly is impossible. But were crety man admitted to vote every year in choosing a member of the House of Commons, the numbers that would be corrupted and unduly influenced would keep them in countenance; and the

flame of fuch practices would be wholly banished.

Besides

date

proj

time

may

meri

mak

a la

verfa

Supp

men

U

and

to e

prod

perbi

C

IN

men

& CU

mon

arile

dign

derei

ours,

and

inter

to fe

what

prele

Morfe's Geography, p. 241, 148. | Marfe's Geography, p. 14

Befides, the justice and policy of a law forbidding candidates to declare themselves previous to the day of election, though it were to prove effectual, is very questionable. If the people are to be the electors, they ought to know some time before the election, who are to be the candidates, that, having time to inform themselves with regard to their characters, they may not, on the day of election, see a candidate with whose merit they are unacquainted, and be under a necessity either of making a hastly choice, or of declining to vote. But if such a law would be just and politic upon the supposition of universal sufferage and annual parliaments, it would be equally so, supposing the qualifications of voters and duration of parliaments, to remain nearly as they are.

Upon the whole, besides other mischiefs, universal suffrage and annual parliaments, notwithstanding of any laws relative to elections, would probably, upon the lowest calculation, produce an annual loss to the nation of two millions and a balf;

perhaps of double or triple that fum".

R. T

LETTER XXIV.

Other Confequences of Universal Suffrage.

COUNTRYMEN,

fo cale

ce, ish

es to

keepsh

ich Co

of a wi

...

to who

eemen e

among

h degr

lion; t

, is no

oined u

at be

among

influence ow quot e alread the per

ne great

mealure

to the

yet but

puntrice

gard to

ncies 4

will be

ife from

ll othen

difcoun

ndue in

ere every

r of the

pted and

and the

Befiden

P, 148

I N all civil focieties, every man is entitled to enjoy fecurity, and confequently is interested to support the government which affords him security. Government, therefore, is a common good or common interest. But, besides this common interest, there are a great many separate interests, which arise from party, from the unequal possession of riches and dignities, and from the whole of the situations of men considered in their relation to one another. In such countries as ours, there are, besides other interests, the interests of rich and poor; and, in those two classes, every individual has an interest of his own. Now the great object of government is to secure to all ranks and to each individual in every rank, what is their own, or what they are particularly interested to preserve.

Standard Control

Bat

[·] See calculation, p. 186, 187, 188.

But if individuals amongst the poor or those in the lower ranks, had an authority or power in the government (which is the instrument of assording security to all) equal to that of individuals amongst the rich or those in the higher ranks, it is evident, that the latter could not enjoy security; because this instrument might be used to injure instead of protecting them. If men were perfect, any class night be allowed an exhorbitant power, because they would not abuse it: But as they are imperfect, liable to temptation, and frequently ready to yield to it, no exhorbitant power ought to be possessed by any class, because it might be abused. The distribution of power should be such, that no class, nor any individual may be able, with impunity, to injure another. But universal suffrage would be an exhorbitancy of power in the lower classes, which

th

91

n

te

fr

r

y

ta

ev

cit

pe

tw

m

of

me

op

might be used for the very worst of purposes.

For, let us suppose, that a party shall have the address to make them believe, that they are oppressed with taxes: That the monarchical part of the government is so expensive that they cannot be delivered from the burden of taxes but by erecting a pure republic; and that this will deliver them: That a republic is, on every account, preferable to a monarchy or mixed government: That all diffinctions of honour and power are unjust; " for that all men ought to be equal:" Let us suppose also, that the doctrine of equality is so preached to them as to give them hopes, not only of abolishing all distinctions of honour and power, but of sharing in the property of the rich; and of bettering, not only their own condition, but that of the nation, by a change of government: Let us suppofe, that fuch doctrines are artfully, zealoufly, and industrioully preached to them, and inculcated by every means upon their minds; then it is evident, that as those classes of the people have interests separate from those of the other classes, to they might begin to have separate views; views inconsistent with the common good and even with their own good, though they might not perceive it. And if, in such a state of their minds, every man above the age of one and twenty had the privilege of voting for a member of the House of Commons, no man can foretell what would be the precise consequences. This is certain, that no good would be expected, but much evil apprehended, by all those, who being more enlightened than the body of the common people, would fee farther into the confequences of things. As

wer

hich

at of

, it

aufe

ing

an

as

ady

by

a of

be

age

rich

to

hat

hat

..

hat

or

W.

us to

ne-

of

out

ip.

ri-

on

he

c8,

nt

gh

eir

he

ns,

28.

ch

ed

to

10

As they would be more numerous than those is the higher classes, they might compose the House of Commons of men, who should have the same views of rights, equality, and government, with themselves: And this House of Commons might attempt to realize the whole of their favourite scheme; to place all taxes on the rich according to Mr Paine's plan; to abolish the monarchical part of the Constitution, as in France; to vote the House of Lords unnecessary and dangerous, like the partifans of Cromwell; and to-change the form of the government into a republic. All this, they might attempt to do; and being the keepers of the national purfe, they might make use of it to enforce every new claim. Should they be refused their demands, they could refuse the necessary supplies to the It was in this manner, that the people in the reign of Charles the first, by means of their representatives, countenanced in the beginning by certain of the nobility, wrested from the Crown, first the dangerous part of its prerogative, and then that which was necessary. This diminution of the royal prerogative, joined to the power of the popular leaders, gave those leaders an opportunity, in the end, of abolithing royalty, and wreathing, about the neck of the nation, the yoke of a republic, under which it continued for years to groan, but which it threw off the first opportunity, and, with unbounded joy, welcomed the return of monarchy in the return of Charles the fecond. It was by a fimilar exhorbitancy of power in the people of France, or rather in their representatives, that the government of that country was deftroyed instead of being improved.

I speak at present only of what the people, in case of universal suffrage, might attempt to do, not of what they would really accomplish. For this nation being instructed by its own calamities in former times, and by the late calamities of France, every unbiassed man in it, who understands the true interest of the country, would be ready to resist any unjust claims made either by the Crown or by the lower chigher orders of the people. Though every man, therefore, above the age of twenty-one, had the privilege of voting in the election of a member of the House of Commons; though, in consequence of this privilege, that house should be composed of men, who should attempt to produce changes similar to what have been mentioned above; yet it is certain, that they would meet with opposition, not only from the Crown and the House of Lords,

but from every well informed and well meaning man in the kingdom. It is true, that, in case of opposition, they might refuse the supplies to the Crown. But this refusal would not enable them to make their resolutions be passed into laws. The House of Lords itself would, from pure necessity, probably grant those supplies; this would be unconstitutional; dehate, and contention between the two Houses, would arise; the nation would become factious; and a civil war would follow. It was a contention of a similar kind in the end, which produced the civil wars in the reign of Charles the first.

But whilft the flate of fuffrage remains nearly as it is, it is next to an abfurdity to suppose, that those who have power to alter the conflitution, will make any alteration in it, that is material or for the worfe. It is abfurd to suppose, that the Crown with its present authority and influence, will yield up any necessary and just part of its prerogative. It is absurd to suppose, that the Lords will attempt to abolish royalty, upon which the very existence of their honours and privileges de-It is abfurd to suppose that any member of the House of Commons, of fortune, merit, and influence, will attempt to abridge the necessary privileges of the nobility, both because he may well aspire to those privileges, and because he must be convinced, that they are necessary to the welfare of all. It is abfurd to suppose, that either the remainder of the House of Commons or their conflituents should attempt to introduce universal suffrage, both because it could add nothing to their privileges, and because being generally men of some property, and fufficiently informed of the confequences of diffusing the privilege of suffrage so widely, they would fee, that, in such a state of it, they could have no security for their property, for their lives, or any thing in the constitution and laws, on which they fet any value. It is not in any degree probable, that the Commons will permit any of their neceffary privileges to be abridged by the Crown or the Lords; because they are possessed of the greatest degree of power in the state; because their power has been almost uniformly employed either in extending or maintaining their privileges; and because in furrendering any of their necessary privileges, they render, not only the condition of their constituents, but their own, infecure. Whilst the state of suffrage, therefore, continues nearly as it is, no material change in the constitution, for the worfe, is to be apprehended.

And

wha

Eves

laws

respe

the e

thor

vern

whil

ty of

in th

any

houl

perh

Neit

well

enere

indiv

out r

it.

fum,

be th

necel

muft

the n

mere

is, th

This,

all co

+1

could

feems

der a

Be ex

this pl

the co

duftry on the ment.

this fo

debt f

H

And whilft the conflitution remains, the people in no rank whatever, have any thing to apprehend, with regard to their lives, liberty, and property. For, whill that remains, no laws civil or criminal can be enacted, which shall not equally respect all: and the laws will be impartially executed, while the execution of them is entrufted to one man, who has authority and power to execute them, that is, whilst we are governed by a king whose power is very great but limited; and whilft the Habeas Corpus act, the trial by jury, and the liber-

ty of the prefs, are privileges of all.

n the

night

d not The

bably

hate,

e na-. lt

pro-

It is ower

that

it the

ld up rd to upon

s de-

Touse

empt

be-

e he

re of f the

pt to

hing

fome es of

fec.

y for

ution y de-

ir ne-

ords;

er in

em-

eges;

eges,

but

fore,

ituti-

And

If those in the lower ranks, who are excluded from voting in the election of members of the House of Commons, have any thing in appearance to apprehend, it is, least the taxes hould fail upon them with too great a weight. But here, perhaps, they have no more to fear than those in the higher. Neither do the fears of any with regard to this appear to be well founded. For, though within this century, taxes have encreased much; yet all prices, that is the income of every individual, have encreased as much: So that if any give more out now than formerly, they receive as much back in place of it. All the difference is, that instead of counting a smaller fum, they are now obliged to count a greater. This will ever be the case till prices can be raised no higher t. Should it be necessary to impose taxes after that, the great burden of them must fall upon the rich, and not upon the poor, and that for the most obvious reason. The poor must have not only the mere necessaries of life, but also some of its coveniencies, that is, they must have what is commonly deemed a subsistence. This, in some measure, they ever have had and ever will have in all countries where the foil and trade are fitted to afford it. Nei-

It is long fince speculative men have entertained fears, that prices could be raifed no higher; and yet they have ftill continued to rife, There feems to be as little ground to fear, that government will be always under a necessity of impoling new taxes. Were there nothing elfe to make mespect a repeal of taxes after the present commotions of Europe are over, we might expect it from the present plan of improving the waste last in the kingdom. If we confider, on the one hand, with what fpirit this plan has been adopted and is every day purfued, what an addition the completion of it must be, to the sublistence, the population, the industry, and riches of the country, and consequently to the revenue, and, on the other, that all this addition will canfe little new expence to government, we shall not, by any means, be over sanguine, if we think, that this fource of wealth alone may be fufficient for reducing the national dibt fo as to render a repeal of taxes a thing of course.

ther art nor force will deprive them of it. But, in this comtry, fince taxes have encreased, not only have prices rifen, but the real wealth, the fubftantial abundance or plenty of the people, has undeniably been gradually augmented.

But as the rich have, not only the necessaries and conveniencies of life, but also more or lefs of its luxuries, they have a furplus above what the poor have; and as the poor es spare nothing from what is a just subfiltence, if more taxes are to be imposed after prices can be raifed no higher, the new taxes must be paid wholly from this furplus of the rich, be cause there is no other fund from which they can be paid Therefore, should ever the time come, when prices can be raifed no higher, and when it may be ftill necessary to impose new taxes, the rich must pay all the additional taxes, because the poor cannot t. Mean while, as we have no poll-tax; a taxes are fo imposed, that, in the last place, they affect the

Subject It has been afked, " what right has any fet of men to tax me with out my confent?" It may be answered, that if many were never to be taxed but with their own confent, they would fearcely be taxed at any time, and never as they ought. The right to tax by fome perfore or other is founded in the right, which government has to support. The right of men of property, and those only to tax us, is founded in this, that the country could not be fo equitably taxed in any other way; and for the fame reason, the electors of such men ought to have certain property of a certain intereft in the country. Were it otherwife, the poor might fire the rich of their wealth, and reduce them to their own level, fimply be the power of taxation. But, in this country, whill prices continue m rife, it is impeffible for the rich to leffen the income of the poor by meation without leffening their own; unlefs they were to impose either pol taxes, or taxes which would operate in a fimilar manner. Even then it

would be fearcely peffible.

For as raxes are laid on articles, which those only who are rather rid. can afford, that is, on accommodations and luxuries, the burden of then is laid, in the first place, on the richer classes only, and there the greater part of it remains. If they endeavour to puth it off by railing the pie of land, or the value of their income of any other kind, the price of le bour and all commodities is raifed in proportion; and the income of all is, in time, the fame in value with what it was before taxes were impaired The national debr is, indeed, increnfed; but this debt bas as yet bem a burden only by the fears it has excited. Should it become a real and fear fible burden, the rich as well as poor will feel it. Should it make barb rupts of any class, it must make bankrupts of the rich only, and of their sich chiefly, who can neither secrete their property, nor transport it beyond feas, that is, of landed proprietors. And if it must be paid, the lands chiefly must pay it; for the poor cannot; and men in bufinelies find means of concealing or transporting their property, which is not be cafe with lands.

fabic of la ed in what prope al wit howe and n confic grow agrici condi family

Ta

expen

gener

he car find, them power them bove a value, above rende cafe, graria fome ! it. A right House

would taxes o if the of rail Lords, She

to ma

giving

this w

fabled in proportion to his private expences; and as the rents of land and the prices of labour and all commodities, are raifed in proportion to men's necessary or prudent expences; whatever the taxes are at present, they are borne by each in proportion to his strength. Should they ever become greater than that strength, they will not be oppressive to one individual without being oppressive to the nation. This I mention, however, merely as a supposition to illustrate my argument, and not as an event to be seared. For the people in all ranks, considered in their private capacity, have, to this very day, been growing richer; the proof of which truth is their trade, their agriculture, their manufactures, and their whole stile of living. What a difference is there between the whole of their condition now and what it was at the accession of the present

family to the throne!

s coun-

n, but

of the

onveni

y have

or cas

xes are

e new

h, be-

paid

can be

impole

pecaule

ax; w

ect the

Subject

ne with

er to be

at any

er other,

that the

for the

perty of

he ari

inply by

by tar-

ner pal

then it

er rid.

of them

gi ester

he price

e of le

e of all opoled

been a

nd fes-

e bank

of thek

t it be-

els ess

not the

Taxes are at present paid in proportion to what a man either expends or ought to expend for himself and family, that is, generally according to his income, that is, according to what he can bear; and, therefore, they are just or equitable. We find, therefore, that universal suffrage is not necessary to make them fo. But were the poorer classes of people to have the power of laying on the taxes, they might lay the whole of them almost on the rich by taxing every kind of property a. hove a certain value, fo as to render it of no use, above that value, to the possessor. For instance, every estate or income above co or even 50 pounds a year, might be fo taxed as to render its value no more than 100 or 50 pounds. And, in this cafe, the laws with regard to taxes, would be a species of agrarian laws, which, could they be executed, would introduce some fort of equality of property and all the evils attending it. And as the House of Commons claims exclusively the right of imposing taxes (all money bills originating in their House, and the House of Lords not being permitted by them to make any alteration in fuch bills) universal suffrage, by giving the poorer classes a power of choosing the former House, would give them a certain degree of power of laying all the taxes or any oppreffive part of them on the richer; because, if the supplies granted by them, and all the ways and means of raising them, were not to meet with the consent of the Lords, they might refuse them altogether.

Should their representatives attempt to use their power in this way, there is no doubt, that they would meet with near-

ly the same opposition that the poor themselves would, we they to attempt, by force, a direct and formal partition of lands and property. Equality would be the object of the poorer class, on the latter supposition, and an approach to it their object, on the former; and in both cafes, their attenn would most likely meet with equal resistance. As university Inffrage, therefore, would give the poor a power of acting most oppressively and unjustly towards the rich, or of attempt ing to do it; and as the very attempt, if perlifted in, would embroil the nation in a civil war, and produce much mischief the people have no right to it, unless they have a right to be But though the present flate of fuffrage or represent tation may possibly admit of some small alteration for the beat ter, in a favourable time; yet no bad confequences are to be apprehended from it in its prefent state, either to the conflintion, or to the life, property, or liberty of any fubit ct. And as these are at present enjoyed in security by all, and as univerfal fuffrage would render them insecure, therefore, it en never be admitted as a privilege or right of the people, because it would be inconsistent with general security, the grand criterion of all political rights, the only measure of all diffibution of power and privilege, and the chief end of all go vernment.

The privileges of those in the upper ranks of life are, in this country, balanced by the natural power and political privileges of those in the lower. This is an obvious fact. any thing, like universal suffrage, would give to the latter as exhorbitancy of power, which, as anciently at Rome and lately in France, they might first use to ruin their superiors, and

of which their leaders would then deprive them.

It is evident, that were the government wholly represents. tive or elective, according to the plan of certain innovators, the ill confequences of univerfal fuffrage would be more to be dreaded, than now that neither the Crown nor the House of For, upon that new plan, it would give Lords are elective. the lower class of the people, a power of composing the whole of the legislature of what men they should choose. their own good fense, and the due influence and direction of their fuperiors, and moved only by their natural fenfe of jultice, they would generally choose such men as would maintain the interests of all ranks. But as this is never to be expected, whilst ambition and avarice occupy fo much of the human heart

eart, t e milled

of error

for fupp

une of

Those le

France,

or flatte

lerved, who nev

them no

not bot h

even go

the dece the fum

great di

rould a miffard

e perm

elle all v

fubmit |

ed; the

dour mu

anner,

ace, th had cha

the gove

changes uries an rolution

ad ret

would in

tymanic

mited au

and crue

Thou

mongft

the for

Hf, wh

d men c

revolu

n of a

h to it

temp

niveral acting

tempt.

would

Chief

t to do

prefea. he bet-

to be

atific

s un-

it cas

e, be-

grand diffri-

ill go

re, in

al pri-

er an

late-

, and

lenta-

aton,

use of

whole

eft to

on of ful-

ntain

cted,

uman

leart,

And

test, they would, as has ever been the case in popular states. emilled by artful and defigning men; and under the influence of error concerning their rights, and of ill founded refentment for supposed wrongs, they would compose the whole legislaare of those very men who had deceived and milled them. Those leaders, like those in ancient Rome and in modern frace, would probably at first promise them Agrarian Laws, fatter them with the hope of Equality; for it has been obfred, that those are ever the most liberal in their promises, who never intend to fulfil them: They could, indeed, deny them nothing in words, which they (hould ask; for they could not both lead them, and thwart their inclinations: They would ern go a certain length in fulfilling their promifes to favour the deception: But when they had firmly feated themselves on the fummit of power, at least in their own imaginations, reat difficulties would then occur to them; their promifes would appear to them to have been rash and injurious; "the miffardes, the canaille, the meanest of the people, must not epermitted any longer to interfere in matters of government, deal will be anarchy; the property of a country must gofibmit to what is for their benefit, they must be compelled; the state must have dignity, and a certain degree of Iplendour must be attached to the executors of the laws." namer, the leaders of the people would change their appearnce, their words, and the whole of their conduct, after they ad changed the former rulers of the country and the form of e government. But those changes would, to the people, be changes only for the worfe. Strong defire of revenge for inunes and bloodshed, the necessary concomitants of such replutions, general indignation against deceit and treachery, ad returning affection in the people to their old superiors, would make their new ones jealous; jealoufy would make them tymanical; and the people, after fuffering all the miferies of revolution, would find, when too late, that, inflead of lited and mild governors, they had given themselves arbitrary and cruel masters.

Though the government, and all political connexion aninght men, were diffolved, and the people were to become the fovereign" (every man being matter or fovereign of himlif, which is all the fovereignty that any unconnected number from can have) they would not retain their fovereignty for five

minutes.

minutes. They would infantly put themselves under the rection of leaders, and these would be the sovereign. It is impossible, that the people should act but under some tion; and, therefore, as Mr De Lolme tells us, the only they ever make of their power is either to give it away or fer it to be taken from them. And such is the corruption human nature, that, in the time of a revolution, they from

ly give their power to those who abuse it.

But let us suppose, for the sake of argument, what a was nor ever can be, that the people are neither cornunor missed, nor any how influenced, by their leaders; their leaders are but simple instruments in the hands of people their masters, instruments which may be used for purpose, without hurting those who use them; then the ple would be left wholly to their own will; and if they have privilege of suffrage, they might, if they choosed, mere the decrees deltroy, or any how alter, the constitution, all honorary distinctions, and introduce, in so far as is pose equality in property and every other respect. For, if they ple were the sovereign, what is there within the reach of a natural power, which they would not have a constitution power of doing?

It may, perhaps, be faid, that though the privilege of frage were universal, it would never be use by inferiors to jure their fuperiors; and it may, on as certain a foundation, faid, in defence of the worlt conflitation, that the m will never abuse the laws of it. But a conditution, in or to be a good one, must preclude any description of men in ciety, from injuring another; it must prevent not only wro but even the apprehension of wrongs; in other words, it a afford fecurity to all. This is the characteriflic excellence the British constitution. But, if univerfal fuffrage we part of the constitution, the lower classes of the people injure those in the middle and higher, in the greatest deg Nor could ever the latter classes enjoy fecurity under fuch ac flitution: They would be, as the same description of men at one period, in the democratical government of At constantly under the reign of terror. What has been a fufficient to shew, that universal suffrage cannot be oned rights of the men of this country, and much less a government wholly representative or elective.

The words, liberty and equality, have been joined together

nerfal Countr

feed by

li not

Sty W

intolet

been of

par

other,

15, a

a cont

ne, ma ofter.

by of Li

in eaf

be real

way.

, in

d coul

a, tha LAion

to be from to privileg more paterm, a lin not precede

for and conversation, in such a manner as if the things by them, were mutually dependent, or as if the one last fubfift without the other. But it was formerly that, besides the other evils that would attend the inaion of an equality of property, the maintaining of fuch liv would lay men under reitraints the most unreasonable intolerable, and wholly inconfiftent with liberty. been shewn in this letter, that equality of suffrage in the ion of members of the House of Commons, would give part of the nation, an immediate power of enflaving other, and most probably in the event, to one junto of m, a power of enflaving the whole. It may be added, a continuation of the same process would, as happened in , make one of these leaders a despot, and give the rest ofer. Whether, therefore, by equality, we understand by of property or of fuffrage, both forts of it are incompatiib Liberty.

th easy for speculative men, to imagine things that never be realized. Madmen are said to have a peculiar talent in way. And sometimes the former fort is as much the nof their own imaginations as the latter. But liberty and by, in either of the senses above, are two states of men, th, in this country, would be repugnant to each other,

d could not subfift together.

R. T.

LETTER XXV.

herful Suffrage is not a Right of the Men of this Country +.

BUNTRYMEN.

der th

n. F

fome (

he only

way or

rruptic

y freq

what I

COTTU

ders

nds of

fed for

n the

ley h

merely

tion,

is pol

ch of

riors to dation, the ru

men in

ls, it m

ge we

ple m

It degr

uch a

men s

Ath

en fiil

one di

verns

ogethe

"HAT univerfal suffrage is not a right, and ought not to be a privilege, of the people of this country, is evifrom the preceding letters. But as some have claimed it privilege on the ground of right, it may be proper to shew, more particular manner, that it is not, in any sense of term, a right of the people.

his not a right, to which they can lay claim by any thing precedent or usage. For there is not, in the history of

+ See the preceding letters on the Rights of Men.

this country, any veilige of its having ever been their priviled. During the Saxon monarchy, and for a long period afterward it is clear from the hiftory of the country, that the lower che es of the people were bondonen or villains, who were reprehated by their Lords; and that no description of the people have any representation of their own choosing till the reign of E ward the first, or the regency of the earl of Leicesser.

ty.

gov

cal

lic o

ciet

long

ture

mot

are

defi

tho

tho

verf

nece

it to

any

that

long

ty;

obta

ther

cifel

no n

othe

give

give

inju

one N

of m

their

in a heal

pove

It is not one of their natural rights. For, if by naturing the partial rights, we understand those rights which belong to men is what has been, though erroneously, called a state of nature or considered as unconnected individuals, living without gorns ment or political institutions, universal suffrage cannot be a mong those rights. For how can a man have a right to an thing in a state in which the thing itself neither has, nor a have, existence? The right to universal suffrage, supposing to be really a right, can commence only from the time that no begin to live together as members of the same civil social Prior to that, it can have no existence any more than a chil which may be born a hundred years hence, can have rights be

fore it begin to exist.

Neither is it a natural right, supposing, that, by natur rights, we understand thosegrights, with which a man is bon which are inherent in his nature or per fon, and which are need fary to his fecurity, as an individual. The natural rights of men in this fense of the term , which feems to be the proper one) a those rights which subfilt in their persons; such as the right to the limbs and members of the body, and the faculties of the mind, the right to the free and innocent use of them, the right to fecurity and of courfe to the means of enjoying fecurity, the But it is aci is, the right to defend ones felf against injury. ther fecurity, nor is it among the means of obtaining or enjoy ing fecurity. It would give one part of the community power of injuring another in all their interests; but it is n necessary to any part of the community (as the fact unden bly flews) for the prefervation of any thing, which they a For whatever a man may call his own, wh call their own. ther life, liberty, or property, is, in this country, fecured him, almost in a degree of perfection, by the existing law but would be rendered insecure, intringed, violated, della ed, by univerfal fuffrage. It is not, therefore, in any led of the term, among the natural rights of men.

Neither is it among their civil righte, that is, among the

rights which belong to them as private members of civil fociety, or as subjects of government; that is, all those things, which belong to them as individuals, after rendering to the

government, which protects them, what is due.

privile

wer ch

represen

eople h

n of E

o men

f natur

it goven

not be a

ht to a

nore

poting

that m

fociety

an a chil

rights be

v natur

is born

are nece

one) are

he righ

ies of the

the righ

rity, th

it is no

or enjoy-

it is no

they ca

vn, who

ng laws

dellio

any fee

ng the

r. y naton

Laftly, it is not among their political rights. The politial rights of men are those which belong to them in their publicespacity, in their relation to the flate, or as members of the body politic. Now, as the chief end of all political fociety is to preferve to men in fociety, those rights which belong, to them out of it; and next to that, to improve their nature, to ameliorate their condition, and, in a word, to pronote their happines; we have no other way of knowing what are their political rights, than referring them to those ends or defigus of fociety. Whatever ferves to obtain or promote thole ends, is their right; whatever has a contrary tendency, though in the smallest degree, is not their right. Now univerfal fuffrage does not, in any degree, ferve, nor is it at all necessary, to promote those ends; but, on the contrary, were it to become the privilege of the people, it would, more than any thing elfe that can be devised, counteract them.

The first, the chief design of civil or political society is, that men may enjoy security in the possession of all that belong to them. Security, they have a right to out of society; and, therefore, they have a right to it in society. Out of society, they have a natural right to use all the just means of obtaining security, just so far as they are necessary, and no farther; and, therefore, in society, they have a positical right precisely of the same extent to use the same means. But as no man, out of society, has a right to do what would injure another, neither has any man, in society, a right to what would give one part of the political society of this country, a power of injuring another in almost any degree. It is not, therefore, one of the political rights of the men of this country.

Neither, if we are to judge of what are the political rights of men, by their tendency to improve their nature, ameliorate their condition, and promote their happiness, is universal suffrage among these rights. For the direct tendency, and, in a high degree, the effect, of it, would be to injure their health, understanding, and fortunes; to introduce general poverty; to create civil diffensions; and, in short, to produce

national mifery.

In a fociety of men, that is either innocent and virtuous or of which the members are, at leaft, nearly upon a level with regard to the possession of riches, universal suffrage would probably produce no ill confequences; and, as it might be necessary to the security of its members, that the right of fulfrage should be either widely disfused or universal, it would be their right in fo far as it would be necessary. If they were innocent and virtuous, they would neither corrupt, nor be If they were equal as to riches, though far from being perfectly innocent and virtuous, there would be none that would have any confiderable influence (at least of a corrupt kind) over another; for they would not have the means of corruption. In fuch fociety, therefore, there would be little to fear from intrigue; from corruption, from the avarice of fome, and the ambition of others. But the men of our political fociety are neither innocent and virtuous, nor equal Though not more vitious, perhaps, than in as to riches. any former period, they are yet far from being to innocent and virtuous as to fit them for universal suffrage.

Political laws must correspond to the characters and circumftances of the men, for whom they are intended. Without fuch a correspondence, they must be bad laws; and, in proportion as they have such a correspondence, they are good. In Sparta, where all the free men were nearly equal in their circumstances, and where there were no means of corruption, every freeman, at the age of thirty, was confidered as fit to give his vote in the a Tembly of the freemen. This was, perhaps, necessary for the security of all; and there was no danger from corrupt influence or bribery. For the fame reason, the right of fuffrage may be more widely diffused in America than in Britain, and more diffused in some of the states of A: merica, than in others. Vermont, perhaps, if any of them are fitted for universal suffrage, is fitter than most others, because there the people seem to be most on a level. But, in this country, where men are extremely unequal in point of riches, influence, and honours; where that inequality ferves the most beneficial purposes; where the destruction of it would be attended with the worst of confequences; universal fuffrage would be productive of the most terrible evils.

Upon the whole, universal suffrage is not, in any seuse of the word right, a right of the men of this country. It is not their right in consequence of any precedent, or usage in so-

200

MIST

is n

fat

20

wit

el o

18,

civi

per

mo

can

vile

foci

thei

far

cha

of a

but

the

mife

the

amo

qua

cou

()ut

in f

have

me

ciet

way

the

and

in l

no

28 V

wit

gre

rich

the

the

I

irtuona

a level

e would

of ful.

ould be

y were

nor be

ar from

e none

a cor-

means

ould be avarice

of our

nan in

nocent

d cir-

With-

nd, ia

good.

ption.

fit to

per-

eafon,

reriea

of A:

them

, be-

it, in

nt of

of it

rerfal

le of

s not

for-

mer

dle

mer times. It is not one of their natural rights: For it is not among those rights which belong to men in a supposed flate of nature, that is, out of fociety, because it can have so existence but in society: Neither is it among those rights with which every man is born, that is, among their personalor inherent rights. It is not among their civil rights, that is, those rights which belong to them as private members of eivil fociety; for it is no part either of their possessions, property, persons, or individual or private liberty. It is not among their political rights: For the only rule, by which we can determine what thefe rights are, is the fitnels of any prisilege, power, or institution, to afford to all the members of fociety, first, and chiefly, fecurity in the innocent use of all their private or absolute rights; and, secondly, to improve, fo far as can be done by political inflitations, their condition and character. But, in this country, universal suffrage, inflead of affording fecurity to all, would render the condition of all, but especially of the rich, insecure. It is not necessary to the fecurity of any; and its effects would be deftruction and mifery. Instead, therefore, of being among the rights of the men of this country, it would, if conferred on them, be among the greatest wrongs which they can fusfer.

I must now take the liberty of affirming, that a certain inequality in political power is the right of the men of this country, because necessary to their fecurity and welfare, Out of fociety, men have a right to fecurity; and, therefore, in fociety, they have the same right. Out of fociety, they have a right to use all the means necessary to enjoy security, in every way not injurious to others; and, therefore, in faciety, they have a right to use the same means in the same ways. But the means of enjoying fecurity in fociety, are the privileges of its members, its laws, forms; magiffrates, and officers of all kinds; and all thefe they have a right to. in kind and measure, just as they afford security to all, and in no other way. The people of this country are, and, fo long as we continue a trading nation, ever must be, very unequal with regard to riches: The honours of the flate are of the greatest use, and ought, therefore, to be continued: But the riches, rank, and honours of some, are or jeds of envy to o-Those, therefore, require greater power to defend their rights, than the lower classes of the people, to defend theirs. The great, therefore, and those in or above the mid-

feeu

verf

the |

be a

mair

not

to th

try,

liam

Drive

be t

had

then

lar

ecaf

part

mat

tent

WOU

pole

way

con

con

fere

ratio

lic g

it is

of p

to t

whi

ture

had

pro

I

or a

the

fo a

fuffi

diic

1

dle ranks have need of greater power to defend their rights, than those in the lower. They are, therefore, entitled either to vote in national affairs themselves, or to appoint representatives; whilst those below them are entitled to do neither, because such a privilege might be used to injure their superiors. The giving of a vote in public affairs, and all political laws and forms, are the means or instruments, which men is society use for their defence; and they are their rights in so far only as they are necessary to their security. Beyond this, they cease to be the means of defence, and become offensive weapons. But universal suffrage, in the hands of the people of this country, would be a weapon staal, not only to the security and happiness of those in the higher ranks, but to those of the people themselves. There is, therefore, not the least shadow of its being their right.

Have the people then, in the lower classes, no political rights? Yes. All those who have no right in choosing a member to the House of Commons, have yet, (what to them, considering their numbers, is equivolent to it) a right of communicating their thoughts to one another and to the whole nation in every innocent way; a right of expressing their opinion, in the same way, of all public affairs; and a right of petitioning, in the same way, the King and both Houses of Parliament. These are their political rights; and with these, they form a balance to the political power of those in the upper ranks. In this balance, consists the true political equality of rich and poor; and whilst this balance is preserved, as it now is, every man will be equal to another in the secure enjoy-

ment of what belongs to him, as an individual.

Whilft the people enjoy their prefent political rights, they are spectators of those who manage their interests, spectators, who, with those who are either in opposition to ministry or independent, will never fail, sooner or later, to correct those who act in public, should they err in performing the part affigned them. But universal tuffrage would make the people themselves in a great measure actors in the national drama. There would be no neutral persons to observe and correct public errors; parties would be converted into factions; and the sword of civil war would be used to do that, which, at present, is done by the expression of the public mind.

The political rights of the people have neither in this country, nor any other, been, for any long period, stationary. They have

rights

either

orefen.

either,

uperi-

olitical

nen in

in fo

d this,

enfive

people

the fe-

ut to

ot the

litical

ing a

them.

com-

whole

r opi-

ht of

les of

hele

e up-

uality

d, as

njov-

they

tors,

Y OF

hole

part

peo-

ina.

rect

and

pre-

try,

ave

ted.

Influsted, and, in a certain degree, perhaps, must succure They are their rights only whill they conduce to their own fecurity, and that of the rest of the community. But universal suffrage, though it were made the legal privilege of the prople, never can be their right; because there never can be a period in the duration of this country, whilst things remain in any degree, like what they are, in which it would not be injurious to one part of the community, and ruinous to the whole.

It is certainly not effectial to the conflitution of this country, that we should have septennial rather than triennial parliaments. The conflitution was the fame, except some improvements, when we had triennial parliaments; and it might be the same, were it not for those improvements, though we There was the best reason for changing had them again. them from triennial into septennial. There seems to be a similar reason for continuing them such. Should that reason seafe to exist, and should it appear to be the fense, not of a party, but of the nation, that triennial parliaments, all things maturely confidered, are, upon the whole, preferable to feptennial, there is not the least doubt, that the former fort would be voted into a law. Why? Because it would be supposed to conduce to the public good, which, though not always immediately, has yet, in the event of debate and partycontention, ever prevailed, in this country, over all private confiderations. For the truth of this affirmation, and the inferences to be drawn from it with regard to changing the duration of Parliaments, as shall be most conducive to the public good, I appeal, not to any fingle acts of parliament (for it is natural for mankind to err) but to the general tendency of parliamentary business, to the history of the country, and to the excellence (whatever it may be) of the conflictution; which is not a work of nature or of chance, but of the legillature of this country in former periods; of a legislature which had not more wisdom than the present, nor more rights to protect.

But though the duration of parliaments may be lengthened or abridged, as shall conduce to the public good, we are nut, therefore, under a necessity of altering the state of suffrage so as to make it universal. This I mention, because universal suffrage and annual parliaments have been coupled in writings and discourse, as if we could not have the one without the other.

\$3

75

hich t

have :

all, by

nos, V

a In

e alwa oors: copic,

eft. th

rould b

br refol

therefor

other a

people,

If annual parliaments should become necessary, I am persualed from seeing in the history of the country, the gradual progress which has, even to the present day, been occasionally made in all things which benefit the country, that we shall obtain even them: But as universal suffrage never can be occessary for the general good, but constantly injurious to it.

it never can be expected.

The reason given by Judge Blackstone, why many are excluded from voting in the election of a member to the House of Commons is, " that they are in fo mean a condition that they have no will of their own." This reason is a permanent one. Did fuch men possess this privilege, they would be inftruments in the hands of rich, popular, artful, and defigning leaders, for subverting the established power and fetting op the power of fuch leaders. They would become habituated to fide with different leaders. Those leaders, each in his turn, would make use of them in injuring one another, and conduct them only to flavery. The people would lose their privileges, they would lofe the inclination to ufe their wills as they ought, they would lofe at latt even the fenfe of that jull liberty which they now enjoy, and fall into an invincible flupidity with regard to their rights. This was the condition to which Rome was brought by her leaders. "When Scylla thought of restoring Rome to her liberty, that unhappy city was incapable of that bleffing. She had only the feeble remains of virtue, which were continually diminishing: instead of being roused out of her lethargy by Cæfar, Tiberius, Caius, Claudius, Nero, Domitian, the rivetted every day her chains; if the ftruck some blows, her aim was at the tyrant, but not at the pfurpation +." This was the condition to which their leaders conducted the people in the democracy of Athens. This is the condition to which France has been tending fince the re-This must be the condition of the people in all countries, where there is great inequality in riches and influence, and where the diffribution of political power is not in fuch proportion as to prevent, in such a manner as is done in this country, any description of men from gaining an ascen-

I shall close the whole of what has been said on this subject with a quotation from the celebrated president Montesquicu, whose great knowledge of the nature of legislation has procured

+ Spirit of Laws, b. 3 c. 3.

with him the name of the legislator of nation; a quotation, thich, though it is meant only to shew why the Lords ought have a greater share in the legislature, than the Commons, all, by a parity of reason, shew why some of the Commons ought to vote in choosing a member of the House of Commons, whilst others are excluded from voting.

thall

e 660

o it

e ex-

oufe

that

nent e is-

ning

op '

ated

uro.

duct

hich

reome f reable tue. ufed lius. the the dem is is 16. all Aut in e in cenjea ic U. -010 red

"In fuch a flate," faith be, speaking of England, "there we always persons distinguished by their birth, tiches, or howers: but were they to be consounded with the common cople, and to have only the weight of a single vote, like the off, the common liberty would be their slavery, and they would have no interest in supporting it, as most of the popular resolutions would be against them. The share they have, therefore, in the legislature, ought to be in proportion to their other advantages in the state; which happens only when they som a body that has a right to check the licentiousness of the people, as the people have a right to oppose any encroachment of theirs 1."

R. T.

\$ Spirit of Laws, b. II. c. 6.

ON

ON THE PRESENT STATE OF OUR REPRESENTATION.

LETTER XXVI.

Observations on the Present State of our Representation,

COUNTRYMEN,

I N a civil fociety such as ours, it is not only necessary, that the legislative power should be shared by a king, lords, and commons, but that the representatives of the last branch of that power should be chosen, not by all the people indiscriminately, but by a certain number of them, duly qualified, Those who are thus qualified, elect the members of the House of Commons. But these members, after being thus elected, are the representatives, not of their electors or conflituents only, but of the whole body of the people. They are as really the representatives of a man who is not worth a groat, or even of a pauper, as of the richest landed preprietor. This may, perhaps, to some, appear a bold affertion; and yet, so

believe, it may be made plainly evident.

The representatives of the people in the House of Commons are not the representatives of any part of the kingdom more than of any other. They are, indeed, faid to be members for the respective places, which have chosen them; but they are not, on that account, more the representatives of these places, than of any other. Collected together, they form one body, which is the representation of all the places in the And as they are the representatives of all the places, fo are they the representatives of every individual, in the whole realm. This is evident from the nature of the bufinels, which comes before them. That bufinels is, not of a particular, but general nature. It is the bufiness, not of one description of men in society, but of the whole. It is the bufinels, not of their conflituents only, but of the nation at large. When they vote for any law, which has a tendency to (court

to promerce and i of Pais no confti

(as apper dejen) fore vinces upon

As fentat which nefs o whate than a fenfe their o

to tak form to comes minds tion of much to tuents, dictate

ents; 1

For, thus to tion of be fruit high de mocrati point, lour o lecure the persons, or property, of all, such as the late bill for the more easy and speedy recovery of small debts; or to promote the sisheries, agriculture, manufacture, or commerce; it is evident, that, as they are for the benefit of all, and in the business of all, they are the representatives of all.

"The end." Live Judge Blackstone, speaking of a member

"The end," firs Judge Blackflone, speaking of a member of Parliament, "the end of his coming thither (to Parliament) is not particular, but general; not barely to advantage his conflituents, but the common wealth; to advise his majety (as appears from the writ of summons) de communi confilion for negotiis quibusdam arduis et urgentibus, regem statum et distinuem regni Anglia et ecclesia concernatibus. And therefore he is not bound, like a deputy in the united provinces, to consult with, or take the advice, of his constituents upon any particular point, unless he himself thinks it proper

or prudent fo to do *."

, that

lords.

ranch

mdif.

lified.

loufe

ected,

ts one

really

or c.

This

et, I

THORS

more

nbers

they

thefe

n one

n the

the the

l, is

e hu-

fone

the

on at

cy to

As the members of the House of Commons are the reprefentatives of all places in the kingdom, and as the business which they manage is, in a greater, or lef degree, the bunels of every subject, their condituents have no right or claim. whatever to enjoin them to vote in any certain way rather than another; neither is it their duty to vote according to the feafe of their constituents, unless that sense coincide with their own. They are, indeed, bound to hear their conflituents; nay, it is their duty, I should presume, in ordinary cases, to take all proper steps to know their minds, as well as to inform theinfelves with regard to all particular bufinels, which comes before them; but when they have learned what is the minds of their constituents, and collected all proper information on any subject to be considered by them, it is then as much their duty to vote without any partial respect to constiments, but fimply according to their own judgment and the dictates of their own consciences.

For, were not the representatives of the people at liberty thus to vote in all business that comes before them, the intention of their being in parliament would, in a great measure, be fruitrated; and the government, instead of being, in a high degree, representative, would become almost simply democratical. The artful politician, who wished to carry any point, instead of applying himself to the judgment and canduar of the representatives of the people in the House of

Commons

[.] Blackstone's Commentaries, v. I. p. 159.

As

and h

he the

certa

mbe

The

any Mare

her,

his W

House

conflit

eithe

hen

lo en

ats fo

do fo.

s his

aptifi

epre [

tumples fich as

ter, to

cours

all k

bred ch

heinte

of libe

esple,

try had them,

neceffi: mear,

ere i balled

ect wi

of the

diry

Commons, would refort to the leaders in the counties and be roughs; he would gain them, and fo gain his cause in Part ment.

When once the power of the people is delegated to repr fentatives, it must be wholly delegated. These representating must have a power, not of doing what is wrong, or unconfi tutional, but a discretionary power of doing every thing con fiftent with the conflitution and the interest of the people They may fometimes, indeed, in conjunction with the Crow and the Lords, have a power of suspending the constitution al laws, and even of altering the conflitution. But the ere cife of this extraordinary power is to be measured by the re ceffity of the cafe, by a necessity created by the fecurity, other advantage, of the nation; the prefervation of which f curity, and the promoting of which advantage, are the fol intention of their being representatives. And when this en traordinary power is directed by the necessity of the case, the use of it, either in suspending the constitutional laws, ora tering the conflitution, is not unconflitutional, but find conflitutional, because provided for, and allowed, by the fundamental laws of the conflitution, and the practice of the legislatue in all periods; a practice, which, when beneficial in fome cases, forms the constitution, and, in all cases, en plains and confirms it.

A diferetionary power, therefore, not a power of doing were gr what is wrong, but a power of doing whatever the fecunity and good of the nation may, in their judgment, require they must possess. Thus far the power of the people and be wholly alienated, or made their representatives, during de in their continuance in office, elfe, besides other mischiefs, the arts of popular leaders would be made use of to influence the people, who would make their representatives only the mere mouths or organs of voting their opinions, and frequent k is 28 ly the mere tools of demagogues. In this manner, were th people misled and abused at Rome. By these arts, did to had be ceffively perifh, after being deferted by that very people, whole

cause they maintained +.

As the people are, in the last place, the fole means of transferre power, they ever have been made use of, in some way, for this purpose in the governments of Athens and Rome, in which they voted personal ly in transacting public business, they were a mob, and were used in tumultung

es and b in Park

fentatn unconf ning co e people

ne Cro Aitutio the exe y the se urity, which fe the fol

n this ex cafe, th s, ora t Arial by ce of th eneficial

ales, es

of doing fecurity require ple me , durin hiefs, a in Auena

frequent were the did the ple, fue e, whole

only the

ansferri a purpa perfond ufed in multurg

As the bufinels, therefore, transacted in the House of Comoss, is the buliness of all, and as its members have, and of have, a difcretionary power of doing what is right and the advantage of the nation, they are, though chosen by certain number of the people, not the representatives of that

mber only, but of the whole.

The ambiguity of language occasions error here, as in pany other cafes. The term representative is used in two Efferent fenfes. It fignifies a person, who is chosen by anoher, and who acts for, or in the room of, that other; and if his were the only fense of the term, the members of the House of Commons would be the representatives of their andituents only. But this is not the only fense of the term: either is it the fense, in which it ought to be understood, hen applied to this branch of the legislature. Ho one who stands in the room or stead of another, and who as for that other, though not chosen or appointed by him to lo fo. Thus, a parent acts for an infant child or minor, and his representative, though not chosen by him, not only in aptifin, but in a great variety of other affairs, in which the representation of the parent or his agency for his child is abfolutely

smultuary and violent manner against those who ruled. In large cities, sich as London and Paris, they have been used nearly in the same maner, to effect the same purposes. In the long parliament, their leaders accuraged them to aid against King Charles the first, by petitions, which ere graciously recrived by them, though presented by apprentices, por-, women, and even beggars; and by other proceedings of a tumply kind. It is curious to observe how closely the leaders of the peo. it in France followed the English demagogues, when they wanted to beer the power of their king, and to establish their own. red chiefly in this, that the English demagogues pretended they had heinterest of liberty and religion in view; the French, the establishment of liberry and equality. Both agreed in pretending the good of the tople, and in the means used by them to accomplish their real deligns. But kis as curious to remark, that when the popular leaders in either country had used the people in establishing their own power, they dismissed them, and laid rham under the greatest restraints. Even though they had been what they pretended, patrious, they would have been under a necessity of prohibiting the people from interpoling in affairs of government, fo as to intimidate, or influence, their governous; for where there is fuch interpolition, there can be no government. When power is abused by those, to whom it is entrusted, the interposition of the subpet will then be as useful as in ordinary cases it is hurtful. The necessisof the case will determine the kind and degree of such interposition; and when it is according to that necessity, it is a duty. But no such neoffery exide in this country.

don

fuch

for u

repre

N

not t

all (

wort

of th

rente

of t

the I

-It

infa

pare

ther

of t

fame

tives

and

mea

inter

F

mon

divi

the

the

mur

eith

geni But

Ho

clof

if I

tha

rich

moi

of i

as l

and

to

folutely necessary for the good of the latter. Thus is wives are represented by their husbands; and the female set general, by the male. All these are the representative, the persons for whom, or in behalf of whom, they transbusiness, without any deputation. And, in the same ment, are the members of the House of Commons the representatives of the whole body of the people, though choses

deputed by a part.

If it should be asked what right representatives have to for those, who have not appointed them, it may be answer the right which every man has of benefiting every other, or of di good. No man ever questions the right of the parent to prefent, or act for, the child in all things beneficial to the h ter; and fuch is the right of the members of our parliame to represent that part of the people, which has not choose That every person should be represented in all the fairs of that community of which he is a member, and which he shares, in some degree, the advantages and disadra tages, all will acknowledge to be, not only fair and equ but a benefit and a right. But as universal suffrage would every way detrimental both to rich and poor, there is no other way of conferring on all this benefit or privilege of being presented with security and advantage, but by limiting s frage within certain convenient bounds, or, in other word by requiring certain reasonable qualifications in the electors representatives. And if suffrage be thus limited, there me be some of the community represented by those whom the have not chosen to represent them. In short, all have right to be well represented; but this right never could enjoyed, were all to choose their representatives. therefore, of the whole, being duly, that is, conveniently qualified (as they respect the rest) must be the sole confitt ents of a representation that is to benefit the whole.

Even those who contend for universal suffrage, are not for its being, strictly and properly speaking, universal. The exclude, from the right of suffrage, all minors and semala Possibly, if asked their reason for such exclusion, they would reply (and I should imagine justly) that the admitting a them as voters, would be attended with consusion, tumuland much mischief, and that they are sufficiently well represented by majors and males, with whom, they are, in some way, connected. The very same, or similar, reasons will justice.

Thus all male fext materials of transfer materials of the representation of the represen

choleso
ave to st
answered
or of dis

to the la arliament choice all the a and a difadvan

would be no other ting full r words ectors a

om they
have a
could be

Some, eniently, conflitu-

females
y would
tting of
tumult
ll reprein fone
will jul-

uly

my the exclusion of many of those, who are majors, from thoosing their representatives in Parliament; and therefore all such must be represented in the same way that those, who are for universal suffrage, would have semales and minors to be represented.

Neither are we to apprehend, that this representation is

Neither are we to apprehend, that this representation is not a good one, because not chosen by all. An election by all (as we have already seen) would be productive of the worst effects. But as the affairs of infants and minors, and of the female sex, are sufficiently well taken care of by parents, and by the other sex, so the affairs of the whole body of the people may be, and really are, well managed, though

the managers of them are chosen only by a part.

It will be here replied, that the reason why the affairs of infants and minors are faithfully and well managed by their parents, and those of semales, by the other sex, is, that there is a certain common interest, which makes the business of the representatives and the representatives in a manner, the same. It is true: And this is the reason why the representatives of all the people in this issand must, in general, be good and faithful representatives. It is because the interest of the meanest person in the kingdom is, in a great measure, their interest.

Every individual, whatever interest he may have in common with others, has yet an interest that is peculiarly and indivisibly his; and, therefore, we find, that, notwithstanding the closeness of connexion between the parent and the child, the former has fometimes injured the latter. But the community of interest between the parent and child is such, either from mutual affection or mutual advantage, that, in general, the parent does more for the child than for himfelf. But, in certain cases, the connexion between a member of the House of Commons and the meanest of the people, is more close, the interest of both these parties more common, and, if I may be allowed the expression, more the same interest, than that between the parent and child. For example, the richeft commoner, whether a member of the House of Commons, or an elector, is as much a subject as the meanest man of our political fociety; he is as much subject to the laws, as liable to be injured in his person or property by bad laws, and confequently as much interested to repeal such laws, and to enact good ones. Here there is, between the members of Parliament

Parliament and the meanest subject, a certain community or rather sameness of interest, which never can be divided or separated, so long as the members of parliament continue subjects, and so long as the power of the Crown is such as to enable it to act independently in the execution of the laws.

The fame community of interest with regard to taxes, wars, and all those things which affect the property of the fubject, might be flewn to fubfilt between the members of the House of Commons and the people of all ranks, whether constituents of that house or not. There is not a farthing of national expence, which may increase the taxes, that does not affect them proportionally with the meanest of the people, For if taxes are raifed, they are raifed in an equal proportion: In time, the incomes of all are raised, or, (which seldom or perhaps never happens) at worst, their expences diminished, in the same proportion: And thus the relative condition of all is still the fame. If taxes bear harder on any description of men than the members of the House of Commons, it is on those, whose incomes cannot be raised, at least, in proportion to the rife of taxes. It is not on the merchant, the manufacturer, or the labourer. These men have, in all past times, found means to raife their incomes in proportion to the rife of taxes; and no doubt they will find means of doing it in all times to come, should there be a necessity of imposing fresh taxes; though there is the greatest reason to believe, that the period is fast approaching, when, instead of imposing new taxes, the old ones will be repealed, and the national debt, though great, liquidated by an operation flow, perhaps, but certain in its effects. However, as the interest of every member of parliament, with regard to laws and taxation, is of the same kind with that of every subject, they cannot, in these respects, betray the interest of the meanest of the people, without betraying their own.

It is not impossible, indeed, for a man under strong temptation, to betray, for the sake of temporary and peculiar motives, his own interest, in certain respects, as well as that of the people. Thus, we see men, for the sake of a momentary gratification, betray their own interest in all respects, forseit their peace of mind, their good name, their health, their fortune. Such things are owing to the corruption or impersection of human nature; and if men will betray that interest which is their own, indivisibly and peculiarly their

wn.

own,

da f

nking

harei

dectin

want

caufe

ny po

fuffrag

fening

caple

mann

mealu

ole an

bilit

Ternm

rifing

preva

ently

ments

Aitute

plies,

place

Crow

of th

ment

will c

in the

the ti

Buen

be a

what

war,

paft,

of th

indep

c mn

or if

brou

Grow

it ou

M

mity or

ided or

ontinue

h as to

taxes

of the

bers of

hether

hing of

oes not

people.

ortion:

lom or

nished.

of all

ion of

is on

Ortion

nufae.

times.

rife of

in all

fresh

that

ofing

tional

haps,

every

on, 18

ot, in

peo-

emp.

uliar

that

mo-

ects

alth,

n or

that .

heir

wn,

WS.

own, it is not to be expected, but they will, from motives of a fimilar kind, be lefs faithful, than they ought to be, in aking care of those interests, which are less theirs, because hared by others. But no form of government, no mode of defting representatives, can wholly prevent such instances of want of attention, or unfaithfulnefs, in representatives; because the fault, either is not, or, at least, may not be, in any political constitution, but in human nature. Universal suffrage and a republican form of government, instead of leffening, would only increase this ground of complaint; besufe the former would increase corruption, and render it in a manner necessary; and because the latter would, in a great measure, destroy that community of interest between the people and their representatives, which the greatness, the indiviibility, and permanency, of the executive power in our goternment, have happily established. Besides, undue influence, rifing either from hope or fear, and corruption, have ever prevailed more in republics, and, from the want of a fufficiently great and stable authority in the rulers in fuch governments, ever must prevail more, than in a government fo confituted as ours; in which the folid weight of authority fupplies, in a great measure, though by no means wholly, the pace of influence, and renders corruption on the part of the Crown, unnecessary.

Much declamation there has been concerning the influence of the Crown on the representatives of the people in Parliament as well as others out of Parliament: But if any man will confider the danger, in which this country has been, within these few years, and the opposition in Parliament even in the time of that danger, he will he apt to think, that the infuence of the Crown, instead of being diminished, ought to be augmented. It does not appear, that that influence, whatever it may be, has been unduly used. There is not a war, perhaps, in which we have been engaged for this century patt, which has not, at its commencement at least, been a war of the people, or a war of such of them as were well informed, independent, and impartial. When a war has been about to commence, if it has been unpopular, ministers have avoided it; or if, after being begun, it has become fuch, they have brought it to a conclution; a proof, that the influence of the Grown in that which is most complained of, is not greater than it ought to be. There has not been a war entered into, by T'a

hw

fent

fes,

life,

the

muf

Eve

the

mol

wit

who

and

wit

dur

very

2 C

the

Rati

fup

don

pre

mı

cha

fait

nefi

try,

yea

doe

fuff

feri

rup

fub

thr

equ

fen

me

of

fen

this country, for a century past, which would not have been waged, though the government had been republican; but it might have been engaged in more wars, had the people had their choice of their representatives. In that case, a Pompey, or a Cæsar, or a Cromwell, starting up, would have influenced and led them into war; whereas, by the present state of suffrage, the populace can, with difficulty, and but seldom, be made such tools of. Instead of being actively engaged, they are now spectators of the great men, who manage public assairs, and, by the awe which they inspire, preserve them from deviating from that line of conduct, which, generally and

upon the whole, promotes the common good.

But should it be supposed, that the members of the House of Commons, at any time, promote that interest less than they might do, they can be dismissed every fix or seven years, and replaced by others; who, from the circumstance of their being recently chosen, and chosen for the very purpose of paying more attention to the interest of their constituents, will, on these accounts, be more attentive to it. They will be disposed to make a point of honour of acquitting themselves so as to merit general approbation. And should Parliaments become triennial instead of being septennial, a change, however, which might do more hurt than benefit, this cure of improper representatives may be applied every

two or three years instead of every fix or feven.

But besides the members of the House of Commons, the people have representatives in the Lords. It is very true, the Lords stand in the room or stead of none but themselves, neither are they responsible to any conflituents, nor can they be dismissed from their office in the manner, in which the members of another branch of the legislature may; but, if acting for the whole nation in all national bufiness, make them representatives of the nation, they are the representatives even of the meaned of the people. And as they also (except in the few cases that are peculiar to them, and necesfary for the proper discharge of their office, but which afford them no protection should they violate the laws) are subject to all laws civil and criminal, and obliged to support the public burdens in proportion to their expence, that is generally, in proportion to their property, they must, in general, be faithful representatives. They are equally interested with the meanest subject, nay much more interested, to defeud those

e been

but it

e had

mpey,

fuenc-

ate of

m, be

, they

lic af.

n from

y and

House

s than

years,

their

ofe of

tuents,

ey will

them-

d Par-

ial, a

enefit.

every

s, the

true,

felves;

n they

ch the

out, if

make

fenta-

y alfo

necef.

afford

ubjet

pub-

erally,

l, be

th the

those laws,

liws, which fecure life and property. Accordingly, in the reign of King John, the people found them faithful reprefentatives, when they had no other. They then obtained, not only fecurity for their own rights, but those laws or claufes, which secured to the villain or bondman, not only his life, but the instruments of his labour, which were almost the whole of his property. And being fill subjects, they must be still interested to defend all the rights of subjection Even the King himfelf, is, in one fenfe, a representative of the people; a representative, who is deeply interested to promote their welfare, because his own is inseparably connected with it; a representative, the greatness and permanency of whose power free him from any necessity of perverting justice, and facrificing the poor to the rich. This is not the cafe with the rulers in republics, whose power is not only of thort duration, but precarious. Such rulers, being raifed by the very men, who may depose them; are, more, or less, under a constant necessity of destroying their friends to prevent them from turning their great power against them, or of lattering them, and shewing them partiality, to retain their support. Hence, in such governments, juttice never can be done to the poor.

The people, therefore, have a representation; and that representation, from the members of it being really, and not in name, subjects, and the facility, with which they may be changed, have been, and ever must be, upon the whole, a faithful and good representation. Of its goodness, the goodness of the contitution and laws, the prosperity of the country, and their continued progress, for about seven hundred years, towards perfection, are an irrefragable proof: Nor does it appear, that any proposed alteration in the state of suffrage, however it might gratify certain individuals, would ferve to improve it. Universal suffrage would certainly corrupt it; and by giving an exhorbitant power to a few great subjects, would most probably, in the event, subvert the

throne, and make the rest slaves.

It has been faid, that we ought to have, a full, fair, free, equal and pure reprefentation of the people. That is a full reprefentation, which is as numerous as ours, and of which the members, however chosen, are, as in ours, the reprefentatives of all. That is a fair reprefentation, by which all are reprefented impartially. That is a free reprefentation, of which

1 2

the

the members, as in ours, have liberty of speech in their pub. lic affemblies, a liberty of canvaffing all public affairs, and of calling all the ministers and servants of the Crown to account for their public conduct, of making them answerable to the people in the persons of their representatives. That is an equal representation, in which the interests of all are equally provided for; in which it is impossible to be partial for any length of time, in any thing of moment. That is a pure representation of which the members have, on the average, acted, (fo far as can be expected from the imperfection of haman nature) for the public good; of which the general tendency, fince the time of its first institution, has been to bet ter the condition of the people in all respects. No man has ever yet acted uniformly and unremittingly for his own private benefit; but it is the very nature of every man endowed with reason, to benefit himself; and the tendency, it cannot be denied, of our representation has been to benefit the represented.

The manner in which a representation of the people is conflituted, is a circumstance; and we are to judge of its merit, not from that, but from its effects. Now if any man will deny, that the effects of our representation have been, upon the whole, the benefiting of the people more than any other form of government in any other country, he must deny all hiftory, and even what he fees and enjoys. A representation wholly hereditary would be oppressive; one wholly elective would be worfe, as it would not only be, in a great measure, oppreffive, but occasion a perpetual fluctuation of power, civil wars, and all that train of miferies which accompany them. That representation of the people, therefore, is bell, which is juffly composed of both. For, on the one hand, it gives flability to government, and affords public tranquillity; and, on the other, it binds up the hand of oppression, and produces, or preferves, liberty. And fuch, with any fuppoled defects, is, at present, our incomparable representation.

Certain efforts have been made towards a reform of Parliament, or rather a change in the flate of electors of members of the House of Commons in Scotland. Fictitious voten have been flruck off from the roll of freeholders; and merings of landed proprietors have been held with a view to make all feuars or fubtenants of the Crown, posselfed of property to a certain extent, hold immediately of the latter, and to

lower

who preve hand them trans

hipp

lower

allo.

of th

privil

W

coun

alter

of pu

fach

tachi

poli Scot dang of s infi ing no | cip. this prin and no e reje juit tim the ful:

tio app app act

ed !

ir pub.

and of

account

to the

equalle

for any

pure re-

ge, ac-

of hural ten-

to bet-

vn pri-

cannot

the re-

is con-

merit,

an will

other

eny all

ntation lective

rafure.

power,

mpany

is bell, and, it

illity;

n, and

y fup-

tation.

Parlia-

mbers

voters

meet-

perty

nd to

lower

lower the qualification of county voters. In the Boronghs also, much has been done to render the internal government of them less aristocratical, and to extend a little farther their privilege of choosing their members of Parliament.

With regard to the proposed alterations in the state of county votes in Scotland, they would not, perhaps, make any alteration either in the form of the government, or in the state of public affairs in any respect. But if it could be shewn, that such in the state of public affairs in any respect. But if it could be shewn, that such in the such it more closely a number of landed proprietors who have now no vote, remove any appearance of partiality, prevent unfairness at elections, and so unite proprietors of land more cordially, such effects might justify, if not render them laudable; and it should seem, that, in a time of public tranquillity, they might be effected without great difficulty.

It has been regretted, that the reform of the Scots Boroughs was not granted by Parliament; as the refuling of it has been supposed to render some disaffected to government. But sup. poling this to be, in a certain degree, true (for fome of the Scots Borough reformers have, in the time of apprehended danger, discovered themselves, by their actions, firm friends of government) it is to be confidered whether this evil be not infinitely less than what might have been produced by grant. ing that reform. It has often been faid, that there has been no proper time for such reform these several years: The principes and practice of France have ever influenced those of this country; and, as the pernicious tendency of their late principles and conduct was foreseen by men of penetration and fagacity in Parliament, whilst many in this country, of no common degree of understanding in ordinary affairs, were rejoicing in what was going forward in that, it was not only juit, but extremely wife, in Parliament, to refuse a reform in times fo pregnant with danger. Had the right of fuffrage in these boroughs, been, some time ago, much more widely diffused than at present, it is probable, they would have returned to Parliament, men who would have attempted to alter the conflictution of the kingdom inflead of bettering the condition of the subject. And as there was ground, at least, to apprehend this, the persons in Parliament, who under such apprehention, voted against the reform of these boroughs, acted undoubtedly as patriots, as friends of their country.

It has been faid, that the conflictutions of some of the

Scots boroughs are too ariffocratical, or rather that they are oligarchical; that many are constantly excluded from all sharein the internal government of these boroughs, and from all choice in the election of their members of Parliament, who, by their wealth, character, and respectability, are just as well entite led to thare, in their turn, in thefe privileges, as those who uninterruptedly enjoy them; and that this exclusion, befides certain other ill effects, is the real cause of the disaffection of Though, for the most part, this exclusion is but as imaginary lofs to any, and a real advantage to the body, of the inhabitants; yet, as it has the appearance of a hateful partiality, it will always produce party and ill-will among men themselves, and, perhaps, a degree of disaffection to govern-But it does not appear, that the constitution of any of these boroughs was too aristocratical, while the minds of some seemed to give a republic a preference to our happy mixed form of government.

Some time ago, some of the very leaders of the people in these boroughs were themselves deceived and mislead by erroneous publications, and perhaps by ill-sounded resentment for being resuled what they thought their right; and, under the influence of error and resentment, it was impossible they could avoid misleading their followers. From the example of France, from the prevalence of error, and from the heat of resentment, they were like onen in a delirium; and, in such a state of their minds, to have encreased their power, would have been to put a weapon into their hands, to destroy both

themselves and others.

But a lying tongue, faith Solomon, is but for a moment; but the lip of truth shall be established: Erroneous doctrine, like private lies, may fometimes, indeed, do much mischies; but the tendency of truth is to prevail over error, and in time it will prevail. The minds of men will cool by degrees; publications tending to undeceive the people, and place their interest and happiness in a true light, will be circulated, and in time have their just effect; the state of France has already shewn, and will yet much more fully shew, the vast preference which ought to be given to our form of government, compared with theirs; and men freed from error and resentment, will be able to give it that preference.

When that defireable time arrives (and, perhaps, it is not very diftant) the Conflitutions of the Scots Boroughs will be in a

ate

Rate

frage

fome

bufin

unha

well-

woul

dimi

alter

apt t

fenta

exter

that

thefe

and i

rsvs

coun

And

may

done

does,

yet t

whol

repre

whol

that i

for 11

their

twen

two

lenta

of o

The

that

as th

four

draw

found

fense

In

T

tate for receiving any real improvement. The right of suffrage in them, though not rendered universal, might then be somewhat more widely diffused; and that power in the whole business of these boroughs, shared, in their turn, by a number, which, being now perpetually ingrossed by a few, has unhappily given so much offence. A just, temperate, and well-timed alteration in the constitutions of these boroughs would (it has been supposed) render them more quiet without dininishing the necessary, and just instructed for the Crown, or

altering the conflitution of the kingdom.

Though fome people, whose lively imaginations are ever upt to run before their judgments, may think, that a reprefentation of the people ought always to be proportioned to the extent of territory, to population, and riches; and conclude, that a reprefentation is good, only as it is in proportion to thefe; yet others who are determined in judging, by facts, and not imaginations, will be disposed to think, that, however representatives may be distributed over the surface of a country, that is a good representation, which produces good. And though the representatives of the people in this country, may not, at all times, have done all that might have been done, for the public benefit (for where is there one man that does, at all times, what is for his own private advantage?) yet the goodness of the constitution, of the laws, and of the whole state of the kingdom, irrefragrably proves, that their representation has, at one time with another, and upon the whole, been a good representation for the whole of the people; that in general, and in the event of things, they have afted as much for the public advantage, as men commonly do; upon the whole, for their own. What does it mafter, though one diffrict fend twenty or thirty members to Parliament, and another, only two or three; if, which is the fact, each member be a repreentative of every individual in the Kingdom, and the affairs of one part be as well taken care of as those of another? The public bufinels of Scotland is as well taken care of as that of Cornwall; that of the counties of Perth and Angus, as that of the county of Fife; and Manchetter is in a more fourishing condition than Old Sarum.

In politics as well as in mechanics, the rule for practice is drawn, not from speculation, but from those facts, which are found, upon trial, most to promote the end in view. In this fense, what does good, is good, and nothing eise. It is only

DУ

is not ie in a flate

hey are

Marein

I choice

by their

l entite

fe who

befides

ction of

but an

ody, of

hateful

ng men

govern-

of any

nds of

y mix-

ople in

by er-

ntment

under

e they

nple of

heat of

fuch a

would

y both

t: but

like

f; but

time it

publis

r inte-

and in

Iready

erence

com-

tment,

by its doing good, that we know it to be good. And that our representation is, upon the whole, good, is manifest from the good it has done to all in all ranks. However irregular it may appear, when compared with the speculations of some yet it is perfectly regular when compared with this supreme

rule, " the good of the people."

Though it were true, that the Crown has a great deal of influence in the decayed, or (as they are fometimes called) rotten boroughs; yet it does not appear, that that influence, comparing all things together, is more than it ought to be, I do not helitate to fay, that, in my humble opinion, it is in the Cities and Boroughs in general, rather less. The unmanageable spirit of the towns and boroughs in general, their force compared with that of the counties, the facility of uniting that force, and putting it in motion, are fuch as might prove dangerous to liberty, if the Crown had not a nrm hold of them either by influence or by force. Towns and boroughs, it has been observed, are naturally much inclined to republicanism, and generally enjoy a confiderable degree of liberty even in arbitrary governments; and were their effective power in the government, greater than that of the counties, it would prove dangerous, not only to the conflitution, but to general liberty. Their constitutional power in the House of Commons is, at present, sour times greater than that of the counties, as they return four times the number of members. It is true this great power of theirs is balanced by the powers of the Crown and of the Lords, and moderated, in the exercise of it by their being represented by country gentlemen; But should they chute to depute their power only to resident citizens or burghers, or to others in their interest only (the time of doing which seems to approach as their riches increase, and money loses its value, unless the qualification for representing them rifes in proportion) fuch is the for periority of their representatives . number, and so great the power of a majority in the Houle of Commons, that, in case of a general difaffection among them, though ill grounded, they might, by the full, but misguided use of their power, change, in a thort time, the government from its happy mixed form into a destructive republic.

But the danger to the conflictation is not the only danger to be apprehended from the cities and boroughs using the whole of their conflictational power in a time of disaffection,

or bl

pecu

and I

the 1

harm

wills

at va

lande

rathe

ployr

even

augm

tion o

induc

other

care o

we c

ties a

the fa

that r

the in

which

hall fo

of inf

been

govern

the co

who i

taxes,

one th

perior

fame 1

and m

mote,

ountr

If a

he de

nembe

noft c

countr

al pro

buroug

d that

from

gular

fomes

oreme

eal of

alled

ence,

to be.

t is in

nman-

their

of u.

might

hold

and

clined

ree of

effec-

coun.

ution.

n the

r than

ber of

anced

odera-

coun-

power

r inte-

their

lifica-

he fu-

at the

n cale

inded,

ower,

mix-

anger

g the

ction,

or blind zeal for liberty. There is another danger which is peculiar to the counties. As the inhabitants of the counties and towns live by one another, as they ferve each other, as the right hand the left, it is plainly their interest to live in harmony, and to promote one another's advantage. But the wills of men ever have been, and ever will be, more, or lefs, at variance with their reason and interest. Unfortunately the landed proprieter and rich trader are sometimes apt to look rather with an evil eye on each other. A difference of employments, manners, fathions, speech, place of residence, even the most minute difference, is apt to produce, or augment, a certain coldness or disaffection in the one description of subjects towards the other; and this disaffection might induce them, more, or less, to act in opposition to each other's interest, though the interest of either is best taken care of, when both, interests are equally promoted. When we confider, therefore, the conflitutional power of the cities and boroughs in the House of Commons, their force, the facility of concentrating and directing that force, and that mutual disposition, however unreasonable and absurd, of the inhabitants of the towns and counties towards each other, which has frequently expressed itself in no friendly way, we hall fee the necessity of the Crown's having a very great deal of influence in the boroughs. The towns (as hath already been observed) by gaining too great an ascendancy in the government, might very much oppress, or wholly inflave, the counties, as the town of Sparta enflaved the countrymen who inhabited its territory, because they refused to pay the taxes, which it was pleased to impose on them. And lest any one should think that the towns never would abuse their superior power, let it be remembered, that a difference of the same kind with the above-mentioned, between the landed and monied interests in France, was one principal, though remote, cause of the calamities, which have befallen that ountry.

If any change, therefore, were to be made in the flate of the decayed boroughs with regard to their power of electing members to ferve in Parliament, that which would probably most conduce to the virtue of such boroughs, and of the country at large, to general security, and of course to geneal prosperity and happiness, would be to take from these boroughs, the privilege of election, allowing them a just

compensation,

compensation, and to confer it on those countles, fif there be any fuch,) which may need morere prefentatives. If the were done, though the number of members from the comties might be still less than that from the boroughs; vet, be means of the due influence of the Crown in the boroughs, and the interest of landed proprietors in procuring themselves to be elected as representatives for them, the necessary balance of power between the landed and trading interests of the nation might be preferved with more certainty than at prefent. And if fo, fuch an alteration in the flate of our representation, it would make it more equal in respect of these two descriptions of subjects, so it would tend to prevent any disagreeable confequences, which might follow those mutual misunderstan dings and that difaffection, which might hiblist between then and of course serve to promote the prosperity of both, which lies, not in their oppoling each other, but in their harmon and co operation +.

But though I have taken the liberty of mentioning such an alteration in the state of our representation, as what might possibly conduce to the future security of subjects of all de-

feription

wort

hera

terta

he p

regul isten

IDY -

my h

om

empl

mo

freedo

in po

frienc

10

intat

pafid

Englis Matir

ther c

into co

anger

f whi

nation Wifinas Re K

which

u T

de of,

warda

noven

Jough

thou le

Were d

† The danger to be apprehended from an excels of power in the teems, compared with the power of the counties, feems to increase a proportion to the increase of the numbers in towns, and the increase of their riches. That spream, therefore, of letting lands, which serve to diminish the numbers of inhabitants in the country, and to increase these in towns, feems to deserve the most serious consideration. One would think, that by this means, the proprietors of land intended a give their natural power or force to merchants and manufacturers; has in, to part with what, in the last place, is the only means of their servicy. Independent villages being little towns, the boilding of the does not appear to be a remedy for the evil, which may justly be approhended. The strength of the country, as distinguished from that of towns, seems to lie in that chain of countains, which, even after the abolition of seudal obligations, made the interest of the lar ded proprie tor, the tenant, and subtemant or cortager, in some measure the same, and which bourd them together by a fort of friendship.

Befides taking from the decayed horoughs the privilege of election the manner above mentioned, there are other two things, which form have a tendency to prevent the power of the towns from becoming a horbitant, and to maintain the balance of power between them and the counties. The one is the letting of lands in fuch a manner that the popular may refide in the country rather than in towns; the other is the rating the qualification for being a reprefentative of the boroughs. By a former of these means, the natural power or force of the country we be increased; and by the latter, their power in Parlianent prevent

from being diminished.

f there

If this

coup-

vet, b

hs, and

lves to

ance of

nation

. And

ion, a

recable

derftag.

n them,

which

armon

fuch an

t might

all de

iption

er in th

incres

ich ferra o incresse on. Cas

tended 1

rers; that

their feet of them be approhat of the

after !

d propri

afpre t

election i

coming

m and

e raising

preven

ntry week

ncreafe in

deferi

emptions; yet I mention it with the utmost diffidence and efitation. An alteration apparently just, laudable, and usefal. may, in its remote confequences, be productive of the worlt effects; the present time is not the proper time for any heration, though certainly good; and though certain alterrions, without affecting the conflictution, might both fatisfy ertain individuals, and tend to preferve general fecurity; yet the present representation, with what to some may appear irrularities and defects, answers for the present time, all the intentions of a representation of the people, fully as well as my other that can be devised. On all these accounts, it is my humble, but decided opinion, that if the men of this buntry intend, not to gratify any private paffion, but to momote the general welfare, they will not, at present, atmpt any alteration whatever, in the state of the representation; and that, when they do it, they will proceed with the smolt caution and deliberation, and with that humility and freedom from prejudice, which are as necessary for improving in politics, or the art of governing men, as in all other kiences and arts.

I shall conclude these restexions on the state of our representation with two quotations from Mr De Losme's treatise on the constitution of England, which merit the most serious massistance. "There is a number of circumstances in the English Government, which those persons who with for spemative ameliorations, such as Parliamentary reform, or other changes of a like kind, do not perhaps think of taking into consideration. If so, they are, in their proceedings, in tanger of meddling with a number of strings, the existence of which they do not suspect. While they only mean resornation and improvement they are in danger of removing the wissian on which the existence of the sabric depends, or, the King Nisus's daughter, of cutting off the fatal hair with which the fate of the city is connected;"

"Temporary prepoffessions of the people may be made use of, to make them concur in doing what will prove afterwards the ruin of their own liberty. Plans of apparent impovement in the Constitution, forwarded by men, who, sough with good intentions, shall proceed without a due moveledge of the true principles and foundation of government may produce effects quite contrary to those which were designed, and in reality prepare its ruin." R. T.

† P. 471. | † P. 496.

I B E BUT Sime Labela List of the

th

20 bi

tic ho in

T

pl tu

de

ČO

11

ju

ne

m

de

tr

pi

th ca fp

LETTER XXVIL

Of Phylical, and Natural Liberty, and of Necessity.

COUNTRYMEN,

IBERTY may be divided into fix different kinds, phyfical, natural, political, civil, and religious liberty, and the liberty of the prefs: And on each of these I shall

offer a few humble observations

It might be shewn, that that man enjoye, in perfection, all the liberty that is competent to his nature, who is in that condition and fituation, and acts in that manner, which are fulfable to the whole of his nature; and that this liberty wholly coincides with what is right and good, both in the physical and moral fenses of the terms. But for this, there is

Smil's

not here room.

As men uniformly act from motives either of an external, or internal kind, their acting to is as natural to them, or a necessary, as their acting itself. But to say, that the will is not free, because it determines from motives, is the fame with faying, that any of our senses, or intellectual power, is not free, because none of them can act without some objed: For inftance, that the feofe of fight is not free, because the eye cannot fee without femething to be feen; or that the memory is not free, because it cannot recollect without something to be remembered. If the will were deftitute of motives, it would be free in a manner analogous to that, in which a palfied limb is free; it would not act or determine at all, it would be stopped or restrained from acting i. e. it would not be free. To deprive men of all motives of action, would be to turn them into the condition of fiones, or other inert matter, in fo far as relates to volition and action; but this would not make them free; for it is allowed by all, that fuch matter is not free, but perpetually under netherefore,

therefore, are capable of being free, they mult be free only

in acting from motives.

b phy-

iberty,

I Thall

ection,

in that

ch are

liberty

in the

bere is

ternal.

will is fame

owers, ne obbe.

n; or ollett

e def-

ous te

or de-

ves of

ones,

d ac-

ed by

r ne-

men,

fore,

OF M

They are, indeed, placed amidft a great multiplicity of various objects, which make impressions on the understanding and heart, or which are means of perception and feeling; but still they may be free. When a person acts, or remains at reft, willingly, frontancoully, or according to his inclination, or choice, he is faid to be free. This is the simplest notion of liberty, and is what all men are confeious of enjoying, when they are under no pyfical compulsion, or restraint, This may be called physical liberty, because it belongs to the physical nature of man, as diftinguished from his moral pa-And it is this liberty, on power of acting, or remainture. ing at relt, according to choice, or inclination, together with the knowledge of our duty, which makes us antwerable that that man en for our conduct.

Our ideas of cause and effect, or of the Divine decrees, do not alter the nature of our actions. For as one object whatever we think will be white, and another black, to according to that mature which Lod hath given us, one action will be good, or have merit, and another evil, or have demerit; we are fo formed as to approve of some actions, and dilapprove of others. Neither do thole ideas prevent, or any now after, the natural confequences of actions: For fill, according to the conflitution of nature, whatever may be our opinions, actions morally good will tend to happinels, and actions morally evil, to milery. Our ideas of actions frequently change, but the nature and confequences of them

ever remain the fame.

The very fame necessity, induencing the actions of men, (supposing it to exist) if it justifies the commission of crimes, justifies allo the punishment of them; because, according to such necessity, neither of them can be helped; and if a man mutt be vicious, he muit also be unhappy, or miferable. So long a men retain their nature, they will diftinguish between a murderer, or affaffin, and the weapon, with which he perpetrates his crime; and they will feel a difference between piety and virtue, and impiety and vice. Seeing, therefore, that a necessity, supposed to influence the actions of men, can neither change the nature, . nor prevent, or, in any respect, alter, the natural consequences of their actions, such accessity, whether true, or falle, is not applicable to practice,

that is, it is, with regard to us, "as if it were not true †." Such necessity supposes, that we think, believe, will, act, enjoy, and suffer, in a way and measure exactly according to the efficiency of certain unavoidable, irresistable causes. If true, therefore, the belief of it cannot make the least alteration in human concerns: But If falle, the belief of it would

be productive of the worlt effects.

Wintever connexion there may be between causes and effects, or between the Divine Decrees and what comes to pass, there is an absurity in supposing God to be the author of evil. For, prior to the formation of all things, that is, at the time, if I may be allowed the expression, when he made his decrees, or arranged his works so that, according to this supposition, evil was necessarily to arise from them, as he had neither equal, nor superior, nothing, no being, to resist his willy or to deviate from it, he could have had no temptation to evil; and even a man would not do evil without some temptation. The origin of evil is in the mutability of the creatures. But why he hath made them mutable, must perhaps, be ever as great a mystery to men in the present state, as why he hath made them at all.

Physical liberty has been defined above to be the power of acting, or remaining at rest, according to inclination, or choice. But this liberty is not only limited by the weakness of mankind, it is also abridged and directed by conscience; and the just abridgement and direction of it by this faculty, is notural liberty. This species of liberty is of a moral nature, as it relates to the morals, or lives, of men; but it is with propriety called natural liberty, because it is the only liberty permitted us by God, the author of our, and every other nature, and by conscience, his law and vicegerent in the breasts of men; because it is the only liberty consistent with the moral constitution of our nature, and with the happiness of mankind considered as a certain species of creatures.

existing in nature, or among the works of God.

Physical liberty is what a man can do; natural liberty, what he ought to do. To have power to behave in a rude, injurious, impious, or vicious manner, is not natural liberty; but the use of such a power, whether in society or out of it, and in every stage of it, is licentiousness, and the effect either of rudeness, or corruption. Natural liberty is no other

district at a 2 + Butle 's Analogy, p. 110.

Mation is right times, ther ki purns, fubie& tion of flate, and na right. liberty really of his whole cal. c denon ral lib

ther th

ante in active val list conflict ment rightla right fewer because the what ough

fcienc

sity t

thind ture, Comment ral a calle kind

ther than the power, authority, or right, of doing in all fis ulations, what is fuitable to our nature and condition, what is right, or morally good. This liberty belongs to men at all times, and in all fituations. It is the exact measure of all on ther kinds of liberty, except that which is physical; and, by turns, affumes the name of any of them, according to the subject of conversation. For instance, a certain just distribution of political power among the conflituent parts of any flate, is political liberty, confidered in relation to the flatey and natural liberty, confidered in relation to what is morally right. Out of fociety, indeed, a person has a right to more liberty, than he has a right to in it (though he must always really enjoy lefs) but after furrendering to fociety, that porti of his natural liberty, which is necessary for the good of the whole, what remains behnd, though fometimes called political cal, civil, or religious liberty, may fill, with propriety, be denominated natural. because it is just that part of his natual liberty, which remains, and which is allowed him by conscience, the only part of his nature, that has a natural authois man than 9 363d volw rity to rule him +.

The Best Backy t it is an impropriety in language, and leads to error, to denomisate the power of doing what one chooses, whether right, or wrong, saural diberty. Such liberty men fometimes indulge themielves in, of pecially in the favage stace, which has fometimes been called the Juje of esters but the proper name of such industrance is heralestical. Material is that only, which is agreeable to the models of our nature, entidered as a conflication, or fyftem of various parts under the governent of conscience; or which is permitted us by conscience, when it is rightly informed. In the favage flate, or out of civil fociety, men have a righteto more liberty, than under civil government, because they have

ne +."

, act.

ing to

. If

ltera.

would

d ef.

país,

of to

, at

made

this

had his

tion

-qua

rea

aps, 45

rof

or.

nefa

cet.

tya na-

is

li-

0-

in ent D.

es. y.

€,

7+

æ .

.

fewer duties; but they have less enjoyment of liberty, and less tecurity, because they are aloud perpetually, in some way, impeded and confirmed by others.

But whether in civil society, or out of it, and in every fituation, named teral liberty confifts only in the power of leading a right life. Ot, to use the words of Montesquinu, " is can consult only in the power of doing what we sughe to will, and in not being confirmed to do what we ought not to will." Spirit of Laws, & 11. 4 3. Or, according to Sir W. Biackstore, " it confilts properly in a power of acting as one thinks fit, without any reftraint or controll, except by the law of ma-ture," that is, by the law of God and of conficience. Blackfloor's Comment. v. 1. p. 125. And as the just liberty of men in all focieties, is measured by this law, their liberty in these, may be called by one general appellation, natural liberty; though, for the fake of distinction, it is called political, civil, or religious liberty, &c. according to the specific kind of it.

. It may not perhaps, be improper, here to observe, that as no man, though out of society, has a right to do wrong, neither has he in society, a right to that share of political power which may enable him to do wrong, because political society is instituted chiefly for security; and that never can be enjoyed, when any member of it has a power of injuring a nother.

Men of all characters, who are under no physical computation, or restraint, are equally free in the physical sense of the term liberty. They are equally free also in the natural sense of its for conscience, the ruling part of their nature, prescribes only what is right, as the rule of duty to all. They differ only as to their characters; and the best always enjoy most that liberty which is natural, moral, and conducive to happiness.

I was going to observe, that there is an infinite absurding in a person's leaving the known rule of duty and happiness, to regulate his conduct by inscrutable decrees, or by a chain of causes, of which he can see neither the beginning, the mid-

dle, nor the end.

The use of abstract terms frequently makes men the dupes of their own abstractions. Without using such terms, let us consider the state of man, as it is. It is simply this. God hath instructed us in the knowledge of our duty, by that nature which he hath given us, by our experience of his providence, and by his word. He hath, by the same means, tald us what will be the consequences of doing, or of neglecting and violating, our duty. And he leaves us to act as we shall choose, and to reap the fruit of our even way.

The most imperious of all forts of necessity is, that we must be good in order to be happy. The known, the certain, the unalterable decree of God is, that right conduct, is the fole means of happiness, and that wrong conduct tends to misery. This is the condition of man; and neither his reasonings, nor his efforts, can, in the smallest degree, after it.

they of the standard out the standard of a standard to the top the standard the standard out to the standard out the standard out the standard out the standard out to the standard out to

Port of the gallet as the grant services of the first seller

elignment of the state of the s

Mary Live

Co

TN

fo

ral int

confid

vidual

perfon

The

the in

party.

felves:

ma b

of cor

power

Th

rights

corpor

of a

power

ed, ar

politic

this ec

or the

which

fociety

Nov

Nation

equal i

and th

nie itt

The p

qually each or rights

division

Approximation of the street of

and the second of the second LETTER XXVIII. Commence of seal of the last of the seal of the last

Of Political Liberty.

COUNTRYMEN. " 1 - Soul A

at as

rong.

itical

itical an be

ng »

npul

f the

feafe

pre-

enjoy

ve to

rdity

18, 10

in of

mid-

2. 图题 dupes

let us

God

at na-

provi-

told

cting

: thall

CALLED BY it we

rtain,

is the

de to

s reater it.

T

y Su

10 300

PHONE 10000

TER

IN all focieties, there is a general, and individual, and fometimes a corporation or partial, interest. The geneal interest of any fociety is the interest of all its members confidered collectively, or as forming one body. The indiidual interest of men is their interest considered as single persons, and consists in their absolute, or individual rightst. The interest of a party or corporation of men in fociety is the intered of any party or description of men, who, as a party or corporation, may have an interest peculiar to themfelves: fuch as the rights of a borough, of any corporation is a borough, or of the Lords and Commons. The interest of corporations or parties of men in fociety confitts in their powers and privileges.

The end of political fociety is the prefervation of the rights of its members; and, therefore, all focieties, and all orporations and individuals in fociety, ought to be polleffed of a power fufficient to preserve their rights. When the powers of those different parts, into which a society is divided, are fuch as enable each to preferve its own rights, the political power of that fociety is equally divided; and, from this equal distribution of political power, arises political liberty, or the innocent use of all those public powers and privileges, which belong to the different classes of men, who compole the

ociety or flate.

Now such is the political power and liberty of the British Nation. In that nation, the political power is divided in an equal manner, among the different parts which compole its and the effect of this equal division is, that every part can afe its own rights without injuring the rights of any other. The political power, or the power of the whole state, is equally divided among the King, Lords, and Commons, for each of these component parts of the state may use its own lights without injuring those of another; and in this equal division of power confilts the political equality of British subjeds, and not in every description of men, or every individuon analysis only to their contracts, accommon to all

⁺ See absolute rights, Letter 7.

al, having just as much absolute power in the direction of

than

num tive

then Lord their great

requir

order

enter

ong vis

able

er car

d be

Tho

Pers

lince

duct

dividu

It ma

ing fo

t and

omuni ofder

fines to

gh,

mann

ch; yet

dition

e of f

l, by

nexpre

sublic m

ace bo

ed tha

public affairs, as every other.

The intention of this distribution of power, proportioned to the different parts, is to preferve to every individual, what belongs to him as an individual; and never was there any me tion, in which that intention was fulfilled in so high a degree, as in Britain. All intelligent and reflecting men must be convinced, that fuch a nation, as ours, cannot be governed well without a permanent chief magistrate of very great power that is, without a king, whatever may be his stile or title All know, that, in every country, where there are means of fpending and acquiring, there will always be a certain deferin tion of men that are very rich and powerful, that is, a not lity, and another description of men who have less riches an power, that is, a commonalty. Whatever name we give to thefe men, fuch men with fuch riches and power, will, in a certain degree, exist in every nation. Now, by the Brail Constitution, these different descriptions of men have the jul extent of their power marked out to them; and, beyond the conflitutional limits, they have no liberty, nor power, to put

The King or chief magistrate requires a very great pour to maintain both his own prerogative and the privileges of Subjects. His office may be in danger of being either mati lated, or destroyed, sometimes, perhaps, by a combination of the great men, and, at other times, by the caprice or hi mour of the lower ranks; and yet it is a necettary office; therefore, his share of political power, that is, his prero tive and influence, must be fo great as to formia defence gainst all the danger, to which his office may be expe The property and honours of the nobility are hable to enand yet their office in the flate is also necessary; and, the fore, they also require a considerable power to defend the office, their property, and honours. As individuals, inde they have much less power than the King, though much m than an individual commoner; but the aggregate of th power, aided, as may be requifite, at one time, by power of the Crown, and, at another, by that of the Co mons, not only preferves their own rights and privileges, balances the powers of the other two conflituent parts of the flate. The rest of the nation have their representatives Parliament; and though a private individual commoner has power immensely less than that of the Crown, and much less than

fuch

than that of an individual among the Lords; yet their numbers and riches make up the deficiency; and their collective power, being lodged in their representatives, enables them both to balance the powers of the Crown and of the Lords, and to maintain their own rights. As a body, by their wealth and numbers, their absolute power is extremely ment; and as they have the command of the national purfet heir relative po er in the flate, that is, their political power; equires a very great prerogative and influence in the Crown; deonsiderable privileges of a peculiar kind in the Lords, in der that their very great power may be balanced, and prented from becoming dangerous. I he balance of power aindividuals, among nations, and among the different ats which compole nations, has place, when none of them able to injure another: And this balance is both the proresule and support of general liberty, or of justice been nation and nation, between corporation and corporation, d between individual and individual.

Those who are acquainted with the British Constitution, of are versant in politics, know, that the different political overs in Britain are nicely balanced; that the result of this slunce is, that all the parts of the state enjoy political liberty; at that this liberty is productive of civil liberty, that is, reductive of the secure enjoyment of what belongs to each

dividual in his private capacity.

on al

oned

what

y 111-

grec,

e com

well

DWel-

title

ans of

nobi-

rive to

, in a

he jus

nd the

o just

of his

instin

or he

2140

rerog

iened

dothi

chru

of the

by

ges, I

s of t

ntives

ner has

huch les

It may, perhaps, be faid, that those who have no right of ig for a member of Parliament, have no political liberty. this is a millake. They have the liberty, in every innot and honest way, of observing all public affires, and of municating to others their observations, of meeting to nider of them, and of making known their fentiments and es to the King, or to either house of Parliament. And igh, perhaps, some alterations may be made, relative to manner in which the representatives of the people decome by yet those of the Commons, wire have no right to vota cting a representative, a privilege which subjects in their edition never at any time enjoyed, they have still a very great re of political liberty in the liberty of the prefs. The public by means of the just use of this liberty, is easily and texpressed; and that mind, not the mind of a party, but public mind, ever has had, and ever will have very much inace both on the legislative and executive powers. Were

22 23

fuch a privilege as the liberty of the press enjoyed in Turkey according to Mr De Lolme, "a would immediately into duce a degree of liberty" into the most despotic government of that country. But in ours, in which each part of the state is free, and all the people equally represented, though part only choose their representatives, it is, with other case productive of full civil or private liberty to all.

In this manner, do the different parts of the British Ma on balance each other; and thus does their mutual balance give to each individual the secure enjoyment of what his

It is an error to suppose, what, from contemplating the mutual balance of parts, one, at first sight, may be again do, and what has unjustly been affirmed, that the powers the different parts destroy each other, or that their mumbalance puts a stop to public business; for we see, by a fact, that neither is the case. The truth is, all the indirate als in the different parts are interested that public business and interest of the public business of acon, oils the wheels of the public machine. In a company merchants no partner surely will neglect or oppose their comon business and interest, because he knows, that no apparence can injure him. Thus it is in the British Gore ment.

In the natural body, the ear, the eye, and the tong have not the same offices with the head, or the hand; yet the members enjoy equal liberty: And in the body post hough different individuals, according to their riches, mand use, are vested with different powers, and perform derent offices: yet in a well constituted state, such as Breevery subject enjoys the liberty which belongs to him a private individual; and the enjoyment of this is the deather object, the end of all public offices, forms, and laws all political or civil society.

. .

LETT

Co

cheir fecure fech a vernou keing und th

secoffa

Nov

28

ion or

himfeli hions;

wate

Reli

rding

o cfta

blia

hongh

Mo

pe

the (

ined h

ager. which (

not in cannul t

All age ft

LETTER XXIX.

Of Civil, and Religious Liberty.

COUNTRYMEN.

Turkey ly intro

ernme t of the

hough

ilh Nu

balan

at his

ting t

e apt

owen

r mut

indi

bufu

er which

of s

mpany

heir c

ng of

Ga

e ton d; 19

y ps es, It

um

s Bri

him

e d

laws

R. T

ETT

by

r cal

VIVIL liberty is that which belongs to the members of any political, or civil, fociety, confidered merely in beir individual, or private, capacity t. It confitts in the feure enjoyment of all a person's absolute rights, except ich a portion of them, as must be surrendered to the gosours in that fociety, to which he belongs; fuch as the ng judge (except in cases of necessity) in his own cause, that portion of his property and fervice, which may be ceffary for the common good.

Now in a country, in which every man knows that, in fa as is in the power of laws, his person, property, reputanor good name, are fecure; in which he enjoys themhout fear of being injured in them; in which he finds infelf mafter of all his innocent thoughts, words, and acions: it is unnecessary to spend time in shewing, that civil or calle with mor or or

rate liberty is enjoyed by all.

Religious liberty confifts in freedom to worthip Gud ac-

rding to Conscience.

All nations, almost, emerged, in any degree, from the fage state, and even most favage nations, perhaps, have had established religion; and the advantages derived from and an establishment, are manifest. The Ifraelites had an blishment of this kind, instituted by God himself; and, ough the Christian dispensation differs in many things from e Mosaic; yet it will be difficult to shew, why any Chrispeople ought not to have an established religion as well the Children of Ifrael. Indeed our Saviour himfelf effab. ed his own Church; and though he did not actually enit; yet he gave the ministers of it a right to a mainten-Bome of them, it is true, declined using this right which Christ had conferred, choosing rather to work with their hands than be burthensome to the churches; but what they did. ot in consequence of any command, but of choice, did not annul the grant which he had made, He did not endow his church; for, confidered merely as a man, this was not in his power :

4 See p. 44, and 45.

power; for, though rich, yet, for our fake, he had been poor; and though Lord of all, yet he never had recours the property of any man, either for the Supply of his private wants, or for promoting the purpoles of his mile The reason why he did not endow his church, was p the fame why he did not fuddenly, or at once, abolif Molaie dispensation. He doubtless knew, that the min men are not able to endure a fudden change in their reli though for the better; and, therefore, that difpenfation not immediately abolished, but suffered to wax old and and pass away, in proportion as the Gospel dispensation embraced. And as the temporalities of the Jewish el were in the possession of the prices and Levites, to has dowed his church by appointing any additional part of property of the Jews for a maintenance to his mini would have been rendering the burdens of that people, dy very heavy, altogether intolerable; it would have putting new wine into old bottles, and would have proved in fence, a flumbling block, to those who were to embe Christianity. But our Lord ordained, that they which to the Gofpel, should live of the Gofpel +.

Every feet of diffenters establish for themselves, a chu when they affociate together for the effablishment and tenance of the worthip of God according to their partie opinions. Indeed it is impossible to avoid a religious el liftment, if we have any religion at all amongst us, and if opinions concerning the true religion are not as numerous a individul families. For what is a religious establishment an appointment of clergy, their duties, and maintena by men agreed in the belief of the same religious creed, bound to defray the common expence of their worthin? difference between the religious effablishments of differ and those which are national (both of them confidered mer as establishments) is, that the expence of the former fort is frayed by diffenters themselves, that of the latter by the pr lie. And this certainly is but reasonable; for, whater fome may think, or fay, a national established church in various ways, a national bleffing; and, if it be a pu advantage, it is but right, that the public should support it

to cui

A national establishment of a religious kind, by renders the clergy belonging to it independent, has a great tenders

^{+ 1} Cor. iz. 14. Matth. x. 10. Gal. vi. 6. 1 Tim. iii. 17.

course

his

mil

ofic

min reli

tio

ion

bay

of

ini

e, al ve 1 d and

embi

chur

rout

nt ena d. ? 1

fen

me t ie ne pu

in, pub

ort IL denn

dener

7.

d m rtie

of only to preferve purity of doctrine, and impartiality in line, in the church, but to prevent fedition and faction fiste. Its clergy having a certain maintenance which ders them independent both of the government and of any mediators in cases of public misunderstandings and differer on the government or on the subject. " The clergy (of medicut) who are numerous, and, as a body, Stable, have hitherto preferved a kind of ariftocrati mee in the very democratical government of the state; hich has happily operated as a check on the overbearing int of republicanism"." It is pleasant enough to hear the deulous clamour of fome against the clerg 's having any hing to do in political or civil affairs, as if they, like other injects, were not entitled to the privileges of subjects, or as their being clergymen deprived them of the rights of free-Any description of men may be possessed of an exitant powers but that furely is not a region why they fold not be allowed the use of a just power, in things which, men, most nearly concern them. It is well known, that, serly in Europe, the rigour of barbarous laws and manm, was mitigated by the clergy: Bishop Langton was the lef instrument in procuring Magna Charta: And the destim of Turkey is limited by its religion, that is, by as any?

An established church is a support to religion in general; hich, if lest to fashion, caprice, or the humour of men, wald, like antiquated manners, or an old hypothesis, be relected, despited, or, perhaps, capriciously resumed by mafter long intervals of disufe. At any rate, it would ecorrupted, and decline. But what supports true religion, supports morality; and morality is the riches, the strength, the happiness of nations. It is true the Christian religion is to continue always, even unto the end of the stinuance, like that of other things, will confiantly de d, under the care of its great author, on the due ofe of teans; and an indispensible means of its preservation is a utional religious establishment. In some places of America, face they were disjoined from Britain, all the inhabitants, without the interference of government, have been left to shoots ved alie, that the foot of XI this fire the choofe

Morfe's Geography, p. 219.

choose and pay their own clergy; but the decline of re in that quarter of the world, if we may judge by the rience of a dozen of years, is no proof, that the neglect national established religion is among the means of impr

in picty and virtuet.

One great advantage, amongst others, of a national acous establishment, is, that it not only gives personness found doctrines, and good principles, but facilitates the munication, and promotes the practice, of them. No will such an establishment obstruct the progress of knowled whilst we have the liberty of the press; nor eventually event the triumph of truth over error. The inhabitant this issued for ever to have had a religion established supported by the public; and yet its religion has frequent

been changed for the better.

Every man has his creed or confession of faith, which his religious opinious. A system of religious truth fully as useful in religion, as a system of general facts in department of philosophy; and, though the terms of munious, in unitation of the practice of the primitive faiting, might, perhaps, with confiderable advantage, in duced to a much smaller number; yet the most speedy effectual way of instructing the young, or other novuers, lay before them a system or collection of religious true. This is possting out to the traveller, the way, from which without such direction, he would most certainly wander, will be allowed, that any system of supposed truths may be in it some mixture of error; but this is only allowing that are fallible. But even those who write against systems did in their very writings, a system, without being aware of the

relbyt elpecti ractice

reali

f mor

the fome places of America, government to far interpoles as bligg the fabjects to meintain a Christien minister of fome perfusion other. In Khode Island, indeed, there is not only no religion a blished by the government, but "no contract formed by the minister by the people is valid in law; a pecularity which diffinguishes it has all other protoficat contracts." But in that state, chough, "in places, public worthing be attended with punchusity and propriety, in others, "they make the Sabbath a day of religing and festivity; an others, they often wor day alike, having no place of meeting is ligious worthin." It is there that one may find "a confiderable man of perform who can be reduced to no particular description, but are religion should be subtingerimm." March's Geography, p. 200. It is inconferved also, that the subjective this state are the most immuniperhaps, of all the Americans.

durity of their conduct. If we are to banish fystems, we had, in any way whatever, communicate our ideas. If do, we shall be just where we are; and every man will have them or creed both in philosophy and religion. Even man would have his own opinion, that is, bis lystem, or man would have his own opinion, that is, bis lystem, or med. Did not Mr. Gadwin, might I ask, did he not research that in publishing his writings, he published to the odd a very peculiar lystem of public and private conduct? The thing which seems to be with reason complained of, is a creeds or systems, but the requiring the belief of names articles (some of them comparatively unimportant and line) as the condition of Christian communion. Here we seem to have departed from the practice of the Aposiles and primitive Christians, and our departure has been the cause much diffention and schism.

th the doctrines and precepts of religion are unalterably Whed by God, through means of revelation. But it is known, that there are forme things with regard to forms, discipline, for which we have no express and precise rule feripture, no rule, except that general one, that all things se decently and in order. Men, therefore, are, in fach s, left to their own judgments and consciences. Acgly, in some countries, they have made choice of an copal form, and, in others, of a prefbyterial, according bey judged the one to be more fuitable than the other to form of their civil government . Both episcopals and subyterians thought themselves justified in adopting their dive forms of church government, the fermer, by the flice of the Apostles, the latter, by the precept of our mour +. Scotland may appear an exception to the above dervation. But the presbyterial form of her church was g to circumstances. The civil government of that counmy, was, at the time of the reformation, not in name, but reality, an aristocratic republic; the chief reformers amg the clergy were educated in republican principles a neva, and several causes then contributed to the abolition more than error. But, though it feems to be, in general, jult opinion, that a hierarchy is more favourable to monarral government, than a parity among the clergy; yet no erion will fay, that the clergy of the church of England

[!] Mofheim's Church Hiftory. | Montesquien's Spirit of Laws.

are more attached to our present government, than those her fifter church. Neither is there any more " abfurdi in having an established form of church government in part of the illand, different from that which is in other, than in a parent's ruling two children of diffe ages and tempers in two different ways, each adapted to respective child. But in a nation, as well as in a family, for a difference of government may contribute to the impr ment and welfare of the individual as well as to the peace of

But though, on various accounts, it is the duty and inrest of a nation, to have a religious establishment; yet it may appear to fome to be their duty in point of confcience, to diffent from that establishment, and to let up a form of son thip for themselves; though, by so doing, they may a deviate farer from the true religion. And all who ch have, in this country, a liberty of diffenting, and worship God according to their consciences, provided there be nothing in their mode of worship injurious to others. This is religi ous liberty, or, as it has fometimes been called, liberty of conscience: And that it is, in this country, fully enjoyed by all, is known and undeniable. R. T.

the salary often heldered action

Server all the control of the Control of

Assirance Mark to Carrie

LETTER XXX.

Of the Liberty of the Prefs. condining designs by

COUNTRYMEN,

Marie Sales

Offical Liberty and that which is civil, have been fone times confounded. They may be thus diftinguised Political liberty is the power of a member of civil fociety with regard to public affairs; civil liberty, his power with regard to what is exclusively his own. The liberty of the prefs. though here confidered by itself, is a part of our political !berty; and a part which is common to all ranks of subjects It confills in every Subject's being free to publift bis thoughts.

If the publication of thoughts be injurious, the injurious person is certainly bound to repair the inju." : And whether he has been really injurious, and what fort reparation be

heing Great

of th

bod hert

geard

sheir

Th

at it i

of ma

provi

minu

crease

onfine

is ton

gree, than

in an

rally

ly a c

Menti

lie ac

or m

iecta

loos

tempr

bridg

. 1

100

200

5 2- 1 1/17

night to make, is decided by a jury of his peers. And now, one would imagine, that, by the event of state trials for these seeing partial to the Crowu, must be vanished. Alfred the Great used to say, that Englishmen ought to be as free as thought. By obtaining the liberty of the press, every subject of the British Government has become what this great and sood king thought Englishmen ought to be. For, by this berty, the just use of which, is, in a great measure, the guardian of all our other liberties, all their actions are as free as conscience, the ruling part of their nature, permits any of their thoughts to be.

The liberty of the press is doubtless a very great privilege, as it is a means of preventing, or sorrecting public abuses; of maintaining our other privileges and rights; and of improving our condition in every respect. If ever we come to lose it, it will probably be either by a change of government from its present mixed form to republic; or by a too great diminution of the power of the Crawn, or by a too great directed of that of the subject; or, perhaps, by the licentiques of the press, which possibly may become such as to prove

ats tomb

nge

d inte

ice, to

of many y andy choose.

erty of

yed by

T.

140

LEAST THE

Some.

uifed

ociety

ich re-

prela

ical li-

bjech

urious

hether

on he ought

5 7230

The liberty of the press may be enjoyed in a greater degree, in a government so constituted, and so stable, is ours, than it can be in any other species of kingly government, or in any fort of republic. In our government, all that is generally to be apprehended to government from the press, is only a change of ministry, should the nation, by means of publications, be convinced, that such a change would be of publics devantage. But, in all republies, the chief magistrate, or magistrates, are but a little raised above many of the subjects; the fatyr and invective of publications make them jealing of their power, which they hold by a very precarious tenere; and their sealously constantly stimulates them to abridge, or wholly restrain, the liberty of the press.

LETTER

minute is a to the at a tringer of the od clinating a city

De Lolme on the Conflication of England, p. 435, 426, &c.

LETTER XXXI.

A Bearing leaves and the new remarks to be the

And i

ler t

aloe, his Jea

ient p

rester ride. Parlian

dat, dat, dat, different dates

inft.

mmor

libe

efent o

ad app

to a

t the

SA th

Objections against our Liberty obviated

COUNTRYMEN.

HE legal difabilities of diffenters, certain rare inflances of partiality in the administration of justice in some in ferior courts, the tediousness of lawfuits, and possibly some other inconfiderable things, may be again urged, as they have been against that liberty which we have the happiness to enjoy Dean Swift, whose words have been already quoted", alfigns the very best reasons for the legal disabilities of differ ters. Inflances of partiality in the administration of justice are very rare, and these in things of rather an inconsiderable kind; and there is every reason to believe, that they will be ffill more rare. Wholly to prevent them is, perhaps, impelfible, whilst men remain imperfect. Parliament, by a hee act, has enabled the fubject in Scotland (for English fubjects enjoyed fuch a privilege long before) to recover small debut by a very speedy and cheap process. And let me ask any person of candour and sufficient information, whether he does not believe, that the fame legislature will, by fimilar acts, abridge, if necessary, all other law fuits, as far as the liberty of the fubject will permit?

The institution of courts for the easy and speedy recovery of small debts, both in England and Scotland, is doubtlest a very great acquisition, especially to the poor of these kingdoms. Such courts, by a summary process, not only prevent litigious persons of equal fortunes from hurting one another; but, by deciding finally, and at a small expence, pecualar causes of small value, they prevent a rich man from gaining any undue advantage over a poor, by appealing to higher

courts.

One of these courts was established in London, so early as the reign of Henry the eighth; and as the value of money has fallen much since that time, such courts might, perhaps, be now permitted to decide in causes of much greater value. But, for various reasons, judge Blackstone, prefers to these courts, a fort of courts, which were used in England, in the Saxon times; and in which a jury of twelve freeholden gave

me judgment in causes similar to those, now decided in our sends, and of which he describes the plan, was instituted in be county of Middlefex, by flatute 23. Geo. II. c. 23. And it is only of this court that he fays, " this is a plan enly agreeable to the conflitution and genius of the nation ; ulated to prevent a multitude of vexatious actions in the perior courts, and at the fame time to give honest creditors apportunity of recovering small fums; which now they are mently deterred from by the expence of a fuit at law . a which, in fhort, wants only to be generally known, in

der to its universal reception "."

4.04

this

PERSONAL PROPERTY.

11 04

ne in-

other

been,

njoy.

al-

uffice

ill be

inpol

a late

bices

debts

k any

e does

ets, s.

erty of

COVERY

tleft a

king-

revest

other:

uniarr

ainin

higher

arly as

money

erhaps,

value.

ers to

ng land. holders

gave

This court, which proceeds in the decision of causes of small ine, by a jury of twelve freeholders, is not, according to bilearned writer, "an innovation, but a revival of an anint practice of the Saxons;" and it may be extended to ecounty of the island, and, perhaps, to causes of much ater value, than those, in which it is now entitled to de-None, however, who reflect with impartiality on what blament has almost uniformly been doing for the good of the ince the conquett, will make any doubt, that they Ifill employ themselves, as may be requisite, in doing t, indeed, they have already very nearly compleated, in ng fuch acts as may facilitate, and accelerate, the impardistribution of justice; and prevent vexatious, tedious, d expensive law fuits.

But whatever may be in any of those few things, urged inft our liberty, all that can be faid of them is, that they simple grievances which exist among many and great pries. They are but a few imperfect leaves amidft that luriancy of fruit; which hangs on our tree of liberty. They not, by any means, objections to the constitution of the dom, confidered as composed of a King, Lords, and mons. For, this conflictution folely has given birth to liberty, nourished it during ages, and brought it to its feat degree of maturity. The constitution is the tried, approved means or inftrument of producing our liberty: to abolish it would be to destroy the means in order to the end. Should Britons ever attempt it, they will rethe fable of the boy, whose goose laid golden eggs, but

Blackstone's Commentaries, v. 3. p. 83.

who killed her, that he might have the whole of the once.

" For a nation to love liberty," faith Mr. Paine, que the words of the Marquis de la Fayette, " it is ful that the knows it; and to be free, it is fufficient that wills it ."

As the love of liberty is natural to individuals, it mult natural to nations, which are composed of individuals; and the different members of any nation or body politic moved by one will, like the members of the natural be though now enflaved, they would the next inftant be But, in every nation, there are a thousand opinions, a il fand different interests and wills; and these differences al retard, and, in most cases, wholly prevent the production liberty. This choice bleffing has never been produced by fimple volition; but has ever coft the greatest ftruggles.

When the words, quoted above, dropped glowing from month of the Marquis de la Fayette, a nobleman in the bloom of youth, an enthulialt in the cause of liberty, the the subject of an absolute government, they had a me and grace which charmed, a brilliancy which dezuled eyes: But their novelty is gone; their fplendour is tarsil and the fenfe of them, when examined narrowly, is no as if one were to fay, for a blind man to enjoy the light, Sufficient, that be fee it; and to fee it, he need but open bis en

n be j

M 50 4

The idea entertained of our liberty by the president I tesquieu, deserves to be remarked by us, not only be his extensive and profound knowledge of laws has procured him the title of "legislator of nations +," but because he the subject of a government which was both arbitrary and rival of ours, " Their laws," faith he, " not being for one individual more than another, each confiders hi as a monarch; and, indeed, the men of this nation are me

confederates than fellow fubjectst."

After all that Mr. Paine, has faid of liberty and equal of the natural and civil rights of men, of the origin of vernments, and of conflicutions, and against monarchy mobility. I do not find, upon an accurate examination of works, that he hath, in any part of them, denied the ence of civil or private liberty amongst us, I mean that if

Rights of Men, part r. p. g. + Preface to Spirit of Lawap ! t Spirit of Laws, b. 19. c. 27. p. 418.

which confids in the fecure enjoyment of what exclusively belong to any man confidered in his individual or private capacity. And I should imagine, that most people will confider his his filence as a tacit acknowledgement from him, that we really enjoy this fort of liberty. Indeed it was not in his power to deny it; for as all men in this nation are confirms of copying it, each would have been able to detect the lie, not wany process of reasoning (for that would have been unneasons) but by a simple appeal to his own consciousness.

The chief, though not the fole, end of all government, or plitical fociety, is to place and keep every fubject, or member, in fuch a lituation, that he may use or enjoy himself and is all without injury, without moleflation, without fear. All conflitutions, laws, forms, offices, political rights and sinleges are good in proportion as they conduce to this end. This end is answered by our form of government; and, therefore, it must be a good one, though it is not like the systems

Mr. Paine and fome other late writers. an the better dates nort

th

ali

d by

he

n of erchy on of Whether equality be confidered as confifting in equality of meety, honour, power, or privilege, I cannot difinife this hief without observing, that it is perfectly incompatible in liberty; that, though easily joined in words, they never in be joined in nature; that to attempt to equalities men, is to attempt to annihilate their rights, and forge chains for Mankind.

R. T.

* See pages 87, 201, &c.

OBJEC.

OBJECTIONS AGAINST KINGLY VERNMENT OBVIATED. personal to the same and an electric transport of transporter.

the property of state and the property of the property of LETTER XXXIL

provinged did configuration and the Millakes with regard to our Government, produced by the va Sent A secret in the Senfer of Words, which is the secret in the reline and in bornillar months as frod causal the board bear

COUNTRYMEN, home which we in the party to be or the

T. T is somewhat remarkable, that the Greeks, the celebrated nation in angient times, and the English most celebrated in modern, should have called their chies giftsates kings, a name which implies, that they though office of fuch magistrates was necessary to the happines the people to

As the fame word has various fignifications, the indel word king, as applied to the prefent chief magistrate of nation, high a meaning different from what it bath, applied to the chief magistrate of any other in any age of world; or from what it had, when applied to the chief gistrate of this nation, for a long time after the conque

† The Greek word bafiless, which we translate king, fignisses the port of the people. Those kings, whom the Greeks diff ked and bes were called surannoi, tyrants, most probably because they fortified t felves in eastles or towers (turres). It is true the Greeks called a places in which their turanse lived scrappollis; but a great part of Latin language was derived from the Greek; and the word turris at one period have been used by the Greeks, and afterwards become folere. The Spartans were conflantly governed by beriditary singer they were "the avowed enemies of tyrants" Goldfmith's Hill. Greece, p. 80.

The English word king feems to be of Celvie, or ancient British to traction, and to fignify the head, i. e. the head of the people. It fill used with some variation in the pronunciation, by the descends the Celtz or original Britone in the highlands of Scotland, and is & in the compelition of names of places in the low countries; in bed which cates, it fignifies the head. See Buchanan's Hift, of Scotland

fort

alfo.

1 See

Book I.

The reason is, that the office of our king, that is, his prend office, situation, or relation to his people, is, all things conided, different from those of any other magistrate, the

me of whole office we exprels by the word king,

The prefent king of this country, confidered as a king, dien as much from all other kings that either do, or ever did, sign in any other (confidering them as kings) as one fpecies of living creatures, from another; and when we argue from the badnels of form kingly governments to the badnels of ours, the reasoning is no more conclusive than it would be, were we to argue, that because some animals are beasts of pry, all animals are such.

The British government is neither a pure monarchy, a pure cracy, nor a pure democracy, but a natural, and just misure of all the three. It is a political body, of which he king is the head, and every subject a member. Absomonarchies (and much more despotifus, are political which have a head, but scarcely a member. The men lo live under luch governments, are fimple, lubjects. British, except the King, are, indeed, subjects, and, in or to their own happiness, must be subjects; but every one them, having a certain just share of political power, is to in one fente, a fovereign, or a conflituent part of the politici. The government of this country is specificalerent from all other governments, whether ancient, or ern; and, therefore, we have no specific or proper name. Were a perfon to invent one, he would, perhaps, call posterrary, because, in this nation, whilst all are govern-all, in some degree, govern. As in the natural body. member however small, however infignificant, however nt from the head, is yet connected with, and has an inace upon, both the head and all the other members, fo in body politic of this country, while all are fubject to the and to the laws, the meanest subject contributes, or, eat, has it in his power, in some way, to contribute, to making of laws, to their prefervation, and to their exc-

There are various forts of food, cloaths, houses, machines, which are comparatively bad; but to argue, that because the forts of food, or lodging, are bad, all other forts are also, is a fallacy: And the same is the fallacy of arguing, that

\$ See Letters 6, 8, 15, 16, 19, 21, 26, 27, 28, 49, 30, 31.

er de he de nela s

of the when e of the icf man

banks, ich the lied t

endants
od is form
s; in beth
Scotland

that because some forts of kingly government are exceptionable, therefore ours, though different in kind from all other exceptionable also. "The monarchies," fare Monteles "we are acquainted with, have not, like the English, at ty for their direct view || "The true liberty enjoyed by a tone; the consequences of this liberty, security, national parity and happiness; and the folid foundations, on whiletry in this country refus; diffinguish the government of as much from all other monarchies as from all republics.

The Latina used to say, "it is difficult to deceive an

The Latine used to say, "it is difficult to deceive an man by giving him words" inflead of things, or deed; it is our time, there seems to be a great facility in giving a words for things. Indeed, as the same word sometimes presses many different ideas, men of the most acute judgmare in danger of being imposed on by words. Mr. Preither knew this, or he was himself, perhaps, in some sure, imposed on and milled by verbal deceptions. But ought to have known, and to have informed his readers, it names do not change the nature of things; that as cru American animals are not made better by giving them names of certain generous animals of Europe, neither it Head of the British nation any worse, that he beam same official name with some of those heads of nations, who power and vices have made them sourges both to their it jects and aeighbours.

The All trees are trees; and all fruit, fruit; but there are is trees whose fruit is wholesome sood; others whose fruit is not be eaten; and others whose fruit is possion; so it is with British monarchy compared with other monarchies no which men have lived. A consul, or president of a repulsas in ancient Rome, has too much power; a king, as some ly in Scotland, has too little. Does the difference of name make any difference to their subjects? Which of the two the better, or worse governour? Though either of the much better than no governour; yet neither of them is best which a nation may have. That quantity of power which enables a governour to employ the force of a nation as to defend it, to maintain internal tranquillity, to didn't

Print of Laws, b. II. c. 7. p. 213.

The deception of words, or men's aptitude to be led into engages, is mentioned by the immortal Bacon as one of the causes, a obstruct the progress of science.

King

d infe

refer

ity, a

pri

e per

gene

ch t

Mean

400

ally r

ed 11

OCC

indice impartially, to protect all his subjects, but to oppose aut, is just what he ought to be possessed of a Aud such, all how, is the power of the King of Britain. As a government is a good one, though a bring; and his portrament is a good one, though a species of monarchy. The declamation, therefore, of Mr. Prine, against kings and highly government does not apply to the chief magistrate and portrament of this country. The despotism in this country, which he affects to combat, is the child of his own brain.

It might be flewn at large, both from oncient and lary, that as in a numerous family of chi ren and fe m is less rule and justice, and more disputes and ablence of the mafter and miftreft, the in when th fent, fo, in a nation fuch as ours, there would inil and foreign wars, more expence, and left juli ity, and liberty, under a republican government, a ; and the expence and wars of monarchies are fug principal objections against them. But omitting, sprefent, thefe and other feeming objections to monarch neral (which however do not; by any menns, hold w d to our kind of monarchy) I shall in the following lets obviate those feeming objections to government by kings have been forced from the hely feriptures.

Mean while, I cannot help observing, that, in a mation school of the continuity roars, and swallows, and destroys, a mixed and justly listed monarchy, a few which is generally calm, and of which the occasional agitation but contributes to health and sapping.

LETTER XXXIII.

Kingly Government is supported by the facred Scriptures.

COUNTAYMEN.

But

CIN

earn

s, W

le le

are

t to

form f name then

m is

atio

fes, T

A & Mr. Paine ha, no tonly made use of words of mana A invention, but, though an insidel, even of the word of God uself, to decrive others, and to prejudice them against

kingly government. I shall, in this, and the three following letters, them, that there is nothing in the facred f ripture against this form of government, but much for it; that very passages of feripture, which have been milapplied in der to vilify it, are wholly in its favour

Then I would observe. that if there be any form government preferable to another on account of anyth

recorded in feripture, it is the kingly form.

The people of Ifrael were conflantly, in fome fort, un kingly government. he Lord was their king, not as le king of all nations, but in a manner that was fenfible, in diate, and wholly peculiar to themselves. He was their in their law giver, their king that faved them. There was no h tion of a fovereign, which he did not discharge amongst the in a femble manner. He was their fine legislator, he dired them when and how to attack their enemies, and he for for them; and in leveral inflances he judged them without ministry of men, and in a manner that was both fensible a awful. At the fame time, Moles was a viceroy under the great king; and, therefore, he is called king in Tollen He received the law from God, and delivered it to the ples the judged them, in ordinary cafes, according to the lawpreither, himfelf, or by those judges whom he depiled; and he led them against their enemies. In thort, under God he was a limited king . And all the judges who followed a they were not elected by the people, but choien of God, vailed to their office by his form coming upon them, fo they performed, as the occasion required, the same kingly offices vi So Moles ster on

Whether, therefore, 'e confider that people as governed by God alone, or by God through means of the minister of men, fill we find, that their government was kingly T elders, indeed, that is, the chief men. were, like our parlis ment, confulted on certain occasions, and they were the me confulted, as the miraculous and fensible government of Go degreafed; but never do we find among that people, an thing like a republic. And if we except one or two tribe or families of for they fcarcely deferve the name of nation there are but two inflances in scripture, of a people wanth kingly government. These are the Sodomites, and the people of Ifrael at the time when every man did what was right is li own eyes. There was then no king in the land, or judge to all'as a ing.

To

To

rate Merc

and in

nee t she av

God

LAver

turbu

STOR

in oth

repub

i nec

chief has b

France

given. It

and (

Lafe gatio

to be

ongh But men form

felve

foun

Ader

3. again

lf we f

their

com to the

B

fider

mily

follows

f ripture

that !

died in

y form

any th

rt. unde

t as he e, imm

no fee

ngft the

diredel e fougle

hours

fible and nder et

7c/burns the pto-

epilted: CP God

wild, a Fod in

hey peres with

verned

ttry of

parlia

e minn f God

, any tribe

tions)

antin people

B'as &

To

To the judges of Ifrael, succeeded a fort of chief manis intes called kings; but the chief, perhaps the only difference beween these magistrates and the judges, lay in their names, ud in the greater grandeur and flate of the formers a differ nee which had then become necessary, because, at that time. the awe, with which the frequent and visible interpositions of God formerly impressed their minds, muft then have had loss influence on them, in proportion as thefe interpolitions beome less frequent; and there was then no way of roling that turbulent nation, but by giving to their chief mugittrate, a nore dignified name, and more riches and folendour, that is is other words, greater power. All nations (not except) epublics) in which there has been any inequality, hase found i necessary to frengthen the constitutional authority of their chief magistrates, whether called kings, conflits, be whatever his been their names, by giving them folendour and flore France feeling this necessity has abandoned her plainness, and

fiven the fplendour and state of Lings to her rive directors. It might here be mentioned also, that both God the faster and Christ the son are kings in the most extensive and proper kase of the word, the former effectially, the latter by delegation; and that, if in things within our power, and which have more of religion and morality in them, than some feem to be aware of, we are to imitate the best of models, we ought to prefer kings to any other fort of chief magiltrates. But I pals on to oblerve, what may now be evident, that, if men are to take the models fet before them in feripture for forming their chief magistrate, they will never form to themfelves any other than a king; for there is no inflance to be found there, of any other chief magittrate, who can be confidered as a model, than one who was, in fome fort, a king,

a. I would observe, that there is, in scripture, nothing

against, but much for, kingly government.

If we read the scriptures from the beginning to the end, we shall find many things faid against individual kings of for their conduct both in a public, and private capacity, but no thing against kingly government. But' we are in feri commanded to pray for kings, to honour them, to be in fubi to them, and to render them tribute.

Befides, we find God himfelf countenancing hings, confidered in their royal capacity; not only the whole of the family of David, but feveral of the kings of the ten triben It

nou

them

king

hou

I

owin

a th

man a ch

B

to cl

over

agai

in G

a ki

fuch

2

late I

tens

this

Ha

mig

the

the

Al

BOL

is, perhaps, worthy of remark, that never were the Ifraction in powerful, in any period of their flate, as when David me their king, a prince, who, as a man, had his failings, but, a a king, was according to Godle own heart. And certainly the Great Being, who both in his word and in the course of he natural providence, teacheth us, that he is of purer eyes than hebold iniquity, that he cannot look upon fin, never would have countenanced kingly government in appointing their king, in directing, favouring, and bleffing them, had there ben any thing, in that form of government, wrong, immoral, or finful. No.! This wave far, very far from God. Shall not he judge of all the earth do right? But his very countenancing kingly government in countenancing the kings of Judah and Ifrael, considered as kings, in a certain proof, that he who san neither err, nor deceive, gave his fanction to this form of government.

Indeed kingly government of some fort is, perhaps, the only kind of government, to which there is, in scripture, a divine sanction given. Very far would I be from intinuating that other forms of government are illegal, when compand with the word of God, or that they are founded in what a unjust or wrong; but if we carefully perufe the bible, we hall find, that it is kingly government chiefly, perhaps only, which has there the Divine sanction. At least, that sanction is there given to that form of government in a man-

ner, in which it was never given to any other.

But if kingly government is never condemned, but comtenanced, by God in feripture, what was the fin of the Ifraclites in asking of him a king ?

Their fin confifted in three diffinct particulars.

to They defired what was against their own temporal in

2. They defired to violate an express command of God.

They were guilty of spiritual idolatry.

. Ar They defired what was directly against their own tem

This will be evident; if we confider what fore of king they defined. They asked not a king or head in general to judge them, and lead them against their enemies; for as this was necassary, it could not be finful! They asked not a king such as God had described and was to choose for them? A king who health be pious, and avoid what had a tendency to lead the people

36 , be about 1016 8 6

people to idolatry; a king who should be temperate, and studious of the laws : They asked not a king such as ours, who hould be limited by the laws: But they asked a king to judge them, like all the nations +. In hort, they afked for s all the nations had amongst whom they dwelt 4 B kings of Afia have ever been despots, or, in a great d arbitrary; and fuch was the king, whom Samuel sold them they should have. In a word, when the Israelites defired a king, they in effect defired, that their chief magistrate hould, in a manner, be a despot, and they themselves flaves. If their kings did not prove fuch in the event, this was

owing partly to their repenting of their fint, in which cafe a threatened punishment was remitted; and partly to the permanency of their laws, and religion, which, has ever be scheck on arbitrary power. 223000222 324

fraclis

a vid was

but, a nly the

e of k

s then to ld have

kings

oral, or

ll-mot the

c who

orm of

ire. a

ating

par

man-

e 16

d in

d.

con

dg

ne.

1 21

rho

the

re be

But if we in this country had a king to choose, and were to choose such an one, as a wife and good providence hath fee ever us, we should not commit that fin (if I may to speak) against ourselves, from which the Israelites could not be prohibited. For, under our admirable kingly government, n only does every man enjoy himself and all that belongs to him in lafety; but the chief cause of our security is our having a li a king who, on the one hand, is limited by the laws, and, on the other, possessed of a power sufficient to execute them in fuch a manner, that the meanest subjet knows he is fate and free.

2. When the Ifraelites defired a king, they defired to vio-

lite an express command of God.

It is manifell, from the whole of scripture, that God intended, that the people of Ifrael should be a boly nation ; For this purpole, he called Abraham from the house of his father Haran, who was an idolater, and promifed to make of him a mighty nation. For this, he went down into Egypt with the descendants of the patriarche, and, in due time, delivered them from the oppression of the Egyptians, and settled them in the land of Canasa, which he had promifed to their fathers. All this, he did, not from any thing like partiality in mennot from any blind attachment to that people, but that he might establish in the world, through means of them, the true knowledge and worthip of himlelf; that is, in the lan-Y 3 - a said of latel anguage

[&]amp;c. + 1 Sam. viii. 5. 10. viii, 10, 60. Deut. zvii. 4, &c.

gunge of scripture, that they might be a boly, and peculiar people

above all the nations of the earth. Deut. ziv. 2 .

But as it was naturally impolible, that they could long be a holy, without being a separate, people, he, as it were, erected a middle wall of partition between them and all other nations. He gave them a religion, ceremonies, and cultomis of which many were fladows of good things to come, and fome, perhaps, necessary in that warm climate, for the preferration of health; but of which some also were merely marks of feat paration, and, fo far as we can judge, of importance only as they ferred, with other things, to keep them separate from all other nations. To preferve them a diffinet and feparate people, he also prohibited them in the most express and peremptory manner, from making any covenant with the neighbouring nations, and from imitating in any respect, their laws, ulages, and manners. After the manner of the land of Egypt, wherein ye dwelt, faith be to them, Shall ye not do; and after the manner of the land of Canaan, whither I bring you, ye shall as to ; neither shall ye walk in their ordinances. To shall do my slatutes and keep mine ordinances to walk therein ? I am the Lordy. But as they defired a king, like the other nations, they defired to walk in one of the ordinances of the Heathens, the molt important to any people In detiring a king, therefore, the the other nations, they defired to violate an express command of God.

They contended with Samuel, and faid, " Nav but we will bove a king over us : That we a fo may be like all the national " " That we also may be like all the nations." This was the intention of the Ifraelites in alking a king; and, in this intention, did their fin, in part, confitt |, and not in defiring a king fimply confidered; a thing otherwise indifferent, except in as far as the power of their chief magistrate might affect their temporal interest God had endeavoured by every means, to make them in certain respects, unlike all other nations and they defired to be like them. In this, they violated, not only the letter, but the fpirit of the above-mentioned precept,

See Sherlock on Providence, C 8.

nept, ing to to cou were a TOTA hat gr pinen A mity i 3 idolat r

Try owe hi king, tiog v mond a the tir gain f

be ref m of ave t madu not tre feekin ne of the K muel t God b few, 1 ando me

The forthe 0 Ifra Hup

[†] Levit: vyiii. 3, 4. 1 Sam. viii. 19, 30.

Mr Paine himself has unwarily acknowledged that this was past of the do of Israel in siking a king. "And here," says he, " we cannot but acknowledge, that their motiver" fin asking a king) " were bad, vis. that they might be sike unto other nations, whereas their true glory laid in being as much unlike them as possible." Gammos Serfe, p. 33.

cept, and of all their peculiar laws and customs. By defiring to be like other nations in defiring a king, they were shout to counterach, in a great measure, all the dispensations of Ged's providence towards them as a peculiar people; they were about to return to Egypt, to take the shortest road to morance and idolatry. Is it to be wondered at, then, that hat good, but jealous God, should, on this occasion, have en them a king in bis anger, and afterwards bave taken blin may in bis wrath?

5. The people of Mrael in asking a king, were guilty of illustry.

be reg her may ne, iou fe- an orth mate pe- gh- way, star and fa- and diff. ired

not

Like

dof

will

the

ten-8 .

ans,

m6 4 not

pre-

at of

Truft in God is an effential part of that duty which we erchim ; and to truft ultimately in any being but Gud is ritual idolatry. Now part of the fin of Ifrael in alking a king, confided in their diftrusting God, and in trusting to the

king whom they fought.

That this was part of their fin, will appear evident beyoud a doubt, if we confider their fituation and behaviour at the time. Nahash King of the Ammonites had come up sgainst them, a powerful and cruel prince. With him, they red to make a covenant, and to become his fervants. he refused to make any covenant with them but upon conditim of thrusting out their right eyes, and laying it as a reproach a thel. In this fad, and ignominious fituation, they feem to here been at a loss what to do. Samuel was grown too old to moduct them against their enemy; and his sons they could not truft. But, inflead of placing their truft in God, and feeking deliverance from him, they placed their trust in some me of themselves, they sought deliverance through means of he King whom they defired . And when ye form, faith Samuel to them, after putting them in mind what deliverances God had wrought for them by men whom he fent, lew, that Nabash king of the Ammonites came against you, se fun who me, Nay but a king Shall reign over us, when the Lard your Ged was your king +.

That part of their fin in defiring a king was idolatry, will further appear, if we confult the prophet Hofes, the whole of whose prophecy is levelled against their idolatry both in worapping Strange gods and in placing their confidence in man. I Ifrael, faith be, thou ball destroyed thyfelf (by thy ic a is grident from the context) but in me is thy belp joundy. It

That is, it is I only who am thy helper and de liverer; and neither Baal, nor any of thy great men. And therefore, it follows in the 10th verle. I will be thy king, the is, I will deliver thee from the hand of thine enemies. He then expostulates with them concerning their past folly placing that confidence in their great men, which they out to have placed in him only : Where is any that may face (that is, that is able to fave thee) in all thy cities? and the judges of which thou buft faid give me a king and princes? A then he directly points at Saul, in whom they were punil for diffrufting God, and in whom they might fee the var of all expectations of help from power merely human. thee a king in mne anger, and took bim acvay in my wrath, if he had faid, when thou defiredit a king or chief magidi different from Samuel, with a disposition of heart to place t confidence, not in me, but in him, I gave thee a king in m anger at thy idolatry, and afterwards, to turn you from t new species of idolatry, as well as to punish him for disolated I took him away in my wrath .

Upon the whole, it is evident, that the fin of the Israelite in asking a king, did not consist in defiring a king or chis magnitrate of that name, different from Samuel (for Gahad both foretold them, that they should have a king, us had given directions with regard to their king) but in define what was against their own interest, in seeking to violate a express command of God, and in their idolatry. Yet the complex sin was forgiven them upon their repentance, at their happiness, as before, was made to depend on the rest she Lord. God first chastised them for their sin in gines them Saul; and then he gave them David a king ofter bit on beart. And this King and his descendants continued to powern them till their idolatry made both king and people be can

ried away captives to Babylon.

R. T.

Beafe

00

D I

Shie

the fo

een a

m the

ma he

Egypt

O Cure

time, t

That

Tom

penf

articularied by

TPLIS

khflan eir fav

the

fau

I M

most.

ginati loubt

ke tho

Magel ;

of th

n from

1.

LETTE

That Mr Paine thought part of the fin of liracl in alking a bit conflitted in idolatry, is plain from the fe words. If The hankering what the Jews had for the idolatrous customs of the Heathens is itomething accountable; they came to Samuel, taying, Manus a king to judge us, like the other nation." Common Scafe, p. 18 But, if we may judge from his own words, he did not this k, that is any now idolated.

[†] I Sam. xii. 20, 21, &c.

LETTER XXXIV.

Regions why the Ifrachtes were not, for fome time after coming out of Egypt, under that fore of Kingly Government, to subich they were afterwards subject.

COUNTRYMEN.

nd de

And that

olly in ough

and the

A

mile

Van

b.

gidn

ace ti

in min

fraelit

or di

or Go

g.

defir

olate

et !

for

n giv

to

e bee

R. T.

TTE

ing whi

ething w

that line

Igo

OME, not attending to the difference of times, may, perhaps, find a difficulty, in discovering the reasons why the liraclites, for some time after leaving Egypt, were not subject to such kings, as afterwards governed them; and, as the solution of this difficulty may serve to coulirm what has been advanced in the preceding letter, as well as to throw light on the nature of government in general, I shall here offer, as a help towards the solution of it, a few humble observations.

t. The Israelites, from their long residence among the Egyptians had become so addicted to idolatry, that, in order to cure them of it, it was necessary they should, for a certain time, be under theocracy, that is, under the government of sed in a peculiar and miraculous manner.

That they were idolaters when they lived in Egypt, and from time after they had left it, is evident from their great openfity to join in the idolatrous rites of the Heathens, and uticularly from their making the golden calf; which is supplied by some learned men, to have been an imitation of the cryptian god Apis.

for, within three months after their leaving Egypt, notithstanding of all the miracles which God had wrought in
the favour, and which might have convinced them, that he
is the only living, and true God; notwithstanding that they
ily saw miracles in the pillar of cloud and the manna; even
hill Moses was receiving the law for them, amidit a sense
is most august and tremendous, that can be painted by the
agination, they quickly relapsed into idolatry. Impatient,
doubtful of Moses' return, they commanded Aaron to
the thm a golden calf, and then said of it these be thy. Gods.

Israel; an amazing proof at their deep-rooted idolatry!
that the very end of calling that prople, and separating
the from all others, was, that they might know, and beliess.

had .

were extre

tered

by la

or ni

abo

medee

and th

and c

freedo

Egyp

ft for

afterw

howev there i

of a ft

rivile

he par

hirs.

perty,

hiri of

great

ackn

an, t

lihed .

in the la

when,

e leve

ben the

tion

For

Th

reat

lieve, and terve the true God +; and this end, it is evident. could not be effected but by a feries of mitteles; and the theogracy, or peculiar government of God, exhibited a con-Rant feries of miracles, which were (if any thing was) calculated to lead the most stupidly incredulous and inflexible of them to believe in and ferve that Divine Being, by who power they were wrought. These miracles did not, indeed produce the intended effect upon all of them. Such of the as had contracted deep rooted habits of idolatry in Egyp were never thoroughly cured of it; they still adhered, in for measure, to their former gods It is faid, that they could not a into the pronifed land because of unbelief, that is, unbelief of t true God. But the rifing generation who either had i known, or were but flightly tinctured with the idolatries Egypt, were formed by those miracles which they faw, lo being what God intended them to be, a boly nation. It is en pressly faid, that they firved God all the day of J. Shua, and the days of the elders that had over-lived Jofina, and which ! known all the works of the Lord that he had done for Ifrael !!

One reason, therefore, why the Israelites, for some time after leaving Egypt, were not governed by such kings as sterwards ruled them, was, that they were necessarily under theorem, a fort of government, which was miraculous and

peculiar, and which precluded every other.

2. Another reason was the great equality which must be fublished among it them, both on their quitting Egypt and is some considerable time after.

Whilit they remained in Egypt, they were such slaves of Pharzoh not only employed them in the most service, and spressive occupation of making brick without straw, but petheir male children to death; and, in such a setuation, in not probable, that any of them could have acquired go wealth. On the contrary, they were so poor, that they were such as their such as their such period to borrow different articles of their such period being bours. During their wandering in the wilderness.

[†] This was the end of all the miracles which God wrought in fight of that people, but particularly of those which were produced the giving of the law from Sinat. Out of become he made the 16 hardwide, that be might infined thee; and upon earth to flowed then his greatfund thou beardoff his words out of the midfl of the fire — Know, therefore, confider it in thine heart, that the Lord is God in heaven above, and is about the there is some eife. Deut. iv. 35, 36, 39.

2 Joshua zuiv. 33.

had a daily supply of food; their cloaths wased not old; nor were there any means by which some of them might become extremely rich,, and others extremely poor. When they entered the land of Cansan, though it was divided among them by lot, yet it was qually divided; to the many coas given the freater inheritance, and to the few the lefs. And their laws were alculated to maintain, for some time, this equality. For, they were commanded to lend to each other, yet durst take as sure; no Israelite could become a slave to another; he who had been fold as an hired servant might at any time be sedeemed; every seventh year there was a release of debts, and the servant who had served six years, was set at liberty; and every fiftieth year, if the land of any man had been aliesated, it returned to him free, and the servant obtained freedom.

The equality, therefore, with regard to riches which must have prevailed among the Ifraelites upon their coming out of Egypt and for fome time after, was such as rendered them untifor that fort of kingly government, to which they were

afterwards fubject.

vident.

nd the

a con-

s) cale

ble d

whole

ndeed

f then

Egypt

in for

uot a

ad i

ries

aw, fo

It ise

and

bich b

rael 1

e ti

8 25

uni

ous a

off ho

andi

ves

and

but

on, I

ed g

hey

RYP

eis

the in

roduc

great

and in a

of th

For, whatever some may imagine, no form of government, however good in itself, is equally fit for every people. Where there is no great inequality of property among the members of a flate, every member claims, and is entitled to, equal privileges and an equal power in the management of public afirs. Such is the fort of government that prevails in some of the particular corporations in our towns and cities. Where there is a confiderable, but not an exceptive inequality of proerty, the richer class think, that they are entitled to a prorionally greater share of power in the direction of the afhis of the community, than the poorer, because they have greater interest in them; and the poorer class are disposed acknowledge the justice of their claims. And, upon this ohn, the internal government of our cities and towns is effalifted In the former cafe, the government is a democracy; the latter, a mixture of democracy and arithocracy. But then, by a combination of causes regularly operating for a number of years. or by accident, one family rifes far above e level of the richer class, in point of riches and power, then the government of the flate changes in reality, if not in

PETTE

coafi

mlera

Mofe

Abir

undo

which

as he

or pri

affly

perfor

by G

reft of

A

quali

aiftrat

govern

ty of

had be

eem to

y fue

But

pofit

fah

lings,

The

ent :

a fce

God w

mann

mder f

We mo!

glory

God, i

heir na

ng on the

dy t

The

name, into a species of monarchy † or a mixture, of monarchy, aristocracy, and democracy. Every man who reflects must see, that power naturally follows property, and that political power ought always to bear some proportion to property, in order that the richer members of any community may be secured against the envy and rapacity of the poorer.

In short, it is the order of nature, and it is agreeable to our motions of right, that where there is a great inequality among any people, with regard to riches, there should, also be fore proportionate inequality with regard to power in the direction

of their common affairs.

But it bath been already observed, that the Israelites upon their coming out of Egypt, and for some time after, man have been nearly equal in point of property: And, in this state of equality, they were, by no means, sitted to be governed by such human kings as afterwards suled them, not to mention, that the theorracy which they were under, pre-

eluded this fort of government.

Whilft they remained equal in point of wealth, if we es cept the right of the first born, or the pre-eminence of the representatives of their tribes, and of the clans or familie into which their tribes were divided, their judges and elder no man had a just claim to more power than another, because he could not plead the necessity of it for the defence of h person, or property. Besides we are sure from the history of men in all ages, and from the history of that people in parti eular, that they would not, at this time, have submitted to monarchical government in the person of any of their brethren. It is not without a certain degree of reluctance at some time that men submit to the government of their superiors; they never will, in a regular, and peaceable manner, fubi to that of their equals. Where there is a great equality, estry man looks upon himfelf to be as good as another; and no withstanding the indispensible necessity, in all possible fituation ons, of submiffion to just government, they cannot eat brook subjection to an equal, The most turbulent of all vernme

^{4.} The flate of A'hens was, perhaps, the most democratical of which there are any historical record; yet, in that state, the rich had ownere power than the powr. There l'affistratus, by his great riches personal good qualities, and his popular arts, acquired the power, as assumed the name of a king, and transmitted both to his two fone; and there Pericles, by the same means, acquired the power of a king, though he never assumed the name. History of Greece.

confiderable number of them, is equally wealthy with their mlers. The frequent murmurings of the Ifraelites against Moses and Aaron; the rebellion of Korah, Dathan, and Abiram; and the sedition even of Aaron and Miriam; are modubted proofs, that even Moses, with all that authority which he derived from being educated by Pharaoh's daughter, as her own son; from being son-in law to Jethro, the priest or prince of Midian; from his education, which must have been willy superior to that of his countrymen; and from his own personal excellence; never could have governed that people, and he not been highly honoured and miraculously supported by God: And if he could not, much less could any of the set of the Israelites.

A necessary conclusion from what has been said is, that the equality that prevailed among the Israelites, during the administration of Moses, was such as could not admit of a kingly government such as was afterwards established among them.

The theocracy, therefore, and the great degree of equality of property that prevailed amongst the Israelites, till they had been a considerable time settled in the land of Canaan, seem to be the reasons why they were not, at first, governed

by fuch kings, as afterwards ruled them.

nong!

realio

n thi

, not

we es

of the

milie

elden.

ecause

of bis

ory of

parti-

ted to

thren.

times ; bp

luban

d not-

fituati.

calif

all g

me

er, s

thoug

But afterwards, as thefe causes decreased, and causes of an polite tendency operated, they became fit for being subjects a human monarchy, and were accordingly governed by lings, that is supreme magistrates different from their judges, The theocracy was the most absolute, though the most efment and best of all governments. Whilst the people living a scene wholly miraculous, perceived every moment, that God was among them, ruling them, though invisibly, yet in manner as fensible, as that of any human magistrate, and dy to punish them for disobedience, they were kept more oder subjection to the laws, than they could have been by he most exact human police. But when they no longer faw glory of the Lord, and the pillar of cloud and of fire, reftg on the tabernacle; when they ceafed to gather manna; en their cloaths waxed old, like their neighbours; when God, instead of interpoling frequently and miraculously in heir national bufiness, interpoled seldom, and that in a manmuch less striking; when, in short, except on certain rare casions, their government had all the appearance of being

try the

elapled

But

man k

ny rich had, a

with re

people

other i

govern

created

fts for

from a

each n

are ful

But

and no

of bei

the tim

mencen

canfes,

man did

nch and country who di their w

ofecuri

haferi

h far a

ations

dreadfi

found i

oaly th

the chi

David

at we

eyes,"
power
was th

odges Mr Pa

tions of kings p

merely human; that awe of the Divine Majefly, which in strained them from crimes, and stimulated them to their dun necessarily decreased, and, at certain times (as appears from their relapses into idolatry) was, perhaps, wholly lost: An when this supernatural obligation became weak, it was need fary to supply the deficiency by giving them a chief map frate, whose power and greatness should restrain them from crimes, and awe them into obedience to the laws, that is, it was necessary to give them a human king.

The time when such a king became necessary, was not probably when they asked one of Samuel; for it is said, the the word of the Lord was precious in those days the days of Ell there was no open wiston; which words certainly signify in general, that the Israelites had then begun to attend more in the laws and rules of God's word, than formerly, because there was less of the miraculous in their government, either to direct them in their duty, and oblige them to the performance of it, or to restrain them from what was wrong.

We find, therefore, that sometime before they obtained Saul as their king, they were prepared for human kingly go vernment, by the theorracy's becoming less sensible (so among that people, it never entirely ceased) and consequently less fit for governing them. It may be added, that they were also prepared for it by experiencing the inconveniency of judges, especially of Samuel's sons.

But besides the theocracy's becoming less striking, then was another cause no less powerful, perhaps, which sitted the Israelites for human kingly government at the time they desired a king; I mean the inequality with regard to riche, which, at this time, began to take place.

Their civil laws, as hath already been observed, were calculated to preserve, for a long time, that equality of lands property, which at first subsisted among them. If any number of them, for the two or three first generations, became richer than their neighbours, their riches must have consider chiefly in money, or goods, or houses in evalled cities. As inequality of landed property could be created by nothing but by one family's succeeding to the original inheritances of everal other families, become extinct, or by similar origins portions being divided among several other families descended from the same stock; and this inequality (which, in a const

my that had little trade, was the chief inequality that could fishit) could not take place till fome confiderable time had

elapfed.

But we find, that, about the time of the appointment of human kingly government, some of the people had become very rich, and others of course poor; that is, that the nation lad, about this time, approached to that unequal condition with regard to the possession of riches, which renders any people sitter for being the subjects of a monarchy, that of any other fort of government. For a monarchy is a species of government, in which there are various ranks, which are created chiefly by an inequality in the possession of riches. Its form, where it is regular, is like a beautiful spire, rising some a broad base, and terminating in a narrow, but highly smamented top. And where wealth is possession the poor are subject to the rich.

But where the rich have the poor in subjection to them, and no superior to check themselves, the latter are in danger of being oppressed; and their danger necessarily requires one

member

† This was actually the case among the Ifraelites, towards the end of he times of the judges, or between the death of Sampson and the commencement of Samuel's magiltracy, when riches must, from various sules, have been very unequally possessed. During this period, swey sea did what was right in his own eyes. There was no check on the acings possessed too little power to execute the laws on the great, the sch and powerful oppressed the poor and weak. In this period, the country of Ifrael feems to have been infelled with bands of lawlefs men, o did what they pleafed; and one scene would lead us to think, that heir wickedness was equal to that of Sodom itself. But this anarchy, insecurity, oppression, and wickedness, is, by the sacred historian, plainhascribed to their want of a king. There was then no bing in the land, ladges xvii. 6. xviii. 1, 25. xix. 22. "The King of Mmerica," fays of Paine, " reigns above, and does not make havock of mankind. We he far approve of monarchy, that the laws are king." The king of all actions reigns above, and for the violation of his laws, he makes the molt dreadful havock amongst mankind. Human magistrates have ever been found necessary; and when a magistrate is himself subject to the law, and possessed of a power to execute them, impartially, it is then, and ealy then, that the laws, in one sense, reign, or " are king." Such is the chief magistrate of this nation; and such were the kings of Ifrael. David executed juffice and judgment unto all bis people, a Sam. viv. 15. And at we read no more of any man's doing " what was right in his own eyes," it is to be prefumed, that every man was obliged, by the power of the kings, to do what was right in the eye of the law. Such was the use of the kings in Ifrael; and such is the use of ours.

which n heir dun cars from oft: An was need ief magi hem from

hat is.

was mo aid, the s of Elify in ge more to because t, either

e perfore g. obtained ngly goble (for fequence hat they reniency

ted the hey deriches

landed
y num
became
onfilted
An

nces o origina cended

try

member of their fociety to be possessed of a great, but just, power, to check the rich and powerful, and afford protection to all the members; and the person who has power to do

the ti

and b

this f

estry

iectio

DOWE

dreac

egual

becar

fanc

to a

innoc

W25

thefu

hono

flaco

twel

were

laws

cont

mag

the l

the I

tom

with

from

ven :

chie

H

fo, is a king, whatever be his official name.

As that inequality, therefore, with regard to property, which had crept in among the Ifraelites, must have disposed them to submit to the government of a king chosen from themselves, and as kingly government was that which was best fitted to protect the poor against the rich, or rather all against all, they were, at the time that Saul was anointed,

matured and fitted for this fort of government.

Both the decrease, therefore, of miracles in the theocracy, and the encrease of inequality in point of riches, influence, and confequent power, required, that, in the days of &. muel, the government of judges should terminate, and that of kings begin. And thus, as the Mosaic dispensation was to pass away, and be succeeded by the Christian, so the aristocracy of the Ifraelites (for fo Josephus calls it) or the government of judges, was deftined to give place to limited monarchy. Generally speaking, the progress of any society from a flate in which it is governed by elders, judges, chiefs, or representatives of families, to that in which it is governed by kings, keeps pace with the encrease of inequality among its members with regard to riches; and this with the practice of arts or the means of acquiring and spending". find to have been the cafe, not only with the Ifraelites, but with the Edomitest, and the children of Hetht, and, indeed, with all other nations, few, or none excepted. Every nation, in which inequality in point of riches, and its necessary confequence, inequality of influence and power, prevail to a certain degree, must be governed by a king, even a hereditary king, in order to be governed well. America, therefore, in fome future period, is deflined to have her kings. France, indeed, has loft one king, but the has acquired five under different names. The wildom of a people lies in choofing a king, when they cannot refuse one; and in so limiting him by laws and collateral powers, as to make him, not a tyrant, but a father. This the children of Ifrael did; and this the British nation hath done.

The fubiliance of what has been faid is this. The Ifraelites,

See Fffay on the Hiftery of Civil Society. † Gen. xxvi. 31. 40. † Gen. xxvi. 31. 40.

ites, upon their coming out of Egypt, were idolaters; and the theocracy was necessary to convince them of their error, and bring them back to the service of the true God; and this fort of government precluded, for a confiderable time, erery other. They were equal, and would have foorned fublection to any of their number, who should have assumed the power and state of a monarch. Every man had little to gread from his neighbour, because their fortunes being nearly equal, their power multhave been fuch. But when the theocracy became less striking, and the people unequal in their circumflances, fomething elfe was requifite to awe the turbulent into a just submission to the laws, and to protect the weak and innocent against the strong and injurious. What was wanted, was a chief magistrate limited by a contract between him and the subjects, but possessed of all that authority and influence, and honoured with all those figns of greatness and dignity, which constitute the power of kings. Such were the kings of the twelve tribes, and fuch the kings of Judah. All thefe kings were great, but limited, not only by the criminal, and eivil laws, and those of religion, but, as it should feem, by express contracts between them and the people +.

Had the Israelites, therefore, asked a king as their chief magistrate, simply that, by his great power, he might put the laws in execution, and thus protect all orders of men in the nation, without any hankering after the manners and cuftoms of the Heathens, without any distrutt of God, and without any propensity to idolatry, to far had they been from committing a fin, that they would have asked of Hesven a necessary boon, and the greatest bleffing, which, in a

chief magistrate, any people can enjoy.

ma Alex Senevoy to Chastra R. T. and

some digit was an district

LETTER XXXV.

a law son it colleges to me wall of Of Hereditary Mongreby among the Ifraelites.

COUNTRYMEN

t juft.

otedi.

to do

perty.

poled

from

was

er all

inted.

cracy,

ence.

f Sa-

that

n was

rifto-

go-

mited

ciety

hiefs.

erned

nong

Aice

is we

but

deed.

tion,

con-

to a

itary

e, in nce. nder

ng a him

rant,

the

rael.

ites,

. 40.

che tub lance of a hot one been lan THE truth of what hath been faid in the two preceding letters, concerning kingly government, will be corrobo-

† Deut. zvii. 14, &c. a Sam. v. g. a Kinge, xi. 4, 17. 1 Chron. zi. 3.

rated, if we take into the account, that God gave a special function to hereditary monarchy, as it subsisted among his

Cent S

his be

ided

that c

havin

13, 1h

Lord

Balt L

put i

meet

point

Davi

appo

in th

keep

Ling

beari

him

acqu

their

thei

nati

they

V

elde

that

cert

kin

hap

kin

the

our

not

, her

chosen people.

In the very infancy of fociety, he gave Cain a certain preeminence or rule over Abel, analogous to the fuccession of the first-horn fon, to his father, in hereditary monarchies. And in the seventeenth chapter of Deuteronomy, where he foretells that the Ifraelites were to have a king, he fays not, the they were to elect a king, but that in any wife, they fould fet him king over them, that is, submit to him, whom the Lerd their God flould choose. And afterwards, in the same chapter, when he has charged their future kings to observe certain precepts, it is added, to the end that be (their king) may prolong bis days in his kingdom; he, and his children in the midfl of Ifrad; an express declaration, that the kingdom of Ifrael was to be an hereditary kingdom, though hereditary in the family of any king only upon condition, that that king proved obdient . Accordingly we find, in the history of the kingdoms of Judah and of the ten tribes, that this prediction or promife was exactly fulfilled.

Saul was not elected by the people, he was appointed by God himselft; and his appointment was signified to them by making them calt lots; a method wifely chosen, to prevent murmuring and rebellion among the chiefs of that turbulen people. But the kingdom was not made hereditary in the family of Saul. In the wilderness, God had threatened at terly to put out the remembrance of Amalek from under beaven]. because that people laid wait for Israel in the way, when came out of Egypt f. And he fent Saul to execute this threst. ening, charging him expressly to go and smite Amalek, and s terly to destroy all that they had, and not to spare them, but to stay both man and woman, infant and fuckling, ox and fleep, camel in afs. But Saul spared Agug, and the best of the Sheep, and g the fatlings and the lumbs, and all that was good. And because Saul rejected the word of the Lord, the Lord also rejected bis from being King . The condition, on which the crown was to descend from father to son, was obedience to God; but Saul, by his disobedience forfeited it for his descendants.

When David came to the throne, it was not by the election of the people, but by the appointment of God; who

^{*} I Chron. xxviii. 7. 4 8 Sam. x. i. ‡ I Sam. x. 24. * I Sam. xv. 1. * I Sam. xv. 3, 9, 13.

fpecial!

ong his

in pre

of the

And

e fore

t, thut

Sould Lord

apter

certain

prolony

Ifrael:

to be

nily of

king-

ion or

ed by

em by

revent

bulen

n the

ed w

roen I.

on the

hreat-

ind #

o flag

and of

canle

was but

leai.

who

34.

ent Samuel to anoint him, which was the form and fign of his beinge appointed. Neither David himfelf, nor the people, had any choice in his being made king; for God comuded him to be captain over his people". All the tribes of Ifrael, indeed, came to David unto Hebron, and anointed bim king over Head; but it is evident, from what they faid to David on hat occasion, that the defign of their meeting was, not to deft him king, but to fignify their acquiescence in God's having appointed him. Behold, faid they to him, we are thy! have and thy fleft. Also in time past, when Saul was king over us, thou wast be that leddest out and broughtest in Ifrael , and the Lord faid unto thee, thou fbalt feed my people Ifrael, and thou halt be a captain over themt. The last clause of these words put it out of all doubt, that as the people knew, that God had appointed David to the throne, fo the defign of their meeting was to fignify their acquiescence in the Divine appointment. But that the tribes came to Hebron, not to elect David, but to express their acquiescence in the election or appointment of God, is made further evident by these words in the book of Chronicles. All thefe men of war that could keep rank, came with a perfed beart to Hebren, to make David king over all Ifrael; and all the rest of Ifrael also every of one heart to make David king. Their being of one heart to make him king, fignifies, that they had cordially and unanimously acquiefced in God's appointing him to be king, previously to their meeting, and that they met at Hebron only to express their acquiescence, and go through the ceremony of his coronation; which ceremony would have been performed, though We of Page alroad. they themselves had elected him.

We find, indeed, David entering into a league with the elders of Ifrael; but we have no reason from that, to conclude, that there was any thing like an election. This league was certainly nothing more than the terms or conditions, on which king and people were to live with each other, and may, perhaps, be called the civil contract, or the conflictation, of the kingdom of Ifrael; a form which probably was renewed at the accession of every following king, in the same manner as our kings take the coronation oath. David, therefore, was not elected king by the people, but appointed by God.

And as God made him a king, for he made his kingdom hereditary. The condition, upon which any king was to

^{*} I Sam. xiii. 14.

ing ek ch

only

know

of C

W

by m

chof

the |

occor

It is

prev ed to

ger,

ero

him,

paffi Jero

God

mad

cond

my,

thou

my 'e

my co

thee,

give

evide

his f

the c

tenti

on co

vanta

felf,

the p

jeru

gypt

ites i

B

transmit the crown to his children, was his obeying God*. Sail failed in performing this condition, by sparing the Amaletites; but David performed it. He was a man according to God's own heart, not in his private character, which, in one case, was highly exceptionable, but as a king; in which capacity he fulfilled God's will in executing his vengeance on the bleathen, whom Saul had spared. And sor his obedience, God was pleased to promise to him, that his bause and his king dom sould be established forever; which promise, in its mystical sense, relates to the Messiah, but, in its literal sense, nifies, that the crown should be hereditary in the famile of David; which it was, till the extinction of royalty (properly speaking) in Judah.

What is very remarkable, after God had entered into this covenant of royalty (as some call it) with David, he continued to keep it, notwithstanding of the idolatry and other sus of some of his successors. What he sware or promised, he observed, he wisted the transpression of David's children with the rod, and their iniquity with stripes; but he made the crown to descend in his family from sather to son. So sar was the all-wise, and almighty governour of the world from "cashiering"

thefe kings " for their misconduct."

Solomon, who succeeded David in the throne, was not the eldest of his father's fons. But Adonijah the eldest gave symptoms of bad dispositions; for, whilst his father was yet alive, he usurped the throne : Solomon was, in the early part of his life, a wife and pious prince. And God who gave him those dispositions which fitted him to govern, made choice of him as his father's fucceffor, in preference to Adonijah. And of all my fons, faid David to his people a little before his death (for the Lord bath given me many fons) he bath chofm Solomon my fon, to fit upon the thrane of the kingdom of the Lord over Ifrael. And be faid unto me ___ Morrover, I will establish his kingdom forever, if he be constant to do my commandments and judgments, as at this day ||. Here, then, we perceive, that it was neither David, nor the people, but God that chose Solomon to be king; and that the kingdom was to continue in his family forever upon the condition of obedience. The people, indeed, made Solomon King, and anointed bim unto the Lord; that is, made him king, by anointing

^{*}Exod. xvii. † 1 Sam. xiii. 14. Acis, xiii. 22. 4 a Sam. vii. 16. 1 Chron. xvii. 14. xxii. 10. § 1 Chron. xxviii. 5, 6, 7,

ing him to the Lord, which was his inauguration, not the election or appointment of him to be king, but this they did only in confequence of God's choice of him being made known to them. and afterwards they fubmitted themselves to him as their king, just as they submitted to any other ordinance of God.

When God was to execute his threatening against Solomon by rending ten of the tribes from Rehobosm, he himself chose a king for the ten tribes. Bebold, saith he by Ahijah, the prophet, to Jeroboam, I will take three, and thou shalt reign excording to all that thy soul defireth, and shalt be king over Israel. It is true, indeed, that they sent and called Jeroboam unto the congregation, and made him king over all Israel; but as God's previous choice of him was so well known, that he was obliged to she into Egypt, to avoid the effects of Solomon's anger, there is not the least doubt, that the people in calling Jeroboam, were determined solely by God's having chosea him, and that their making of him king was nothing but the passing through the ceremony or form of his coronation. Jeroboam, therefore, was really elected, and made king by

God, not by the people.

alek-

ng to

one.

h ca-

n the

ence,

king.

nytti-

fig-

mile

(pio

this

onti

r fins

, he

h the

n to

e all-

ing

t the

gave

s yet

him

ce of

ijah.

e his

com-

hen,

but

dom

of

d as

oint-

ing

But God who made him a king, promifed at the time he made choice of him, to make his kingdom hereditary on the condition expressed in the seventeenth chapter of Deuterono. my, namely, obedience. And it Shall be, faith be to bim, if thou wilt bearken unto all that I command thee, and wilt walk in my ways, and do that is right in my fight, to keep my flatutes and my commandments, as David my servant did; that I will be with thee, and build thee a fure bouse, as I built for David, and will give Israel unto thee +. To build Jerohoam a sure house, it is evident, fignifies to make the crown of Ifrael hereditary in his family, as that of Judah was in the family of David. In the case of Jeroboam, therefore, God plainly fignified his intention of making the kingdom of the ten tribes hereditary on condition of obedience But Jeroboam forfeited this advantage by breaking the condition in being an idolater himfelf, and caufing Ifrael to commit the fame fin. Fearing lest the people should return to the house of David, by going to jerusalem to worship, and having learned the idolatry of E. gypt, whilft he refided there, after the manner of the Ifraelites in the wilderness, and with a like infatuation, he set up

two calves (imitations most probably of the Egyptian God Apis) the one in Dan, and the other in Bethel, to be worshipped by the people; though the express condition on which the crown was to be made hereditary in his family, was, that he should abstain from idolarty, and serve the true God.

in

an

rei

ch

ap

211

G

the

the

ing

Go

ful

hien

cor

der

cha

by 1

the

to a

(pe

it p

enje

the of

mue

gov

but

feri

pointly,

Jehu was anointed, that is, choien and appointed, by God to the kingdom; and because he obeyed God in executing his vengeance on the house of Ahab, God was pleased to make the crown hereditary in his samily, to the sourch generation. Here again we see the suffilment of what was predicted Deut. xvii. namely, the election of a king by God, and the crown rendered hereditary for obedience, though not perpetually so, because the obedience was partial; for Jehu still adhered to the worship of the calves that had been set up in Bethel. Had he obeyed God sully, as David did, as God is no respecter of persons, there is no donbt, that he would have rendered the crown perpetually hereditary in his family, as it was in the house of David.

If we except Jeroboam and his son, Elah the son of Baasha, the son and grandsons of Omri, and Jehu and his samily to the south generation, and one or two more who succeeded their respective fathers, all the other kings of the ten tribes were usurpers, and succeeded to the crown by murdering their predecessors. As the ten tribes, from the time of Jeroboam, were constantly addicted to one, or several kinds of idolatry, God seems to have left them, in a great measure, especially towards the end of their state, to walk in the way of their own beart and in the sight of their own eyes, and to suffer, as the punishment of their sin, all the miseries attendant on usurpations

and elections of kings.

It is evident from scripture, that, except in the case of some of the kings of the ten tribes, who usurped the kingly power, or procured it by faction, God uniformly appointed the kings of these tribes, by nominating and anointing men who first ruled them themselves, and then left the crown be reditary in their samilies; and if God appointed their kings, it is an error to say, that they were chosen by the people. There is not, in scripture, one instance of a king either of straed or Judah, being elected by any description of the people. All of them, except the usurpers in the former kingdom, were either immediately appointed by God to their of-

God

vor-

ich

vas.

od.

ing

to

ne-

pre-

od.

not

ehu up

od

uld ily,

ha,

to

ded

bes

neir

am,

ry,

ally

TUR

puons

of

ted

he-

gs,

ole.

of

-05

ng-

of-

ce,

fice, by being anointed, or mediately appointed by succeeding to their fathers, as he had ordained. The crown of Israel, indeed, was several times transferred from one family to another; but this was never done but for the idolatry of the reigning prince, that is, for breaking the condition on which the grown was to descend from father to son.

The voice of the people, or their concurrence, was no more requifite to give authority to those kings whom God chose and appointed (and he either immediately or mediately appointed all but the usurpers) than their consent was to give authority to their laws. Both of them were equally given by God, and had, on that account, equal authority. they met to make fifth a king, what they did, was a form of the same kind with their meeting to receive the law, and saying all that the Lord bath Spoken, we will do. But if, at any time, they made any other king over them, than him whom God, not they, choice and appointed, that king had no lawful authority; they violated the constitution given them by God, who expressly said to them: Then shalt in any wife fet him king over thee, aubom the Lord thy God Shall choose. Accordingly, God by the mouth of the prophet Hofea, who was a witness of some of the kings of the ten tribes being deposed and others fet up by them without consulting God, They have fet up kings, but not charges them with it as a fin. by me; they have made princes, and I knew it not+, that is, they made it not known to me, or did not confult me in it.

From the foregoing enumeration of facts, it is impossible to avoid perceiving, that hereditary kingly government is, in an especial manner, fanctioned by God, or that it has his special authority. It was predicted and appointed by him; it prevailed as the reward of obedience to his law; and it was enjoyed by the nation, as a bleffing; for we do not find, that there was any civil war in Judah on account of the succession of their kings; and yet, on an average, their kings were

much better than those of Ifrael.

I would not be understood as faying, that no other form of government, than hereditary monarchy is approved by God; but that this form of government is fanctioned by him, in scripture, in a manner, in which no other ever was. He appointed Moses, Joshua, and other viceroys or chiefs individually, without the smallest intimation, that their office was to be either

Deut. zvii. 15. + Hofes, viii. 4.

either perpetual in itself, or hereditary in their respective similies; but so early as the days of Moses, he ordained, this kingly government should afterwards be a part of the political constitution of the children of Israel, and that the kingdon should be hereditary upon condition of obedience to his laws?; and, at, last, when the people became fit for it, he actually established hereditary kingly government, partly among the tribes, for the partial obedience of some of their kings, and fully among the people of Benjamin and Judah, for the full obedience of David, who, as a king, fulfilled all bis will+.

It is a very curious and striking fact, that God should, in supernatural and immediate way, have established among his ancient people, a form of government very like that which he hath, in the ordinary course of his providence, established in this land. And, in this respect, we, as well as they, are a peculiar people, a nation highly favoured of the Lord.

It has been thought, indeed, that the children of Israel were so peculiar a people, that they are no example to other nations in things that are political or civil. The peculiarity of that dispensation is a general sact, which some writers as sume to account for things which either they do not understand, or which are objections to their theories. But to endeavour to account for all particular sacts by general rules, is at best but a fallacious mode of reasoning, and frequently productive of error. They who assume the gravitation of matter, or the circulation of the blood, to account for the nourishment and growth of vegetables, seem to reason as conclusively, as they who take the peculiarity of the Israelitish dispensation with regard to religion, to account for all their political and civil institutions, or, in particular, for the establishment, amongst them, of hereditary limited monarchy.

I can fearcely help giving it as my humble, but decided opinion, that the fole reason, why their Great Legislator established this fort of government amongst them, in preservence to any other, was its greater usefulness to their temporal interest, and in this opinion, I should imagine I am justified by facts. After the theoreacy had become less striking and the people more unequal as to the possession of wealth, it was found, that they could not be governed by judges. Towards the latter end of the administration of these magistrates (except that of Sam-

* Deut. xvii. 15, 20, † See the history of these tribes. Pfalm lxx. 29. Acts xiii. 22. The milic ment the the tand prote

to th

nel,

plac

the

mine

ago.

the

their perhat that general Judal ligion more migh ening nation elone dent,

estable complame vernmin it.

of king nor ne

uel,

ve fa.

, this

ilited

gdon

WS .

efts.

ie ten

and

e full

1.4

, in a

g his

ich he

ed in

are a

71011

Lirael

other

iarity

en af-

nder-

to en

cu. W

ently

n of

r the

s con-

ditila

their

effic

avaintel

ed o

blifh

o any

, and,

Afmore they nd of

Samuel, nel, whose extraordinary personal authority, supplying the place of greater riches and state, made him a fort of king) the government was irregular and oppressive, and puts us in mind of all the seudal governments of Europe some centuries ago. The anarchy and oppression in both were owing to the same cause, a defect of power in the chief magistrate. The judges of Israel, among their princes and heads of families or clans, were very like the Kings of those governments among their barons. And as in the latter case, so in the former, the powerful violated the laws, and oppressed the weak, with impunity. But in both cases, the strong and injurious were checked, and the weak and innocent protected, by their chief magistrates acquiring greater power.

Many of the laws of the Ifraelites had a peculiar relation to that dispensation of religion which they were under, to their fituation with regard to their heathen neighbours, and perhaps even to their climate: But to these who consider, that Mofes was a type of Christ as well as David; that the genealogy of Christ might have been traced to David, or Indah, though the former had not been a king; that the religion of that people did not require kingly government more than any other; that a different fort of government might have been more fayourable to their religion by frengthening the middle wall of partition between them and all other nations, (who feem to have been then fubject to monarchy elone) to those who confider these things, it will appear evident, that the establishment of hereditary limited monarchy among them had no fuch relation; that the fole reason of this establishment was its superior temporal advantages, when compared with any other political conflitution. And as the fame reason accounts for the establishment of this fort of government among us, we have a double reason for acquiescing in it, and preferring it to any other, the example of the King of kings in establishing it among his ancient people, and superior national advantage.

R. T.

A

OF

OF THE HEREDITARY SUCCESSION TO THE CROWN.

adva fubj dans

DOUG

dang priva the c

a we

migh The

fome

cure

A

fumn

ditti

bavin

racte

that e

great

a reli

that I

firft,

then

and r

with

fool i

In

of all

Solon

to ufi

poffet

man.

and fi

He w

Becom

in his

pearai

feeme

Cr

LETTER XXXVL

Good Kings are not to be expedied by Election.

COUNTRYMEN,

THERE is nothing so respectable that it may not be turned into ridicule; nothing so excellent that it may not be vilified; nor is there any bleffing, which, in the possession of imperfect creatures, may not be, in some degree, productive of inconvenience. But hereditary monar by, is mitted and qualified, as it now is in this country, is far preferable to the same sort of government, were it elective. Were we to alter this single quality in the Crown of this country, its being hereditary and to render it elective, we country, its being hereditary and to render it elective, we should suffer an incalculable loss, without gaining one single advantage. But, in order to see these truths, we must compare the hereditary succession to the Crown with the election of kings. And in this and several subsequent letters, I shall take the liberty of offering a few observations, by which we may be able to judge of their respective merits.

The only imginable advantage that could be derived from the electing of our kings, is that, according to the expectation of some perhaps, we should, by this means, he always, or, at least, frequently, governed by kings eminent for their ability and virtue. But this expectation is fallacious

Were the Crown elective, it would perhaps, be very rarely obtained by a man absolutely weak; the successful candidate must be possessed, at least, of cunning address, and a turn for intrigue and cabal: But it does not follow on that account, that he must be a wife, and much less a virtuou man; for a character of wisdom and probiny is not composed of such qualities. Able men would generally decline offering themselves as candidates. For, in elective monarchies, the

advantages of the king above those in the highest rank of subjects must, for the most part, be inconsiderable; and the dangers, to which he must be exposed from the succession of popular opinion, many: And wise men foreseeing these things, would sequester themselves from public affairs, where dangers would meet them, and seek to enjoy themselves in private. This was the case with the nobility at Rome, after the empire became elective. Besides, it might not infrequently happen, that the leaders in the strongest party would raise a weak man to the throne, when they could not obtain that honour for themselves, in order that, by directing him, they might favour the purposes of their own avariee or ambition. The Crown also, as in imperial Rome and in Poland, would sometimes be sold to the highest bidder, and generally procured by bribery and faction, without respect to character.

And, if by election, we are not to expect kings of confummate wildom, neither are we to hope for such as may be dittinguished by their virtues. It is not difficult to find men who, having some favourite object in view, and knowing the character which is requisite to the obtaining of it, can assume that character, and act under it as a mask for the whole or a

great part of their lives.

Cromwell feems, at his first outset, to have been sincerely a religious man, or rather an enthusiast; but it is evident, that he was afterwards an hypocrite. He was probably, at first, charmed himself with the beauty of true religion, and then put on the appearance of it to attract the admiration and regard of that enthusiastic age. He seems to have begun with being the dupe of a warm imagination; and though a fool inreligion to his latest breath, he ended with being a knave.

In the popular state of Athens, Psistratus not withstanding of all the power and eloquence of the wife, and virtuous Solon, who warned the people of his designs, had art enough to usure the chief power, and make himself a king. "He possessed, in appearance, every virtue He was a well-bred man, of a gentle and infinuating behaviour, ready to affist and succour the poor, whose cause he presented to espouse. He was wise and moderate to his enemies, a most artful and accomplished diffembler, and was every way virtuous except in his inordinate ambition. His ambition gave him the appearance of possessing qualities which he really wanted. He seemed the most zealous champion for equality amongst the ci-

Aa 2

tizens

it may he poldegree, hy, liar prelective. of this

not be

ON

15

fingle
fi

ch we

from peclalways out for

rarecandiand a n that tuom posed fering

the tages

mann

be ev

make

diers.

himic

of hi

had c

force

in th

put t

gaine

enfla

that

ro, l

eit g

celto

affec

103

diera

queal

gave

men

able

ney,

prop

quet

rael,

are

all 1

and

trio

ing

with

ons

gair

ploy

fhor

peti

T

It

T

tizens, whilft he was aiming at the entire subversion of freedom, and he declared loudly against all innovations, while he was actually meditating a change. The giddy multitude caught by these appearances were zealous in seconding his views, and without examining his motives, were driven headlong to tyranny and destruction."

Pericles was descended from the greatest and most illustrious families of Athens, allied to all the most powerful, very rich, and more eloquent than all his contemporaries. was liberal to the poor; and when his own money failed him, he expended on them the public treasure in bribes, largestes, and other distributions. When he was going to speak in public, it was a constant faying with him to himself, 'remember, Pericles, that thou art going to speak to people born in the arms of liberty, and do thou take care to flutter them in their. ruling passion. Several great and good men, seeing the scope of his actions, opposed his ambition; but with the advantages of birth, fortune, and connexions, his eloquence, bribery, and flattery enabled him to get the better of all opponents. Even in the democratical state of Athens, he acquired every thing almost but the name of king. He made the Athenians whose rage was for liberty, the voluntary fabricators of their own chainst.

Maulius having gained great honour in faving the Capitol, when Rome was lacked by the Gauls, was fired with the ambition of obtaining the fovereignty of his country. With this view, he laboured to ingratiate himfelf with the populace, paid their debts, and railed at the patricians whom he called their oppreffors. He began to talk of a division of the lands among the people, infiniated that there should be no dislinitions in the state; and to give weight to his discourse, he always appeared at the head of a large body of the dregs of the people, whom his largesses had made his followers. But being accused of sedition, he was concerned by the people themselves, and thrown beadlong from the Tarpian rock 1."

Sylla, who, in the civil wars at Rome, headed a faction against Caius Marius, was born of one of the most illustrious families of the state. "He had the reputation of an able commander. His person was elegant; his air noble; his manners

Goldsmith's Hist. of Greece v I. p. 58. † Idem v. I. p. 194. † Goldsmith's Hist. of Rome v. p. 1. 185.

manners eafy, and apparently fincere. He was for liberal thathe even prevented those requests which modelly helitated to make. He flooped to an acquaintance with the meanest foldiers. He defired to please all the world, and could adapt himself to men of all descriptions. But he had no character of his own, except that of a complete diffembler." When he had conquered Marius and his faction, he put fouches on Rome, forced her to create him perpetual dictator, and bathed her in the blood of her own children, whom he commanded to be

put to death ..

e he

nde

his

ead.

Ari-

ery

He

im,

Trs,

ub-

oer,

the

neir.

ope

ges

ry,

its.

el Y

ans

eir

pi. he

th

ce,

ed

ds

in

p-

e,

ed

on

n

18

le

is

rs

4.

The character of Julius Cæsar, whose successful ambition gained him the supreme power at Rome, and enabled him to enslave his country, is so like that of Pisistratus, the Ahenian, that he has been called the Roman Pisistratus. "Next to Cicero, he was the greatest orator, and without a doubt the greatest general of his time. He was descended from popular ancestors, and practised all his life the arts of popularity. He affected to be merciful and bounteous, and probably was so to a certain degree. He bestowed great rewards on his solidiers, and paid the debts of his officers. He pillaged the wealth of provinces to spread it among the citizens of Rome, and gave even his rapine the air of generosity."

It was a common practice of the rich and ambitious great men in Rome, to procure laws, in appearance at least, favourable to the people, to distribute amongst them corn and money, and to practice on them all the arts of popularity. In proportion as riches encreased, these practices became fre-

quent; and faction ruined the state.

The popular arts, by which Absalom stole the hearts of Israel, and seduced them into a rebellion against his own father, are well known, as well as those of the Pharisees, who did all to be seen of men; to gratify their vanity, coveteousees, and ambition. And there ever have been hypocrites in patriotism as well as religion, which is but the means of imposing on men, not the cause. The whole of history abounds with instances of men, who have been liberal in their professions of regard to the people, and have practiced every art to gain their affection and considence, only that they might employ them as instruments in promoting their own views. It should seem, that the bulk of mankind is destined to be perpetually missed and injured by a designing sew. What delunds

[·] Goldsmith's Hift. of Rome p. 349. &c. + Id. v. 1. p. 433.

five art did not the demagogues in France practife! How fhamelessly did they spurn from them the people when they had ferved their purpofes? How foon did their practices pro-

duce the most execrable tyranny!

As able men, therefore, were the Crown of this kingdom elective, foreseeing the dangers attending the kingly office, would decline offering themselves as candidates for it; and as men poffeffed of virtue in appearance only, would, by their as professions and practices, frequently impose on the people; a well person may venture to predict, that, if the latter had their choice of a king, we should not obtain one eminent either for wildom or virtue, but most frequently one whose character

would be quite the reverse.

We shall be the more confirmed in this opinion, if we confider, that the electors, though they knew fuch a man, would not generally make choice of him. Men eminent for their wildom and virtue, though they may excite the admiration and love of fome, and command respect from all, are by no means favourites with the bulk of mankind. Their characters are too good for some, and they excite the jealousy and envy of others. Our Saviour was too good for that generation of men, among whom he lived. The people, indeed, who, when left to the natural direction and impulse of their underflandings and hearts, received those deep impressions of admiration, elleem, and regard, which the excellence of his character was fitted to make, would, on a certain occasion, in bave taken bim by force, and bave made bim a king; but, in a fhort time afterwards, misled and inflamed by their leaders, the they cried out crucify bim, crucify bim. It was the goodness, the greatness, the excellence, the splendour of his character, we which was the procuring cause of his death . This excited the envy of the Jewish chiefs against him; and " for envy" they first delivered him to Pilate, and afterwards brought him to Socrates, the Athenian, was the most virtuous of all all the Heathen philosophers. His goodness was not confined to speculation, but was active in benefiting all; yet his very goodness flirred up to him enemies among his countrymen, who plotted against him, and most unjustly took away his " Every man," faid he before his judges, " either amongs us, or elsewhere, who inflexibly applies himself to prevent the violation of the laws, and the practice of iniqui-

Emine procur 107 WT about ing hir 1 bitte to fup both e Em cite en

times

w in a

make the A those s and co cales. obtain throug or for times lectors upon (office, foreme where electo who b eligibl voters leave 1 qualiti eledic tain a him fo tioned much

tary (i

that a

It

TWO

CY

10+

om od

nd

a sad

eir

or

er mi

ne sta

on

no ide

Tar El

D-1 00

on mi

Upon

de illo

nis de

8 22

18 other

S, Th

he sal

y sap

of

ed

ry.ioit

n, in

er

y

i-

ty in a government, will never do so long with impunity." Eminence, whether of talents or virtues, is a certain cause of procuring enemies to its possessor. "Has he ever done you my wrong," said Aristides to the Athenian peasant who was about to vote for his banishment, "that you are for condemning him in this manner." "No," replied the peasant, "but I bute to hear him praised for his justice." And it is absurd to suppose, that personal excellence should procure a man both enemies and voters.

Eminence in useful qualities, indeed, though it should excite envy against the person possessed of it, will frequently in times of danger or necessity determine even his enemics to make choice of him to conduct their affairs: It was thus that the Athenians, when their state was in danger, often recalled those great men whom their envy or jealousy had banished, and conferred on them the highest offices: But, in ordinary cases, such offices, when elective, have been almost uniformly obtained, nor for the fake of perforal good qualities, but through connexion, influence, intrigue, corruption, violence, or some certain combination of such causes. In the purest times of the Roman republic, the people having become eketors of their magistrates, had not " skill or integrity to fix upon capable men; and fearcely did any. Conful lay down his office, but that very multitude who had chofen him, were foremost to accuse his remissingle or incapacity +." In states where the chief magistrate has been elective, the object of the electors has generally been, not the best man, but the man who best suited their particular views. Even though the most digible qualities were fometimes to determine the majority of voters; yet the contention of an election would frequently have behind it fuch effects as would diminish or destroy those qualities in the object of their choice. Though by means of election, therefore, we should fometimes though rarely, obtain a king diffinguished for those qualities which would fit him for government; yet, for the various reasons above-mentioned, there is evidence next to demonstration, that we shall much oftener enjoy fuch a bleffing in the due course of hereditary fucceffion.

It will, perhaps, be objected to the preceding reasoning, that a man in the highest rank (and such only would stand candidates.

Goldfmith's Hift. of Greece, V. I p. 371.

[†] Goldfmith's Hift. of Rome, v. s. p. 114.

candidates for the office of king) though diftinguished by perfonal excellence, would be an object of envy to those only in the upper ranks; that the body of the electors in the primary affemblies (fuch as the counties and boroughs) who would infiret their delegates to fix on the person pointed out by them are removed at fo great a diffance from those in the higher ranks, that the worthy qualities of the latter excite, not the envy, but the effeem and regard of the former; and that those qualities would of course determine the votes of the majority in favour of the person possessed of them in the highest degree. But this objection is not founded in experience, Men in the highest ranks are frequently objects of easy to others in every rank, and particularly those of the middle classes; and they immediately influence their inferiors. Neither is it evident, that thole in the highest or upper ranks would be the only candidates for the kingly office. The plebeians of Rome were never at reft till one and fometimes both confuls were elected from their own order. If a candidate flarts from the fuperior ranks, he meets with envy in his equals, and in many of his inferiors: If from any of the middle ranks, he is contemned by his superiors, and hated by almost all his equals and inferiors. Should the successful candidate belong to the latter ranks, it is probable, that the fword of civil war, not the votes of electors, would in the event give a king and then a despot to Britain. Besides, if we consider the disguise, under which men can act, and the exaggeration of character, the calumny and detraction, which always prevail in all popular elections, we shall plainly see, that the real characters of candidates never could be known but to a few of the electors Suppose, that these few were delegates from the people, and left entirely at their liberty to choose whomsoever they would for king, here again affection, hatred, envy, and a train of partial confiderations mislead them in their choice. fembly though higher in rank, have the very fame paffioss with their inferiors. Let a person calmly restect on all those elections of which he has had a thorough knowledge, and he will be convinced, that in all elective offices, the fuccessful candidate must be generally indebted, for his fuccess, to other causes than his own merit.

In short, were this an elective kingdom, whether the king should be chosen by deputies instructed to vote either according to the minds of their constituents or their own, whether chective.

fon and

Whe

whith inter

wer on

fou

biliti

woul

fingle

be re

tion

vy. likely

the ir

foecis

of Fr

He w

Was i

ledge

which

a Rob

Cou

wolegi

. If th

reditary

ceed up

go on

quences

gillrate

utter in

1. I

he candidates should appear from the upper or middling ranks. hould one of them chance to be much cittinguithed by his abilities and virtues, that is, by his fitness for government, he would, I will venture to affirm, (except, perhaps, in the fingle case of some dreadful calamity threatening the country ; * berejected for that very fitness. So powerful is the opposi. tion which diltinguished merit uniformly meets with from enw. In all elections, the man who, in ordinary cafes, is most likely to succeed, is not the wife, but the cunning man, not the man of ability, but of address, not the virtuous, but the What was it that made Robespierre dictator foecious man. of France? Was it his birth, fortune, or influence? He was a foundling, and, before the revolution, a ferivener. Was it his worth? No! He is now known and acknow. ledged to have been a monter! It was those very arts. which, were this kingdom elective, would fooner or later give a Robespierre to Britain.

R. T.

LETTER XXXVII.

Effects of the Election of Kings.

COUNTRYMEN,

person

v in

ary

in-

em,

her

the

that

ma.

heft

nce.

0 0-

ffes:

is It

the

ome

e e.

the nany con-

not

un-

ar es

can-

tors.

and

ould

n of

s af-

fioss

hofe

d he

faiul

ther

king

ord-

ther

the

The election of our kings would not only frustrate the intention of it, but be productive of the very worst of makenences.

1. It would be productive of civil wars.

If there be any who seriously prefer the elective, to the hereditary, mode of succession to the Crown, they doubtless proceed upon the supposition, that the election of a king would go on as smoothly, and be attended with as few ill consequences, as the election of a member of Parliament, of a magistrate or other office bearer in any of our towns, or of a minister in any of those congregations, in which the minister is dedive. They doubtless imagine, that this is the age of reasonand not of passion; and that avarice, amoition, and the strongest

When a state, in which the highest office is elective, is threatened with internal or external danger, it unanimously conters the greatest liver on a Cincinnatus; at other times, a Scylla acquires the ascendant.

ftrongest partialities, are all "to yield to the gentle, but commanding voice of pure, enlightened reason." But unfortunately history and individual experience chance to be against their hypothesis. Even in the election of a person to a petty office, in which honour chiefly is the object, the contention between parties has frequently been so violent, that the interposition of the magistrate has been sound necessary to make them keep the peace, and the greater the honour and emolument of any office, the warmer must be the contention.

If the object for which fuch men contended were a crown; if all their passions were inflamed by the hope of obtaining, and the fear of lofing, all those emoluments and honours, which must be shared by the adherents of a successful candidate for royalty; and if there were no external force to reflrain or moderate their pathons; would the election be peaceable? Would there be no after divisions and animosities? Would the minority quietly submit to the majority, and, in concord with them, fludy only the common good? All history answers in the negative. The contentions of the Polish chiefs in theelection of a king, of the Germans fometimes in the election of an emperor, of the Romans in the appointment of a chief magiltrate, during the whole of those periods in which the nomination of such a magistrate depended on election, in short, the contentions of all men in all countries and ages, in which the king or chief magiltrate has be a elective, amount to a certain p oof, that the election of a king would most frequently be, in some degree, productive of civil wars. Religion, even the mild and peaceable genius of the Christian religion, has not, in the case of contested elections, been able so to bride the strong and inflamed passions of men, as to prevent such The fword fometimes decided who was to be chief Druid among the Gaule; the choice of a bishop divided the Christian world, and gave rife to the numerous feet of the Donatifis; and the election of a pope has frequently lighted up the flames of war over half of Europe. Never, therefore, never can a nation such as ours, in which there is, and mutt be, the greatest inequality, elect its kings, and yet avoid civil wars.

2. Another effect (or rather chain of effects) of electing our kings would be, that it would render them jealous, unjul, and cruel, and their subjects suspicious, rebellious, and traiterous, and produce the greatest national vice and misery.

Man

+ G

1

com

were

but

equia

Syll

cred

or p

men

Pub

the

auth

not :

up fi

leag

and far t

ons wil d

more

Cæf

of n

tues

fever

rians

pet u

Ath

panel

whic

filled

lated

ed h

ut com-

Infortie

againft

a petty

tention

e inter-

o make

emolu.

own: if

g, and

which

ate for

or mo-

Would

mino-

with

vers in

the e-

tion of

ef ma-

nomi-

t, the

ch the

a cer-

nenily

even

n, hes

bridle t fuch

chief

d the

e Do-

ed up

e. ne-

it be,

civil

ating

njut,

trale

Man

Man is naturally the friend of man. It is only opposition, competitions, injuries, fear, jealoufy, and fimilar caufes. which make them enemies to one another. Marius and Sylla were fucceffively possessed of more than kingly power at Romes but their fierce contentions and mutual injuries rendered them smally cruel to each other and to their respective adherents. Marius, returning to Rome, after being driven from it by Sella's party, " entered the city with his guards, and maffacred all that had ever been obnoxious to him without remorfe or pity +." When Sylla's party again prevailed, besides other cruelties and profcriptions, he ordered eight thousand men who had submitted to him, to be imprisoned in the Villa Publica, and then to be put to death in cold blood 1. the fecond triumvirate divided among themselves the supreme authority, each in order to gratify the revenge of another, not only determined on the death of his enemies, but yielded up such of his friends as were obnoxious to either of his colleagues. Lepidus gave up bis brother; Anthony, his uncle; and Augustus, Cicero, one of the best and wifest men, and by far the greatest orator, among the Romans. The contentions of the great men with each other for the afcendant, "ciall discords, triumvirates, and proscriptions, weakened Rome more than any war fhe had ever been engaged in !!." Julius Cafar and perhaps Augustus seem to have been naturally men of moderation and clemency; but they exercised those virtues chiefly, after they had fubdued every enemy, and imagined themselves out of danger. The monstrous cruelty of leveral of the succeeding emperors is justly ascribed by historins, to those fears and jealousies, with which they were perpetually haunted.

Hippias and Hipparchus, brothers, and joint kings of Athens, were for some time, excellent princes; but Hipparchus committed a crime, for which he was assassinated, and which excited a rebellion of their subjects. These things filled the heart of Hippias with distrust and revenge, stimulated him to put many of the Athenians to death, and render-

ed hin ever after the enemy of the flate f.

" In the kingdoms of the Heptarchy, an exact rule of fucceffion

† Goldsmith's Hift. of Rome v. 1. p. 363. ‡ 13. v. 1. p. 377.

| Spirit of Laws b 21 c 21. p. 138.

5 Goldfinith's Hift. of Greece v. 1. p. 66, &c.

ef h

min

WOU

but 1

rever

Hen

ente

houf

brow

War

T

narc

mon

then

B

fpira

prove

of p

mile

a.Fr

gene ar at

inth c

onel

defire

meip

A

be lo

and a

ed an

leene

CTOW!

one p

rals;

afith

Th

fucceffion was either unknown or not strictly observed; to thence the reigning prince was continually agitated with to loufy against all the princes of the blood, whom he still confidered as rivals, and whose death alone could give him entificurity in the possession of the throne " John, Kinge England, jealous of the spirit and bravery of his nephew, the young Duke of Britanny, and the true heir to the Cross

flabbed him with his own hand.

Abimelech, from jealoufy without a doubt, flew threefer and ten of his father's fons upon one flone. And this practice has been frequently followed by the kings of forme places of Asia, where all the sons of the king being equally eligible to the throne, the fear of being deposed, must frequently to ment the prince who mounts it. "In such governments, a every prince of the royal family is equally capable of being chosen, hence it follows, that the prince who ascends the throne, immediately strangles his brothers, as in Turkey; as puts out their eyes, as in Persia; or bereaves them of the understanding, as in the Mogul's country; or, if these precautions are not used, as in Morocco, the vacancy of the throne is always attended with the horrors of a civil war?"

In the hittory of the kingdom of the ten tribes of Ifree, in which the crown, though intended to be hereditary by their great legislator; was frequently usurped, there are seven in hances of cruel massacres committed by the usurpers on those whom they must have been jealous. Baasba, confined against Nadab the son of Jeroboam, and slew bim. He she also all the bonse of Jeroboam; he less not to Jeroboam my the breathed. Zimri conspired against Elab the son of Baasba ad killed him. And he slew all the bouse of Baasba; he less him at

one, neither of his kinsfolk nor friends f.

In a great kingdom, where there are a number of great men, each interested to join a party, and each, by a chain of connexions, influencing his inferiors down to the meanest subject, the election of a king must ever be conducted with the great-

* Hume's Hift. of England v. I. p. 38. Id. v. a. p. 48.

1 1 Kings zv. 27, Ac. \$ ld. c. 16. v. 10 and 11.

It was as much the nature of the conflictation of that kingless that the crown flould be hereditary, as it is the nature of the moral opflittion of mankind, that they flould be happy. But a failure of the
effect interided to be produced in either cafe, is no argument against the
spirit of the respective constitutions.

of heats and animofisies, and most frequently with the most repinous and cruel civil wars. Those contentions and wars would, indeed, at last terminate in a king or rather despot; but the effects of them, contempt, aversion, hatred, jealously, menge, would remain, and actuate both king and people. Heary the seventh, who was of the house of Lancaster, ever interained the most implacable hatred to all of the unfortunate house of York. He seems never to have been at rest till he brought the last of them, the young, and innocent Earl of Warwick, to suffer on a scassold.

That perpetual jealousy, therefore, which in elective mosurchies, always dwells, in some degree, in the breasts of their monarchs, must in proportion to the degree of it, dispose

them to actions of injustice and cruelty.

But injustice and cruelty in the king would produce confiracies and rebellions on the part of the people; these would provoke him to new acts of cruelty and injustice; and thus the kingdom would become a scene of tumult and civil war, of proscriptions, affafinations, executions, and of vice and

mifery.

ved; in

Aillie

im enth

King a

e Crown

threefen practice

places o

ligible t

ntly to

of being

ends the

key; or of their

hefe pre

y of the

war+"

f Ifrael,

by their

leven

on those,

conspire

He flee

any tha

afba a

i bim wi

eat mes,

of confubject,

e great-

kingdon

re, of the

gainst the

Friendship begets friendship; and enmity, enmity. In general, every affection and the expression of it beget a similar affection and expression. If the prince, therefore, is unjust or cruel, the people will naturally resent his injustice or suchy, and by a similar conduct, will seek to gratify their destreative of vengeance, or to redress their injuries. Ind this appropriate of ill offices would involve the kingdom in conformers and all their directal consequences.

All mutual confidence between the different parties would be loft. The court would become a rendezvous of informers, and a feene of confpiracies against the obnuxious, and suspected among the people; and the country would be turned into a tene of discontent, murmuring, and rebellion against the cown. All good men would withdraw from the management of public affairs, where they would be in danger from the party or another; and their places would be filled by men of desperate fortunes, of low condition, and profligate monals; who would feek to infinuate themselves into the favour of the prince by informations, and actions of pretended zeal against those whom they would represent as his enemies.

The crown would never fit fleady on the head of the princes

[.] Hume's Hift. ef England v. 3. p. 383.

the

of t

mo: cre:

toti

twe

of

till

inft

pov

if t

priv

cou

wer

Lin

I

his

the

the

ano

Barr

of :

the

eleć

to t

of :

Bue

the

fom

Lo

WOL

ing

Or

er a

tho

mer

fim

ter,

thei

of 1

till

7

nor could the people have any fecurity for their privilege. And thus things would go on from evil to worfe, till all those fair fruits of our prefent excellent constitution were blasted, which we now fecurely enjoy. Despair would cover the faces of the timid, and revenge would occupy the hearts of the resolute. Some would leave their native land for a foreign clime; and others, in order to revenge their own, and their country's, wrongs, would plunge themselves into a sea of blood. The morals of prince and people would be corrupted, or rather entirely destroyed. For not to mention the effects of those animosities, that bribery and corruption, which would precede every election (even supposing it were conducted peaceably) those mutual injuries between the king and his adherents on the one hand, and the people on the other,

would be productive of every evil work.

Those who have read, with any degree of attention, the history of Rome from the time of Marius to the fall of the empire, during the most of which period, the person possessed of the chief power was elected, will not think. that the above description is at all exaggerated. It will immediately recall to his memory, those scenes of vice and misery, from which the mind turns with horror, but which frequently, nar almost constantly in a greater or less degree, were exhibited in that most unhappy state, from the time that the empire betame elective. Even in the history of England, where, though the crown was hereditary, the acquiescence of the clergy and barons was requifite to confirm the fuccession to it, one may observe some of the above mentioned effects of election; especially during the contention between the houses of York and Lancaster, when the right of the Crown was made to be the right of the strongest, and the sword determined who was to wear it.

Laftly. the electing of our kings would wholly alter the nature of our government. According to circumstances, it would change it either into an ariflocratic republic or an ab-

folute monarchy.

eeffion to the throne, the electors would flipulate new conditions with the king. Human nature in all ranks is ambitious. The electors, therefore, defirous of extending their own power and leffening that of the king, would either find, or pretend, fufficient reasons for diminishing the prerogative of

ivileger

all those

blaffed.

he faces

of the

foreign

nd their

corrupt.

the ef-

which

ere can-

ing and

other,

n, the

of the

offeffed

nat the

diately

, from

ly, nay

hibited

empire

where,

of the

n to it.

of elec-

ufes of

s made

rmined

ter the

ces, it

an ab.

ery ac-

condi-

hitious.

ir own

nd, or

tive of

the

the Crown and increasing what would be called the privileges of the people. It was in this manner that the House of Commons proceeded in diminishing the royal prerogative and increasing their own privil ges from the time of their first institution under Henry the third to the time of the civil wars between the houses of York and Lancaster, or till the accession of Henry the seventh; and from the accession of the Stuarts till some time after the revolution; though they had no other instrument, with which to carry on their operations than the power of granting or refusing supplies to the Crown. And if the exercise of this single power hath so much increased the privileges and other powers of the Commons, what bounds could be set to their requests and consequent power, if they were also possessed.

kings ? Having the power of stipulating with each king prior to his accession, and the power of controlling him afterwards, they might proceed, and probably would proceed, to ftrip the Crown first of one part of its prerogative and then of another, till they had left the king nothing but the empty name of his office, or reduced him to the flate of a prefident of a republic. It is nugatory to fay, that the influence of the Crown, as at prefent, would check the ambition of the electors; it would but add fuel to the stame. No king prior to his accession could be possessed of any influence but that of a subject. During the vacancy of the throne, that influence would be wholly possessed by the great electors, and they would, at each vacancy, naturally endeavour to retain some part of it Such is the ambition of mankind, that the Lords themselves, who are at present a bulwark to the throne, would most probably co-operate with the Commons in lessening the royal power, were they thereby to increase their own. Or should a just sense of their possessing already as much power as is consistent with their own security and happiness and those of the nation, induce them to oppose any encroachments of the commons on the prerogative of the Crown, their simple negative would be but a feeble barrier. When the latter, in the reign of Charles the first, chose to make use of their power in an intemperate manner, not all the power of the Crown and Lords together could stop their mad career till they had abolished both monarchy and nobility.

Thus, therefore, the tendency of electing our kings, even

upon the supposition that the elections were made peaceable would be to change the form of the government into a freen of republic; that is, it would tend to transfer the power of the crown from one powerful individual to a number. For we are not to suppose, that the people, or those in the lower ranks of life would at all share the power thus transferred. In all ttates fuch as ours, there are a number of men possessed of fortunes much greater than their neighbours; and as power follows property, let a government change how it will, those very rich men. except in some cases of popular fury and tomult, will ever be possessed of the chief, and sometimes of the whole authority. The people of Rome, though they were able to abolifh all real diffinction between the patricians and plebeians, were never able to abolish the diffinction of rich This diffinction fill remained; and though each and pour. freeman had a vote in all affairs laid before the people, and was equally capable of being elected to the highest offices; yet a few rich individuals engroffed the whole power. In England, though the rump parliament abolished monarchy and the house of peers, yet they, or rather Cromwell and few adherents, retained the whole power of the flate in their own hands, and reduced the reft of the people in all ranks to a flate of flavery.

But as the very attempt to reduce men to an equality in point of riches would be attended with infinite mischiefs, and prove abortive; as unequal power is the natural effect of unequal riches; and as a number of rich individuals, inflead of one, would rule the land, were the form of the government to change to republic; it is much fafer for the liberty and happinels of the people, that the kingly power, under just limitations, should remain in the hands of one great man than be put into the hands of a number. The reason is plain. One man, whatever he may be, may be kept within certain fafe bounds, if all the other men in the flate find it their interett, as at prefent, to keep him within such bounds; but a body of rich and powerful individuals, ithout a head valtly superior to check them, are with difficulty restrained. Scarcely are any reftraints which can be imposed on them, sufficient to afford fecurity to a poor individual. At prefent, our King being exclusively possessed of the supreme executive power, and of a degree of influence which enables him to exercise it

impartially,

mp

B

tive.

into

defp

is th

liber

its k

peo

a ki

froi

refp

wea!

him

and,

eith

cont

in n

man

part

free

er u

this

mea

ties,

natu

lar,

and

peo j

to I

Son

hone

t

T

2

I

mpartially, all are kept under the restraints of the laws; and

rich and poor are equally fafe.

pecies

ver of

For

. In

fied of power

thole

nd to-

were and

f rich

each

fices:

and a

aks to

ity in

s, and

une

ad of

ment

r and

r juft

than

One

n fafe

erett.

ody of

perior

y are

King

ower,

tially,

and

archy

But this would not long be the case, were the Crown elective. This fingle change would soon change the government into a despotism or aritheeracy. If it should change it into a despotism, all would be flaves: If into an aritheeracy (which is the present supposition) the populace would enjoy neither liberty nor security. The Crown of Poland was elective; but its king was but the chief of a body of aristocrates; and the people were slaves.

2. Let us suppose what is most natural, that the election of

aking produced civil war.

In this case, it is evident, the king would be elected by the strongest party. He would be their creature, and in many respects their tool. He must ever be conscious of his own weakness, and of his real subjection to those who have raised him to the throne. He must be sensible, that, as they raised him, so, if they retain their power, they can depose him; and, in such a situation, it is impossible, that he should act either with becoming dignity, or sufficient freedom. Being continually subject to a faction, he must be their patron, and, in many, cases the oppressor of an opposite party; and in this manner, he would be under a necessity of governing, till such time as he found means of balancing the strength of parties.

To be under undue restraint is an irksome situation; to be free is pleasant; but to have very extensive or unlimited power is highly gratifying. It might happen, therefore, that this king raised by a faction and subject to it, after finding means to rid himself of all undue restraint by balancing parties, would seek to free himself from all restraints whatever, from all restraints, at least, of a legal and constitutional

nature.

He is, we will suppose, well descended, rich, brave, popular, possessed all those talents that can gain the affection and confidence of his subjects. Thus fitted to win upon the people, he attempts to render himself absolute. By the constitution, he has the command of the army; which he attaches to himself by bounty, clemency, and every popular art. Some of the leading men, he gains by presents, offices, and honours; others, he flatters with hopes; there, he intimidates; and all, by some means or other, he either brings over

Bb 3

king

Chi

(ab)

on ;

this

mei

You to v

fide

chn

refo

bee

La

DOV

and

lote

not

que

cha

or i

elei

atn

pat

agn

is c

COL

nar

.

to

to his interest, or prevents from opposing him. With fush talents and advantages, he endeavours to encrease his power to a degree that is arbitrary or despotic. Most probably the measures, he would take, would be gradual and specious. But should his defigns, being discovered, meet with opposition on, it might most probably happen, that; in the civil war preceding his coming to the throne, those who had either inclination, or power, to oppose him, would be averse to vie lence from experiencing the calamities of eivil diffentions. This disposition would lead them to bear with much; and he perceiving their paffiveness, would ftill proceed, without noise, towards arbitrary power. But thould he meet with refulance, the army and the greater part of the leaders of the people be ing attached to him, he might endeavour to accomplish his end by force; and, in this attempt, he would be successful, if, as has been supposed, his opponents have been exhaulted by civil wars, and averse to renew those scenes of horror.

It is, in fact, fomehow in this manner that most fovereign have possessed themselves of unlimited power. At Roma, Marius, Sylla, Julius Cæsar, and Augustus, partly by their having the command of an army, their talents, intrigues, and corruption of the people; and partly by fortunate accidents, successively gained an ascendant, and enslaved their country; which, for a long series of years, had, at one time, been agtated by tumult, and, at another, bled under the sword of civil war. When Augustus had attained to the sovereign power, and rendered all but himself slaves, his justice, comency, moderation, and affability were such, that Roma, long torn by the diffension of her sons, fondly thought she had recovered her liberty, and, desirous of repose, hup-

ged her chains.

Henry the seventh was possessed of great talents for governing men: All the great nobility, who alone had power to refish his encroachments on the rights of any order of the subjects, had been destroyed in the long and bloody civil was that preceded his accession to the throne: The people tire of discord and internal convultions were willing to submit a usurpations and even to injuries rather than plunge themselve into like miseries: A faction devoted to the king, was willing to support all his measures: And thus, partly by his one abilities, partly by the peculiar circumstances of the people Henry found means to render himself more absolute than as king

th fuels

ably the

pecious.

oppofiti.

vil wan

ther in-

to vie

Tenfions.

and he

ut noife.

fillance

ople be

ccessful

khaufted

TOT.

Rome.

by their

ues, and

ecidents,

ountry;

een ag-

word of

ce, de

Rome

thought

ie, hug-

govern-

er to re-

vil wan

le tird

emfelm

his ow

people, han any king king of England had been fince the granting of Magna Charta-

When Henry the eighth came to the throne, he found his Subjects broken to the yoke, and habituated to tame Submiffion; and both the talents and dispositions as well as fortune of this king encreased their passiveness. At his accession, he was young, handsome, poffeffed of spirit and external accomplishments; which, with his being heir to the houses both of York and Lancatter, rendered him very popular. He was of inviolent a temper, that none durit oppose his will; and befides the power which he acquired by breaking off from the church of Rome, he had, during a great part of his reign, resources independent of his parliament. His Nobility had been weakened both by the war- between the Yorkitts and Lancastrians, and by the alienation of their estates, the full power of doing which had been granted them by his father; and the Commons, though become opulent, knew not yet their own power. These causes rendered him the most absolate king that ever ruled in England.

We fee, therefore, that the electing of our kings would not only produce civil wars and a train of baleful confequences, but that, according to circumstances, it would change them either into presidents of an aristocratic republic, or arbitrary princes; changes of which it may be difficult to say which would be the worse for the people.

The conflitution being corrupted, that very people, the electors of their kings, would, by turns pine, under a fatal atrophy, and be torn by convultions. Science, arts, wealth, patriotifm, would disappear; and the nation would fink into ignorance, poverty, impotence and contempt.

How admirable is our prefent form of government, which is equally remote from both extremes! How excellent is that confliction, in which are wifely tempered the powers of monarchy, aristocracy, and democracy; which gives to all ranks, a proportional weight in the political balance; and which confers on the King a dignity and power, that qualify him to be only—The Benefactor of All.

R. T.

LET-

XXXVIII. LETTER

Advantages of the Hereditary Succession to the Crown.

COUNTRYMEN.

HOUGH there were as great a chance of obtaining good kings by election, as by hereditary fuccession: though no ill consequences were to be apprehended from the former; yet the latter (the check which the nation has on the Crown, confidered) is attended with advantages, which alone, perhaps, would determine a person of a clear and unbiaffed understanding to give it the preference.

1. Hereditary succession prevents the direful effects of elec-No person will say, that hereditary succession will wholly prevent civil wars, which may arise from several cause besides a doubtful or disputed succession to the Crown; but in this country, this cause has been almost the sole source of civil war; and to deny, that hereditary succession will prevent those civil wars which would flow from this source, is to deay, that any effect will cease to be produced, when its proper cause ceases to act.

Both in England and Scotland, when the civil wars concerning the fuccession to the Crown, took place, the present rule of inccession was either not fully adopted, or (which is the fame thing) it wanted that force which was necessary to the observance of it, and which it has derived from custom alone: For this political law, like many other, feems to be not an act of the legislature, but the product of custom, or the growth of practice : And though it had been generally observed, yet it was also frequently violated; and one violate on paved the way for another, and diminished the authority of the general rule. Even after a law has been established by cultom, it is very far from being always obeyed; where a combination of powerful subjects is determined to break it.

This was actually the cafe both in England and Scotland at the times of the civil wars occasioned by the succession to the The respective barons possessed almost the whole force of both kingdoms; they divided into parties; they · were not checked by the commons; there was no force to oblige them to acknowledge the claims of one pretender only;

Ihere

But

on o

he Co ditar

tion,

low,

eir a

inil w

e to

muntr

nifery.

ore I

are

ment c

itión -

2. 4

neref biech

Eve

els w

He wi

enc

rals rill co

ruits d

aped

erefo

adva

otake

De, co

enable le, be

And t

t was

hid z

here was nothing to prevent civil wars; and fuch wars, of

ourse, took place.

taining

ceffion; om the has on

which

and un-

of elec.

on will

d caufes

; but

ource of

prevent

o deny, proper

ITS CON-

present

hich is Mary to

cuftom

s to be

om, or

nerally

violati-

thority

hed by

here a

k it.

land at

to the

whole

they e to o-

only;

Abere

But the uniform practice of feveral centuries elapfed fince hele wars, a much greater degree of civilization, a diminuion of the power of the Nobility, and an encrease of that of & Commons, all ensure obedience to the present law of heditary fuccession. The civil wars which followed the revonion, were, in a manner, the effect of election. No person ow, however honourable, rich, and powerful, except the or apparent, can, for a fingle moment, entertain a rational ope of mounting the throne; and thus is a period put to all mil wars concerning the fuccession. " An hereditary succesto the Crown is now established in this and most other nuntries, in order to prevent that periodical bloodshed and ifery, which the history of ancient imperial Rome, and the ore modern experience of Poland and Germany, may shew are the confequences of elective kingdoms ." " A fettlesent of this kind puts an end to intrigue, and ftiffes amition +."

2. Another advantage of hereditary succession is, that it strefts the king more in the prosperity and happiness of his bjects, than he would be, were the crown elective.

Every king must know, that his riches, power, and greates will ever bear an exact proportion to those of his subjects. he will, therefore, naturally fludy, not only for their advange, but for his own, and that of his family, every means encreasing their riches and numbers, of cultivating their orals, and of affording them fecurity and happiness. ill confider the whole kingdom as an improveable effate, the wits of which are not only reaped by himfelf, but may be aped by his descendants down to the latest posterity. And, erefore, not only the love of his people and his own personadvantage, but a natural regard to his family, sill lead him take all those measures, which may encourage piety, virw, commerce, arts, and population; on which he must be mible, that not only the fecurity and happiness of his peoe, but his own and those of his family must entirely depend. had this we find is actually the case under our present King. twas faid of Cætar " that he loved his country, because he Ad to govern it." The fame hope, either for himfelf, or his

† Spirit of Laws b. 5. c. 14.

[.] Blackstone's Commentaries v. 1. p. 193.

tative

aho

Bu

nona

errec

era r

and b

world

of an

tary i

Laive

gives

a pec

Co

T

of oth

they l

of aco

tuous

priety

If,

he in

vitiate

phat .

those o

But ic i

ime an

las, o

a fad cl ton free nor bes

m

Th

descendants, must produce patriotism in the breast of every king of Britain; and patriotism will induce him to study the advantage of his people.

But it cannot be expected, that either the king in an elective monarchy, or the chief magistrate in a republic, should fo interest themselves in the posperity of the state. The emeluments and honours of their offices are but temporary and confined to themselves. They last only for one life, or for a year or two; nor are they enjoyed by their families, except by the accident of some of them being elected. The general interest, therefore, of fuch chief magistrates in the welfare of the community, must be too finall, and their feparate intend too great, to lead them to be fo studious as an hereditary kin would be, of promoting the riches, morals, and ftrength of the people. There would be great danger of their fludying their own separate interest so much as to hurt the interest of the kingdom. They might be induced to take irregular and oppressive methods to enrich themselves and their friends; and this, they would be apt to do in proportion to the shorters of the duration of their power. In short, where the crown's hereditary, the king is like the proprietor of an estate, who takes care to cultivate it in such a manner, that the foil may be improved; where it is elective, the king as well as the chief magistrate in a republic, is like a tenant who removes at the expiration of a fhort leafe, and who exhaults the foil to is crease his store.

3. In hereditary monarchies of long flanding, the king, a in this, derives from a race of illustrious ancestors, a peculiar dignity and splendous, that is, a peculiar authority.

It is to no purpose either to attempt to ridicule, or to are gue in a captious manner, against that sentiment of respect, which men entertain for some families for the sake of their ancestors. The sentiment in its just degree, is natural, and influences the philosopher as well as the pealant; and government must be adapted, not to what some may imagine mea ought to feel, but to what they do feel. Kings, therefore, like other men, will be valued for the greatness and splendow of their ancestors. One cause which contributed not a little to the popularity of Henry the eighth at his accession to the throne, was his descent from the illustrious houses of You and Lancaster, and his being, on that account, the representations

of every thire of all the Norman kings, and allied to all the Saxon, fludy as he had ever ruled over all England.

But that dignity and splendour which kings in hereditary monarchies, derive from their ancestors, as it cannot be confirmed on the chief magistrate either in an elective kingdom, or republic, so it is really so much influence or authority; and by this persuasive force alone in the last place, is the world governed; without it, it would be perpetually a scene of anarchy and horror:

These therefore, are advantages resulting from an hereditary succession to the Crown in this country. It prevents those civil wars, which, were the office of chief magistrate e-lestive, would arise from the ambition of great men; and it gives the king a peculiar authority to govern his subjects, and a peculiar interest in governing them well.

R. T.

LETTER XXXIX.

Objections to the Hereditary Monarchy of this Country Answered.

COUNTRYMEN,

an elec

. Thould

he emo

rary and

or for a

, except

general

elfareo

e interel

ary king

ength d

Rudying

ular and nds; and shortness

crown is

foil may

s at the

il to is

king, u

peculia

or to are

refped,

of their

ral, and govern-

ine men

erefore,

a little

to the

f York

prelen

tative

T is certainly no flattery of kings to fay, that they are men, that their minds and bodies are naturally like those of other individuals of the human race; and it is evident, that they have all opportunities of improving their natural parts, of acquiring that knowledge of men and things, and those virtuous habits, which qualify them for discharging with propriety, the duties of their high station*.

If, on the one hand, flattery, power, and riches obstruct the improvement of their nature, or tend, in any degree, to stitute it, on the other, the consciousness of their dignity, of that mankind naturally expect from them, and of their obli-

gations

When Mr Paine speaks of kings, he represents all sorts of them as these eastern monarch who are, all their lives, thut up in a seraglio, that it is evident, that the King of Britain has as great opportunity at one time and another, of knowing mankind in general, as any of his subject, or indeed any man whatever, except those who have experienced and change from a very affluent to a very poor condition; and they, the frequently, know them in such a way, as leads them neither to love, as benefit them.

gations to their people, must be a simulus to their diliger in acquiring what they ought to be possessed of, a preferrat against injurious and base actions, and a strong incentive worthy conduct. Adulation blinds, pleasure stupifies, flor finks and confumes, avarice and ambition prompt; but the fentiment of shame which creates the fear of doing what would fully the character, and of neglecting what is necessary to gi it a due degree of brightness, is, perhaps, the strongest pri ciple of human conduct. And its operation in preferrings person from what is mean, and leading him to what is exce lent, must generally be in proportion to his station, and what mankind expect from him. Our laws, therefore, confiden the word of noblemen as equivalent to the oath of others But if such be the effect of this principle on that class of men, what must be its influence on Kings! They having the fame nature with other men, a much higher station, and of confequence a much higher character to support, should generally be better than others. If they are not, it must be ascribed to certain peculiarities in their situation. E. very flation of life is more exposed to certain temptations, and more liable to certain foibles than another; and if we would wholly prevent those weaknesses which grow in an affluent and dignified flation. we must reduce all men to an a quality of fortune. But this would be, not only to oppose the appointment of the Lord of all, but to cut off the head of the hydra. A number of imperfections and vices would inmediately shoot up in place of one that should be cut down. Had not the great men in the republic of Rome, have not those in that of France, the same soibles and vices with the great men in monarchies? Was Cæfar less ambitious, or Anthony less voluptuous. than they would have been, had they been Kings, or the subjects of a monarchy? Would Robe spierre have been more vicious, had he been Louis? No! He must have been less. He would have been free from that crowd of fuspicions, which, like furies, haunted his steps, and changed him into a monfler.

This is plain, that kings have naturally the same dispositions and talents with other men; that though they have temptations, in some degree, peculiar to all men in a high station, they have also, in a higher degree than others, the strongest metives to acquire and practice all the noble and splendid virtues, and consequently those also of an inserior kind, as the

former,

forme

is the

native

fing :

heads

vernir

banef

qualif

dertta

try p

ey; it

a Tuffi

the g

but to

which

fach :

lective

comp

pever

which

king

the fi

nefs ;

Shou

he m

from

lifelf

his po

ally c

in the

bas

conri

difad

anoth

the p

who

mitur

† T

In the

public

Sh

In

former, in a great measure, depend upon the latter . But it is the throne of an hereditary limited monarchy, that is the native foil of those virtues which are mild, gentle, and pleafing: And never there do those malignant vices lift up their heads, which rife from jealoufy, and which, in elective gosemments, whether monarchical or republican, diffuse their baneful influences among the people.

In hereditary monarchies, the heir apparent may be unqualified to govern either by his minority, or a defect of understanding; but, in both cases, the constitution of this country provides a remedy. In the former, it provides a regeney; in the latter, it ordains, that the legislature shall provide a fufficient fucceffor. And though a regency be not equal to the government of a king; yet the evils incident to it, are but temporary, and even while they continue, less than those which from fimilar causes, must be perpetually suffered, in fuch a country as this, under the government either of an e-

lective monarchy, or of a republic.

iligeno

ervalin

ative t

, flot

out th

work!

to give

ft pris.

rving a

CXCC.

d what

enfider

others

lafe of

ing the

n, and

(hould

ot, it

ations,

if we

an af-

an c

oppole

read of

ild in-

down.

ve not

th the

or An-

d they

Robe

! He

n that

s, and

ponti-

temp-

ation, onget

id vir-

as the

rmet,

Should the king in a hereditary limited government, he comparatively weak and vicious, a case which I hope will never happen in this country, which may God forbid, but which may here be very innocently supposed) should such a king rule, there is little to be apprehended to the liberties of the subject, from a character that is wholly made up of weakness; for vice itself is confessedly the greatest weakness. Should he be able and vicious, a character rarely, perhaps, to he met with, in this country, the parliament can prevent him from doing material injury. Even the House of Commons itself can, by refusing him supplies, deprive him of almost all his power. Or if a king of ability and ambition should, in any degree, invade the liberties of his subjects, they have it in their power easily to recover their ground, when a less able, and ambitious king afcends the throne. And thus, in the course of the succession of princes of different characters, the disadvantages of one reign are balanced by the advantages of another. In perufing the hiltory of England, we find, that the people have obtained almost all their privileges from kings who were in some respect weak; weak either by a defect of natural ability, by vice, by a flaw in their title to the Crown,

The conquerer of the R. ft was frugal, that he might be munificent. In the expence of his household, he was the private Macedouisn sin his public expences, he was Alexander. Spirit of Laws.

en!

fp

it (

a t

per

to

ing

tain

and

del

tim

can

me

enf

JuA

pol

13 4

moi

cal the

par

of I

fwe

WOL

any

ever

prop

of with

this

inci

for

by want of money, by an exorbitancy of power in the Nobility and leading men among the Commons, or by some certain combination of these causes. At present, it should seem, that the subject has more to sear from a diminution of the royal power in the hands of a weak king, than from its increase un-

der the government of a man of ability.

The principal excellence of machinery depends on its doing a great deal of work with very little human affiltance; and the great excellence of our government is, that it answers all the purposes of a good government, without requiring of the chief magistrate any thing but a general inspection and direction of public affairs. But this very excellence of it gives meas of Mr Paise's cast an opportunity of ridiculing the office of the Crown as merely a sinecure; though every body sees, that a petty farmer, or tradesman, who manages his affairs with common prudence, may be much more at his ease, than he

who fits on the throne.

It is the power of the king in this country, duly limited by the conflitution, that is, by the laws, the Lords and Commons, and the just use of other privileges of the people, it is this authority, or power thus limited, that keeps all right, that prevents confusion, and that causes " the laws to reign." As the power of gravitation, combined with that of motion in a direct line, preserves order on the surface of this earth, and among the heavenly bodies, and gives us the light and heat of the fun, the beauty of fummer and the plenty of autumn; fo it is the great power of the King in this land, combined with the power of parliament and other privileges of the people, that is the cause of justice. security, riches, and happinels. Deftroy either of these powers, and you destroy the whole effect. Like the unfeen, filent energy in the works of nature, or rather like that Divine Hand which produced nature, this compound power of the conflitution operates regularly and unremittingly, " both when we wake and when we fleep;" and like that it is productive of general happinels. There are some who have no sensible perception of the hand of God in the works of nature, though his unfeen, but uniform operation is the unremitting cause of all existence, life, motion, perfection, and happinels; and, in like manner, there may be others who either do not fee, or fee with indifference, that the power of the King in this country, is, under God, one principal cause of our national felicity. Yet, in neither

Nobill.

certain

n, that

e royal

afe un-

doing

; and

vers all

of the

direc-

es men

ice of

, that

s with

an he

ed by

Com-

, it is

right,

ign."

otion

earth.

t and

f au-

com.

of the

hap.

y the

ks of

ed na-

regu-

n we

inels.

hand

uni-

life,

there

ence,

God.

ither

ease does ignorance, or infensibility, alter the fact. This just and beneficial power of the Crown is what the security, professity, and happiness of all require; but it is vain to expect it either in an elective monarchy, or in a republic, however condituted, in such a nation as this; in which there would be a thousand to despise, and a million to envy, the person, or persons, who should be stationed at the helm of assairs; and to employ their power, at every short interval, in accomplishing a revolution.

Whatever some men may affect, no man having the due use of his understanding, can seriously despite the Crown of Britain. To the greatest subject, it is at once the most awful, and amongst the most valuable objects, in nature. How tremenduous, how venerable must that governous be, who, under the supreme governous of the universe, can by a simple delegation of his power, revocable at pleasure, make at one time war, and at another paace, to the ends of the earth; who can, without noise, tumult, or opposition, bring the most powerful offender to justice, as a father administers chastisement to his son; who alone, by the due exercise of his power, ensures the lives, property, and liberty of millions!

But let us, with all decent respect to this very great and illuftrious authority, let us, for once, and for a moment, suppole what is absolutely false, that the King of this country, is "a mere pageant of state." Causes, in appearance, the most despicable, are frequently, both in the moral and physical world productive of the most important effects; and it is the mark of a great genius to observe such causes, that of a harrow understanding, to overlook them. Whatever any king of this land may be as a man, as a chief magistrate, he anfwers the intention of such a magistrate better than any other would, veiled with a greater or less power, and better than any number of fuch magistrates, possessed of any power what-And though the money necessary to support him in his proper station, to make him what he really is, the benefactor of all, be, indeed, a very great fum in cumulo; yet to the share of any individual subject, it is but a trifle; and, compared with the advantages derived from his government, it is nothing. Even that expence is much less than what would be incurred by the nation under a republican government, fuch, for instance, as that of France. It does not require much arithmetic to fee, that it is easier to support one man in a royal Ccz

wit

of t

tion

gov

pof

cert

war

be c

tites

by a

Aner

conf

own

form

the o

neur

a cre

prac

firate oblig

that woul fpect it be

(an i

tectly

be the

perha

of fu

oppo

the n

the n

burns

gener

peace

great

infurr

flate than five. But though the magnificence and fplendoor of republican rulers should be less than that of monarchs; yet fuch rulers are always confcious, that they hold their power by a precarious tenure; and, to supply the want of that anthority, in some degree, inseparable from a hereditary king, they are under a necessity of privately diffusing large sums a. mong their partizans, winking at oppression, and distipating the revenue in various ways, so as to gratify the avarice of their adherents. 'This wafte of public money, or rather the excess of expence in republics such as the French, above the necessary expence of such a government as the British, mult always bear a proportion to the opposition which its rules meet with, and therefore, though very great, it is incalcul-Cromwell had left him in money and flores, by the Parliament, 1,200,000 pounds; his revenue was greater than that of any of the kings had been; and great fums came into the treasury from the sale of crown, church, and private lands; vet he died two millions in debt . This greater public expence is to be ascribed chiefly to the expensive nature of republican governments. Kings pay with honours, what republican rulers must pay with gold. R. T.

LETTER XL.

The Government of this Country is preferable to one whelly Elective.

COUNTRYMEN,

THE government of this country is wholly representative, as every individual in the nation is fully and fairly represented; but it is not wholly elective. Were it whelly elective, however conflituted and pamed, it would differ little from an elective republic; it would be liable to all the disadvantages of that form of government; and like that, though constituted democratical, it would, at one time, become an aristocracy, and, at another, a despotism. One unspeakable advantage of our form of government, considered in connexion

[·] Hume's Hift. of England, v. 7. p. 338

⁺ See Letter on the Prefent flate of our Representation.

and

with its other qualities, is, that the Crown and the honours of the Nobility are hereditary; by which means, those revolutions and tyrannies which naturally foring up in republics or governments wholly elective, are here rendered next to impossible. But were the government wholly elective, it is as certain, that we should experience sluctuation of power, civil wars, and all their attendant horrors, as that animal life will be extinguished by a certain excessive indulgence of the appetites and passions. Both forts of calamity would be produced by a violation of the same general law of nature, an undue influence and exertion of power in certain parts of the respective

conflitutions.

ndoor

; yet

DOWER

t au-

king,

ms a-

ating

ice of

er the

e the

mult

rulen

alcul-

y the

than

e into

lands

c ex-

of re-

repu-

bolly

fenta-

fairly

cily e-

tittle

difad-

hough

me an

akable

rexion

with

Though this nation had a right, and were fo blind to its own interest as, to change its government from its present form to a republic, in which every office should be elective, the office of chief magistrate or magistrates, would be very nearly pediaps, wholly, as much the object of contention, as a crown in elective monarchies. It is vain to mention the fuppression of canvasting for such offices; for that has never been practicable. It is vain to expect, that the supreme magitrates for the time being, should by means of the public force, oblige the candidates to abstain from violence. For should that force be the people themselves, they are parties, and would turn their arms against each other to support the respective candidates, whose cause they should favour. Should it be a body of regular forces possessed of no right of voting (an idea, however, inconfiftent with that of a government perfeetly elective) what courage could the magistrates have to command thefe troops against those who next moment were to be their mafters? Or how should an army be conducted against those men, to whom the commanders should next day, perhaps, look up for promotion? But supposing the election of fuch chief magistrates is conducted with little tumult or opposition. By what force, are the malecontent party through the nation to be prevented from rebelling? By the force of the nation. But that force is itself divided into parties; it burns with the flame of contention, and is ready to kindle a general conflagration. In Britain, all elections are conducted peaceably: but this is, in the last place, owing folely to the greatness of the executive power which can easily suppress any insurrection, to that power being constantly lodged in one Ccs

and the fame hand, and to the comparatively unimportant of

fices for which elections are made.

Let any man dispassionately consider what would be the confequences of an election to the chief magistracy of fuch a nation as this, or France, and he will be perfuaded, that ther would most frequently be civil wars, and all the mischiefs and horrors attending them. The honours and emoluments of the office are, and, in order to good government, ever mult be, next to royal. Ambition (perhaps avarice) enflames the candidates. All the great take a fide. All the little, through influence, attachment, corruption, or even conviviality, fol-Every man, magistrate and subject, is a partizan. The election is made, we will suppose, without insurrection. But there is one party disappointed. Infurrections become frequent in places remote from the feat of government. new executive power, commands them to be suppressed. But who is to obey? The public force however composed, is composed of partizans. They in part, as well as the rest of the nation are flung with disappointment, and burn with impatience to take vengeance on those who, they suppose, have When they receive orders, therefore, to injured them. march against the infurgents, they either quarrel among themselves, or the ditassected file off, and augment the Arength of those rebels, whom they were intended to subdue. At last both parties take the field; and the fword finally decides the election.

That this is not mere theory, but what would most frequently happen in such countries as this and France, were the office of the chief magistracy elective, history, the school of wisdom, will convince us; I say in such countries as this and France; for there may be states, such as those of America, in which the effects of such elections must be less detrimental. The King of Poland was in reality, but the chief magistrate of a republic; he seems to have been less distinguished from his electors, than the directory of France from those great men in that country, whose wills must ever determine the olections, though there should be twenty stages from the primary assemblies to that which elects the chief magistrates; and yet in Poland, the election of their king, director, or president, mames make no difference) was productive of circumstances.

vil war.

At Rome, there was no crown to contend for. Their chief

Colena In the the n the of my) came Sylla tus. f as mu dom o tentio Thefe Rome it bor in ext that g which

thing

factio

into t Sin

igni

conte tory Pitittr conte which banish influer and p he hin ed the knowi with a ents, nity. or eve and th

murde The nt of.

he the

luch a

t they

fs and

nts of

muft

es the

rough

, fol-

tizan.

Clion.

ecome

The

But

s com-

of the

impa-

have

e, to

mong

t the

o fub.

final-

It fre-

re the

ool of

ris and

erica,

ental.

iftrate

from

great

the e

rates

of ci-

Their

thief magistrates were two Confuls. They had, indeed, onity annexed to their office; but not the dignity and folendour of royalty. And their office lasted but two years. In thort, the confuls were not much raised above the level of the nobility, and their elevation was very temporary. Yet the office of Conful (foretimes even the command of an arav) fired the ambiton of the great men at Rome, and became an object, for which they contended in civil wars. Sylla and Marius, Cafar and Pompey, Anthony and Augustus, fuccessively contended with each other for the ascendant, much as if the object of their contention had been a kingdom or empire; which the government was not till their contentions had rendered it fuch; and then it became despotic. These contentions, it deserves to be remarked, happened at Rome, not when it bore a refemblance to America, but when it bore the greatest resemblance to this country and France, in extent of territory, in a standing mercenary army, and in that great, but necessary inequality in the possession of wealth, which various causes had produced ; and which above all other things enabled the popular rich men to divide the state into factions, and to bring armies of citizens against each other into the field.

Similar inftances of civil wars and tyranny, occasioned by contention for the chief power, might be quoted from the hiftory of Athens. No fooner was the power of the fons of Philtratus Suppressed, than Califthenes and Isagoras began to contend for it. Their contention produced first a civil war in which the former, together with 700 Athenian families, was banished, and then a war with Sparta . By the advice and influence of Alcibiades, the richeft, the most accomplished. and perhaps the best descended of all the Athenians, though he himself was, at the time, an exile, their leaders abolish. ed the democracy, and substituted in its place an arithogracy known by the name of the 400 tyrants. These governed with absolute power, " put to death some" of their opponents, banished others, and confiscated their estates with impunity. All who ventured to oppose this change of government. or even to complain of it, were butchered under falle pretexts; and those were intimidated, who demanded justice of the murdererst."

The Athenians, indeed, deposed those tyrants; but they

[·] Goldfmith's Hill. of Greece p. 8. † Id. p. 200

were foon succeeded by others. Their mutual content had involved them in a war with Sparta; which obliged the to accept for their democracy, the government of thirty dividuals, commonly called the thirty tyrants. Thefe, ink of compiling a more perfect body of laws, the pretence their being chosen, having rid themselves of Alcibiades Theramenes, the only persons likely to oppose them, by curing the death of the one, and obliging the other to de the juice of the fatal hemlock, in the manner of the decem and triumvirs at Rome, they committed the greatest inju and atrocities. " Each fingled out his victim, whom s put to death, and conficated their estates. Nothing pa through the city but imprisonments and murders. citizens of any confideration in Athens, and who retail any love of liberty, quitted a place reduced to fo hard shameful a slavery, and fought elsewhere an allylum and treat, where they might live in fafety "." Of thefe volum ry exiles a body conducted by Thrafybulus, expelled, inde the thirty tyrants, and substituted ten persons to govern their rough; but their conduct proved no better than that those whom they succeeded t. Thefe contentions among t leaders of the Athenians for the chief power, " this intell fury," to use the words of Xenophon, " consumed as m in eight months as the Peloponehan war had done in eight wears."

Those instances of civil wars, executions, proscriptions, and banishments, which have been now quoted from the hills ries of the republics of Rome and Athens, and which are from the ambition of popular leaders aspiring to the sovereign ty of their country, are so like those which have arisen from the same causes in the republic of France in the course of the el vation and fall of different factions, that they must first every one with their resemblance. Indeed the likeness is to obvious, that the different parties in the last mentioned contary have reproached each other with the epithets of decembers.

virs and tyrants.

Neighbouring nations view the late atrocities of France with horror, and some are apt to look on the authors of them as diffinguished, by their wickedness, from the rest of maskind. But such men are to be found in every country, though it is seldom that their wickedness has such a theatre, is

pro

ern

BUIT

in th

Coms

208

polit

amt

lice,

en l

en,

ve

abitio

hvert

uld

ppin

But

her

ery j

mm e

anfe c

recut

triun

ents

inide

eac

ior p

88 ;

ge

overs

uch a

onar

ernm

formly

art o

[·] Goldfmith's Hift. of Greece p. 330, 331.

ontentio

iged the

thirty

le, ink

etence

iades

by

to de

decem

ft inju

non t

All

retail

ard

and

volug

inde

overn

that

ong

intel

is man

n cig

iption

e hif

h are

ereig

n fra

of u

Rril

fs is

COUR

France

then manintry; tre, in which 333-

hich to display itself. The peculiarity of their wickedness produced rather by their fituation and the nature of their ernment, than by any thing peculiar in their own nature. number of men without principle found themtelves suddenin the fituation of leaders. This kindled or fanned in their foms the firme of ambition. Their ambition found the ans of gratification; but it met with oppolition. position being ineffectual was but a wind that blew the flame ambition into a conflagration; which confumed humanity, dice, religion, patriotifm, and every thing which stood been them and the object of their ardent delire. Had they ch, as we, in this country, are, under the government of every powerful and permanent, but limited nearl, their abition would have had fufficient play; and, intead of beerting the government, and caufing the greatest calamity, ald only have contributed to general liberty and national poinels.

But in all countries such as this and France, where there is hereditary governour, ambition having unlimited scope, ery powerful man may afpire to the direction of public af-The ambition of fuch men meeting with opposition m each other, produces contention; contention is the infe of civil war; and fuch war is followed by profcriptions, necutions, and all that varied train of miferies produced by triumphant and enraged faction. The men in fuch govern-They are constantly ents have no effectual bond of union. hided into parties, which thand nearly in the same relation each other, as different nations, there being no great supepower to bridle their passions, and moderate their contenas; and therefore, whilft the world Itands, they never can ig enjoy internal peace and fecurity. Hereditary kingly wernment, therefore, as established in this country, is, in sch a nation as this infinitely preferable, not only to elective onarchy, however conflituted, but to all thate elective goemments, which are called republican, but which are uniformly oligarchical, or arittocratic, always oppretlive to fome part of the community, and frequently tyranuic.

R. T.

LETTER XLL

erici

ende

eftat

-

pre

1.0

lough reflor

dars, kir pa

It is :

ere fi

e tho

alin t

thei

of thi

d at

ien ac

d neg

ordin

2 T

m in

The

ne who

a the

enienc

Alio

a fe

w har

perio

Of the Government of the United States of America, and s

COUNTRYMEN,

ROM what has been advanced in feveral preceding letters, it is evident, that hereditary monarchy, duly mited, is, in such a nation as this, preferable to an elect government, whether monarchical, or republican, howe conflituted in other respects. But to this conclusion, dras from the nature of man as well as facts in history, the with regard to the government of the United States America, will probably be objected. But admitting, t Inch a government is a happy one for America, it does follow, that it would at all answer the end of a good govern ment in this country, because this nation is totally differe from the American. And this difference is the reason w the conflitution of those flates, though advantageous to t Americans, would prove ruinous to us. But in order to this may appear, I shall here take the liberty of present to the reader a few observations on the difference between t two nations.

1. It is natural to expect a greater degree of patrioton, generally, in the United States of America, than in some as-

cient flates.

The American government being a new one, the subjects of it must, from the very circumstances of its movelty, be more patriotic than otherwise they would be. Thus, the Romans, Athenians, and Spartans, glowed with zeal for their respective political establishments, for a considerable time after their commencement. As new friendships are sometimes warmer than old ones; as parents are generally more folicitous for their younger, than for their older children, as the primitive Christians were animated with more zeal, than those was followed them, so, in general, men are more interested in the support of new establishments (if supposed good) than dold ones, not only because the former have novelty to engage the heart, but because they require more care and attention.

But belides, the novelty of the government of the United

and

precedin

, duly

eledi

n, dras

the f

States

ng, t

does 1

d govern

differen

afon w

s to I

der th

ween t

triotila

fome a

fubjetts elty, be

us, the

for their

ime af-

metima

oliciton

e primi

ofe wh

d in the

than o

engage

ntion.

United

States

refentin

hower

tes, and the greater degree of attention, necessary for its sort, than for that of those governments which have 28red flability by their very duration, the patriotifu of the ericans must be greater than that of some ancient nations secount of the flruggle, by which they obtained their inendence. Their government is the purchase of the treaand blood of those who enjoy it; and as a man who earns effate with much care and labour, values it more than would do, had it come to him without either, fo present race in America must be more attached to their n of government, than fome other nations to theirs ugh equally good) who have inherited them from their But when the novelty of their government is tors. es when succeeding generations receive it from their anike any other inheritance, without trouble or expence; ir patriotism will suffer a diminution.

It is true, when a government is good, though ancient, if me should be any danger apprehended to it, its subjects, be those of the British at the present time, will then seel a alin the desence of it, that cannot be surpassed; their partials will glow with a juvenile ardour; the very antiquity, their government will heighten their attachment to it, at this is an extraordinary case; and, therefore, such an lour of patriotism cannot be expected to regulate their cond at other times. Thus, a mother, when any of her chilem are in danger of losing their lives, seels maternal tenmess, in a moment, suit, with violence into her bosom, degligent of herself and every thing else, regardless of all assquences and every risk, she sites to their assistance; though, ordinary cases, she discovers little fondness for them.

2. There must be less ambition among the Americans, as in some ancient nations.

The human character has a certain progress. Men first denumber is necessary for their subsistence and accommodation, of then what is ornamental. When these desires are gratistic, they naturally seek power. But the whole almost of the increases are still employed in procuring necessaries and consiencies; but a few of them comparatively in seeking diinficion in their houses and equipages, and still sewer in canusing for power. Ambition must, as yet, have seized only as sew of them, compared with the rest; and even that whave little lessure and means to gratify it. General Wash-

ington

dry :

for a

muft

rican

deftr

4

than

pove

tones

bribii

gener

nality

deep

fo bar

s not

on m

indivi

nels o

again

thefe

Rome

levere

inftanc

for fuc

Laf

ed) pi

ment;

prefere

jet ex

o mak

ir to

a p

ten be

the b

he spir

d with

other r

ington himself, though possessed of extensive property, he been long intent of cultivating his lands, and of late has a signed the presidency. But when the whole almost of a min on is employed in the pursuit of necessaries, accommodation or ornaments, ambition, or the passion for power, is naturally in a great measure, excluded. And parties never can be enviolent and dangerous in a state, till ambition occupy the hearts of many of its members. It was not when a Roma nobleman was obliged, by his poverty, to attend to his simulation among the service of the service of the world, was supported by the labours of other nations, the certain of her great men, prompted by ambition, sought a domineer over their fellow citizens.

3. Though the number of the ambitious in America we equal to that of fome ancient nations; yet fuch men have

much less in their power to gratify their ambition.

They have not, like the ambitious of Athens and Rome and of many modern states, that great superfluity of wealth which gives influence, and which, being scattered in corrupting the poorer members of society, becomes, in republica states, the means of forming factions. Though there ewere certain dissensions among the Greeks and Romans; just was not till they became rich, and till riches came to be very unequally possessed (which is not generally the case America) that the rich leaders in either nation were able, to corrupting the people, to give their dissensions that thread which rendered them sactious, and which, in the end, exist guished patriotism, and ruined liberty.

Another circumstance which must prevent the ambitious America from gratifying their ambition by corrupting the people, and rendering them factious, is the distance, at which the great body of the Americans live from each other. There is fearcely a large town or city in all the territory the United States. The people live scattered over a vast at tent of country, thinly inhabited; their numbers being fearcely one third of the people in Britain *, and their controls.

New York in 1786, contained only 23,614 perfobs; Bofton estains only 14,640; and their other large towns on an average, perhaps to 4 or 5 thousand. Philadelphia, the capital of the United Sun contains only 40,000, that is probably about the 25th part of the subter in London, and the 20th of that in Paris. Morfe's Geograph 2.63.

by about fix times as extensive +; which renders it difficult for artful and defigning men to practice on them. There must, therefore, not only be less ambition among the Ameneans, than in those ancient nations, to which it proved so deftructive, but less power and opportunity of gratifying that paffion.

4. There must be less corruption in the American States

than there was in the ancient republics.

has r

2 02

dation

tura

be we

upy t

Roma

his d

his fam

s of th

ns, th

ught

ica wer

n have i

d Rom

wealth

COTTU

public

here en

ns ; ye

ne to

cafe i

able,

threng

d, exti

bitious

ting !

at wh

h oth

rritory (

valt e

rs be

CH CO

Besides the greater degree of patriotism, arising from the sovelty of their political establishments, which is an antidote to corruption; besides the smallness, in general, of the fortimes of the ambitious, and the difficulty of influencing or bribing the people, on account of the distance at which they generally live from each other, the habits of bribery and venality cannot, from want of time, have ftruck their roots fo deep in that country, as to produce those fruits which proved to baneful to the ancient republics. The work of corruption is not inflantaneous, but gradual; the habit of it rather fleals on men, than is acquired. In new nations, as among young individuals, public opinion, and the innate sense of the baseness of bribery and venality, are peculiarly strong barriers against them. But, as the practice of these vices encreases, these barriers become feeble, and at last, as in Athens and Rome, become almost no barriers at all. The laws may be levere against corruption; but people learn to laugh at thefe inflances of it, that are known, and to feel too little shame for fuch practices.

Laftly, though the people of this country (a few excepted) prefer a limited monarchy to any other fort of government; the body of the Americans most probably give the preference to their republican form. Indeed, they have not experienced the disadvantages of this form in general, to make them wish for a conflicution, in all respects, simiar to that of Britain. And the judgment and inclination f a people, as well as their condition and character in other respects, must be matured and fitted for any fort of overnment, before they can enjoy it with advantage, or ten before they will, in most cases, submit to it. " It Boston en the business of the legislator," fays Montesquieu, " to follow , perhat he spirit of the nation, when it is not contrary to the purthe sur roles of government; for we do nothing fo well as when we the sur roles of government; for we do nothing fo well as when we the sur role of with freedom, and follow the bent of our natural genius."

In

WE CO

why And

they

with

meh :

offal

bert

ons.

powe

ed w

libert

queen

conne

the r

ment

Engl

king

requi

even

fure,

may

come

mons

deed.

bead

this s

bis P

Be

mry,

whic

the i

peace

of th

the d

trigu

citize

T

In America, therefore, there must be more public spirit to patriotifm, less ambition, less power of gratifying that pass on, and less corruption, than in the ancient republics and ever Add to this, that the genius of the fome modern flates. Americans is not yet fitted for a monarchy. On all these as counts, therefore, the people of America are to be confidered as specifically different from those of the republics of Rome and Athens, and even those of Britain and France. And therefore, no reasoning from what the constitution of the American states is, to what the constitution either of Britain or France, ought to be, is conclusive. All things confidered, a fystem of conduct suitable to a child, would answer an adult person as well as the constitution of America, the people of Britain or France. A government, therefore, wholly elective, may very well answer the former country so long as the people retain their present character, and remain in their prefent condition, though it would prove as destructive to either of the latter, as it did to those ancient republics; to which they bear, in many respects, a strong resemblance.

But the difference between America and France or Britain is not necessary, but casual. It is owing to the novelty of the American nation as well as the novelty of its government America is, in all respects, but in its infancy. But when it has arrived at maturity; when the vast extent of its territor is fully peopled; when the wealth of the nation is very much accumulated; and when the diffribution of that wealth, and confequently of influence and power, comes to be very une qual (both of which are the necessary result of liberty and the practice of arts) when numbers, after the country is fully occupied, are crowded together in cities or large town disposed, as at Athens and Rome to corrupt and be corrup ed; then America, like these states, must either suffer the evils of a government wholly elective or democratical, civil diffentions and in the end flavery; or alter its conflictation by infuling into it a greater portion of ariflocracy and monarchy and fuch alteration mult, in order to good government, gra dually increase, for a certain time, nearly in proportion t the increase of population in cities, of general wealth, an of inequality in the property of individuals.

Various causes contributed to the loss of liberty at Rome, but the chief, the sole immediate cause of it was the contributions of a few great men for superiority. But for these,

we except external violence, no reason, perhaps, can be affigned fpirit m why its liberty should not have remained to the present day. at pass And these men never could have contended in the manner and ever they did, had the Romans been governed by a king vested of the with due authority, and possessed of fufficient influence. In thefe so fuch a fovereign, their ambition would have met with an imnfidered paffable barrier; and their activity, intead of overturning f Rome berty, would have been employed in splendid or useful acti-And, of the ons. The duke of Marlborough is faid to have been the most powerful subject of his time in Europe. But, though cover-Britain. ed with laurels won in the most noble cause, the defence of fidered, iberty, how eafily was he stripped of his command even by a an aduk queen of an uncommonly foft temper! What great man in ople of this nation, except the heir apparent alone, however great his ly eles connexions, influence, and talents, can aspire to more than z as the the favour of his King, the approbation of the public, and eir pre-

the reward of merit?

The Americans, fince the establishment of their government, have been, in reality, under a fort of limited monarchy, in all respects, perhaps, necessary to them, like that of England. General Washington has been to them all the king which the character and condition of their nation has yet required. They are sensible of the evils, to which their form of government is peculiarly liable; and their good fenfe, nay even necessity, will prompt them, to do what, in some meafure, they have already done, to make fuch alterations as may be generally useful. Without a doubt, the time will come, when they will be better fitted to a limited hereditary monarchy, than any other fort of government. Happy, indeed, will they be, if they then obtain fuch a permanent head as he who, next to the governour of the world, is, in this nation, the confidence and glory of his subjects, the Father of his People.

Besides the above-mentioned causes, which are but temporary, there are other causes of a kind still more temporary, which, added to the former, will, perhaps, fully account for the election of a chief magistrate, proceeding, at present, peaceably in America. These are the comparative weakness of the Americans, the apprehension of a war with France, the danger of civil diffentions, or a revolution from the intrigues of that country, and the deligns of some of their own citizens, and the fense which the Americans have, of the ne-

Dd 2

ceffity

Rome contes refe, i

o either

ics; to

Britain.

y of the

rnment.

erritory

y much

th, and

ry une-

rty and

y is ful-

towns

fer the

al, civ

tion by

narchy

t, gra

tion t

h, and

ce.

ceffity of peace and tranquillity for the extension of their trade, the cultivation of their lands, the improvement of their manufactures, the extinction of their national debt, and

in a word, national prosperity.

But it is evident, that the rulers of France were of opini. on, upon finishing the new constitution, that a government, wholly elective, would not answer in that country. This appears from the decree of the convention, that the citizens should elect two thirds of their future legislature from their present or the convention itself (which was, in effect, the continuation of power nearly in the fame hands) From their obliging them to vote their compliance with this decree, and the acceptance of the new constitution together; an expedient of the fame kind with that made use of by the tribunes of the people at Rome, when they wished to pass an unpopular law along with one that was popular: I rom their bringing over the army in the first place, or very early, to adopt their measures, which was not only an easy matter, if we consider their influence on the commanders and the necessary subordination of an army, but highly political, as the army could then be made use of to awe or compel the rett: From the use which was actually made of it to compel the citizens of Paris to comply with their proposals; two thousand of whom were killed at one time in attempting refistance.

The rulers of France, indeed, pleaded necessity for their conduct. But that necessity could arise only from the danger, to which the new constitution was exposed, from foreign powers, Royalists, and Jacobins, or from the general aversion to it. Had the constitution been generally popular, its internal enemies would have been but a handful of men to its friends; and both its internal and external enemies would have but encreased the patriotism of a great majority of the nation, and animated them in its defence: If it was not popular, this itself was a sufficient reason for not establishing it; as the inclination of a nation ought to be, in a great mea-

fure, confulted, in the formation of its government.

The fense of the leaders in France with regard to the advantages of a limited monarchy, and their sear of the mischiess which perpetually arise, in such a country, from a government wholly elective, is manifest in their new constitution, which establishes a directory with a president at its head; which ordains that one of the members of the Directory shall

annually

perfo

kgi0

confi

poffe

part

we p

and s

ed as

The

is to

the b

and

ftren

great

peop

which

as th

Telect

4 N

two

And

lar or

ces,

man

men

paffi

its

moft

are I

gove

annually and by rotation go out, and be succeeded by another person; that the choice of directors shall be limited to their legislature; that the choice of that legislature shall be confined to those who pay affested taxes; and that the possession of real property for a year at least shall be a part of the qualification for becoming a legislator. Here we perceive certain refemblances of democracy, aristocracy, and monarchy. The French nation feems to have approached as near to a just mixed monarchy, as the peculiar views of its leaders, and even confiftency in the latter, would admit. The intention, we will suppose, of the present constitution, is to prevent, (as far as can be done by fuch a conflitution) the baneful effects of general elections, and to give that unity and harmony of parts, and flability to the flate, and that frength to the executive power in particular, which are fo great excellencies in the Conflitution of Britain. But as that people ftill want a permanent head, a door is still left open for ambition, faction, and all that train of crimes and miferies, which have already blackened the page of their history as well as that of Rome.

Though the government of France is, by the conflitution, elective; yet it has, as yet, been, in practice, compultive, "Necessity obliged the rulers to compel the people to choose two thirds of their legislature from the convention." Yes. And the same necessity will generally oblige them to adopt similar measures, because such necessity does not depend on the character of those who are in power, or any peculiar circumstances, but on causes which are perpetual, the ambition of human nature, and the unlimited scope, which, in a government like that of France, is given to the gratification of that passion. Were the constitution of Britain like that of France, its rulers, (it may fafely be faid) would find themselves at almost every election under a similar necessity. So that, if we are to judge from experience, the establishment of a regular government, wholly elective, is, in either country, impracticable. R. T.

Dd 3

LET

admifgoutiad; hall

their

nt of

and.

opiniment.

is ap-

izens

their

the

their

and

dient of the

pular

ging

their

ordi-

bluo

the

ns of

their

iger,

rion

s in-

ould

the

pir-

LETTER XLII.

Of the two last Settlements of the Crown.

COUNTRYMEN,

THERE is a difference between power and right. The power of men is what they can do; their right, what they ought to do, enjoy, or posses. Their power is, by the law of their nature, limited and directed by their right, that

is, by what is morally good.

"What a whole nation chooses to do," fays Mr Paine, "it has a right to do." This is not true. No nation, more than any individual, has a right to do any thing but what is allowed by conscience, or what is in itself good, or at least indifferent, that is, neither hurtful to itself, nor injurious to another. Every nation has a right to do just what God allows. This comprehends precisely the whole of what individuals and nations (which are composed of individuals) have a right to do.

A nation or political fociety, as well as individuals, has a right to make a fair contract with any other nation, or with any private party, whether of its own members, or of any other nation; because such a contract is, not only either good in itself, or indifferent, but, in many cases necessary to human happiness. Thus, we find Jacob and Laban entering into a covenant or contract, that the one should not pass beyond

a certain limit to harm the other.

Every right action or duty is binding in its own nature: But there are many things, indifferent in themselves, which hecome duties only in consequence of a contract, or the promises of parties; as whether A shall deliver 100 bolls of wheat to B or C, prior to any contract or agreement; though a fair bargain with either to do this to him, makes it the duty of A to deliver it to him, with whom the bargain is made. Thus, a man's duty is, in many cases, determined only by agreement or contract.

In every contract, there are mutual promifes, or a promife and acceptance. And this promife or acceptance may be fignified by words, writ, or any other fign, understood by both parties. A contract is binding, because men being naturally

dilpofed

disposed actually thus pr

non it

their nade b

ditions

A fa

ing, bu ever ha bir cor

man ha

The ne

fons wh United Every I

being a

other t

means

fipulat

tels So

riform

which !

mage

people

led to

· To

atra Els

bority,

bulinels of fair o

kind the

Contra 9

contract

and of a

Who

diposed to rely on the voluntary promises of each other, they adually depend on the sulfilment of such promises; what is his promised, becomes a part of their estate, and they act upon it, in many cases, with as much certainty, as if it were in their possession. And, therefore, he who breaks a promise sade by him to another, in a fair contract, does him an injury. Men, therefore, are as much bound to perform the conditions of a fair contract, as to abstain from injury or wrong.

A fair contract is binding, not only on the parties contracting, but on their posterity, if the latter be included in it. It mer has been the practice of men to bind their posterity by hir contracts; and it ever will be their practice, because human happiness requires it, and even human necessity urges it. The new constitution of France, (in the opinion doubtless of those who made it) binds probably about one million of persons who were not of age when it was made; and that of the United States of America, perhaps one half of the nation. Every law must bind posterity, more or less, in order to its being a law; and every law is, in its own nature, binding for ever, if, (as is the case with regard to the law of hereditary succession to the Crown, in this country) it be preferable to any other that might be substituted in its place.

When this nation settled the Crown by contract, through means of its representatives (the only way, in which it could sipulate) first, on William and Mary, and then on the Princuis Sophia, and their heirs and successors, it followed that uniform practice amongst men, of contracting or covenanting, which human bappiness and human necessity require and justify. The contract made between this nation and these personages respectively, is the same with that which now subsists between the King and his subjects. In that contract, the people of this nation, by means of its representatives, promised to submit to the government of limited, protestant, here-

The what y the that

" it

than llowdiffeanoows. s and ht to

has a with ny ogood hug inyond

hich proheat fair of A hus, gree-

figboth rally pofed

^{*} To shew the right which men have to bind their passerity by fair, astracts, it is sufficient to observe, that, without such contracts, neither passed constitutions, nor civil laws, could be binding, that is have auditively, or be really constitutions or laws; and that neither could the business of mankind be transacted. These things render the obligation of fair contracts on posterity necessary. The uniform practice of mankind shows that mankind have considered it as necessary. And when a thing is necessary, the necessary of it justifies it. A wrong or injurious contract is not binding even on the injured party who makes it; but the contract between the King and People of this country, is necessary, fair, and of advantage to all parties.

confe

necef

Th

ments

The g

diims

25.10

their t

FOVETT

tinent.

thereb

Locand

the fire

more :

mandin

time,33

wère to

polntio

by the

n prop

Prior

the law

And all

a Will

m on

ad con

King an

d, in

mee, of

overne mited

A fat

is wh

melemen

Ihall

nith a qu

Villiam

ereditar

ditary kings, and the king to govern them according to the laws of the realm, that is, according to laws of their own making, as they, by means of their representatives either

made, or (what is equivalent) adopted them +.

It is impossible, therefore, that any contract could be more fair than this. But a fair contract is binding, even though one of the parties should come to suffer loss by it; as when a person suffers loss in consequence of any fair bargain; much more, therefore, must it be binding, when both parties sand their advantage in it. In whatever manner some other nations different from ours, may be governed, it is as certain as any thing future, that we cannot be governed by any other sort of rulers, than kings that are limited and hereditary, without suffering unspeakable loss and misery. And whatever words were used at the respective settlements of the Crown, referred to above, the full sense and spirit of what the nation at either settlement promised, was to submit to the government of such kings.

But neither settlement precluded the nation from improving the Conflitution and Laws, as its uniform practice fince proves. It only binds it to the government of hereditary, limited, protestant kings, that is, binds it to what is indif-

penfibly necessary to its own happiness.

The right to the Crown of this realm is not a divine right for it was conferred by the nation: It is not an unalienable or indefeafible right; for in case of incapacity, or other necessary reason, the parliament, that is, the rerpesentatives of the whole nation, that is, the different parts of the nation, each in its proper and legal place and way, may alter or new-model the successor: "And to deny this doctrine is now made highly penal," 6 Ann. c. 7\frac{1}{2}. The King of this land, and his successor, each in his turn, have a right to govern the people according to the laws. This right, they have, because the thing in itself is morally good, because it was conferred

[†] Mr Pain does not fay one word with regard to the altering of the fuccession to the Crown. Indeed, to have done so would have been contrary to those principles of liberty, which he pretends to adopt. The contract, therefore, between the King and People of this country, is to be considered here, as if no such alteration had been made, but simply in itself, or with regard to the justice or morality of it considered merely as a contract. It may be observed, however, that the necessity of the case justised the nation in what it then did.

^{\$} See Blackstone's Commentaries, b. 1. c. 3.

conferred on them by a national contract, and because it is

necessary to national happiness

to the

r own

either

e more hough

when

much

es find

er na

tain as

other ry, wi-

hatever

Crown,

nation

OVETO

roving

e fince

ditary,

s indif-

rights

ienable

her ne-

ives of

nation,

or new-

is now

is land, govern

y have,

it was nferred

pt. The

of the

The whole of what Mr Paine favs of the two laft fettlements of the Crown, is reducible to untruth, or to nothing, The general proposition from which he argues, or rather dedaims, "that a whole nation has a right to do what it choofis falle. And what he fays of " taking from pollerity their rights," and of " commanding how the world is to be governed to the end of time" is either falle or firially imper-For the generation which fettled the Crown, did not thereby "take from posterity their rights" (which he very meandidly represents Mr Burke as faying *) but what had the frongest tendency to secure them, by limiting the Crown nore than formerly. And fo far were they from " conmanding how the world was to be governed to the end of time," that they did not even determine how they themselves wire to be, in every respect, governed; for soon after the repolition, several very important political laws were enacted by them; and fimilar alterations in the laws have been made, on proper occasions, ever fince.

Prior to all political laws and contracts, men are bound by the laws of God and of their own nature, to do their duty, and to purfue their own happiness in the way of their duty. And all that was done at the fettlement of the Crown, first, william and Mary, and then, on the family of Hanover, monly applying this general law to those particular cases, ad confirming the obligation of it by a contract between the ling and People. For it is certain, that, in this country, wality, the secure enjoyment of life, liberty, and property, nd, in fhort, human happiness, closely depend on the obserunce of such a contract, or, in other words, on our being overned, according to the laws of the realm, by hereditary

mited kings.

A father furely has a right to improve his estate, and leave in its improved condition, to his children; and this preciseg of the dis what our ancestors did at the revolution and the after

I shall close what hath been faid on hereditary government ry, is to with a quotation from that learned and judicious writer, Sie finnly william Blackstone. "The title to the Crown is at prefeut reditary, though not quite so absolutely hereditary as for-

[.] Rights of Men, part I. p. 57.

merly. Formerly the descent was absolute, and the Crown went to the next heir without any refriction; but now, upon the new settlement, the inheritance is conditional, being limited to such heirs only, of the body of the princess Sophia, as are protestant members of the church of England, and are

married to none but protestants."

" And in this due medium consists, I apprehend, the true conflitutional notion of the right of fuccession to the imperial crown of these kingdoms. The extremes, between which it theers, are each of them equally destructive of those ends, for which focieties were formed and are kept on foot. Where the Magistrate, upon every succession, is elected by the penple, and may by the express provision of the laws be deposed (if not punished) by his subjects, this may found like the perfection of liberty, and look well enough, when delineated on paper; but in practice will be ever productive of tumult, contention, and anarchy. And, on the other hand, divine indefeatible hereditary right, when coupled with the doctrine of unlimited paffive obedience, is furely of all conflictution the most thoroughly flavish and dreadful. But when such an hereditary right as our laws have created and vefted in the royal flock, is closely interwoven with those liberties, which we have feen in a former chapter, are equally the inheritance of the subject; this union will form a constitution, in theory the most beautiful of any, in practice the most approved, and, I truft, in duration the most permanent. It was the duty of an expounder of our laws to lay this conflitution before the Audent in its true and genuine light; it is the duty of every good Englishman to understand, to revere, to defend it +.

R. T.

† Blackstone's Commentaries, b. s. c. 3. p. 217, 218.

guage ons; dered

OF

confid Win rigin of is Good ment in gents, to foci

As lar con underfifection answer good.

also give by which neither which l OF THE NECESSITY AND ORIGIN OF GO. VERNMENT, AND OF THE FORMATION OF THE BRITISH CONSTITUTION.

LETTER XLIII.

Of the Origin of Government.

COUNTRYMEN.

Crown V. UDbeing ophia.

md are

he true

nperial hich it ends, Where he pea-

epoled ike the

ineated tumult,

divine octrine

itutions en fuch

d in the

which

eritance

theory

proved

vas the

tion be-

duty of

defend

L. T.

WHEN a person asks what is the origin of govern-VV ment, the question, from the ambiguity of language, may be understood as implying three distinct questions; 1. What is the origin of government, abstractly contidered? 2. What is the origin of particular conflictations or forms of government? 3. What is the origin of governours confidered as fuch, or how did they obtain their power?

With regard to the first of these questions, what is the oigin of government, abstractly considered, the plain answer is God. It is he that hath laid the foundation of government in the nature of mankind, by making them moral agents, and subordinate to each other, and by disposing them to fociety, and fubmiffion to just rule. And all right or authority, as well as wisdom, probity, and force, to enact and

accute just laws, is derived from him alone.

As to the fecond question, what is the origin of particuar constitutions or forms of government, if, by thefe, we understand bad forms, the answer is the ignorance, imperfedion, and wickedness of men: But if good forms, the answer is, that they have their origin in the author of all The same being that hath given to every individual, certain rules of life, by which he is to conduct himfelf, bath allo given to all focieties, certain rules, that is, conflitutions, by which they are to manage their public affairs; and, in neither case, have men authority to deviate from the rules which he prescribes. Individuals (and much more societies)

are frequently, in fome meafure, ignorant of the rule; in do they always follow it, when discovered; but neither their ignorance, nor neglect; alters the case. The precept of command of God is their invariable and fole rule. Men both as individuals and focieties, are, by their moral nature, law unto themselves; and conscience, when it is not blinded by prejudice, defire, or passion, in most cases, points out to

them their duty. But as the same rule of life will not, in all cases, answer every individual, nor the same individual at all times, neither does the fame form of government fuit every people, nor the fame people at every period. And hence, though forms of government differ, they may all be equally good in their relation to the subject, and all equally derived from God; I mean derived from him, in the same way with any just rule of private conduct. How it is, that one people comes to have one form of government, and another, another, depends on a variety of circumstance, which it is unnecessary to mention. All that it is necessary here to shew, is, that every good form has its origin in the supreme governour of mankind, as much as any moral law, or right fystem of private conduct.

The last question, what is the origin of governours, confidered as fuch, or how did they obtain their power, admits of various answers. Some governours have acquired their power by force; others, by fraud, or by both; others, by the election of the governed; and others, by inheritance; which ultimately is a species of election, where this rule has been admitted, as in this country, by the governed; for the rule of hereditary fuccession is their choice, and that rule

points out their governour.

But as the express choice, or confent, of the people, is not necessary to conflitute a just law, neither is it always necessary to confer on governours a just power, or authority. Afther has an original or natural right to govern his family well, independent of its choice; and frequently a family has changed into a tribe, or nation, with its representative at its head, whose authority, like that of a father, has been acquisced in by the reft. Sometimes, as feems to have been the case with the Saxons who invaded England, a multitude, from the love of novelty, the spirit of enterprize, the disposition to alfociate, and the defire of possessions, have followed a chief;

and t

ry fu

with

is ju

ther,

after

to ar powe

by fo

the p

pels ;

the at hand,

acqui that i

is line

ation

order

on the juft.

luccef

to hav

to poi

hath c

heque

and ha

choice

the na

neal h

throne

that is a chois

conqui

the na

ment,

the Pr

lamen

and the

those h

by the

W is, in and that chief, and his descendants, in the course of hereditary succession, have become kings by a general acquiescence, without any formal election; in which case, their authority is justly acquired. One nation has been conquered by another, and forced to submit to its laws and governours; but afterwards has not only acquiesced in them, but preferred them is any other. No person will, in this case, say, that the power of its governours was at first just, as it was obtained by force; or that afterwards it was not just, as it was then

the people's choice.

le: no

er thei

cept o

ature,

ided by

out to

anfwer

neither

nor the

orms of

heir re-

od; I

uft rule

mes to

er, de-

ffary to

t every

f man-

private

, con-

admin

d their

ers, by

itance;

ule has

for the

at rule

is not

ceffary

A fa-

y well,

chang-

s head,

feed in

le with

m the

n to af-

chief:

and

Men

We must admit, that the hereditary fuccession to the crown is, in this country, just, because necessary to general happines; and the justice of this rule being admitted, whether the authority or right of his present Majesty to govern this land, be confidered as founded ultimately on conquest, the equicicence of the people, or their choice, we must admit, that it is a just authority. If it be founded on conquest, he is lineal heir to William the Conqueror, except where a deviation hath been made from the direct line of succession, in order to preserve the liberty and happiness of the nation: If on the acquiescence, or tacit consent, of the people, then his juft, and legal authority is derived from that king and his acceffors, in whose government the people (supposing them to have been subdued) first acquiesced. It may be difficult to point out the precise time in which this happened; but it hath certainly long fince taken place; because the people have hequently had it in their power to revolt from their kings, and have yet acquiefced in their government, or rather made choice of it. If his authority be founded on the choice of the nation, it is a lawful and just authority, because he is liseal heir to the Princess Sophia, who was destined to the throne by the choice of the representatives of the nation. that is by the nation itself in so far as it is capable of making a choice. If we consider, that the crown was offered to the conqueror by the English barons, the then representatives of the nation; that Charles the second was recalled from banih. ment, to wear it, by one convention; that it was offered to the Prince of Orange by another; that it was fettled by Parlament on the Princess Sophia and her heirs and successors; and that his prefent Majesty is legal heir or successor to all those hereditary princes, on whom the Crown was conferred by the nation, or its representatives, there is not a doubt,

Еe

that

that his authority ultimately flows from election, and is immediately derived to him by the law of hereditary successions

But if his authority rest where, next to God, it ought chiesly to rest, on his people's being happier in remaining under his government, than in revolting, and choosing for themselves accepter governour, or other governours, that is, if it he sounded on national advantage, there is no disputing its validity. And so long as this continuous to be the effect of his government, and that of his successors, he is, and they will be, governours of this nation by a divine right, not by that interestible divine right which has been justly exploded, but by that eternal one, by which whatever is just and right, derives its authority from the sountain of restitude.

Upon a review of what hath been here faid, it will appear, that there is not one ground, upon which any chief magistrate can claim his authority, which does not more or less contribute to conflitute his Majefly's; and that all of them, put together, undoubtedly form that moral, legal, and valid right, by which he rules this realm.

R. T.

LETTER XLIV.

The Jame Subject.

COUNTRYMEN,

R Pame tells us, "that all the fources of government may be con prehended under three heads, First, superflition. Secondly, power. Thirdly, the common interest of society, and the common rights of man "." And, in the following page, he says, "that in tracing government to its origin, we shall easily discover, that governments must have arisen either out of the people, or over the people."

Ail wife legislators have laid the seundation of their law in religion, being sensible, that no other soundation could be trusted. It off religious, indeed, have been much corrupted: But the belief, that he who made the world, governs h; that he is the friend of the good, and the enemy of the whilst they continue such; and that there is a suture state, in which men stall be rewarded, or punished, according to their works, has been can non to them all; and, on this conmand belief, have legislators seunded their laws.

Religion

前

fair

god

tur

of a

thu

ind

cy .

in p

fub:

whi

the

61 2

Ritu

the enqual been had their

the b

eft of a

lies

form

Pain

of th

(be 1

of al

prono

they

ed, a

comp

tion o

it was

other

which

† A

See Sp

T

1

Rights of Men, p. 1 p. 24.

Religion has been formetimes mifufed by defigning men, who have thereby gained too great an afcendant in civil affairs; but the wrong use of it is no argument against its goodness; for our abuse of any blessing does not alter its nature, or make it less a blessing in usels. But if the miniters of any religion have gained an undue influence in government, those amongst us, who are for rendering the church wholly independent of the state, have need to beware of the tendency of their doctrine.

According to Mr Paine, a government which "originates in power," is when a people being fundued, are forced to fubmit to the laws of their conqueror; and a government which has its fource in "the common interest of lociety, and the common rights of man," is, when a nation having met in "their original character," and formed for themselves a constitution, are governed by that which they have formed.

If a man of plain natural fense were defirous of knowing the best of any number of constitutions, he would naturally enquire, not whether the people possessed of any of them had been conquered; but in which of them the forms and laws had the greatest tendency to guard their rights, and promote their happinels: And that conflitution, he would pronounce the best, which was found to have this tendency in the greatest degree. For he must be fensible, that the gooduels of a constitution, like the goodness of every thing elfe, lies in itself, and not in the way, in which it has been formed. The conflictation of England, according to Mr Paine, was thought a good one, before the formation of those of America and France; and yet that constitution, (be tells us) had its origin in conquelt. Such was the origin of all the Gothic governments; which Montesquieu himself pronounces to have been " the happiest in the world, whilst they lasted +."

The laws of the universe, by which all things are preserved, and according to which nature proceeds in her course, compose a constitution so excellent that it excites the admiration of all; and surely that constitution can be no worse that it was formed without any choice on the part of man, or any other order of creatures. The moral and physical laws, to which mankind are subject, are a constitution which is impos-

rnment
ft, fuinterest
in the
t to its
ft have

is im-

effion.

chiefly

his go-

es ago-

ded on

nment.

THOUTS

divine

nal one.

y from

ppear,

magi-

or lefs

them.

d valid

T.

And

eir laus ould he rupted: erns h; he bad, hate, is

on not

[†] All of these governments resembled that of Britain in the distribution of power among the king, the nobility, the ciergy, and the people. See Spirit of Laws, b. 11. 6. d.

ed on them by their creator, and in the making of which they have no choice; but so excellent is that constitution, that its uniform tendency is to produce happiness. The political, and civil laws of the Israelites were enacted by God solely; and certainly must have been the best they were capable of receiving. But if a convention or assembly of the whole, or a part, of the people, or their choice made in any way whatever, were necessary to form a good constitution, that of the Israelites could not have been a good one; for it was not their choice; they were obliged to submit to it. In short, the goodness of a constitution consists in itself, and does not, in any degree, depend either on its being forced on a

people, or on their choice, or confent.

Though a people, therefore, were obliged to accept of a conflitution from a conqueror, it might, notwithflanding the compulsion, be a good one. On the other hand, the same people left to their own choice, might form a bad one. They might have little experience, and, therefore, might mistake; their aversion to one form might drive them to the opposite extreme; caprice, not reason, might direct them; or if a modest sense of their incapacity for the most important of all affairs, legislation, should suspend their choice, it would so be directed by active, but designing, men, to promote their own private views. The French nation (or its leaders) has rejected the very constitution which Mr Paine extolls; it has been about seven years in forming (we will suppose) a good one; but, if we may judge from effects, it has not yet done with its work.

In judging, therefore, of the merit of a constitution, the only thing to be considered, is, not whence, nor how, nor by whom, it arose, but what it is. Its goodness is neither in its origin, nor mode of formation, but solely in itself.

. T.

LETTER XLV.

The fame Subject.

THERE is one fource of government, of which Mr
Paine has taken no notice, because to have done so,
would

the ariff he of peop tand ly to I has

their rent caps form The town the yield Thu

the

L

live fon, the and God ly an leng exce your tain ing

to hearly now inno rebe leave

prot the be b would have been against what he labours to establish; I mean the family. Governments which are derived from this source, arise neither "out of the people," nor "over the people," (as he explains these phrases) they naturally grow up among the people; and, like most things that are not forced, but spontaneous, they are excellent in their kind, and become evil on-

ly by corruption, or abuse.

In all families, nature, or rather the author of nature, has given to the parent, a certain authority over the infant child; and the natural deference and affection of children to their parents, dispose them to submission. And, though the parent and his children should live together, till the latter become capable of directing themselves, still the parent retains, in some measure, his natural insuence or power over them. The habit of submission, the respect which children entertain towards their parents, the knowledge of their experience, and the considence which they place in them, incline them to yield obedience to them till death, or extreme old age. Thus, every family is a government in itself; and such were

the patriarchal governments.

Upon the death, or demile, of the parent, if the family live together, his authority naturally devolves on his first-born fon, who thereby becomes his representative. And, though the right of the first-born has been much declaimed againtt, and has frequently been abused; yet it was established by God, and expressly declared to be established by him, as early as Cain and Abel ; and when it extends only a certain length, it is, not only founded in nature, but productive of excellent effects. When the parent of a family dies, the younger children, partly from the respect which they entertain for their elder brother, and partly from the habit of obeying him to a certain degree, are naturally disposed to submit to his direction or government; and their fubmillion in the early ages of the world, would have been greater than can now be generally expected, both because men were then more inaccent, and because, in the case of any of the children's rebelling against the authority of the elder brother, and leaving the family, they would have had fewer to affirt and protect them. The eldelt fon alto has more experience than the younger children, and, on that account, muit generally be better qualified to manage the affairs of the family. Thus, Ee 3

· Genelis iv. 7.

Mr ne fo, would

which

ution,

poli-

God

capa-

f the

n any

ation,

for it

does

on a

of a

g the

fame

They

mif-

e op.

; or

ant of

vould

mote

dera)

1 . IL

(e) a

ot yet

, the

, nor

ither

1.

In

They of wh

the ch

Her

hat ar

tive g

ancien

by the

the mi

cafes.

iorand

ments

are the

hetwe

manne

the A

all the

civil a

after,

ent fla

trigue

individ

Such a

an affe

puties

tribute

" We

lic," /

derate

ment,

when

ly at

ble;

peace

partm

will th

come

froke

proteé

the authority of the first born is so far founded in nature; and that authority would be much increased by its being discovered to men to be the appointment of their maker. The descent of the paternal authority to the first-born son, by an established rule, having also a tendency to prevent contention about the superiority in families, would also dispose men to recognize it. But, in order to support the authority of the first-born, grounded on the aforesaid considerations, he was, by a law of the Hebrews, to have a double portion. And thus we see, in the first generation, nature, habit, the precept of God, a sense of utility, and the influence of riches, all uniting to establish the right of primogeniture.

The fame reaf ins that would have disposed the younger children of the same parent to submit to their elder brother, would likewise dispose his grand children to submit to the eldest son of his first-born; and thus the right of primogeniture

would descend in a direct line from father to son.

When the family has multiplied into a tribe, its representative, or he who inherits the authority of primogeniture, becomes its judge in disputes that arise amongst its members, and its leader in its contests with any neighbouring tribe, and when the tribe becomes a nation, its representative becomes a This theory is founded in general fact. Amongst the descendants of Efau, there were first dukes, or leaders; and afterwards, when that people bad built cities, and increased in numbers and riches, there were kings +. The fame progress from paternal, to kingly authority, feems to have taken place all over the eaft. in the original families and nations, amongst whom we read in scripture, of no other form of government almost, than the kingly. The earliest accounts also, which we have of men in profane hillory, prefent us with nothing but kingly governments, in which the eldeft fon generally succeeded to his tather. But neither in the facred, por profane, hittory of men, in the early ages of the world, do we meet with one inflance, perhaps, of a republic. thefe ancient kingly governments did not exclude a representation of the people; for, in almost all of them, we find, that the principal men, the elders, or the fenate, were uniformly the reprefentatives of the nation and, as such, had a certain share in the management of public affairs. The Scottish clans were either descendants of the same man, or considered as such. They

They formed, as it were one large family, in all the branches of which the right of primogeniture prevailed, and of which

the chieftain was the representative.

ure ;

The

y an

ntion

n to

the

was,

pre-

ches,

nger ther.

e el-

iture

nta-

be-

and

and nes a

the

and in

reis

ken

ons,

go-

with

ge-

red,

orld,

Yet

nia.

the

re-

vere

ich.

hey

Here, then, we see a natural, and just origin of governments has are both kingly and representative. This fort of representaine government feems to be the most natural, and the most accient of all governments; and improved, as in this island, by the addition of a part which more immediately reprefents the middle, and lower classes of the people, is, in almost all ales, preferable to any other. Mr Paine has either from igsorance, or with defign, afferted, that representative governments (as he calls them) or republics that are wholly elective, we the invention of modern times; but they existed in Greece, between two and three thousand years ago, nearly in the same manner as at prefent, in America. Such was the council of the Amphyctions, to which deputies were annually fent from all the states of Greece, to deliberate and vote on all matters civil and religious, that concerned the whole. Such, long after, was the Acharan league; which was an union of different flates, that preferved the liberty of Greece, till the intrigues of Rome pretending, like France, to give liberty to individual flates, broke the union, and enflaved the whole .. Such also was the confederate republic of Lycia, which was an affociation of twenty-three towns; each of which fent deputies to the common council according to its fize, and contributed to the public expence according to its fuffrages. "Were I to give a model of an excellent confederate republic," fays Montesquieu, I should pitch upon Lycia +.

It is to be observed, however, with regard to these confederate republics, that, wanting the connexion of one permanent, and common head; they never were united, except when forced to unite by a foreign enemy; they were generally at war among themselves. The rulers in France have decreed, that the republic of that country is one and indivisible; and the present war gives effect to their decree. But let peace be established, and security fully enjoyed, and the departments of France will be a Græcian confederacy. Then will that country yet more fully personate Rome, when become mistresa of the world, she suk beneath the repeated strokes of her sons, and was sain to put herself under the protection of a masser. "As a certain kind of considence."

Jay

[·] Hift. of Greece.

eithou fenfe.

fanific

" fig

rernm e

their m

equire

where

who according to the work of t

herfall

whole the ruli

more g

it is th

they a

to the

hagua

If i

truth,

with e

lation

ech o

civil fo

But if

aunot

do it,

It is

world,

unapp

little c

tions,

cause o

ther;

ture,

which

And a

and bi

the his

fays Montesquieu, "forms the glory and stability of monarchies, republics, on the contrary, must have something to apprehend. A fear of the Persians supported the laws of Greece, Carthage and Rome were alarmed and strengthened by each other. Strange, that, the greater security those states enjoyed, the more, like stagnated waters, they were subject to conruption †."

R. T.

LETTER XLVL

Of the Necessity of Government.

COUNTRYMEN,

If we could realize the dreams of an over-heated imagination, or the fictions of a delufive heart; if we could either restore the state of innocence, or render the Millennium present, men being innocent, or perfect, would need no other government, than that, under which it is the destiny of all creatures to exist. But as they are not only liable to error, but, in many cases, prone to injury, they require human laws to direct, and human government to restrain, them. The light of good laws is a blessing; and every just restraint on the actions of men is among their most precious rights in society.

Human government, indeed, like cloaths, may put us in mind of "our lost innocence;" but, like cloaths, it also covers and shelters us from the most bitter of all storms, the corruption of human nature. Like food, it is necessary; and like that it contributes to the support and comfort of men. In no case, therefore, is just human government as

evil, but, in every cafe, a bleffing.

Mr Paine employs some pages to shew, that "civilization, civilized life, and civil society" nearly answer all the purposes of "formal government;" "that civil society requires but few rules, and whether they be enforced by the forms of government, or not, the effect will be nearly the same *."

The terms "civilization, civil fociety, and civilize I life", imply, in their very meaning, fome fort of government; and, without

[†] Spirit of Laws, b. 8. c 6.
• Rights of Men, p. 2. p. 9, 10, 11, 12.

without this idea, they have no proper, clear, and diftinct finse. They are borrowed from the Latin word civis, which fignifies a freeman and subject of government. "Civil society" fignifies a company of men who live under the same government;" civilized lite," the state of such a company, or their mode of life; and "civilization" those habits which men acquire by living under government. And all government, where there are laws, is "formal;" for it is just the ruling of men according to those laws, that is, according to forms.

When Mr Paine, therefore, would shew us, that human povernment, or what he calls "formal government" is "nearly unnecessary, his arguments are these. Civilization almost persons civilization; civil fociety, civil society; civilized life, authored life; and formal government. Formal government. The whole of his meaning may be expressed in this short sentence; the ruling of men unnecessary; or more generally thus; because a thing that is necessary, is, it is therefore unnecessary. When his words are examined, they are found to be literally nonsense; as they convey no idea to the mind; but disposed in his manner, from the failacy of language, they make a plausible piece of sophistry.

If it were not for a certain degree of religion, juffice, muth, and benevolence, the disposition in men to allociate with each other, their approbation of virtue, and disapprobation of vice, the sense of the need in which they stand of ach other, and such principles, (which are the soundation of will society) there could be no government of men by men. But if such principles, co-operating with human government, annot always restrain men from injury, much less could they

de it, without it.

It is curious enough to observe, that, in that period of the world, the nearest to the state of innocence, when the earth mappropriated, lay wide before men, when there could be little dispute about property and none about honorary distinctions, and political rights, when there could be little external cuse of dispute, the sirst-born of men slew his innocent brother; though he was bound to benest him by the ties of nature, of infant acquaintance, and those habits of benevolence which are commonly produced in men by living together. And wherefore slew he him? Because his own works were evil, and his brother's rightcous. Thus, simple envy was the cause of the first innocent blood being shed. But what bounds could

be

ennium
le no otiny of
to erire huthem.

nagina-

uld ei-

archies

appre

Greece,

by each

enjoy

to cur-

. T.

them. Araint ghts in

lfo cofary; ort of ent an

rpoles s but ms of life"

and,

whole be fet to murder, rapine, and all manner of injuries and : la ev trocities, if (which would be the cafe without the reftraints thich r government,) along with this paffion, avarice, ambition, let be fervi and every other paffion and defire, had unlimited fcope pon wh But it feems to be a needless task to prove, that government reexprise indispensably necessary. The uniform practice of manking ody of demonstrates the necessity of it. Every master of a family pration has a government which he exercises over his children and for lom, o vants; every band of robbers even has a government amon legree, themselves; and every man who would persuade his neight reson bour, that human laws and magistrates are, in any degree thurch, unnecessary, affords, in himself, an instance of their being in the Alc dispensable.

R. T. conflicts

LETTER XLVII.

Every Government has a Constitution.

COUNTRYMEN.

S every fociety must have a government of some fort fo every government that is, in any degree, regular, or uniform in its operation, that is, every government of which we know any thing, mutt have a corresponding cos flitution. In all families, there are certain fundamental rules Such are those which require parents to love, protect, educate and provide for, their children. Such also are the terms conditions, upon which malter and fervant live together Such rules as these are indispensably necessary in all families and, put together, they form a body of rules or laws which is the constitution of a family. It is plain, therefore, that i every family must have a constitution, no nation can want one without fuffering the evils of anarchy. It is, therefore, a ther remarkable, that Mr. Paine should affirm, that the Eng lish nation has no constitution; and that he should attempt u prove this by "the continual use of the word constitution in the English parliament," is no less remarkable. It is cun ous also, that he should fay, "that the whole is a form of government without a conflitution;" for a conflitution an form of government being the fame thing, his fenfe is, the

Cour M what he withou tical, o Americ their d

egree, peak o reat M muft ha

conflitu

But charach or cafu gratio cales,

the nec

their cl

to whole is a form of government without a form of government. In every family, those rules or laws are its constitution, straints hich regulate the conduct of the head, the children, and ion, let he fervants, towards each other; and which settle the terms of scope pon which they live together, whether those rules or laws returned of expression of every limited and understood. A fimiliar manking of rules or laws is the constitution of every cora family or the form of every borough, of every republic, kingand for one empire. They are fundamental, and, in some legree, effential to all societies. Even in Turkey itself, there is neight from rights peculiar to the janissaries, and others, to the degree thurch, which the Sultan may not violate; and certain laws of being in the Alcoran, which he may not transgress. These form a constitution, by which despotism, in that country, is, in some legree, limited. It sounds a little strange in our ears, to speak of the constitution of Turkey, but the term is used by the great Montesquien himself. As every country, therefore, must have a government; so every government must have a constitution.

R. T.

LETTER XLVIII.

A Convention Unneceffary.

COUNTRYMEN,

e fort

g cos

ducate

rms of

gether

nilies

which

that i

it one

e, 13

Eng

npt to

tution

cun-

rm of

n and

R. Paine feems to think it effential to a confliction, that it has been formed by the people meeting in what he calls their "original character," that is, without laws, without magistrates. without government, without any political, or civil. coonexion, without any legal restraint. The Americans indeed found themselves in such a fituation after their declaration of independence; but their situation was the necessary result of what they had done, not the object of their choice.

But to be without government is no part of the original character of man; it is not natural to him but adventitious, or casual; and never happens but in cases of revolt, or emigration, scarcely even then; for it should seem, that, in such cases, men uniformly find themselves, as in France and Ame-

+ Spirit of Laws, b. 5. c. 14.

rica, under the controul of leaders, who have been eithe

chosen, or have fomehow usurped their power.

ng, or But supposing, that to be without government is part one is the original character of men (in which fenfe, for the fate of argument, I shall understand the phrase) what advantage thing n can any people propose to themselves by resolving themselves Englan into " their original character;" with a view to form for them were in selves a constitution? The only imaginable advantage of this ncans, most foolish, dangerous, and mischievous step, is, that the may be free from all compulsion, or rettraint. on the part of their rulers. But this very advantage, the English nation en tution | joyed about fix hundred years ago, at Runnemede, in as great thefe in a degree, as any nation can enjoy it. The whole nation wa legislat at that time, refolved, not to dellroy, but to improve, the and un The barons therefore (who were then the on ditutio ly representatives of the people) after having concerted me wholly fures among themselves. met the King at the above-mention The latter, attended only by a few knights, es camped in one place; and the former with their vaffals and There retainers in arms, in another*. What then had they to fee from a king deferted by his subjects, and, at once, weak, od ous, and contemptible! What reftraints, from any ruler, di they lie under? They had nothing to fear, nor any thing to rettrain them, but the just, and right fentiments of their on minds; but thele were a sufficient restraint. They asked no thing but what was just and moderate confishent with the dignity and prerogative of the Crown, and necessary to their own lecurity; and they obtained what they asked +. procured Magna Charta, which contains a great part of the conflictution, and is now the foundation of the liberty of Britain.

mafitt

Sinc

nle of

wintion

entior

reflore

come 1

both c

The na

ple to

bot ha

not, a

conven The fi

was th

the Co

may fl

conver

meter

prove

people vernm

ing w

anlwe the pi

As

At the above-mentioned period, therefore when the con-Aitution of England was altered, improved, or confirmed in people were, in all respects necessary for the forming of a good conflitution, in the same situation with the people of America at the time of forming their conflitution. were under as little fear or rettraint; they were as free n their choice; they had their representatives, the barons who were connected with them by a common interest and who for the support received from them, were obliged to grant them, in the fame charter, terms fimilar to those which they them [clves

[.] Hume's Hift. of England, v. 2. p. 84. † Id. F. 89.

part o

ne fake

vantag

mfel

r them

of this

at the

part

tion es

as grea

on was

ve, the

the on-

ed mes

ention-

ts, es

als and

to fear

k, odi

er, did

hing to

eir ow

ked no ith the

to their

The of the

y of all

ne con-

med, itt

eople of T'hey

free in

s who

d who,

them

ielves

89.

either elves received from the king . But as the manner of forming, or altering, a constitution (if a safe, just, and good one is a mere circumstance, I should not have made the ahowe observations, had it not been to shew, that, in every thing necessary for forming a good constitution, the people of Eagland, when they amplified, improved, and ratified theirs. were in a fituation fully as advantageous as that of the Americans, when they formed, or acquiesced, in their different moftitutions.

Since the obtaining of Magna Chartz, the English constitution has received many, and great improvements: But all these improvements, it has received by means of the ordinary legislature; which is a certain proof, because a proof from long and uniform experience, that all necessary alterations in the confitution may be made by Parliament; and that a convention is wholly unnecessary. The English nation has never, of choice, made ale of a convention for any purpole; nor has it, by a convention, made any change in the constitution, or laws. There are, fo far as I recollect, but two instances of a con-The one was held to vention in the history of that people. reflore Charles the second; the other, to fill the throne beome vacant by the abdication of James the feventh. both cases, the nation acted from necessity, not from choice. The national business required the representatives of the people to meet; but their meeting was a convention. It could not have been a parliament without a king; and there was not, at either period, any king in the land. But neither convention made the least alteration in the constitution or laws. The fubfequent alterations were made by the Parliament. It was that which changed the Declaration of Rights, made by the Convention, into law.

As our constitution, therefore, has been improved, so it ng of a may still receive improvement, by parliament, without any convention, or " meeting of the people in their original chancter;" which would be the most hazardous means of improvement, that could be employed. For a meeting of the people " in their original character" fuppofes that all government is diffolved; and that every man has a power of dot them, ing what he chooses, without being restrained, or called to answer for his conduct, by any laws, or rulers. To reduce the people, therefore, to "their original character," is not Ff

De Lolme on the Constitution of England, p. 24, 3;8.

only to incur the greatest danger of civil war, but to give t every robber and affaffin, and every band of fuch men. power to rob, murder, and deftroy almost with impunity is to give them a power of committing every crime without a legal restraints. Every nation must be convinced of the treme impolicy, the madness, of disfolving its existing govern ment, according to Mr Paine's plan, " to erect a new one of the basis of a new conflictation." America, therefore, 13 England, has provided for amendments in its conflictution b its ordinary legislature, or by a convention, if that shall ! deemed necessary . But a convention is the very last mean to which it will have recourset. Even the leaders in Fran have resolved, that their present constitution shall not be ab lished; but have provided by the constitution itself, " a Con mittee of Provision" to remedy any inconveniencies white may arile from it !. So far are they even from " reducing the nation to its original character."

But perhaps those in this country, who wish for a convention are not for dissolving the government, altogether, but for retaining men under restraints similar to the present. This is precisely what this nation ever has done; and what, I am persuaded, it ever will do. For, by this means, we avoid all the dreadful effects of anarchy; and, as the experience of seven hundred years proves, we are possessed of the power of

making every ulcful alteration.

R. T

u in s

ereat 1

end

lenfe.

The

te erre

in an i

compo

may d

experi

nifcon

in fact

few cx

govern

indepe

them !

this fa

and no

ous m

hpie .

4 AF 1

a dead

dies."

would

or eve

with the different the per-

greate warm

preffic

maint

W

fenfib

is no

proba

cately

endur of the the p

LETTER XLIX.

Mr Paine's Paradox confidered.

THOUGH all wife men conduct themselves by experience; though neither nations, nor individuals, has

rience; though neither nations, nor individuals, has any other guide; though volumes of experiments prove, that the fubjects of Britain can make any necessary alteration is their constitution and laws; yet Mr Paine would fain perfuade us, that they cannot. "There is a paradox," fays be

Morfe's Geography, p 76. + See Letters by Publicola-

" in vitiated bodies regenerating themselves." This, like a great part of his writings, is a play upon words, by which we endeavours to cheat this famous nation of its native good feels.

There is no greater paradox in a whole nation rectifying terrors, or changing any thing wrong in its firmation, than in an individual's doing the same things; for all nations are composed of individuals; and what one person may do, all my do. The best teacher of nations as well as individuals, is experience. When men feel the ill effects of their errors or misconduct, they are, in a manner, forced to amend. And, in fact, this nation, fince the time of the conquett, has, with few exceptions, made a conflant progress in improving their povernment. The Americans also, fince their declaration of independence, have made feveral alterations pointed out to them by experience; they are still from the instructions of this fage teacher, disposed, when necessary, to make more; and no person, however sagacious, can foresee what alteration may yet be distated to them by experience, during the laple of many ages

If the people of any country were like a withered tree, or a dead animal, which are, properly speaking, "vitiated bodies," if the powers of their minds were destroyed, there would be a natural impossibility of their "regenerating," or even improving, the constitution. But this is not the case with the people of this country. Never did the human mind discover here greater vigour, than at present; and never was its native thrength so much aided by learning. Never were the people possessed of greater sensibility to their rights, or a greater disposition to maintain them, nor animated with a warmer love of liberty, and more thorough detestation of oppression. These are the principles of their political life. By these, did they acquire their rights; and by these will they

maintain them.

give t

nen. ity 1

he ex

govern

One of

e, like

ion b

hall be

France

be also

Con

which

ducing

CONVER

r, but

This

I am

e avoid

ence of

wer of

R. T

10

1 160

200

10.2

haw.

, that

110B III

eys he,

11 is

Whatever may be the vices of the present age, want of sensibility to their rights, and of a disposition to preserve them, is not among the number. If we are changed, it is, most probably, that some, like valetudinarians, are become delicately sensible, and apprehensive of sufferings they can never endure. However, any person, acquainted with the history of the country, must be convinced, that, though the vices of the people may be changed, they are, to say the least, no

Ffa

greater

greater, upon the whole, than they have been in all former ages; and yet amidst all these vices, which, like weeds, may formetimes have retarded the growth of the constitution, a

has gradually rifen, and long flourished.

It is, in general, much easier to reform a vicious nation (that is, in Mr Paine's language, a vitiated body) than a vicious individual, whose character is thoroughly formed, though it requires more time. A vicious nation is conflantly losing some of its parts, whose place is supplied by children, who are innocent, and, by proper education, capable of, being rendered virtuous; but a vicious individual, in whom the habits of vice are confirmed, changes, for the mod part, only to the worse. Accordingly we find, that farage, nations (which are, in a great degree, vicious) have been civilized, and rendered comparatively virtuous, whereas individuals who have been long accultomed to vice, generally grow worse, or die without any reformation.

But we have no need of Mr Paine's "regeneration" in this country. That work is over here long ago. All that the nation has to do in its political capacity, is what every Christian and honest man has to do in the capacity of an individual, to advance towards perfection by adhering to our

good principles, good forms, and good laws.

T G

W

that

on.

act o

T

its be

its e

enufe

Matte

conf

vern

T

ing (

moul

the

grea

and

not

Petr

fitt

gov

kin

Ris

par a k

his

fin

no

go

of

44

Sec.

m

tri

LETTER L.

LA CHESTER MEET NO.

The Constitution of Britain is the Work of the People.

COUNTRYMEN,

A Conflitution," fays Mr Paine, " is a thing antecedent to government; and a government is only the creature of a conflitution." This is precifely, as if he had faid, that every cause is prior to its essent. His words do not convey any new idea to the mind; but they prove, that we have a conflitution; which he has had the audacity, I had almost faid impudence, to deny. For we are fure we have a regular government; and, therefore, according to his words, now quoted, we must have a conflitution.

. Rights of Men, p. s. p. 25.

What Mr Paine would infinuate by the above words, vis, that we ought to diffolve our government to make a conflictuon. For, fays he, the conflitution of a country is not the aft of its government, but of the people conflituting a gorerument. South a terrain property and a clother latenche mercan re-

The goodness of a constitution is not to be estimated by is being formed either by governours, or governed ; but by is effects. We are fure we have a good conflitution; because we experience a regular, and good government; and I fatter myfelf, that I shall, in this letter, shew, that this good constitution is the "act" or work, not of a king or " goremment," but of the people, or the whole nation.

The word government is fometimes used to fignify the ruling of a people, and, at other times, their rulers or governours. But in neither sense of the word is our constitution the "ad", of the government. Our parliament has a very great influence on our government; that is, our governours, and is a very great check on them. But one parliament is not properly our governours, but our legislature. Our government is those who rule or govern us according to the confitution and laws. And as all our inferior governours derive their authority from the King, he is, properly speaking our governour, or government, in Mr Paine's fense of the word government. Now where can it be shewn in the history of this country, that our conflitution is the act of any king, or kings, either with, or without their affiltants in exercifing government?

Magna Charta, the Petition of Rights, and the Bill of Rights, and all the other acts of Parliament, that form any part of the Constitution, were not, it is evident, the aes of a king, or government, but the acts of the people, that is, of the representatives of the people, to which the king gave his confent. Suppoling, therefore, Mr Paine's negative definition of a conflicution to be true; yet our conflitution is not "the act of a government, but of a people conflictuting a government." And, at this prefent time, the people are governed according to the conflitution; fo that the government of them is just what Mr Paine fays government should be,

" the creature of the Constitution."

If a company of merchants who have entered into a contract to carry on any branch of trade, shall think proper to make any alteration in their plan, that is, in their conflitution Ff 3

now What

stecey the

e had

not

t we

Imoft gular

former

s, may ion,

an a vi-

flande y chil.

CAUL.

ual, in

ie molt favage,

e been

indivi

grow

on²² in

ll that

crery

an into our

100 T. relative to that branch of bufinels, cannot they make final
electron without returning to their original character, the
is, without diffulving their partnership, and stopping all the
business, whatever might be the disadvantages of so doing
because one of the partners may be entrusted with the magement of the company's affairs? No person surely wis
fay they cannot; and all the individuals of this country, a
connected with one another in their political situation, are
company affociated to preserve and promote their common
happiness, to which the above reasoning will unexceptionably
apply. Experience proves, that it will; for the fact is, tha
the people and the government, have been constantly joine

ym,

to thi

Quee

affic

dent

Fn

ener

ed ft

whole

feren

indee

ral o

BBC 1

the r

face

Hou

the r

chec

together in altering the conflictution.

Mr Paine, speaking of the American revolution, is please to fay, " that all the revolutions till then had been worked within the atmosphere of a court, and not on the great floo of a nation "." He ought to have excepted, at heaft, the four great alterations that took place in the English conflitution on, alterations that were either revolutions, or what was a quivalent to them. I mean the obtaining of Magna Charte. the Petition of Rights, the Habeas Corpus Act, and the Bill of Rights. These great, and beneficial alterations were worked every where in the island, but " in the atmosphere of a court;" the last, when there was no court. All ranks of men in the kingdom were more, or lefs, concerned in obtaining them; and, in their struggle to obtain them, they were joined, with few exceptions, even by the courtiers of the times. Liberty was the object; the cause was common; and neither favours, nor expectations, nor the nearest tie of blook prevented men from uniting in it. Lord Churchill (afterwards Duke of Marlborough) had been raifed by James the fecond, from the rank of a page; but, at the revolution, he forfook his benefactor, and the Princels Ann, her fathers and both joined the prince of Oranget.

If it be necessary, in order to form a good constitution, that those who form it, should, at the time of its formation, be quite free, and independent of the person, or person, whom they intend to govern them, there is one period, at least in the history of England, in which the people of that country

Rights of Men, p. 2 p. 73.

† See the Hift. of the reigns of King John, c. 7 c. 2. and J. E.

De Lolme on the Conflictation of England, p. 25, 50, 57.

intry were in fuch a fituation of I mean the revolution. To interval between the abdication of Jumes the fecond and acception of the Prince of Orange, they had no king a were, in a manner, in "their original character of at it, they certainly lay under no restraint from the power of ed certain alterations in the constitution, as the terms of hich the Prince of Orange was to incered to the vacant irone, which were afterwards made by Parliament, and the reft of the conflication was their free choice, they may confidered as having made it anew, or, to use Mr Paine's tem, as having regenerated it. " The convention annexed withis fettlement of the Crown (on King William and lucen Mary) " a declaration of rights, where all the points which had of late years been disputed between the king and the people, were finally determined; and the powers of royal progative were more narrowly circumscribed, and more exaly defined, than in any former period of the English gowinment "?" And this declaration of rights became law by pulling in both Houles of Parliament, and receiving the royal

From the time of the conquest, the English nation has regenerated their constitution more than the people of the united states of America theirs. That people have adopted the
whole almost of the laws and constitution of England, with
the exception of a president instead of a king; and this difference, their peculiar fituation and character admitted, and,
indeed, in some measure, required. And the people of seveul of the individual states of the union have chosen to contime the, constitution given them by Britain, rather than run
the risk of any alteration. But the people of England,
since the above-mentioned period, have gradually formed the
House of Commons, which, it has often been consessed, is
the most powerful branch of our legislature, and a certain
check on the executive power.

he cre

of

of in-

he mile oile ore he

21

ns,

at

u

R. T.

^{*} Hume's Hiftory of England, v. 8. p. 310.

in soil the bearing LETTER LL

with a mall Wine Liver to make a serie and

Liberty the Effed of the Conquest.

MR Paine feems to refent the conquest as much as a of those that suffered by it. What does he mean by " the nation's refcuing itself from the reproach of the co quest?" Can he point out an old nation in the world, which has not, feveral times, conquered, and been conquered? If the Normans conquered the Saxons, the Saxons conquered the Britons; and there feems to be no part of the illand which has not been, several times, loft and won. Mutt the present generation of Englishmen quarrel, involve themselves in a cruel civil war, and tinge the ocean which furrounds them with their own blood, because, forfooth, their common ancestors chanced, about feven hundred years ago, to quared under two leaders, one of which conquered the other! If Mr Paine means to rescue the nation from " the reproach of the conquest," he must fight the battle of Haftings over again, and make Harold conquer William; and yet this does not feem to be among the ways and means of establishing his " universal peace."

a

fith nior

cha ENG V

nd a

ms c

he k

-It

eede

k al ad a

Dinie

hich

1. OF es fi

nd, i

whe .

Crow

di ran

acral

46 B

ment,

any

curit weft.

(clyes;

The effects of the conquest on the situation of the comm people, feem to have been, for a long period, rather inco derable. They were bondmen before the conquest, and they continued fuch after it, till riches acquired by honest industry and a conversion of fervices and of rents in kind into money made them free. But its effects on the nation in general though at first felt most severely by the great especially, proed, in the event, most happy. The conquest may be faid t have been, in fome measure, both the grave and the cradic of liberty. When the conqueror, by means of a vail as fure revenue, and an army of fixty thousand men, always ready to attend him, introduced the feudal fythem of govern ment in all its rigour; when he affumed the prerogative imposing taxes, and established the Aula Regis or king's court a formidable tribunal, to which there was an appeal from a the courts of the barons, and which decided, in the latt re fort, on the effates, honours, and lives of the barons them

lies; he may be faid to have, in a certain degree, overhelmed and buried the liberty of all. But what feemed to me been its grave, proved only its craddle. The feudal flem of government, as introduced by William of Norman-, with the harshness of a step-mother, became the nurse of mine liberty. For a hundred and fifty years after the conef, England was governed by a power unknown, in the e degree, to all the kingdoms founded by the northern querors. This exhorbitant power of the conqueror and ral of his successors, being equally oppressive, or, at t, equally formidable to all, produced an union of all ranks ainst the Crown, and a spirit of concerted resistance; and union of high and low in relifting oppression on the part the Crown, was the cause of universal liberty. By this ion, produced by the conquest alone, the people first proared from Henry the first, youngest fon of the conqueror, scharter, in many respects, sayourable to liberty, which was newed by Stephen, and confirmed by Henry the fecond; ad afterwards they obtained Magna Charta; in which there ns certain provision made for the security of the meanell in ekingdom.

It was impossible, that it could be otherwise. The barons seeded the affiliance of their vassals, and these, of theirs are affiliance even of the peasants and cottagers was solicited; and as every man knew his own importance, he insisted on obtaining the same, or similar, privileges from his superior, which the latter obtained from the Crown, either immediately, or through means of his lord. The danger of all ranks as similar, their privileges, therefore, were made to be similar, and, in point of liberty, men in the lowest ranks began to take advances towards equality. The conquest gave to the Crown an excessive, and dangerous power; this power made ill ranks unite against it; and this union was the cause of ge-

acral liberty +.

and the last

ean by

which

d Par

quered

uft the

miche

rround

ommon

quarrel er ? If

oach of

over a-

hing his

inconf

nd they

money,

general,

y, pro-

cradile

vail and

always

govern

's court

from al

na them

felves:

"Magna Charta," fays Mr Paine, "was, as far as it sent, of the nature of a reconquest;." It is true; and many of the other acts of the legislature, which tend, to the scurity of the subject, are, also of the nature of a reconquest. And as the nation, by means of its ordinary by fluture, have

^{*} Hume's Hift. of England, v. 2. p. 7.

† De Lolme on the Confliction of England, b. 1. c. 1. and a.

† Rights of Men, p. 2. p. 28.

have obtained more from their kings, than all they were deprived of by the conqueror, they may be faid to have gained more than a reconquest. For, before the conquest, in England, as well as in all the other governments of Europe about that time, the power of the king was so small, and that of the nobility or barons so great, that the country was subject to a lawless aristocracy rather than a regular government; which was the cause of many mischiess, and deprived the lower classes of the people of all security from the laws. "The reproach," therefore, of the "conquest," had there been any, is now more than "wiped off."

The exhorbitant power of the conqueror made every man, high and low, to tremble for his own fafety, to court the affiftance of each other, and to unite in sharing dangers and privileges; and though, since the conquest, the power of the Crown has fluctuated much, and seems, in the reign of some princes, to have been exerted in rather a feeble manner; yet it ever has continued such as to require an union of all ranks to watch it. And as this union was the cause, so it is the support of our liberty. The conquest, therefore, instead of being a reproach to us (whatever it might have been to Harold and his followers) by forming that union of all ranks of subjects, which gave birth to general liberty, nursed it during ages, and brought it at last to maturity, is the remote cause of that, in which every Briton will glory.

Though the whole conflictation of this country, therefore, had originated in conquest (which is not the case, for all prices acknowledge, that some part of it is Saxon; though it rise had been "over the people;" yet its formation, its growth hath been "out of the people." They watched it with an attentive eye, they cultivated it with care, they lope off its excrescences, and hurtful luxuriance; and now they enjoy

themselves under its hospitable thade ..

R. T.

LET.

Mr. De Lolme conjectures (and I should imagine with the greats probability) that had England not been conquered by William of Nothinady, its government would at this time have been as absolute as the former government of France. At the zera of the Conquest, both governments were nearly the same. But, in England, the formidable power of the conqueror rendered a combination of all ranks, to watch and check it, necessary; and this combination continued for ages, pre-

A mal exist form, the That feaking and print of the print

g is n

refor en eit

here a

re ex

Cour

leen already to the term of a lee of a

conflitu and the people of

d the Fi

LETTER LIL

The Constitution of Britain bas a Visible Form.

COUNTRYMEN,

A Conflitution." fays Mr Paine. "is not a thing in name only. but in fact. It has not an ideal, but a alexistence; and wherever it cannot be produced in a visible

m, there is none+"

That which exists only in name, or idea, has properly baking, no existence at all; and where the arts of writing ad printing are understood, if the constitution of the county can be neither written nor printed, it is an evidence that here is none. The reason is that all our ideas of government, or of any thing else, may be expressed; and where leture are understood, they may be expressed by letters.

But furely the knowledge of the 2rts of writing and printing is not necessary to the existence of a constitution; and, herefore, there may be a constitution, though it has never ten either written, or printed. Had Mr Paine said, that where a constitution cannot be spoken, there is none, he would have expressed a truth. A constitution is a body of laws, retting to the government of a people, and regulating (as has ten already observed) the terms on which they are to live ten already observed.

But as no people under a regular government, can possibly vant a constitution of some fort, so every constitution may be expressed either, viva voce or by some fort of signs. But we must not consound things that are distinct. The expression of a constitution, whether in writing, or print is not the mustitution itself, but only the sign, or the evidence of it; and therefore, is not necessary to its existence. Had the people of America been able neither to read, nor write; yet

they

ted in the event, liberty. On the other hand, the despicable power of the French menarchs required no such combination. The Crown was suffered to acquire a dangerous power in time, because it had once hen contemptible to the barons. But become very powerful it is vailed the significant of those very barons, and there was not united force to pro-

is the
of helarold
f fubniring
cause

re de

gland,

of the to a which

lower

The nany,

man,

t the

a and of the

forme

; yet

ranks

efore,
I parage its
rowth
th an
ff its

T. ET.

Norno the ch goidable watch pro-

duced

⁺ Rights of Men, p. s. p. as.

they might have had a conflitution. Their ignorance of ters would not have hindered them from coming to fome a ment concerning the terms, on which they were to live ; ther as members of the federal union, or of any of those dividual states which compose it; and that agreement w have been their constitution. Every constitution is a for bargain or agreement ; and millions of agreements have made by men who knew nothing either of writing or a ing. The ancient Britons had certainly a conflitution laws, though it is commonly supposed they were unacou ed with letters. The common law of England has fure real existence (for it has been long used) though some of it were mall probably not committed to writing origin and though the original copies of some other parts of it now be loft. The whole almost of this law has been ado by the American flates; but they could not have adopted if it had not had a real existence. In short, the constitut of any government is a fystem of torms and laws that rules; and the writing, or printing, of these rules is no n nece flary to their existence than the writing or printing of al gain between two men, is necessary to its existence. Aftert conflitution of this country has been fo long used. fo much juilly effeemed and praifed, fo productive of happinels food nately adhered to and fo ably defended, it is not possible, any man in his fenfes, who knows what a conflitution is doubt its existence.

But our conflitution can be either written, or printed, that is, "produced in a wifible form," and therefore, according to Mr Paine it must have a "real existence." Several ancellent writers, such as the president Montesquieu, Sir William Blackstone, and Mr De Lolme, have written on it, and in their writings it may be seen in a "visible" and very lovely "form." And if any man will be at the pains to study it, he may by writing it, produce it in "a visible form" for himself. He may collect part of it from the records of ascient statutes which have a wisible form, and the rest of it from usages and forms which must also have a "visible form." because most of them are used every day, and all of them contains

cafionally in a "vifible" manner.

The whole power of enacting laws is vefted jointly in the King, the Nobility and the Representatives of the Commons the whole power of executing them, exclusively in the King.

bele Rig

io v

m;

lay p gal a lahan

offits at a

in fe

Ait

Alis

Alic

n mor

Neh

The

or be

ant r

th:

on ar

blue w en

dan th

min I

The

militi

r pi

hem in

of that

ad fro

or m

Both &

e of

CRO

hole

t w

fort

t pr

urch

e p

ituti that

no m

ofal

ftenth

ucha

lo of

le, 1

JA C

d. the

cording

ral m

r Wi

t; and

ry love

tudy it,

of p

t of i

form

nem a

in th

mmon

King.

efe parts of the conflitution are fo necessary, that they may alled its effence, and fo ufeful, that, though all the other were wanting, they could foon fupply the deficiency. thefe parts are a " visible form," not a shadowy visib , like writing or printing (which are but the reprefentaof realities, the thews of substances) but a form which mins both a fubitance and the evidence of that fubitance. person who sees the King and Parliament met, when the affent is given to a law, fees a visible form, and a most lantial one; he fees, not the evidence of a part of our ditution, as a piece of writing, or print, may be feen, a part itself of the conflitution. In thort, any person fees the manner, in which, and the persons, by whom, laws are regularly made and executed, fees, in fo far, the litution. He fees it, not on paper, as one may fee the altitution of France, or Plato's impracticable plan of a re-Mic; but he fees itself in actual operation; and he can more doubt of its existence, than of the existence of any ee of machinery, which he sees performing the work, for Nich it is intended.

The whole of our conflictutional usages, forms, and laws, up be expressed on paper, and digested, if the legislature taks proper, into articles. For the Constitution of Britain seet merely "a constitution of principles," but of rules alternated and determinate, which have been long sid, and which, therefore, have more validity and force, has any new constitution, or system of political laws, that mid be invented. For, to use Mr Paine's words, "the mountain which common usage ordains, have greater influence, has the laws of government "." And all the articles of the assistance of the assistance of the assistance of the assistance of wishble form."

The obvious reason why the originals of some parts of our traffitution are not to be found in writing, is, that they are bit, or that those parts were formed, or adopted, in ages either prior to the knowledge of letters, or prior to the use of them in recording laws †. But these parts are the foundation of that noble, and beautiful structure, which rose gradually, and from which nations copy, and will continue to copy, as we moderns imitate the architecture of ancient Greece. Buth America and France have followed England; the for-

Rights of Men, . s. 7. 20. + B'se': lone's Commentaries

mer, perhaps, as far as its condition and character; the latter, as far possibly as the peculiar views of its leaders, would permit. But the novelty and splendour of those principles of liberty, justice, and equality in a limited fense of the term, which have, for ages, been the guides of Englishmen, dazzled and obscured the eye of France. Like a person born blind, who first beholds the light of day, she was struck, astonished, overwhelmed, with that blaze of political light, which burst forth upon her; and incapable of conducting her steps, she committed herself to unskilful, and false guides, who led her to the sheines of Truth and Error, where the fell a facrishee, memorable for nothing more than its being a demonstration, that a theory of government without experience, serves only to produce national calamity and ruin.

The conflitution of this country is a fiream, the fources of which are, in a great measure, hid; which is but fmall in its beginning, but which enereales, as it advances, and which at last becomes a mighty river that communicates fertility and pleafantness to the country through which it flows. Its form is of all the most natural, and therefore the most beautiful. In all civil focieties, nature left to herfelf. gives to their government, that compound form which is a mixture of monarchy, ariftocracy, and democracy. In Athens and Rome, as well as Sparta, notwithstanding the equal diffribution of power, fometimes aimed at by the laws, this was conftantly the form of government in reality, though not always in name. But in the latter country, though celebrated for what has been called equality, there were always two hereditary kings, a fenate with more power than our House of Lords, and an affembly of the people with infinitely less than our House of Commons. Our cosflitution, like that of Sparta, in some measure, has assumed that beautiful form which nature perpetually prefents to men for their imitation. It has admitted the power of a chief, of nobles, and of commons; but it has limited the powers of each, and balanced them with a careful hand; and of this limitation and balance of power, liberty and equality, righty understood, are the necessary result.

R. T.

C

cann

its c

who

men

worl

Brit

offer

it is

TY W

amo

R

delp

vern

in th

but

the

vine

fays

der

23 2

of a

in n lie t fub!

0

OBSERVATIONS ON THE BRITISH CONSTITUTION.

LETTER LIIL

Of the Fitness of the Constitution to the People.

COUNTRYMEN,

he latwould neiples

hmen.

perion Aruck, light, no her

ruides, re the

expe-

ources-

fmall

and

icates

ch it

e the

rfelf.

is a

In

the

laws,

lity,

ntry,

here

OWET

ople

con-

med

men

hief,

wers

this

bily

ER.

THE excellencies of the British Constitution, like those of the constitution of the universe, are numerous, and cannot be discovered but by a full and accurate comparison of its different parts with one another, with the people, for whom it is intended, and with the principal end of all government, general fecurity. It is impossible, therefore, in a work of this kind, to do justice to a subject so interesting to Britons; but the observations which I shall take the liberty of offering, may not be without their use.

One excellence of the conflitution of this country is, that it is fitted to the inhabitants, that is, to the extent of territory which they possess, to the accessary inequality that subsides among them, and to their character in other respects.

Republies that extend over a great tract of country become despotic or oppressive in places distant from the seat of government. Thus, the Roman magistrates were so oppressive in the provinces, that the laws made to restrain them, served but to encrease their oppression. "Cicero informs us, that the Romans could not better consult the interest of the provinces, than by repealing these very laws. For, in that cale, says he, our magistrates having entire impunity, would plunder no more than would satisfy their own rapaciousness, whereas at present they must satisfy also that of their judges, and of all the great men in Rome, of whose protection they stand in need." "It is natural," says Montesquient, for a republic to have only a small territory; otherwise it cannot long subsist. In an extensive republic, there are men of large fortunes.

+ Spirit of Laws, b. 8. c. 16.

tunes, and confequently of less moderation; there are trulis too confiderable to be placed in any fingle subject; he has interests of his own; he foon begins to think that he may be happy and glorious by oppreffing his fellow citizens; and that he may raile himself to grandeur on the ruins of his country. In an extensive republic, the public interest is facrificed to a thousand private views. In a small one, the interest of the public is better understood; abuses have less extent, and of course are less protected." In flates, on the the other hand, of small extent, monarchy cannot long sublist; the monarch wants that power to suppress the insurrections of his subjects. which kings of a larger territory are possessed of. The latter derive that force from one part of their subjects, by which they are able to preferve the rest in subjection. Were we to establish republican government in this island, with any degree of fuccess, we should be under a necessity of dividing it into a number of small flates. But this would be only to establish a number of petty governments; which, like the different Græcian states, the different kingdoms of the heptarchy, or even like England and Scotland before the union, would be perpetually at variance with one another. Besides though effablishments of this kind might have been attended with fewer ill confequences, about two or three centuries ago. when the power of France was small; yet the division of the island, at present, into small confederate republics, would be only the eafiest and shortest way to subject the whole to the yoke of that country. The petty confederate republics of Greece as well as that of Carthage were swallowed up by the over-prown republic of Rome; and that, in the end, died of an overgrowth. Though it were admitted, therefore, that i republican government might be eligible in France; yet s monarchy or government, in which the whole of the national force is directed by one hand, is requifite in this country, to defend us against the attempts of a very powerful neighbour. But the middling extent also of Britain fits it better for mixed monarchy than any kind of republic.

The conflitation of this nation is adapted, not only to the moderate extent of its territory, but to the inequality that necessarily subsides among the people. In all countries, where there are a thousand ways of acquiring and dissipating; there will be, not only the natural inequalities of mankind, but those of an adventitious kind, produced by riches, influence,

and

and pr

hws Il

mality he go

shis co

though

But w

Inne

the for

a Syl

Brutu

The c

territo

neter

anture

conve

equipa

ambit

greate

hima

efs b

bole

erty

Shire

28 V

duti

Inced

the fu

m ine

rious

le

of B

tent c

Subfi f

rofts

a in-

y be

that

ntry.

104

nd of

and.

arch

ects,

lat-

bick

we to

de-

ng it

y to

e the

hep-

nion,

fides

nded

ago,

يا ليا

o the

cs of

r the

d of

hat a

yet a

tion-

ntry.

eigh.

ctter the

that

here

here

but

ence, and and powers and, therefore, in all fuch countries, though the has should establish a democracy, or a certain degree of equality among the people with regard to public affairs; e government will, in reality, be such as is established in his country; there will be a king, a nobility, and commons; hough there should be no such distinction in the constitution, But where the laws do not provide for fuch diffinctions in the anner that is done in this country, the power entrufted to the fervants of the public, is conflantly abused. A Pinstratus, a bylla, or a Robespierre, arises among the Socrateles, or Brutuses, which furround him, and inflaves the whole. The constitution of Britain is not only fitted to the extent of territory and the inequality of the people, but to their chaneter in other respects. Ambition is inseparable from human sature. When men, therefore, have acquired necessaries, conveniencies, and luxuries, and diffinction in their boules, quipage, and whole mode of life, they naturally become subitions. Every defire being gratified but that of power or greatness, this, in its turn, craves its proper object, and ocupies the whole man. In this country, this progress of the human heart is provided for. Ambition or the defire of greatels has ample fcope; there is no bounds fet to it, except those which are necessary for the preservation of general lierty and happiness. Every subject, even the meanest, may faire to all human greatness, but royalty; and here the most verful happily finds a check. By this means, all the vires which lead to greatness, are cherished, and yet those redutions and miferies prevented, which are uniformly produced in governments, where the great men may aspire to fupreme power; and where their contentions for it, are inexhaultible fource of turbulence, civil diffention, and vanous miferies.

It is, therefore, a very great excellence in the conflitution of Britain, that it is fitted to the people, that is, to'the extent of territory which they possels, to the inequality that shifts among them, and to their character in other respects.

has been been all the second of the season to

will determine taken different telepated and trace

and parallely out to you one by the found for a few

matter that make your years and the good of the like

LETTER LIV.

eges he g

both mak

Mat

the l

cond

bran

eond

W

OW

e d

Serve

DIOT

the f

ennq

wher

from

try.

ferme

Saxe

and

erati

the |

tion,

mir

of f

forts privi

conf

B

dene

that.

time

eligi

fubj

and

N

Of the Distribution of Power in the Constitutions

COUNTRYMEN,

THE excellence of the conflictation of Britain will further appear, if we confider the distribution of power among

the inhabitants.

In this country, whilst we continue to practice the arts of agriculture, manufacture, and commerce, there ever will be the greatest inequality with regard to the possession of wealth measure wealth creates unequal influences and unequal influence is unequal power. In other words, whilst we continue what we are, there necessarily ever will be among us, a real-subordination. In a republic, from the want of a heroditary head and the ambition of great individuals, this subordination, as happened frequently in the republics of Athenand Rome, and as both happened in France, since the revolution, becomes irregular and oppressive; but, in this country, the constitution renders it both regular and the means of general liberty.

That unequal power of individuals, which necessarily as from unequal riches, is diffributed with equality among different conflituent parts of the flate. In all countries lar to this, as formerly in Athens and Rome, and now France, there are always a chief, his immediate adhere and their followers, that is, a king, a nobility, and c mons; and, in this country, their thares of power are adj ed to each other in fuch a manger as conducts to the fall and welfare of all. It is here unnecessary to enter into a de tail of the different articles which compose the prerogat of the King, and the powers and privileges of the differ classes of subjects It is sufficient to observe that as the King has a power to preferve his prerogative, to all classes of sub jects, by means of the Parliament, and the privileges of a ing their thoughts before the whole nation; of meeting, every innocent way, to confer with each other, and to refole accordingly; and of making all their defires either of redre of grievances, or obtaining any new advantage, known t the King and both Houses of Parliament; it is sufficient,

fay, to observe, that all classes of subjects having such privileges, and a power to use them without any fear, but with
the greatest security, they have a power, not merely an authority, but (as sacts prove) eventually a sufficient power
toth of preserving what is their own or their right, and of
making all alterations for the better in their condition or situation. And in proof of this, it is only necessary to recollect,
that it is by the just and prudent use of these privileges, that
the liberties of British subjects have been preserved, and their
condition ameliorated down to the present day. This uniform tendency in a constitution to preserve and improve the
modition of all who are governed by it, and nothing else, in
a decided proof of its goodness.

We will, therefore, try the merits of the distribution of power in our government by these two criterions which may be deemed infallible; the tendency of the constitution to preserve and improve itself, and its tendency to preserve and im-

prove the condition of the fulied.

ame

arts

will

wealt

e coali

, Us,

a her

s fuba

Athe

revolu

ountr

of s

y ari

now i

erent

d com adjul faler

o a de

Kip

of fub

of by

ent,

Whether we date the confitution from the time of Egbert, the first Saxon king that ruled over all England, or from the conquest, or from the institution of the House of Commons. when its different parts were distinctly formed, it is obvious from hillory, that there is, in the body politic of this country, as in the natural body, a disposition and energy to preferre itself. Notwithstanding the transfer of power from the Saxon to the Danish kings, and from these to the Norman, and the usurpation of Cromwell, the monarchical and ariftoeratical parts of the conflitation have remained entire a and the power of the Commons, from the time of its first institution, was gradually augmented, till the conftitution had acmired a state of maturity. Notwithstanding the ambition of feveral kings to enlarge the royal prerogative, and the efforts of the Lords and Commons to encrease their respective privileges, at the expence of the Crown or of each other, the constitution has not only survived, but continued to improve.

But as the history of the country shews us, that the tendency of the constitution is to preserve and improve itself, so that, as well as the experience of individuals at the present time, proves its tendency to preserve and improve what is eligible in the condition of the subject. The state of the subject has sluctuated much in the course of several centuries; and so does the state of every individual's health, fortune,

reputation.

reputation, and happiness; nothing is unchangeably flable. but the author of the universe; but notwithstanding of those Auctuations from better to worfe and vice verfa, what is eligible in the condition of the people of this country, has not only been generally preferved, but, upon the whole, much augmented. Their wealth and liberty have been encreased their character in general, perhaps, improved; and the whol of their condition bettered, and rendered more fecure. Al this is known to those who, to the least acquaintance with the hitlory of the country, joins that of a few years experience. And thus we perceive the jufinels of the diffribution of power in the conflitution, from the tendency of the latter to preferve and improve both itself and whatever is eligible in the condition of the fubicet. and the state of the state of the state of the

It is, indeed, next to impossible, that the state of the public and of individuals could be otherwise than it has, in gene ral, been for a long period, if we confider the diffribution of political power. That power is not diffributed equally among individuals; for that would be ruinous, and in practice impolfible; but it is distributed equally among the different parts of the legislature, and in such a manner among all the conflituent parts of the flate, as tends to the good of the nation. The constitution of the legislature is such, that, in the event, all good laws must be enacted, and all bad ones, repealed, The independence, or real separation of the judicial power both from the legislative and executive is such that all causes are fairly tried; and the executive power fo great, though it mited, that the people are protected in the country's being defended and the laws being impartially executed.

On the nature of the executive power, and the real separation of the judicial both from that and the legislative, I shall afterwards offer a few observations. Mean while, I shall conclude this Letter with observing, that such is the distribution of political power in this country, such the influence and check of one part of the public on another, that (as far as can be effected by any human government) every unreasonable defire in the individual is restrained, and every General tel and

reasonable one, gratified.

the supply on the said said

A STANDARD ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE

raye of the property of the

A12.1

Eou:

Fw

Pos let

1. I

All I NO.

to is

Med o

ion to

their

hee th

le la

erthis

deut

Sec.

to

ad of

at, ar

ater

Eun

ana

wes d ma

the

OCT

Ath

Rom all f

44 Lab!

muft Cult

LETTER LV

Of the Supreme Executive Powers

COUNTRYMEN,

flable, those

eligi-

much aledy whole

AT

th the

pow-

o pre-

n the

pub-

gene-

mpol-

parts

COR

ation.

event,

caled.

power

gh li-

being

para-

fhall diftri-

nence

t fus

7 111-

every

T.

ET.

IF we contemplate the crown, or the supreme executive power of this country, we shall perceive in it a groupe of mellencies.

It is an excellence in this power, that it is very great. All laws, however good, being but thoughts, are of no sinless impartially executed; and this requires, that he to is entrufted with the execution of them, should be polfied of a power, the greatness of which must be in proporin to the numbers and inequality of those whom he governs. must be proportioned to their numbers, because is is more licult to govern a multitude, than a handful of men; and their inequality; for otherwise the great subjects might vihte the laws, and the small only be obliged to observe them. laws, in that case, would be only what Anacharus, the thiau philosopher, truly predicted those of Solon would " Alas, faid be to Solon, all your laws will be found to domble spiders webs; the weak and small flies will be caught dentangled; but the great and powerful will always have gth enough to brenk through "!" Even in the governn of a family, the smallest of all governments, unless the of it has fufficient authority, his fervants become infot, and his children undutiful. From the want of a fuffiintly great power to execute the laws, the Jews in the time the Judges, the republics of Athens and Rome, for the ter part of their duration, and the kingly governments Europe, some centuries ago. exhibited seenes of the greatanarchy and oppression. The strong constantly injured weak with impunity. " At Athens, fays Xenophon, a man would be highly displeased to be thought dependent the magistrate +." The same will ever be the case in all ocracies, or governments wholly elective, as well as that Athens. What Mr Addison says of the consular power Rome, will ever be found to apply to the chief magistrates all fuch republics, "that they have the ornaments without the

* Goldsmith's Hift, of Greece, v. 1. p. 49.

wnit

ant

thre

ring

phic

WE

18,

eden

ngh

me C

frely

nity,

men

and

abo

it un

ntiv

cafti

in

mati

de

, in

led t

neve

ald h

ende

red

ads :

d part

legi

Aing

e popu

liber

lawe

uni

7, is nform

ald I

Mocra

the force of the regal authority t." In short, it is the of the chief magiffrates of all republics, to be fecretly pifed by thole great men who, excepting the dignity of fice, are their equals, and frequently their superiors. time, no ulage, no laws, can ever give the magistrates in fo governments that efficient authority, that force, which indispensably necessary for preserving tranquillity, and add ftering jullice; but fuch governments must ever be like large family of fervants in the absence of the master and trefs, turbulent, oppreffice, and anarchical. So feeble was power of the Scottish kings, and so great that of the nobi that an army was necessary at some times to bring one por ful offender to justice. " Among the Scots," fays Hume, was of little confequence how the laws were framed, or whom voted, while the exharbitant ariflocracy had it fo m in their power to prevent their regular execution ." fame may be faid of all flates where the supreme execu authority is not poffessed of sufficient power to discharge proper functions; that is, of all republics, in which the magiltracy must wink at the oppression and crimes of power offenders, because it dare not punish them-How differen the King of Britain, who, without speaking, without a without any interpolition, but fimply by the weight of authority firengthening the arm of those to whom he has trufted a part of his power, can, by the inftrumentality of of no great respectatifity in themselves, punish, if found ty, the most powerful subject!

It is, therefore, a very great excellence in the conflicts of Britain, and an excellence which republics never easy fels, that the chief magistrate is velted with so great a post that the laws are impartially executed, and that the small est

as great fecurity as the great.

2 Another of the excellencies of the supreme executi

power is, that it is velled in one person.

Were it divided, the effect of the division would be different in the flate, civil wars, partiality in the execution the laws, and a train of mischiefs. The two consults Rome shared between them, the supreme executive power but this division of it, from the different views and interest of these supreme magnificates, was the occasion of many lic mischiefs. Joined to its elective quality, it gave an operturn

mity to the leading men, to form those factions which fantly agitated the flate, perverted justice, and in the end threw liberty. " The Moloffi," fays Montesquien, " not ring how to limit the executive power, made two kings; shich the flate was weakened more than the prerogative; wanted rivals, and they created enemies f." Even in a, where the equality among the freemen must have had dency to suppress ambition and faction, the two kings, h hereditary, were frequently at variance. The fucommand is a flate, as in an army, mult belong exely to one person; otherwise neither any degree of unity, nor tranquillity, nor justice, nor stability in the goment, is to be expected. The state is divided against itand cannot fland. The French themselves, though they abolished royalty, as formerly established, have provided it under a different shape. They have vested the supreme utive power in a directory, of which the prefident having casting vote, is really king of France. His colleagues in effect, but his council. So sensible are the leaders of nation, that their chief magistracy ought to be what they decreed their republie. " one and indivisible."

in this country, the supreme executive power were ened to any number of men instead of one, impartial jusnever could be obtained. Every member of this power ald have his own favourites and dependents, and be himfelf endent; and each of them in caufing the laws to be admitred would influence the course of justice in favour of his ds and connexions. In proportion to the number of fupreme magistrates, would be their corrupt influence partiality; and if they should possess any active share in legislature, as at Rome, or any confiderable influence in ting laws, as in France, (and from their connexion with popular leaders who have made them, the one or the other id always be the case) there would be a proportionate loss liberty; as they would have a certain weight in enacting laws, and the whole power of executing them. The perunion of the legislative and executive powers, as in Turis perfect despotism. Such supreme magistrates would emly be the most considerable men in the state; they ald procure their places, if elective, as in France and all ocracies, by interest with other great men; and as one friendly

§ Spirit of Laws, b. 33 c. 10.

is the learning of a learning

which
ad adm
be like
r and m
ble was
e nobili

d, or t fo mu

charge the ch power ifferent ut a ig

ht of he has a y of m

can poste a poste all espe

e dille cution infuls power interes

ortuni 427. driently office, in cases of that kind, it expected to be and by another, at though at the expense of jultice, they want the under a necessary of being partial to their friends, in a denote support their own interests. Friendship, enmity, in house of apport their own interests. Friendship, enmity, in house of apport their own interests. Friendship, enmity, in house of apport to make justice be distributed with a particular of the certain weight the legislation, and being connected with a certain weight the legislation, and being connected with and depondent on, the other great men, both together former justice on the making and excepting of the laws, very injurious to their opponents; and to all but their connections.

im b

haoz

the

le c

he la

on a

ale

of f th

e k

a mor

VC I

Bec

By

libjeć Tould

de t

115

at It is here to be observed, that the fitness, or firong to dency in the executive power, to prevent partiality in the cution of the laws, does not arife either from the greate on whity of that power fingly, but from its greatness and nity joined. Were it fmall, the powerful men, as in foudal governments, at one period, would be able to per-judice; and were it percelled out among such men, each, in the republics of Athens and Rome, would comive at t oppression and crimes of mother, in order to obtain the fi indulgence for himfelf and his friends." But as this power both great, and united in the person of one man, its gr tiefs and unity combined, render him abfalute is executive which enables him to be the impartial diffributor of juli The real greatness or strength of the executive power in I tain, and the union of all the branches of it in the person the King, combined with the responsibility of all the server of the Crown, have made the government of that cour was government rather of laws than of men ;" they he made it in point of impartiality to approach nearer than i of any other to the government of him who is "no refee of persons." So that if there be any government on in which " the laws reign, " it is thirty and above all, government of this highly favolved iffe.

Befides its tendency to prevent faction, partiality, and record for the find of the faction of all the branches of the executive power in the fact of the more day, containty than it would be were'n thared by any limber of any or any make the fact of the common interest on of all the other man, in the fact whose common interest in

keep it within certain fafe limits. Nay, what is observation, if it were not confined within such limit estell men, if refractory and obnosious, would be the inferers. The leaders in any opposition made to the crownold be the first that would feel the consequences of the midable power's being permitted to have any great ide, than that which is marked out by the laws. O rious caules which no longer exist, (an exhorbit wer in the Crown, a deficiency in that of the nobility, and ignorance of their firength in the Commons) Heavy the ight could both fulpend the laws, and give to h ions the force of law, and try those who were obnoxious im by a commission; his power was not limited; b be greatest scope; and peer fell after peer, as they became boxious to that capricious and felf-willed prince. All men the flate, therefore, even the highest, fee in the unity of e executive power, a fword, which, if directed folely e laws, is a fure fecurity for life and property; but w left to itfelf, might make the greatest havock and deftre n among men of all descriptions. All, therefore, are ly interested to confine this power within proper b d, therefore, it is fo confined. In thort, one princh use of the great impartiality in executing the laws as we of public tranquillity, in this country, is, that the w the executive power is constantly vested in the person of king; and that all others are more subjects.

" The result of a division of the executive power, is either more or less speedy establishment of the right of the flrongest, a continued state of war. That the laws of a state in we weight, and continue in force, it is necessary, that the

recutive power should be one.

5. Another excellence in the executive power of this

and, is its hereditary quality.

y h

binati

efell i

By the Crown's being hereditary, the ambition of great hisiches is suppressed, and those civil wars prevented, which sould be produced by elections to the chief magistrative, the King, peculiarly interested in the welfare of the names as and the government, prevented from degenerating into otifm on the one hand, and the worlt fort of e other; that is, in other words, the people are the H b

De Loine on the Confliction of England, p. 131, 132 to 2017

preferred from flavery; which would be the confequence of cleffing our chief magistrate.

For should the government become despotic, savery implied in the very idea of it; and should it change to republic, the lower classes of the people, especially, would suffer a degree of oppression bordering on the slavery of despotic government. It would be only the partizant of the succession candidate for the chief magistracy, that could expect either fectivity or impartial justice. In Rome as well as Poland, the office of the chief magistracy was elective: And, in the somer country, the lower classes of the people suffered the greatest injury and oppression; in the latter, they were shares.

try, which I shall here mention, is that it is limited.

tha

of :

foli pha Ta gre men limp gre leng

the term toca man

u

be

han

Kin

0 10

Brug

enti

perc for a

129

It is unnecessary almost to mention the advantages derived to the subject, from the due limitation of the power of the Crown. That every man may know his duty; that all a man's actions are lawful, except those which are forbidden by some positive law; that the meanest subject may enjoy the greatest security for life, liberty, and property, all the fruits of his become, all that is dear to him; are unspeakable all vantages; and all these are the result of the limitation of this power. In fine, it is because the Crown is limited, that the nation is free, prospercus, distinguished, and happy.

But the limitation of this power, from which to gr bleffings srife, closely depends on thole qualities of it, which have been mentioned in a preceding part of this letter, same by its greatness, its unity, and its being hereditary. it not great, it would be unable to execute the laws, or d fend the flate; were it parcelled out among a number of great men (and fuch only could ever obtain any share in it) thoug great, it would be employed only in bringing the fmaller fenders to juflice; the great and their immediate supporters would escape; and if it were not bereditary, the government would degenerate into a species of Republic, like that Rome after the expulsion of the kings, in which the great only land their friends; whether in office or out of it, wen enjoy liberty; or into a fort of despotism, like the same go vernment from the time of Augustus. It is, therefore, Ging for which the people of this country ought to be pr culiarly thankful to the fovereign dispenser of all good, that ence of

very

repub

inffer a

otic go

ccelstu

cithe

Poland

nd. in

luffere

y were

s cour

derived

of the

all a

den by

joy the

akable

ion of

y .

grea

West

or de

gren

hong

ler of

orten

nment

gres

ne ge

re, A

be pe

, that

they

they are under the government of one extremely great main whose power is judivisible and hereditary, and, therefore duly limited. His power is not, like that of the suprementagistrates of Athens and Home, or of the kings of Europe, some centuries ago, to be despised by the greatest subject; it formidable to all; it requires all to watch it for the perfervation of their own liberty; it is, therefore, duly limited, and because it is thus limited, we are happy.

Though, in this country, the supreme executive power i exclusively vested in the King; yet the government a lo reublican in its nature from the authority of the Lords and Commons, and the privileges of all descriptions of subjects that Montesquieu calls it a republic disguised under the form of a monarchy . Another writer of our own nation was at a fols what name to give it. This was not furprising : It is a phonomenon in the political world, fo rare and excellent, that Tacitus the deepest of the Roman historians, and Cicero the greatest of their philosophers, thought, that such a govern nent, though the best in its own nature, was, in practice, impossible. There is not either in our language or any other a specific name for it: But such is its stature, from a greatness, the indivisibility, and hereditary quality of a cutive power on the one hand, and from the wife diffe of the legislative and of other powers of the the other, that it is better fitted than any other kine roment, to produce general tranquillity, and to al tection, liberty, and fecurity to all, but especially to the kitution, and, while that remains what it is, ever in abor to the pour. In Car and Advantage has going a generalists

Whatever advantages, therefore, any ambitious, popular, and powerful individual may vainly propose to binniest by a change of government, let the poor especially adhere to their King; let them cling to him so the only plank that will fase them from perithing in that from which, in case of a change to republic, would be immediately vaised by the ambitious bruggling with each other for power. Let them look attentively first, on France, and them on Britain, and they will perceive the truth of Solomon's words, that for the truth of solomon's words, the solomon's words, that for the solomon's words, the solomon's wo

[.] Spirit of Laws, b. 5. c. 19.

this country, sided and strengthened by the wisdom and power, in some measure, of the whole nation) the state, that is, the tranquillity and happiness, thereof shall be prolonged.

th

fora

15 070

34

C

ter, its t

inre

on

al

the the said

21

I shall conclude this letter with these very remarkable words of Mr. De Lolme. "The wealthy Commoner, the Representative of the people, the potent Peer, always having before their eyes the view of a formidable Power, of a Power from the attempts of which they have only the shield of the laws to protect them, and which would, in the issue, retains at an hundred sold upon them their acts of violence, are compelled, both to wish only for equitable laws, and to observe them with scrupulous exactness."

Let then the people dread (it is necessary to the preservation of their liberty) but let them never entirely cease to love the Throne, that sole and indivisible seat of all the active

powers in the State."

"Let them know, it is that, which, by lending an inmenic strength to the arm of Justice, has enabled her to bring
to account as well the most powerful, as the meanest offender,
which has suppressed, and if I may so express mytels
weeded out all those tyrannies formetimes confederated with
and sometimes adverse to, each other, which incessantly tend
and grow up in the middle of civil societies, and are the most
according to proportion as they seel themselves to be less similar
established."

"Let them know, it is that, which, by making all honour and places depend on the will of one Man, has confined within private walls those projects, the purfuit of which, is former times, shook the foundations of whole states,—has changed into intrigues the conflicts, the outrages of ambitton,—and that those contentions which, in the present times, afford them only matter of amusement, are the Vocanos which set in slames the ancient Commonwealths."

"It is that, which, leaving to the rich no other fecurity for his palace than that which the pendant has for his cottage has anited his cause to that of this latter, the cause of the powerful to that of the helples, the cause of the man of catenfive influence and connections, to that of him who is without friends."

It is the Throne above all, it is this jealous Power, which makes the People fure that its Representatives never will be any thing more than its Representatives; at the same time it in the same time it.

is the ever fubfitting Carthage which vouches to if for the standardier and pappine is, step of come a go or or

are good flow to et a new paint sough blot barrand de ale

COUNTRYMEN, Challen and the state of the sta DESIDES those excellencies in the supreme execution D power of this country, mentioned in the preceding letter, there are various others. Of these the most obvious are 1. To preferve itfelf. its tendencies,

arkabl er, the havir Powe of the retali-

re com-

oblere

prefe

eafe to

e active

an 'im-

o brin

fende

d with

e mor

firm

onour

curit

ttage

of th

120 0

who i

112. 47

which

will be

ime il

14.15

onfin

2. To unite the public force.

3. To exclude foreign powers from having an undue influ ence in our national councils, and the whole of our national

1. It has a tendency to preferve it felformit to the hobotw

All natural persons of the human race, and, indeed, all minals, are endowed by their maker with certain principles fending to their own prefervation, which is the first law of inture; and, in the fame manner, all political focieties and indicate porate bodies ought to be so conflicted, that they may not only answer the intention of them at first, but he able to contithe to do fo by continuing their own existence. Without su mality, their value would be much diminished, if not anni d; and of fuch a quality is the crown of Britain poffesses The king is not only veffed with a great authority, apported by the peculiar interest which the nobility hi the throne, by the luftre of his descent, and the pomp and ajesty with which he is surrounded; he has not only a herative on the refolutions of the other branches of the legi sture (the want of which was the rule of the ancient Gi ian monarchies) and the command of all the national for danve inthence deal court synds

De Lolme on the Confliction of England, p. 488.00 211 20001119

¹ call thefe tendencies, because neither in politics, nor morals, nor echanics, nor in any thing elle, do canfes uniformly produce th & intended. But, though tendencies, they are fo pecutiarly firm ist they produce their proper effects with more certainty in our govern ment, than in any other.

but he is possessed of a certain influence? ariling from the ferring of honours, and the disposal of offices; all of which compose that mass of solid power, by which he is able to repel all attacks that are made on his prerogative.

It is true, that, fince the conflitution has been fo the roughly matured, that it falls little thort of that point of perfection, the highest to which any human government can be railed, by far the greater part of those men in the nation, who have power to entrench on the royal prerogative, would be deterred from the attempt, from a conviction, that, inid of improving, they would injure and might deftroy the constitution. But, in former times, when attempts were made to leffen the prerogative of the Crown, whether by the

† Those who condemn a fyllem of influence wholly, have forgotten Those who condemn a system of influence wholly, have forgotten what the bulk of mankind are; or, perhaps, they confound influence with corruption; though the latter is, in all fenses of the word, an exil, the former a necessary and wise provision. Corruption makes mea wickens and sufferable; influence, in a just degree, and properly directly, tends to make them virtuous and happy. The necessary degree of ed, tends to make them virtuous and happy. The necessary degree of influence in the Crown must vary with the character of the Frince, and that of the people, with national wealth, with the degree of inequality in which it is possessed, with the privileges of the people, and other causes. But "though we may give to influence" what name we please though we may gall it by the invidious appellations of corruption and though we may call it by the invidious appellations of corruption and dependance; yet some degree and some kind of it are inseparable from the very nature of the conflicution, and necessary to the preservation of

ith frequency of the control of the

berefo mat. at an web g

het th ed the lered t

ומכחק

the very nature of the configuration, and necessary to the preservation of our mixed government." Hom?'s Essay, v. 1. p. 48.

A father, by influencing his fon, that is, by entouraging him to de what is right, as well as by reflexining him from what is wrong, forms him for being a virtuous and happy mans, and such, by the conditions of this country, is the conduct of the father of his people. It might therefore, he adviseable to cashider maturely the condition and character of British subjects, before my serious endeavour is made to diminificulture; and whether the favings to the nation by any diminusion of it would be equivalent to the inconveniencies, perhaps serious losses of monther kind, that might be occasioned by it.

The losses and fiftes will ever he a sextile subject of declamation; but it is not always certain, that those who declaim most against them, have least inclination to them. About also years ago, there lived person who most deservedly stood to high in the esteem and regard of the people, his countrymen, that they would have taken him by force and made him a kings yet, upon a certain occasion, he told them, that they

people, his could not be made him a king, yet, upon a certain occasion, he told there, that the fought him, not for those amazing and most hereficient actions which they faw him perform, but for the loaves which he distributed amon them. Human mature differs very little at one time from what it is a another; and the bulineficor legislators is not to how mon into a fined for fome certain form of government, but to adapt government to men ll of

tion.

ould

y the

orth

ire d-

and uality other

arace oloid nelet 2995

foods or Commons, it was able to defeat them. And a found there be any fimilar attempts made in future either a with a militaken view to improve the confliction, or to grant in the spirit of party, there is no doubt, that it would be a sufficient of the provided in repelling from itself all danger. And if we consider the microes writing from revolutions, this peculis by throng tendency in the Crown to preferve itself, by which hey are prevented, will appear a very great excellence. For though one Constitution might, in abnot all respects, he more usellent than another; yet if that other were more steady, when liable to ductuation of power from one fet of rules as nother, and, of course, better sitted to produce public transmitted to the preference. But the constitution of this mustry, besides being in most respects, superior to all other mostify, has, from the folid power of the Crown, this secular excellence, that it is sitted to afford to all internal mee and security.

s. Besides the peculiarly strong tendency in the Crown to referve its prerogative, another excellence in this part of the onsitution is, that it united the public force more closely, and can be done in some monarchies, or in any republic.

The same power, by which it is able to repel from itself and the same power, by which it is able to repel from itself and the same to the same to mite, in some degree, althe discordant parts of the state. Some monarchies, like the German, and all republics (but especially those that are shally elective) like those of Athens and Rome, are but ill mited; and their force, whether in executing the laws, or instead; and their force, whether in executing the laws, or instead; and their force, whether in executing the laws, or instead; and their force, whether in executing the laws, or instead and Julius Carfar were both heads of parties at Rome, show its government had become, in effect, a democracy, both merals and at variance with each other; there was no superior in power sufficient to oblige them to be reconciled, or, with the confinite their armies, and abiliain from violence; and arrefore, a civil war was the consequence of their different and. Immediately before the civil war between Charles the state and his Farliament, the royal prerogative had been shall at the government was rather a republic than a monarchy must the government was rather a republic than a monarchy must the government was rather a republic than a monarchy must the government was rather a republic than a monarchy must the government was rather a republic than a monarchy and the power and apprehensions of the popular leaders readered the civil war a thing of course. In the sendal government was rather a republic than a monarchy and the power and apprehensions of the government of the course. In the sendal government was rather a republic than a monarchy and the power and apprehensions of the government of the course. In the sendal government has a lating the course of the government of the course of the government of the governme

national forces; he had so little power, and his basons to much, that the latter waged war against the King, or against each other; which could scarcely ever have happened, had the King been possessed for sufficient power. But, in our go the month of the country of all, are prevented by the power of the Crown being so great, that it form all the powers of the flate into an instrument, which as once distributes justice impartially, maintains internal trans-

a)

B

me le G

Mary The reput

rru Lthe

tens, are of the takion

ad e

e too

inte

m Br

a bec

la gra

of the

eith ti

1000

66 Go

W de

Application in the

quility, and repels external violence.

The King of Britain being confiantly (for the King ne dies) the root of all branches of the executive power, and constituent part of the legislative, and possessed also of a contain degree of influence, is able to concentre the who frength of individuals, as it were, to grafp this as an infire ment either for administering judice impartially to his fuljects, or defending them against external danger. Such . monarch is not, like feveral of those in Europe, in for times, under a necessity either of affaffinating a subject selfed of exorbitant power, or of employing an army again him; he need but suffer the nature of the Coustitution to operate, and the most powerful man finds himself under the controul of the laws, and merely a subject. All the opportunity tion made to him, ferves only to confine his power to the gution of the laws and public refolutions, to check those w are in office, and to promote what is generally supposed to the public interest.

3. Another excellence of the Crown of Britain is, that is excludes foreign powers from having an undue and dangerous influence in our national councils, and the whole of our na-

tional affairs.

In order to effect this, the King is exclusively vested with the power of fending and receiving embassies, of making leagues and treaties, and of declaring war, and making peace. His power with regard to war and peace has frequently bere a subject of declaration, and sometimes of reasoning. It must here denied, that it may have been improperly used (which is only admitting that men may err) but it is affirmed, that it could be nowhere elte lodged with such security and advantage to the nation. For it must be lodged somewhere; and if it be not a part of the royal prerogative, it must devolve to the members of the legislature, or a council of other subjects. But to lodge it with either, would be to open a door to secure.

aign powers to form, by means of corruption and intrigue, actions in the very bosom of the state. In the republics of Athens and Rome, those powers of the Crown, relative to treign nations, belonged to their public assemblies, in confequence of which, by bribing the leaders, they were able to inure the whole. By corrupting the Roman sente, Jugurta, king of Mauritania, escaped the punishment of his crimesy and by corrupting the demagogues at Athens, Philip, king a Macedon, rendered himself master of Greece. By the sine means, the Persian king broke that very confederacy of the Greecian republics, that had been formed against him, and used them by the force of his gold. Thus also, Elisabeth, Queen of England, was able to maintain, in Scotland, (of which the government, in her time, was rather a republic than a monarchy) a faction, both daring the government of stary of Guise, and that of her unfortunate daughter?

tras

and a a cers whole infra-

uch a

gaint gaint

ppule who to be

thatis

1977 10

d with

y been

a It is

chatif.

advan-

olve 10

to fe

The official power, emoluments, and honours of rolers in comblicate being both very procurious and of thort duration, by never can be, generally fpeaking, proof against being rupted by foreign powers. The committing, therefore, of the whole of the executive power in relation to foreign na-ion, into the hands of the King, whole intercit in the wel-he of the country is both permanent and extremely great, is the only method of preventing the most dangerous of all-liftions, a faction in the bosom of the tlate in favour of a forn enemy." And fuch is the interest which the King has ever must have, in his people; so closely are his riches ower, and happiness connected with theirs, that this will do effectually, unless his income should, by a blind partimony, too much diminished. The corruption of the el ate by a foreign power, is a calamity that hath never be Britain, except in the reign of Charles the fecond. The rince was to poor, and his Parliament to parlimonions, that a became the pensioner and tool of Lewis the fourteenth; an a gratify him, he betrayed the interest of the kingdom, as of the Protestant cause, by engaging his subjects in a war ith the Dutch. But fuch happily, fince the revolution, has een the civil lift of the chief magistrate of this country, and have corredo mercina a strong a sugar, no may a fire the

Goldfmith's Hift. of Greece, v. 4. p. 388, 440. v. a. p. 7, B.

^{*} Rebertion's Hift of Scotland. Crookfaanks' Hift of the Refus-

the public revenue, that no bribe could be offered to his which would not be rejected with equal contempt and indignation.

Instead, therefore, of being a defect, it is an excellence the Constitution, that the King has the power of making as and peace. Neither is this power liable to abuse (thousemen may err in the use of it) whilst the continuance of the my, and the pay both of the army and navy, are annually voted by the Representatives of the whole nation; whilst is ministers of the Crown are answerable for their official continuations.

duct, and whilf all enjoy the liberty of the prefs.

Mr Paine, indeed, has affirmed, that the different parts of our government protect one another; and another modes writer, that there never was a government of controll. But if an error or fault in the ministers of the Crown escape and madversion in our government, where, by the conflictation, a permanent difference of rank, authority, and privilege, make the different parts to controll one another, what must be the cafe in that of France, in which there is no such difference, and, of course, no controll, but that of one party over no other.

In Britain, as well as in republics, the opposition is a ch on the executive power; but, befides this, the permanent ference of interests in the Crown, the Nobility, and the C mons, is a cheek that is perpetual; that produces Rability the flate, and that is peculiar to flates of which some ca thent part, or parts, are hereditary; it never can exill in sepublic flich as France, where all offices are elective. here I cannot help pointing out as an excellence, what Paine affects to confider as a defect, I mean the heredi quality of the monarchical and ariflocratical parts of our vernment; an excellence which, checked, as these parts by the House of Commons, and the influence of the w nation, gives our government a conflant and decided super ty over all republics; because it so affects the whole shine of government, that justice is equitably administen sublic tranquillity preferred, and endless revolutions, civile fentions, and various mileries prevented

If there be a controul of parts in any government, it make the chiefly that of Britain: If there be no controul in this evernment, in which power and privilege are so wifely didn't

idia seat, of

thi mili

aber ag, agh gon

.

er it

ial I

ent fr

leh cr

are a

eher

The

entual

Cer,

tich,

er th

where

L hr

e flat

mild

-Lie

me

mrity

Ther

. The

period te had

Confi

ated, and reft on to stable a foundation, there never will be

ein any other.

to him

d ind

lence

ng

f the

hild :

cial co

parts i

. 339

ape a

ution

, me

t bet

over I

a ch

nent

sility

cett

160

rts (

e wi

peri

iften ivil d

it m

this

y di

the

I must now take the liberty of affirming, that there exists this government, a real and just controll This does not fift in the Crown, Lords, and Commons, to oppoling each her, as to flop, or, in cases of emergency, retard each oer's proper motions, but in thefe different parts fo influener, checking, and, in the event, directing each other, that preferves itfelf and its proper interells. Neither is it an ument, that there exists no such controut, that the nation at any time been engaged in an unnecessary war; that a necessary at its commencement, has been continued, afit has ceased to be such; or that the national business in geal has, ot certain times, been conducted in a manner diffefrom what it ought to have been. Such effects may be bribed to the ardour, or weakness of human nature; as, in cheafes, this nation like others, and like individuals, may e acted from the impulse of passion, or want of forelight, ther than the dictates of found policy.

There are many inflances in the history of England, of the small controll of parts in our flate, particularly of the consul of the Representatives of the people over the executive wer, or the ministers and other fervants of the Crown; from tich, as well as many recent sacts, it is evident, that neise the prerogative of the Crown in former times, nor its insuce since the former was diminished, and the latter increased, has been able to prevent that mutual controll of parts in state, by which, and by nothing else, their respective that have been maintained. The greatest proof, and, I sald imagine, an irrefragable one, that there is a real consulting any government, is when all subjects, not excepting amounts, enjoy themselves and their all in the most perfect writy a and such is the fituation of the meanest British sub-

There exists, therefore, a real controll of parts in the go-

The inflances of the controll of the Commons are to be found in specials, perhaps, of the history of England, after that part of the land acquired any power; but they are more rare in proportion as Conflictution advanced towards its mature state, and as the government, of course, became more regular. See De Lelme's Confl. of Engel 165, &c.

ed with the power of making war and peace; but this pare its prerogative, instead of being a defect, is a real and gro excellence in the constitution; as it guards us against the degerous influence of foreigners, without being itself dangerous

Upon the whole, the executive power of this country is these three tendencies in a degree that cannot exist in the excutive power of any republic, namely to preserve itself; unite the force of the nation for its desence, the administration of justice, and the maintenance of internal peace; and to exclude foreigners from injuring us by intriguing with corrupting those who are charged with the management the most important public affairs.

R. T.

* Note that we will state the first of the state of the s

tr

prorects,

the

81

be the

y, ju e will will w

f the

etrary everr

i ch

frel

fact

mani

et on

sd of

LETTER LVIL

Late discusse I may not be examined as a supplication of

Of that maxim, "the King can do no wrong."

COUNTRYMEN,

I T is an excellence in the Constitution, that the King of do no wrong; the meaning of which words is, "that is above the reach of all courts of law, and that his person is

facred and inviolable+."

The King is the head of the nation; it is from him, the authority to exercise any office, whether legislative executive, is immediately derived. It is he who summon prorogues, and dissolves parliaments; and who appoints a officers civil and military. It is in his name, or by his authority, that all public business is conducted. Therefore we he either arrested, or tried in any court, the whole public business of the nation must cease, as there would be no person persons, in whose name, or by whose authority, it could be carried on. Besides, if there were any individual or body of inlividuals, that could arrest and try the King, it would evident be, not he, but they, who would be possessed of the suprempower; and that power might be made use of to depose a deprive him of life, as happened to Charles the first of Espland, and Louis the fixteenth of France; and either the aposition or violent death of a king would, as in both this

[†] De Lolme on the Constitution of England, p. 74.

, give rifetto a revalution; civil wars, and their attent schiefe and horrours.

Even though it were possible, that these calamities were to follow the arrest and trial of the King; yet this mode proceeding against him, and even the impuration of any or or fault to him, would leffen him in the eyes of his fubis, and prevent him from acting with that dignity; d that independence on great subjects, which are necessary the impartial administration of justice, and the security

d happinels of all ranks:

the c

gen

the i

felf i

minif

e ; 1

LT

ing

that

erion

m,

ative

pinte

s aut

re w fie t

erfo be

of in viden upres ofe a

f E the h th

"The calling of Kings to account, and cashiering them their misconduct," has of late been a favourite doctrine with fome, and feems, at first view, to have the air of liberjustice, and public good; but, upon a nearer inspection, will be found productive of faction, all the horrours of Il war, and most probably, in the event, of the subjection the whole nation, as formerly in this country, to the artrary controul of a few popular leaders. Even in the petty vernment of a family, if the head of it were answerable to children and fervants, for his conduct, there would be an dof that respect which is due to him, and of that subordiation and obedience, which are necessary to the preservation religion and morality, of order and tappinels, in private And furely; if the perfor of the father of a family is facred and inviolable" among his childrens and domestics; ch more ought the King's to be for among his his his etts; sufe violence offered to the person of the former affects one family, to that of the latter, millions But both the ver of a parenthor mafter, and that of the King, are reained and properly directed by the box, im this first erection here erealing the conflication of this country, admirable thout injuring his just authority. Though the King himigh he can be mather arreftedy nor tried in any c igh his perion be hered) " and the character perio eye of the law whether in checked, and confined withing bounds after the day whether the checked, and confined withing bounds after the present the present the present the state of the checked of Congress and by the present the right of granting or welling him mondy productive and by the state of the present the state of the state satural influence of a great and free nation. Befides, as

the Lunio at the Confidence of England, p. the

the King cannot all without ministers and other fees and as they are responsible for the whole of their official duct, and may be punished according to their demerits. they have been in former times, the nation has the fame curity in their responsibility, that its interests shall be d attended to, that it would have, were the King himfelf fponfible. Nay it has greater fecurity. For though chief magittrate could be called to account, all prodent must be fo fenfible of the inconveniencies, and, most frequ ly, direful confequences of attempting it, that many the that are reprehended, or may be punished, in his minished would, in him, pass unnoticed. Thus the excellive pun ments annexed to the breaking of fome laws, have been for to render such laws of no avail. And if rash men should tempt it, the artempt would either be fruftrated by his in ence exerted with all its force in his own defence, or, as f merly happened in England, be productive of a civil w At any rate, the remedy would be much worse than the en But, at prefent, the nation has ample fecurity, that its terefts shall be properly taken care of, and the various pub

al ca

ation

COR Pher

haps

reft

at the

vanti

Buene

Red.

bich !

prot

ente,

divid

reat f

ny ki Le put

fort o

prem

ot th

م الأن

rling

ich ca

• 7

dange: public

ation

in five

be of

trium loined

duced

Ane

chief magistrate, are prevented. The want of personal responsibility in the Ring; the faces ness of his person, and the ascription of absolute persecti to him, are what is, in some degree, common to him wi both Houses of Parliament. The members of the House Commons enjoy freedom from arreft for debts both during th fitting of Parliament, and for fix weeks before it meets, as fix weeks after it rifes, the spaces of time respectively allow ed them for going to Parliament and returning; and this p vilege is allowed them, because, during the time they enjoy is as legislators and counsellors of the King, they are not th own, but belong to the nation. . The members of the Hou of Lords enjoy the same privilege perpetually, because the are perpetually counfellors of the Crown Both houses enim all liberty of speech, and nothing faid in either House Parliament is questionable in any other place. According to the same rule, the King's person is sacred and inviolable, and he is himself above the reach of all courts of law, because is the great superintendant and director of all national business. he is at all times necessary to the nation for In these three fe-

calamities that would arise from personal responsibility in a

Character of the Western to Sant to the world

⁺ The supposition of law is, that neither the King nor either house of parliament

٥,

t д pte this

iA

a fi

cred

g the and

pri

their

louk

fe of g to and fe to nell,

e feveral

ife of

al cafes, peculiar to those individuals of this nation now intioned, the fame rule applies in a greater or less degree, proportion to the importance of the offices which they receively discharge. Neither does the Constitution or laws peet their persons, but their offices. The sole view of constitution here is, not individual, but general good. There are persons of judgment and cardour, who will haps, be here disposed to lay, that though it is of great adtage to the nation, that the King's person in facred, and that e responsibility of the Crown exists in its servants only; and at though the nation has all security, in their being respons he, that its office hall be well taken care of, could the reants be punished for their mildemeanours; yet that the effected. But an influence similar to that of the Crown, and which must exist, in some degree, in all governments, can all protect the servants of republics: Belides, in such governments, can also protect the servants of republics: Belides, in such governments, the great men are, in a high degree, obliged to largue together; and being thus largued, they protect out mother by their authority, by obeir numbers, and by sheir alividual insuence. Thus, in the republic of Rome, the great so protected one another in general, that no crime, may kind of apprection almost, which they dominited, could be punished, except by turnult, sedition, civil war, or some server of violence. This, we know, is not the ceste in our government. The reason is, that the King of this land is not the King of a faction, but of a gation. If one next not the King of a faction, but of a nation. If one party will not ferre him, another, whilf his government is what II.a

perliament (collectively taken) is capable of doing any wrong; face in fach cafes the law feels itself incapable of furnishing any adequate remady. Blackfood's Gammenteries, p. 444;

The authors of the French condition have been to fentilile of the singer arising to the public, from the combinations of the great in republics, that they have excluded person within a certain dagree of relation, from being members of the Directory at the fame time, or within five years of each other. The institution may be landible; but it is tain. Where anhition fitualists men, the tie of relation is known to be of little avail-either one way or another. The members of the two triumvirates at Rome were not allied to each other. Ambition first joined them, and inflaved their country; it then divided them, and produced a civil war. In the facond triumvirate, each of the members profession fome of his relations or friends to gratify the revenue or ambition of another.

enti

7. 11

atly

nt &

ark

prop

right

And

the b

being

aisd

pref

Weal

nien

well

DV

et c

due

to t

66 E

ry o hand bed but an o the hy hav fpec

it now is, will press their services on him. His authorit so great and stable, his influence so great and general, th though, as a man, affection or pity might lead him to for protection to a favourite, though, in some degree, cul ble; yet he is never, like the rulers in republics, under a ceffity of protecting any public person with a view to him personal safety, or the maintenance of his prerogative. The that very influence which is supposed to protect ill deservi ministers, is the chief cause why the King is not under a ceffity of protecting them, but may fuffer them to feel the confequences of their demerit. It is known from the Hiftsy of past times, that the servants of the Crown were punish ed for misdemeanours, when the prerogative was greater; and no reason can be assigned why those among them, who injure the country, may not ftill be punished, now that it is much less. The encreased influence of the Crown renders

their punishment both more easy and safe.

Though the King, therefore, in the eye of the law, " can do no wrong;" yet any of his fervants may; And though he is not responsible; yet all of them are. That very in-Avence which has, by some, been supposed to render their responsibility nugatory, does, in fact, make it real. It gives such stability to the throne, it affords such security to the royal person and prerogative, it renders the King so independent of any particular let of subjects, that he can have no isducement of a felfish nature, to protect his fervants, if guilty, by any undue influence or illegal action. Justice will determine him to protect his fervants, if innocent and deferving, when accufed by their enemies; mercy, a quality peculiarly amiable in a fovereign, and required in the fovereign of this country, by the Conflitution, may prompt him to extendate or excuse their faults; but being by his influence secure himself, and certain of heing served, he can have no personal or private motive for preventing the due course of justice; which scarcely ever can be the case (never in the same degree) in republics.

If what has been here faid with regard to the perfection of the chief magistrate, in the eye of the law, stand in need of any confirmation, it may be confirmed by the highest autho-

rities.

"The legislative body," fays Montesquien where he praise the confliction of England, ought not to have a power of arraigning the person, nor, of course, the conduct of him who

sentrufted with the executive power. His person should be fered, because, as it is necessary for the good of the state, to prevent the legislative body from rendering themselves arbitrary, the moment he is accused or tried, there is an end of literty. In this case, the state would be no longer a monarchy, but a kind of republic, though not a free state. "How rearkably do thele words of this great man answer to what appened in France as well as England, after the trial and secution of their respective kings!

d, th to w Culm CC 2 1 hison

The

fervi T B 95

cel the Histo punifireaters , who

t it is enden

44 CHR hough eir m gives o the

epes. no inwilty. rmine

en ac-

le in a

y the their rtain re for r can on of d of nho raifes

f arwho

15

"If any person," says in the blackstone, " has, in point of property, a just demand upon the king, he must petition him in the court of chaptery, where his chancellor will administer right as a matter of grace, though not upon compulsion. And as to personal errongs, it is well observed by Mr. Locke, the barm which the sovereign can do in his own parson, was being likely to happen often, nor to extend it felf farit, no being able by his lingle dirength to lubrer the large, nor top prefe the body of the people (hould any prince have in much reakness and ill-nature as to endeavour to do it) the inconce siency, therefore, of some particular mischiefs, that may hap pen sometimes, when a heady prince comes to the throne, well recompensed by the peace of the public and security of government, in the person of the chief magistrate being the set out of the reach of danger 1."

fet out of the reach of danger 1."

When a perion confiders the thoughts in this letter, with a due degree of attention and caudour, he is unavoidably led to this conclusion, that it is an excellence is our conflictation,

" that the King can do no wrong."

R. T.

There is but one inflance, to far as I recalled, in the whole hittery of Rugland, of any King's putting any perfor to death with his own hand, which is that of King John. That prince being a niupper flabbed Arthur, the young Duke of Brittany, and true heir to the Crown; but the extreme vitioniness of this prince's character gave his fabjeds an eafy and fafe opportunity of obtaining from him Magna Charta. In the other monarchies of Europe, inflances of fach windom committed by their King; have, perhaps, here nearly so case; and all of these have proceeded, one from an excess, but a defect, of power in the refrective Crowns. Whill a king enjoys fecurity, his fabjeds have nothing violent and illegal to apprahend either to their persons, property, as liberty. Spirit of Laws, b. sr. c. 6.

Blockflone's Commentaries, w. J. p. 243

LETTER LVIIL

Of the Real Separation of the Judicial Power from the Legislative and Executive.

COUNTRYMEN,

IN feveral of the foregoing letters, I have taken the liberty of pointing out a few of the many excellencies in the confliction of this country, which are not only great, but which cannot exist, nearly in the same degree, in any fore of republic, or in any government but those which are constitued in a similar manner. If we contemplate the judicial power, we shall still farther perceive the decided superiority of

our conditution to every other.

It may be remarked, in general, as a great excellence of the British Constitution, that these three powers, the legislative, the executive, and the judicial, are feparated, or lodged in different hands. For if any man, or body of men, had the power of enacting laws, the power of trying causes, and the power of putting the laws and public refolutions in execution, the whole power of the flate would be lodged in that man or body of men. He or they would be as arbitrary as any individual, or body of individuals can be; they would find no obstacletotheir will, except such as are merely physical, or exist in the refractory dispositions and rebellious conduct of their subjects. By the conflitution, the life, liberty, and property of every man would be at their disposal. Hence the arbitrary government of Venice, where all the three powers are lodged in the same body of men. Hence the despotism of Turkey, where the Sultan unites them in his own person . Hence, as the true cause, the despotism of the Robespierrian factions Hence also, the Convention, after the death of Robespierre, had it in their power to be as arbitrary as when under his in-Auence. They were, indeed, more moderate; but their moderation, it is evident, was not owing to the conflictution, in which there was no change, but to other causes. But where these three powers are separated in the manner they are in one government, the subject enjoys the greatest possible security for his rights. There

Spirit of Laws, b. II. c. 6.

fion.

to exectindiv

ave

For,

judge figns only be all to ac force his fire or he in the

er, to of F great it has fuhje their time gove arifted

feren

king repu powe chics "There is no liberty," Jaya Montesquieu, " if the judiciary power be not separated from the legislative and executive. Were it joined with the legislative; the life and liberty of the subject would be exposed to arbitrary controul; for the judge would be then the legislator. Were it joined to the executive power, the judge might behave with violence and oppression."

"There would be an end of every thing, were the fame man, or the fame body, whether of the nobles or the people, to exercise these three powers, that of enacting laws, that of executing the public resolutions, and of trying the causes of

individuals ."

ative

ber-

the

but t of

itble

oow.

y of

e of

odg-

had

and

that

BILT

d no

ill in

man

ment

the

the

tion.

erre.

10-10-

here

y for

bere

If the king of any European country were possessed of the power of enacting laws and trying causes, the people would have no security for their rights but his wisdom and integrity. For, if he should be disposed to invade those rights, as a judge, he might so interpret the laws as to favour his own defigns; or if this should not answer, as a legislator, he would only have to enact new laws that would; or if he should not be able, by any existing laws, or any interpretation of them, to accomplish his will, having the command of the national force, he might break through all restraints of law, and oblige his subjects to submit.

Supppfe, that the fame powers were velted in a permanent orhereditary body of men, that body would have it equally. in their power to oppress the rest of the nation, with this difference, that, being more numerous and confequently fronger, they might be more oppreffive. The former government of France, though not without some veltraints, was, in a great measure, arbitrary. It was sometimes oppressive, and it had always power to be fo in a certain degree. Yet the subjects of that government suffered less appression under their arbitrary monarchs than under their nobles for fometime before and after the accession of the Capets, when the government, inflead of being a monarchy, was in fact an aristocracy; which, as in all the other monarchies of Europe, at one time or another, almost annihilated the power of their kings, and arrogated all power to themselves. " And in the republics of Italy," faye Montesquieu, " where these three powers are united, there is less liberty than in our monarchies +." (He does not here mean England, the govern-

^{*} Spirit of Laws, b. II. c. 6. + 1d. b. II. c. 6.

buli

16 in

repu

Fren

der,

a di

h ai

It

rifin

ain a

dia

anie

m

only,

de c

ful l

ad in

p

re:

Read

Aru

iches,

mnc

ofe f

nflave

nman

rerp

fible

to t

at it

Fact

ated

w an

hole p

mb

ment of which he is comparing with other governments, and which he praises for the liberty enjoyed under it, but the ather monarchies of Europe) Were these three powers, therefore, the legislative, the executive, and the judicial, unital in one permanent body of men, that body might he more oppressive than a single magistrate or king vested with the

same powers.

Suppose further, that these three powers, instead of bei lodged in a permanent or hereditary body, are lodged in that is periodically elected by the people, even this b would have it in their power to oppreis. This is evident for what has happened in France. One would be apt to image that, the people having a right to elect their own governo and to call them to account for their public conduct, the governours would be upon their guard against injuring oppressing them. But the horrid feenes of injustice, op fion, and cruelty, exhibited in France, fince the revoluti as well as in the two most distinguished republics of antiqu in which the people enjoyed fimilar powers, prove the fu fition to be ill-founded. The convention was elected by people; and yet, under that convention, the people, by the own confession, instead of enjoying liberty, suffered worft of tyrannies. The reason was, that, though th was a separation of the legislative, the executive, and judicial powers in name, there was none in reality. were erected, their judges appointed, and their fentences e ented, by the very men who enacted the laws; and the judges were fo much under the influence of these legislate so entirely inflruments in their hands, that the same mend in fact, enact and execute the laws. And hence arole th power to oppress and injure. The Roman people had t choice of all their magistrates and judges, the power of ens ing their own laws to and the power of calling all the ferva of the republic to account for their public conduct; yet Rome also, even whilst the people enjoyed these pow their magistrates were able to oppress them with impunity. cannot well be here objected, that the oppression and injufuffered by the people of Rome, under their governor were owing to the people of that republic, transacting th

After the people of Rome had obtained the power of making the laws which were called plebulcits, they puffeffed the whole power legislation; and the Roman government was a democracy.

then

bo

t fi

oppo

iqui

by

y th

n th

nd I

ribu

ces e

d th

iflate

e th

had t

fena

ferva

yet

pow

nity.

inju

erpo

og th

ing th

Power

bufiness personally, or by tribunes, instead of representatives, is in France; for he who compares the history of the Roman republic, during the latter periods of it, with that of the French, will find, in point of injustice, oppression, and murder, the shoft striking resemblance between them; except, that, according to the French themselves, now that the truth is discovered and acknowledged, every thing unjust and cruel a ancient. Rome has been heightened in modern France. The cause of the great abuses of power in both governments was, that every thing was transacted by a faction.

It must be acknowledged, that there are many advantages rifing to the people of all countries, especially such as Brihin and France, from their appointing representatives; yet presentatives will not, in a republic, prevent faction; and action is the fource of all their evils. In the mast select comanies, there will be differences; and a difference of interests, manners, and even of fentiments, ambition, avarice, jeaonly, hatred, revenge, and fuch caules, all combine to diide communities. In our government, where there is a powful head to prevent divisions from becoming factions, they nd in parties, which generally forming a moderate oppositipromote the good of the state : But in republics, where here is no fuch power to reftrain the violence of divisions, afterd of ending in parties, they end in factions, which are aftructive of liberty and happinels nearly in proportion to the umbers of the people, and their desparity with regard to riches, influence, and rank. Had ancient Rome and modern rance been governed by kings of great, but limited, power, ofe factions would have been prevented, which oppreffed, mflaved, and tyrannized over, the people in both. But no uman wisdom can, in such nations, prevent the rise of factis, whilft their government is republican. Such nations, for werped, naturally generate and nurse factions. If it were flible, that they could be generated in Britain, fo unfriendto them is the government of one man, permanently great, at it would foon deftroy them.

Faction, therefore, I beg leave to repeat it, cannot be pretated in a nation such as Rome was, and France and Britain was are, if the government be republican. And wherever here is faction it is of little consequence how the different were of the state are distributed by political laws; the shole power is, in fact, held by the most powerful faction; and that faction is governed by a few leaders. For every faction must have leaders, otherwise it would be no faction, but a few contentious individuals, incapable of doing any thing but uttering their resentment, and complaints; and as every faction must have leaders, so it must submit to their government; and if these leaders belong to the most powerful faction, they govern the whole nation. All the powers of the state, however distributed by forms, are, in reality possessed by shem only. From the time of Marius and Sylla to the time Angustus rendered himself absolute, the Roman people were continually governed by different sactions; and the leaders in each successive faction, were possessed of the whole power of the state.

In all republies, therefore, where the people are numerous where there is a great inequality with respect to riches, an of course, with respect to influence and power, there must be faction; because the people must have leaders; because the leaders are uniformly those rich men that are possessed of abi lities and popularity; and because there is no great superis power to suppress the contentions of the leaders among them felves for the afcendant. And where there are factions, the most powerful faction engroffes all political power ; and the whether the people manage their public affairs themselves, o by means of reprefentatives, the advantages attending the la ter mode, are not fufficient to prevent partiality, injustice cruelty, and all the milchiefs produced by faction. Ros with its tribunes of the people, a species of representative and its popular affemblies, fuffered all those calamities. B under the Robespierrian faction, the French nation are of pinion they fuffered much more. "The pages of our hills ry," faid Fermont on the eve of the anniverfury of Robespiers " will afford more aftonishing and tyrannical acts than the annals of Rome." The profcriptions of Marius and Sylla are nothing in comparison with those of Robespierre and his so complices." They now celebrate the destruction of a man whom they once adored, of a man whose declamations were filled with the words Liberty and Equality, but whose conduct ... flaved bis country, and made the unhappy victims of his vengeance equal only in death. But did Robespierre produce their calamities to France by his fingle power? No. He was but a man, and had only the power of a fingle individual. He produced them by the faction which he had the art to form;

he :

Bat part part dang than

lepo mon d up

RI

anie erene

bich

and the republican nature of the government gave him an opperturity of forming that faction. Had the government of france been like that of Britain, that wretched country had ever produced a Robespierre. The power of the Crownwould have rendered the birth of such a monster abortive.

Robespierre, by his cunning and ferocity, favoured by eircemstances, raised himself, in opposition to the liberties and ights of his country, to be, in fact, dictator of France. But, in the republic of Rome, the diffensions of citizens forced the legislature to create a dictator, that is, a magistrate selled with all the power of the state, without any responsibility annexed to his office. Public safety and happiness render a power equivalent to a dictatorship occasionally necessary in all republics in nations such as the Romans were; but the great power of the Crown, in this nation, superfedes it, by suppressing or preventing all exhorbitant power in any de-

eription of subjects.

y fac

, but

thing

every

Overa-

l fac.

of the

pollelle

illa te

Roma

of the

nerous

Pan

nuft h

ule th

of shi-

them.

ns, the

d thes

ves. or

the la

njultic

Rom

ntativ

B. B

re of

ur bil

obefpiers.

han the

Sylla are

d his se

a man

ons were

nduct m

his ven-

ace that

was but

al. He

o form

200

It will be readily acknowledged, that all the evils suffered by France, fince the revolution, have been produced by faction (for it cannot be denied) but then it will, perhaps, be hid, that the factions in that country were produced by the evolution, one part of the nation favouring kingly government, and another, republican. Those who think so, laour under a mistake. The partizans of royalty never have een able, in any confiderable degree, to form factions among the rulers of that country, fince the abolition of monarchy. but if they have been able, in some small degree, to render pirt of the nation factious, the opposition which that factious art gave to the whole body, mult, from a fense of common nger, have tended, in a much greater degree, to unite, an divide them. The factions that have done any confiderthe mischief, have arisen among those who were active in lepofing the unfortunate Louis, and establishing a republic, ong those whom ambition first united, and afterwards dividdupon a change of circumstances and views.

The Revolution, it is true, was the occasion, but it was but the occasion, not the cause, of those factions. The real cuse of them lay deep in the human constitution, in the difference of sentiments and interests, of hatred and attachment, which is inseparable from human nature, but chiefly in the unbition of the leading men, to which the revolution gave some. No cause, like the French revolution, operated, in

rendering

rendering the citizens of Athens and Rome, factions, yet to tions continued to distract those republics almost perpetually, all of which factions were produced, not by a difference of fentiments with regard to the hature of the government (he if we except the heads of the factions whose aim was to reader their own power supreme and absolute, all were agreed that it should be republican) but by the mutual antipathies the fpirit of revenge, the avarice and ambition of their great men, who wanted a great superior power to bridle their ex-ceffive defires. The seeds of faction are inseparable from the nature of man; and a republican government, whether a iftocratic or democratic (but especially the latter) is the full in which they spontaneously shoot up, and, with a peculiar

ranknels, fhed their baneful influenc.

In the republic of Rome, which, from being a mixed go. vernment, somewhat resembling that of Britain, had become a democracy, Sylla having overcome all his rivals, and forced the people to create him perpetual dictator, was able for a fhort time, to fmother all diffensions among the great; but, upon his death, they burft out into a flame, and produced civil war that ended in the power of the first triumvirate, But Craffus being dead, and Cafar and Pompey now thating between them this usurped power, their jealousies of each other gave birth to that famous civil war, in which the flower of Rome fell; and the plains of Pharfalia made Cæfar mafter of Rome. During the continuance of his power, which late ed but three months from the time he had subdued all oppon ents, the flate enjoyed repole. But though the character and circumstances of the Roman people required the government of one man; yet the power of such a governour, though, in Cafar, exercifed with clemency and juffice, was intolerable even to those whom he had diftinguished by his savour; and the flames of civil diffentions were lighted up anew when he fell at the foot of Pompey's flatue, by the peniards of the fenators. Thele new diffentions, after producing numberlefs profcriptions and murders, and the molt dreadfu feenes of mifery, ended in the power of the feeond triumstrate. But a difference happening between Mark Authory and Augustus, a civil war again divided Rome, and made Augustus absolute.

In France, various factions yielded to the superior power of

that which was headed by Robespierre. This becoming more

powerful

elc.

of e

cont then

fuffi tran men

bitio

able

n F

lic o

and t

that

of ki

were

under

the fi

leader

ions

our ic ex

tions,

wards

of Fr

tertai

ery I

demo

regard

electiv would

powerful by the fall of every other, made that leader a dicta-tor. His power was reflited by a faction which prince the aftendant, as a trained their feetfolds with the blood of their ments. The rulers of that ill-lated country have, fine the death of their king, been generally like mushed one let has forung up, another has decayed and the form of contention has successively swept them away. And as laws can bind the leading men of that country, whates conflitution they form, factions will, at certain intervithem a Sylla or a Robespierre, or till sad experience of the infufficiency of republican government to produce focurity and tranquillity, teach them to put themfelves under the government of one great common head, whole power shall be hereditary and limited, but fufficient to suppress the excessive ambition of popular leaders, the perpetual fource of unspeak. able miferies in republies, when established in such countries as France.

iif.

foil

go-

r 8

out.

da

ate.

ing

wer

fter

all.

Offe

and

ent

, in

able

and

hen

. 0

11me myle

OUT

rade

Sele

pore rful

It has been thought by some political writers, that a republic of fmall extent, is which the people vote individually, and transact their public bufinels themselves, is preferable to one, in which they use representatives. Such a republic was that of Athens; yet Athens, from the time of the abolition of kingly government, was a neft of factions. Whether they were governed by Pifistratus (who ulurped the government) under the name of a king, or by Pericles without it, or by the four hundred tyrants, or by the thirty, or by any other leaders, still, almost continually, they were governed by facn.: And under the government both of the faction of the four hundred, and that of the thirty tyrants, murders, public executions of those of one faction by another, profetions, and banishments, were as common as they were afterwards in the republic of Rome, and as they have been in that of France. These calamities are not peculiar to men of a certain age or country, but inherent, in some degree, in the very nature of republics, especially those which are merely democratical, and in which there is, as there ever must be in this country,' any great inequality among the citizens with regard to riches. Were a democratical republic, therefore, or (as it has been called) a government representative or wholly elective, to be established in this country, all thinking men would expect those calamities which rendered the subjects of THE REAL PROPERTY.

the ancient democracies milerable, with as much certainty as they expect frost in winter, or regetation in the spring.

It is not representatives that can prevent factions in a republic. These, on the contrary, like the tribunes at Rome, are, from their influence with the people, frequently the authors, and, almost always, the instruments, of faction. The same party that can influence the votes of electors, can perfunde them to arm in their cause; and if that party meet with opposition, it will inevitably become a faction; and faction is but another same for civil differsions and almost all public calamities.

I do not mean to fay, that democratical republics in comtries so diversified by riches and influence as those of Britain and France, have no intervals of domestic tranquility; for they generally enjoy, and must, in some degree, enjoy, such tranquility, whilst the power of the ruling faction continues: But I maintain, that, in such countries, such republics are fields, in which factions spontaneously rise; fields, in which, whilst one faction sourishes, another sheds its leaves; fields, in which all the baleful fruits of saction are reaped, excepwhen the exhorbitant power of a few produces a winter that mine the bud of liberty, of all manly sentiment and action.

sips the bud of liberty, of all manly fentiment and action.

In such governments, there are certain calms of internal peace, which may be called the interregnums of difcord as bloodfhed; but also ! thefe calms are but the forerunners of almost all public calamities. In the most fultry climates, there are refreshing breezes; in war there are truces; ew in the very madnels of the human mind, there are certain la , cid intervals; and when human nature is disgusted with repeated horrours; when the strength of parties is exhausted; and when one party gains the ascendant; then the agitation of republican factions subfides, for a short featon, into calm. But in such governments, factions cease from quarrelling only that they may breathe; whilst they breathe, the editate ruin to each other; and when they have recovered their breath, they renew their hostilities. Oh! my country, mayft thou ever be preferved from a government, the genius of which heaps ruin upon ruin, and dies in the act of spreading mifery and horrour.

The democratic republics of America and Switzerland will, perhaps, he objected to the preceding observations with regard to such governments: But it has been observed in a

former

eau wh former letter; that America being a country, in feveral refrects, very different from Britain and France, republican government may answer very well, for some time at least, in that country, though it would prove the greatest evil in these. The same observation may be extended to the small democrasies of Switzerland, which are poor, surrounded by powerful neighbours who unite them, as Persia did the Grecian republica, and influenced by the arithogracy of Berne; all of which

mules tend to render them tranquil.

Rome

The

ind fac-

noft all

n coun-

Britain

for

ics are

er that

ion.

rd and

even

ain lie

th re-

into a

uarrel-

untry.

genine

presd

exland

with

in a

ormer

The continuance also of the power of the same party in France, fince the death of Robelpierre will possibly be objected to what has been faid with regard to the fluctuation of power in fuch republics. But no great space has yet elapled nce that period; and it has been admitted, that even in fich governments as that of France, in fuch countries as that, here are certain times, in which the citizens paule from inernal diffention, and enjoy a certain degree of tranquillity. Thefe feafons are when the state is exhausted, or employed by a foreign enemy; or when the people have become wears contention. Such ftates are then without diffentione; a Samfon was like another man after being deprived of his locks; or as a fiek man is without passions, because he wants' frength. But let fuch a state enjoy fome repose, and the sames of civil discord will, as at Rome, again burit furth. When one florm has blown over, there must be some time for another to gather. It is the nature of Æten alternately to epare and throw out its fire; and it is the mature of rep to generate factions; and thefe, at certain short intervals. give birth to civil wars.

It has been faid, that the great defect of the ancient republics was, that the people deliberated and voted individually, inflead of employing representatives. This certainly was a defect in these republics. But their public meetings, though turbulent, and the cause sometimes of affrays, were not the cause of those ambitious designs, factions, and civil wars, which wasted them, shed the blood of citisens in torrents, and produced unutterable scenes of mistry. No! Ambition has its origin in the nature of man; the plots laid to gratify that passion, were hatched in secret by one or two leaders. And executed, not in the forum, or places where the people met, but on the field of battle. In the republic of England K k 2

† Letter 41.

in fad

le aff

hands

by me

cale o

impol been l

It is o

and li

It i

offef

would

mall

Venic

bot - u

thele .

republ

Aituti

be in a

their t

The p

ecem

difunit

utach

PAR W

of

bia re

rars ?

form o

he fa

Theaft

billows

wherev

the on

of just

Is is

formerly (in some measure) and in that of France lately (in the highest degree) as well as in the ancient republics, the weeds of faction, civil war, and proferiptions, grew up spontaneously, and arrived at a baneful maturity. The defect of all governments of that fort is the want of a permanent chief and aristocracy, which, when duly limited by representatives of the people, give stability to the state, preserve or promote liberty, and prevent, so far as can be done in any government, those internal miseries which constantly rise in republics, is instituted in countries where there is a great inequality of for tune and influence; unless, as in America and Switzerland, they are prevented by certain causes peculiar to the condition and character of the people, and their relation to neighbouring states, but not existing in the nature of republics.

To bring this argument to a conclusion, the three powers of every flate, the legislative, the executive, and the Judicial cannot be fafely entruited' to one man, because he might be come a tyrant : They cannot be entrufted to one permanent body of men, because that body would be absolute, and might be tyrannical: Nor can they be entrufted to one body of men, though elected periodically by the people, because fuch a body is absolute, and may be tyrannical, during the continuance in office. The decemvirs at Rome, elected by the people to improve the laws, foon became fuch tyrants, that at the end of three years, every man in the fate joined in expelling them; and the French give the name of decemvirs to those very leaders who were formerly held up by them as the mirrors of patriotifm. Though, in fuch nations at those of France and Britain; these three powers should be entrusted to different bodies of men, each elected by the people; the would be separated in name only, and not in reality. powerful men in the flate would influence the elections to each power; and thus the fame body of great men would

I am supported in delivering the above opinion of republics not only by facts, but in a great measure (were that necessary) by general Wathington's speech on the resignation of the presidency. But if this general preserved a monarchy to a republic, why did be not attempt to establish one in his own country? It is the reason doubtless was, that he know the people. But from the known character of that great many both for probity and wisdom, I have not the least doubt, that had he been an Englishman, he would have risqued his life and fortune in supporting our mixed government. Men like general Washington, consider both time and place.

is fact, both coast and execute the laws, and direct all public affairs. The whole power of the flate would be in the lands of one junto, and that junto, if they agreed, would, by means of their influence, be the most powerful, and, in ole of opposition, the most tyrannical of matters. It is impossible, that Robespierre and his adherents should have been so injurious and bloody, had they wasted opposents. It is opposition that makes absolute governments tyrannical, and limited ones just.

on-

hie

ote

ent.

for-

nd

TION

our-

Tue

ven

be-

auf

hei

har

a to

the

e a

fled

hey

I'hie

to uld,

ot to

at he

d he

fup-

It is true, that occasional differences arising among those. pollefled of the three powers, in the manner mentioned above, would fometimes reftrain their oppression, or abute their tyransy. It is a difference of this kind, which is the cause of the mall difference in point of liberty, between the republic of Venice (in which the three powers are separated in same, but united in fact) and that of Turkey t. But excepting. thele occasional or accidental differences, the governous of a republic, in which the three powers are separated by the Con-Aitutional laws, might, during their continuance in office. be in a great measure arbitrary and tyrannical; and should their tyranny become intolerable, there would be a revolution. The people, if united, would expel them, as they did the decemvirs at Rome, or put them to death, as they did the ate decemvirs of France. But if the people should be for difunited, that those possessed of the three powers, should attach a confiderable part of them to their interest, a civil would take place, as happened frequently in the republie of Athens, and that of Rome also towards the end of this republic. But what would be the iffue of these civil orn? Only a certain interval of domettic peace, if the form of the government remained the same, In that case, he fall of one faction would only be the rife of another. The state would become a tempessuous ocean, on which the billows of one faction would only roll themselves to the shore and disappear, to be succeeded by those of another. And wherever there is faction, it is impossible to separate the three wers of the tate in fact, and to preferve them fo separated: one of them will confantly influence the other, and his vain in fach a flate, to expect the impartial administration of juffice.

It is easy to separate the judicial power from the legislative K k 3

and executive, by public refolutions or political lawer has, is a republic, in which there is a confiderable inequality of riches and influence, to keep them leparate in practi do not hefitate to fay, impolible.

But in this government, of which the parts are & just mixed and tempered, they are feparated, not only by politi ht cashire thereignit all triff.

cal laws, but in fact.

The reason of this decided and constant superiority of government to all republica, is the greatness and permanene of the power of the Crown, on the one hand, and the gree nefs of the power of the people (which is also permane By the power of the Crown, I would be have understood to mean, not only its constitutional authority, but the whole of its influence, both of which conflitute its power, and by the power of the people, that is, of all subjects, no merely the authority of both houses of Parliament, but the political privileges of all other fubjects, fuch as the liberty of the press, of petitioning both the King and Parliament, &c. all of which compole that influence, or, if I may be permitted fo to speak, that re-action on the power of the Crown, which is its proper and conflitutional cheek! A successional interest

It was the great power of the Crown, which enabled the Kings of England generally to keep the most powerful men in the kingdom in a flate of due fubjection. It was the greatness of this power, which united subjects of all ranks to form a check to it, and which has uniformly preferred that union. It was chiefly the re-action of thefe two great por ers on each other, which, during the long course of years, formed and matured, and which now preferves, the conflitte tion. And the proper energy of the conftitution, or of the conflituent parts of the state, is the cause of justice being squally administered, that is, the cause of all that for which

white my surred three or received as

men live in civil fociety.

The judicial power is, indeed, separated from the legislative and executive by the Constitutional laws, by the power of judging being delegated to judges who, holding their places for life, are independent, by the Habeas Corpus Ad by the Trial by Jury, and by the Liberty of the Pres : But without a great power in the Crown to cause justice to be extended cuted, and without a great power in the whole body of the people to check the power of the Crown fo as to prevent it from paffing its due bounds, all restraints of a legal and for-

mal

if of position of the control of the

100

Th

ing a

be in

in mo

which

racev rader

nich f

n fo mpub

the ci

y go dmi

Lite

ceffio

dered

nete by wh

ty ha the in

io of

, but

tithe

the

chell

kerton think!

the

ng es

giffed

ower

their

Ad But

CHE

the

nt it

formal

hie 1 14

kind would be no defence against a King who should sole unbe, in certain cases, arbitrary, on against a faction, bleb should choose to gratify its party spirit.

If the power of the Crown were exhorbitant, the reign-prince, like Heavy the eight, might attempt (and with much (secres) to insuence the judges and jury, or he ight change the form of trial; or if a faction were possessed more or that of Cromwell in England, it would more ily attempt the fame things, and would be more fuecelsbecause shame and fear would, to them, be no restrainta, ugh they must, in some measure, restrain a single supreme giltrate, however powerful. It is the check of the Crown the Subject, and that of the Subject on the Crown, which facing the judicial power beyond the influence of either, les its independence and of course its impartiality. It is fe checks which preferve the established forms of judgin is thate, infinitely more than any forms or laws, which ske the firesm of Justice flow uninterruptedly in its proper dond war in Arth

The real separation of the judicial power from the legislae and executive (as fuch leparationexille in this country) and he impartiality; with which justice is of courseadministered, are most distinguishing peculiarities; qualities in its government, shich never can, in to high a degree, obtain in any other prerument, except thole, in which the balance of power beseen the fovereign and the people, or between the supreme recentive power and those who either enach the laws, or live under them, is adjusted with such exactness, and maintained ith fuch fleadinefa. These qualities, therefore, never can, n fo high a degree, obtain in arhitrary monarchies; nor in apublics, in which there is a confiderable inequality among the citizens, in point of wealth. Such republics are constantgoverned by faction; and faction neither does, nor can, administer justice with impartiality,

It may not be improper here to observe, that fince the accession of his present majetty, not only have juries been de-dared judges of law and fact; but that beneficial statute e-Aed (at the earnest request of his majesty from the throne) by which the judges are rendered independent; "His Majefty having been pleafed to declare, that he looked upon the independence and uprightness of the judges, as effential to the impartial administration of justice, as one of the be fecurities of the rights and liberties of his subjects, and

conducive to the honour of the Crown +."

The real separation of the Judicial power from the logilitive and executive, and the impartial execution of the law in this country, are facts which are known and acknowledge What I have attempted in this letter, is only to show he these effects are produced, and that they access are, in succountries as this, to be expected in the same degree, underepublican governments, especially those which are demonstrical or wholly elective; and this, I statter myself, is donin a manner satisfactory to persons of reslexion and candon

There is no confitution or fystem of government perfect because men are imperfect. There is no constitution, though capable of being rendered perfect at one time, that will a ways remain so, because those circumstances in a nation, which it must be adapted, are subject to change. But the constitution under which we have the happiness to live, so withstanding of any incunsiderable things which may require alteration, is, considered in its relation to us, infinitely preferable

to any other.

Solon, the famous Athenian legislator, being asked which popular form of government was the best, replied. " that, which an injury done to the meanest subject in an insult upon the whole constitution ?" This character answers enactly the Constitution of this country. " The oppression of obscure individual." (Jenks) says Judge Blackstone, garrife to the samous Habeas Corpus Act."

also as a flat to a hamming one R. T.

or Edition air Jan

The interior of the second the second

on or wend wheth the revenue has worden and the

help but a well requiremental Lang question was

Cou

ny ny, nole, prov

Ver

othe

me

in e

PA ST

11:

dieve

110

infrin

& CC

No No

† Blackflone's Commentaries, v. z. p. 268. 2 Goldsmith's Hift, of Greece, v. z. p. 47.

and the second s

we store the last, were defined and rath on this person an about account,

to the wars of providing and to depart of the control of the contr

THINGS THAT ARE NO ARGUMENTS AGAINST THE GOODNESS OF THE CONSTITUTION.

LETTER LIX.

Of the Opposition to the Executive Power.

COUNTRYMEN,

eande

thou

e+1/2

requ

efera

hat,

le up

acile

of

1100

·T

9 115

10 40

and the sea flat of this the addition to

T is no argument against the goodness of that superlatively excellent constitution which, by a wise and good promee, it is our lot to enjoy, that that party in the nation, his Parliament and out of it, which is in opposition to aistry, tell us, that the Constitution is corrupted, our lity infringed, and the country restand. Such, is some decrease were has been, and ever will be, the language of such a ty, though it is evident, that occasionally, and upon the ole, the Constitution and Liberty of the people have been proving, and their wealth and happiness encreasing, from time of the conquest to

Very far am I here from intending any thing personal: other can this be supposed, because I speak not of any set men who may chance to be of the opposition party at any nicular time, but of that party in general.

In every country which enjoys liberty in any degree, there

f I am well aware, that the suspension of the Habeas Corpus Act, assertal other temporary acts, may here be objected as infringements four liberty and corruptions of the constitution. No person, I will mure to assert may be acts restrained from a innocent word or action; and, therefore, he has not found his liberastringed. Scarcely any person will now be bardy enough to say, at they were not needsay to curb licentionsus, sedicion, and rebelles; and if they were necessary, they were just, even though they had, as certain time, changed the constitution, and deprived all of their cry. Such expedients were made use of in former times, in cases of cofficy; and this itself is a proof that the constitution is not altered.

with the bloomer's the figures.

pe

10

100

A

. 0

of

in e

1

B ole,

131

s th

M V

educ

1 6x

iolat

duc

li e fo

ity o yi i pe l'hii

re o

atio gin:

feft

real

wh

BELL .

103(1

11

agan.

must be parties nearly in the same degree, because men this ing differently, and having different interests, have also such countries, liberty of acting in opposition to each other provided they keep within the limits marked out by the land where there are parties, whether from interest or pringle, it is vain to expect, that they will not blame each othe conduct, even where there is no cause of blame, but rath ground of praise.

The opposition party in this country, have not only the partialities which arile from difference of sentiments a views, but those also which arile from difference of interest to milead them. Their schemes are often frustrated, their hopes disappointed; their passions, therefore, are standard; and passion never yet faw, or stated, nor was excapable of seeing, or stating, things just as they are. It

the very nature of paffion to exaggerate.

Foreigners have remarked, that the people of this coun (probably from the effects of physical eaules in a great fure) are peculiarly disposed to be anxious and apprehen when there is no ground of inquietude and fear, but es ground of fecurity and happiness. This uneasy, restless sporehenfive temper is, from the peculiar state of the an frame, apt to affect fome men more than others; and I men from their very temper, are inclined to fide with party in the nation, which are in opposition to Ministry, supposed to be peculiarly watchful for the public goods fides, there may be men neither influenced by defire of co favour, nor disposed, in any uncommon degree, by phys causes, to be apprehensive, who, in ordinary cases, join party to preferve or promote the happiness of the coun They fee, that, in point of wealth and liberty, we are diff guilhed from all other nations (it is their pride to fee it) they not only enjoy their share of present national happing but feel their hearts dilated with the most pleasing hopes encreasing that happiness: But they know, that our liber is preserved by a moderate opposition; they know the net fity of checking, in some degree, even the best of minister and fuch men, therefore, may from principle, and with n fon, fometimes have opposed the minister, though in times danger, they give him their support.

"As we are afraid" fays Montesquieu, " of being deprive of the bleffing we already enjoy, and which may be diffusife

d mifreprefented to us, and as fear always enlarges objects, people (Britons) are unesty under such a fituation, and live themselves in danger even in those moments when they would secure."

moft fecure,"

"As those, who, with the greatest warmth, oppose the cutive power, dare not avow the self-interested motives of ir opposition, so much the more do they encrease the term of the people, who can never be certain whether they in danger or not. But even this contributes to make em avoid the real dangers to which they may be exposed."

"But the legislative body, having the considence of the ople, and being more enlightened than they, may calm ir uneasiness, and make them recover from the bad impresus they have entertained."

When an impression of terror has no certain object, it duces only clamour and abuse; it has, however, this good but that it puts all the springs of government in motion, a fixes the attention of every citizen; but if it arises from solution of the fundamental laws, it is sullen, cruel, and

educes the most dreadful catastrophes."

nen thi

e alfo

the l

or pri

ut rat

sb nl

only th

cuts

intere

was c

. It

0-14-14

eat m

coun

out eve

lefor

e ani

and fi

vichot

try, i

of co

physic

join.

count

it) a

hopes r liber ne nece

inisten

rith res

deprive and the inguitation

"If when the uncafines proceeds from no certain object, as foreign power should threaten the state, or put its profity or its glory in danger, the little interests of party would myield to the more strong and binding, and there would a perfect coalition in favour of the executive power;"

This is certain, that, in countries which enjoy freedom, or must be parties; where party spirit prevails, it is vain expect, even from men of the purelt intentions, an accusant fate of public affairs; and, therefore, though the consistion may be corrupted, and the country ruined in the signations and speeches, not only of the timid and felf-trested, but of the realous in the cause of liberty, we have reason to think them so in fact, unless we feel them to be which, at present, is by no means the case.

R. T.

7 Spirit of Laws, b. 19. 0.27.

LET.

A Control of the Cont

WHEN YOU

and minder, would dealer I decelled to on to manketi. LETTERIZE anolle black work tring

and Bo

fro

et t mc

ver

QIL dup

der

but be

1 0

the

the

ath

lwe

of det

it i dra mo

the

no

ran

of a

of.

out

of

and

ind

Of Tweet.

hat been a syft whe Coldental Section . And provide a contract

COUNTRYMEN, denied, that they are heavy. They are great, they must be great, because the necessary and just deman

government are great.

It has been asked what government gives us in return our money? And some of the people have been made to lieve, that it gives us nothing. Let fuch people then & tit is their duty and happinels to know it) that govern gives men every thing almost, except the mere gif

Government, in this country, above all governments either are, or ever were, in any other, gives men liberty fecurity; that is, it places them in that tranquil flate which they may, in every innocent way, enjoy all that have, and render it more by improving their natural and ventitious advantages. It is our government which gi its subjects the advantages of this latter fort by pla Tupporting, and defending our colonies; by extending proving, and protecting our trade; and by encreasing number of lawful and ultiful employments, in rewarding ingenuity and industry of artists and learned men. In it is this government eminently, which enables them to fecurely or without fear, the means of acquiring necessity accommodations, ornaments, and, in a word, happinels is only in confequence of that security which it affords ? fubjects, that the cottager, equally with the most i paer, fits tranquilly at his own fire-lide, counts on what a own, depends on it, enjoys it, and seeks to encrease it is very lawful way. Without government, the firing and ning would; in a thousand ways, oppress and injure the and fimple. This would foon produce a flate of war, place men in a fituation, in which they could neither de on their lives, nor their property, nor any thing which dear to them. The country would fiream with blood; to and cottages would be deferted; all occupation, but pla

spalght to realist a are in

and murder, would ceafe, till necessity in pity to mankind, hould assume the reigns of government, and fave the world from ruin.

If, therefore, government in general, give men fo many bleflings; if our government in particular give us many and various bleffings in a degree enjoyed by the subjects of no other government, (which is literally the fact,) is that governnent to receive nothing in return ! Is it not, in justice, entitled to a reward or compensation? Can government or gosernours afford us their bleflings without receiving an adequate support ? No! The justice of taxes, that is, of the Support, to which government is entitled from its sub cets, is obvious; and, therefore, we are not only commanded to render to all their dues, tribute to whom tribute is due, cuflom, to whom cullam, banour, to whom bonour, fear, to whom I but we find our Saviour himself working a miracle (which he never did for his own support) in order to pay tribute to a nation which had conquered his country, and which was then governed by Tiberius, an emperor confessedly among

the greatest of tyranta.

Black B

T WONE

but

reat.

return

ade n

nen k

vern

gitt

ients

Hatt

that

al un

h gi

afin

In

TO You

ecelli

nach

ords t

Rin

what

e it in

and the

wat

er de

whit

ut plu

In this country, taxes must be great, because the wife, bil, and beneficent purpofes of government, which they anfwer, are many. But, though great, I must take the liberty of affirming, that they are not heavy, that is, a fensible burden. This affirmation may not be a very popular one; but it is founded on the must obvious facts. It is not a conclusion drawn from reasoning concerning the comparative value of money and the means of subsistence at any number of periods. concerning the encrease or diminution of national wealth, and the improvement of our commerce, agriculture, and the various arts, things, with regard to which we may very eafily err; but from that, in which so man can be deceived, I mean a comparison of the present state of the people of all ranks with their flate in all past times. Ascend to the source of our history, and you find the people of this country troops of naked favages, conducted by their respective leaders, without any houses but hute, without arts, and without any means of subliftence, except the spontaneous growth of the earth, and the produce of their flocks and herds . Defcend afterwards to the time of the conquelt, and you find them emerged. indeed, from the favage tlate, but still in the barbarous, little

[·] Hume's History of England, v. t. p. 3.

little acquainted with agriculture and the mechanic arts, defirste frequently of the necessaries, and always of the conveniencies, comforts, and ornaments, of life +. Defcend even to the reign of Henry the feventh, and you find an Earl of Northumberland, living smidft a numerous retinue, indeed, but in a fille of coarse barbarous plenty, or rather penury, of which the very tenants of such a man would now be assumed t. Compare the condition of all ranks, at the present time, with what it was in general, fifty, fourty, or, in most places, perhaps, even twenty years ago, and you find the greatest difference in favour of the present times. The cottager now lives like the farmer formerly; the farmer, like the lauded gentleman; the gentleman, like the subleman; the nobleman, like a prince or king. Towns have rifen in marihes and waftes, where once fcarce a living creature could fublift; and thoulands of wealthy merchants and tradefmen may be feen, where a beggar, in former times, when taxes were little or nothing, could not have lodged. Our country, from being one dreary wafte, has affumed a beauty and richness which are unrivalled by any place of equal extent, on the surface of the whole globe. In fine, though our taxes have necessarily encreased, all ranks are infinitely better fed. clothed, and lodged, than when they paid few or none, a plain and incontestable proof, that, though great, they are not heavy.

fil

4h

fic

21

.th

fer

CH

chi

4

Can

18,

WP

Heaviness and lightness are relative terms. We can confider any thing as light or heavy only in relation to his strength who supports it. If a man's strength has encreased in a double, triple, or any other proportion to the encreased weight of a burden which he has been accustomed to bear, it is evident, that he is able to support with ease, in the prime of life, a burden which would have crushed him in his infant years. This is precisely the case with regard to this nation and its taxes. Its taxes have encreased; but its strength to bear them has encreased much more. So that, at present, taxes to men, in general, in all ranks, caunot be a feusible burden, except the imagination has been filled with false.

ideas.

Though the taxes of a people have encreased; yet if their wealth, like that of this nation, has encreased in a much greater

⁺ Humen History of England, v. I. p. 229. 4 Id. v. 3. Note 8.

greater proportion; if, as in our cale, the nation has en erested the quantity of its real riches, that is, not of its gold and filver (which like paper money, are ultimately, in a great degree, but the representatives of real wealth, having very little intrinsic value, as they can neither feed, nor clothe, nor lodge a person, and are used chiefly in ornaments) if, fay, a nation has encreased the quantity, not of its gold as filver, but of its real riches, that is, the quantity of all those things which afford men subliftence and enjoyment; if, befides, the flate of its agriculture, commerce, and mechanic arts, and the extent or greatness of its dominions, be such, that there is a probability next to certainty, not only of preferving the encreased quantity of its real riches, but of making it hill greater; if such be the state of a nation, then I maintain, that, however its taxes may have encreased, its government must be good ; for an abundance of thele things cannot be acquired or preferved under a bad government; and if its government be good, the constitution must be good. For, to use Mr Paine's words, " a government is only creature of a conflitution | ;" that is, the conflitution is the cause, and the government, the effect; and, therefore, as this government is good the conflictation must be good.

Our taxes, therefore, though great, are no argument

against the goodness of the constitution.

R. T.

LETTER LXL

The fame Subjet.

COUNTRYMEN.

de

con-

icend

inue, ather

now the

find

The

like

nan ;

n in

ould

axes

On

exes

fed,

are

gth

fed , it

ant ion

to nt.

ble

lle

cir

eh

ter

8.

THE most uspleasant of all tasks is to write in defence of our taxes; and even whilst a person is so employed, he can scarcely help withing, that they were less. The reason is, that men are generally rather averse to give away, though abundantly disposed to receive, money. But neither the opinion of others, nor his own feelings, ought to deter a writer from stating things as they are.

Though, from unavoidable causes, directed by the fu-

Rights of Men, p. I. p. a5.

the vite

tion

àme

fore

al a

fine

the

juft

and

er p

COR

able

pow

tain

pow

with

coll

tho

whi

10 t

tem

woo

who

idol

they

the

whi

opp

thef

by c

POW

he p

pre

1

preme governour of the world, there must be, in all coratrics, men who are poor, comparing their condition with what is necessary to their maintenance in their proper station, yet it is evident from the considerations in the preceding letter, that our taxes, though necessarily great, and, in some sense a burden, are not burdensome or oppressive. And whilst all prices rise, as they have hitherto done, proportionally with our taxes, or whilst the ability of the subject encreases in the same or a greater proportion, which has yet been, and which, there is every reason to expect, will still be, the case, it is impossible, that they ever should become a sensible burden, unless they are made so in imagination by the designing, or by those who are, by their sears, their own tormentors.

Besides the advantages which the country has derived from its taxes, in being desended, and in having its liberty enlarged, and its trade extended and improved, there are other advantages slowing from this source, which it has long enjoyed, and which it will constantly enjoy, whilst things remain in their present happy state. The payment of them is a cer-

tain fecurity for our liberty. For

1. The power of the Crown is thereby checked, and our

privileges maintained, and occasionally improved.

2. It thence derives an influence which is necessary to enable it to suppress the excessive ambition of the great, to maintain public tranquillity, and to administer justice impartially.

1. Taxes, as they are paid in this country, are a check on

the power of the Crown.

Were the patrimony of the Crown such as to enable it to act without any pecuniary assistance from the subject, those restraints which it now lies under, and which, in a manner, obliges it to respect the laws, and the rights of the meanest perform in the kingdom, would, in a great measure, be wanting, or be no restraints; and the former might be violated, and the latter invaded, by it, with a great degree of safety to itself. Besides, if the subjects had any grievance to be redressed, or any necessary and just privilege or advantage to ask, their requests would not, by any means, be so easily obtained (it at all) as they are at present, the revenue depending on a vote of the Representatives of the People. It was by this privilege, the right which the people have of granting or resusing supplies by means of their representatives, that

they acquired by far the greater part of their liberty and privileges, and that they have hitherto maintained them; and it is by the fame means that they will be able, in a confitutional way, not only to preferve and improve them, but to ameliorate their condition in every respect, in so far as it depends on the will of the executive power s. Thus, therefore, is the payment of taxes, in one respect, a great pational advantage.

2. The Crown derives from them a certain degree of influence, which enables it to suppress the excessive ambition of the great, to maintain public tranquillity, and to administer

fuffice impartially.

COUR

with

tions

g let-

fome

And

rtion

t en-

yet

ill be

ten.

y the

OWE

rived

perty

other

njay-

main cer-

· our

ena-

ain-

ally.

k on

hole

ner.

nelt

ant-

ted.

fery

be

e to

ob-

nd.

Was

ng

hat

rey

The greater part of its influence depends on the collecting and expending of the revenue; and its influence is the greater part of its power. In a country where money is, by many confidered as the chief conflitment of rank, and where it is able to effect every thing almost within the compals of human power, it is vain to expect, that the Crown, though it repower which enables it to rule all fubjects according to law, without its influence; and its influence depends chiefly on its collecting and expending the revenue. Without this influence, though the chief magiltrate might, from habit, be, for a while, respected by the great; yet that respect, as happened to the late King of France, would foon be changed into contempt. Like the frogs in the fable, who obtained a log of wood for a king, they would foon learn to infult a magistrate, who though welted with authority, yet, like the Heathen idols, could do anither good nor soil. The powerful, whether they were fo by wealth, talents, or art, would, as at Romeand in the feudal governments, form confederacies among themselves which would, in most cases, bid defiance to public justice, oppress the poor, and commit crimes with impunity. these and all fimilar mischiefs are, in our country, prevented, by our being ruled by one very powerful man. This ruler is powerful, because his influence is great; and his influence is great, because he has a great deal to bellow, that is, because he not only collects, but expends the taxes.

In a word, the granting of our taxes by means of our representatives, is the instrument of preserving and improving L I 3

⁵ De Loime on the Conflitution of England, p. 236, 487, 573, 374, &c.

the privileges of the fubject, and the collecting and expending of them, that, by which the chief magistrate maintains the peace of the public, administers justice impartially, protects all, and affords to all the most ample fecurity in the enjoyment of their rights. Considered in this view, taxes are a common bond, which unites king and people, and which peculiarly interests the former in the welfare of the latter. Though it were possible, therefore, that this nation could free itself from them at once, and with the rafe of simple volition; yet, in delivering itself from them, instead of gaining an advantage, it would fuffain an unspeakable loss. By freeing themselves from taxes, the poor and weak would throw away the shield of the laws, and abandon their chief protect tor; and the leaders of the people would take up the iron rod of injuffice, cruelty, and oppreffion. The damons of avarice, ambition, and civil war would foon walte and depopulate the country. Trade and Agriculture would languist or die; every vilage would be covered with palenels; and Britannia, drenched in blood, would deplore the folly and wretchedness of her fons, program and sense ton bed assume

Taxes, therefore, in general, are one of the greatest arguments for the goodness of the constitution. It does not appear, that they are, at present, greater than what the necesfary purposes of government require; it is certain, that they are light compared with the strength of the nation ; and that encrease of population, industry, and riches, which is next to certain, will most probably diminish them, or, which is the fame thing, augment the ability of all subjects,

What has been faid of taxes, in this and the preceding letter, is confirmed by the fentiments of that great flatefman and lawyer, the prelident Montesquien. " This nation," fage be freating of Britain, " is passionately fond of liberty, because this liberty is real ; and it is possible, for it in its defence, to facrifice its wealth, its eafe, its interest, and to support the burden of the most heavy taxes, even such as a despotie prince durft not lay upon his fubjects."

" But as the people have a certain knowledge of the neceffity of submitting to those taxes, they pay them from the well-founded hope of their discontinuance; their burdens C LON

are heavy, but they do not feel their weight "."

R. T.

. Spirit of Laws, b, 19. c. 27.

LET-

Co (2) minf Th owe the g

er in

Code

030

and n Th of th at wh much fueno to the Hitati worfe and t fmall than v men h fracto

fubjed Crow on bal verted to tak Be an inf in ger

this w

any in

exert.

Stuart perfec

and the second at a linguist that is a backless, go the second of LETTER LXIL

regulation and an encountries of the second state of the later of Of the increased Influence of the Crown. and mounts have any parties made a new 12 of clean comme

COUNTRY WEN, and and are a design of the same themes

ding

the

ects

joy.

re a

De-

tter.

ould

nole

ain.

By

wor

stee-

iron

a of

epo-

dia

ands

and

172,510

rguel

ap-

ecel-

they

that nest

th in

glete

and

es be caufe

e, to the

potic

eine-

n the

T.

ET

THOUGH the influence of the Crown has enerenfed. and is ftill encreasing, this encrease is no argument a-

minft, but for, the goodness of the Conflictation.

The influence of the Crown is the chief conflitment of its power; and, therefore, it must bear a certain proportion to the general encrease of riches, that is, of influence and power in the fubicate; otherwife its power would be diminished. and rendered incapable of performing its proper functions.

The wealth of all ranks, but especially of the trading part of the nation, has encreased very much since the revolution; at which period the prerogative of the Crown had been very much diminished, and its influence was small; and if its influence had not afterwards encreased in a certain proportion to the encrease of the riches of subjects in general, the conflitution, inflend of being improved, would have become worfe. When the people of this country had lefe wealth and trade, and fewer means of acquiring wealth, a much smaller degree of influence was sufficient to govern them, than what has become necessary, since numbers of monied men have arisen in towns, places the most apt to become refractory, turbulent, and even feditious, who would despife any influence which the Crown, in former times, was able to exert. As the wealth, therefore, that is, the influence of subjects has encreased, it was necessary, that that of the Crown should also have encreased, in order that the influence on balancing that on the other, the throne might not be fubverted by a power preffing upon it, which has ever been ready to take advantage of its weakness.

Before the Constitution attained to its present mature state. in influence in subjects Superior to that of the Crown, feems, in general, to have been of advantage to the nation. It was this which gave it that superiority during the reign of the Stuarts, by which our national liberty was, in a manner, perfected +. But now that any material afteration in the con-

Ritution

he unt

th a

10

net and

for

e in far

curi

ainith

الو ما

il in

M m

Se we

Nec

iof

offe

toi

202

any

ed,

ee (fi

4 80 But

no P

men

1 8

of

lib

ini

ned

ce,

Nay

celsf

of li

nu be

المال الما

flivation would be hartful to the nation, fuch a superiority influence in subjects would be ultimately detrimental to the selves, as it would afford a power to those who are fond in vation, to invade and leffen the royal prerogative to as t untit the chief magistrate for ruling this great pation. I fhort, if we are to enjoy our rights, the influence of the Crown must encrease nearly in proportion to the encrease the wealth of subjects in general; and as this feeds to has been the measure of its energale tince the revolution, that er crease is not an argument against, but for, the goodness of the Constitution.

Whether its influence is, or has, at any time, been, excellive or disproportionate to the state of the subjects, can be known only by what it has done, as every cause is known b its effect; and it does not appear, that it has done any thin fince the encrease of its influence has become a topic of elamation, which was not intended, at leaft, to benefit the It has been employed in protecting the country enacting many haws of a public or private nature, confelled of general advantage, and in making "the laws to reign.".
But the prefent war and certain acts of Parliament have

been supposed to be injurious to the nation.

If it was necessary to preserve the balance of power in E rope, and our rights as Britons, it was necessary to wage w with France, even though that country had not previou declared war against this. The balance of power, though fometimes mistaken, has been understood, and, in some degree, attended to, in all countries and ages, and is just as neceffary as that two or more weak men should unite against on firong man, from whom they have something holdle to a This has been the fituation of this and fever neighbouring nations, with regard to France, ever fince to power became to great, but especially fince the establishmen of its republican government. A short time after this, th rulers of France threatened almost all established governments. but especially those which they called tyrannies, that is, m narchies, and more especially the monarchy of this country. and even the country itselft; and its threats, accompanie

⁴ The president of the National Convention declared, " that the French nation would not reft, till they had devoured proud Albion, and plunged Pitt and his accomplices in the Ocean; till they had planted the

rity

of the

afe of

rat en

can t

wit by

thing

fit the

7, 1

3.70

e wa

ne de

as pt-

ft or

to E

untry

hat the

n, sad

ted the

tres

of c

hollile measures, rendered it just as necessary that this entry should act against that, as that one man feeing annr advancing towards him with a weapon in his band, and th a holfile air and gesture, should put himself in a condin of preventing or repelling violence. In such a case, innet flimulates, and reason allows, directs, may even comands, one nation to use against another, from whom it has afon to apprehend injury, every means except those which injurious to a third party; or any of its own members, in far as they are necessary for defending itself, and obtaining curity; and thefe, it has been repeatedly declared by the iniflers of the Crown, were the objects of the war. he ubject of the war," faid the prelident of the Cabinet Com in the Hunfe of Lords, about two years ago, with a precific ad manliness that distinguished his character, " the fole object of war is, in one word, Mourity."

Necessitated, therefore, as this nation was to defend itself pins the wanton or malignant attack of its neighbour, it as a duty which ministers owed to their king and country, soffer every kind of affishance to every power that would all this country against France; and to maintain our case by goodstion, by force, by money, by every just and accessary against that nature and providence should surnish them with any or the greater part of the allied powers, whether subjused, or not, have withdrawn from the alliance either from cessity, or choice, the ministers of the Crown are certainly answerable for the conduct of independent states.

But France has been successful! What then? Mere firength no proof of merit; Nor is the success of a gause any arment of its goodness. If it be, we also have been success, it and if the goodness of a cause is to be determined by success, we have not only an argument, but a proof of the goodn of ours. We have not only preserved our constitution d liberties; but we have both preserved and extended our minions. We have not lost an inch of territory; but have sined much. We have not only encreased our own navalues, but lessength that of the enemy.

Nay the whole alliance against France has been nearly as coefsful as any independent state ought to be against another.

to of liberty on the banks of the Thames, and made the Marfeilloife mus be fung on the streets of London;" and his speech was followed the Joud ap, laufe from the Convention.

he H

and

is M

ed, l

y ha

mill

COR

duca

ortun

alle

thefe

. the

Libe

n and

xpen

linift

duefs

to be

Crow

er,

itutio

a pa

and

ald re

that c

ioja

ufiala

able

nd hi

t agai

ther. The opposition which the French has met with I the allied powers, has checked, though it has not conque them. They, as well as these powers, have lost much of and money, they have become lefs capable of putting t menaces, and most probably their first resolutions against a governments, in execution. They have had time to refl to experience calamities both of a domeltic and foreign ki to know by their experience, that a republican government not, by any means, a perfect one, and perhaps to fuffer of nations to enjoy their own forms of government. In the after having given scope to a blind, a mad ardour, they now, in a great measure, come to themselves in consequ of the opposition made to them by the allied powers, ti and other causes. They have ceased to threaten other vernments with ruin. They are become much more mode and tractable, and willing, it should feem, that a get peace should be established in Europe, and that every no should be left, without moleflation on their part, to en own government. The obtaining of these most definable jects, whether they have yet been fully obtained, or not, the motive of ministers, according to their own confer for continuing the war; for a declaration of war on the of France forced them to enter into it. And as the pretion of what is our own, is the most justifiable of actions the French, had it not been for the check they have rece from the combined powers, would have over-run Europe a rage blinder than that for the crusades, and infinitely destructive, no blame certainly attaches to ministers for t general conduct with regard to the war, but much praise. in opposing a power that would have wasted with the qu ness and fatality of a peftilence, and which, in its effi would have been much more direful and permanent, they l committed certain small errors (of which, however, 1 kg none; for no man or men can foresee all things) this of fhews us, that, though able and upright ministers, they

As the conduct of ministers is laudable and meritorio with regard to foreign assure, it is no less so with regard domestic. Their vigilance to prevent those exilminded or luded people among us, who wished to follow the examples France, and so ruin their country, is much to be praised. It is now seen, and can scarcely be denied, that the suspension

he Habeas Corpus Act, and the bills with regard to treaand fedition, as well as those with regard to the feduction is Majeffy's forces, and the mutineers at the Nore, were ed, loudly called for, by the most imperious necessity. alfo, like the war, have answered the intention of them. w have contributd, in a high degree, to preferve internal uillity, and thus to preferve our constitution. They contributed to bring the mutineers to a just fense of their luct and have given the deluded people in Ireland an portunity of discovering their error, and of returning to allegiance. In fine, the joint operation of the war and thefe feveral acts has, under God, proved, in a high dethe means of preferving the Conflitution and Laws. Liberty and Happiness of the Nation, both against fon and domestic enemies: And to preferve thefe bleffings, xpence can be deemed too great.

finisters, therefore, even if we judge them, not by the duess of their intention, but by the event hitherto, are to be blamed, but highly praifed. And an influence in Crown which has enabled them to act in this meritorious ner, is not an argument against, but for, the goodness of the

my whom have been and a

is a state of a least and the damped of the state of the

ord mple de li li

ith f

que

of

ref

o k

men

er of

a b

hey

ther

ode

gen nat njoy sble

ot,

he p

or el

kn is of ey t torio

gard t

penfi

f a party in the nation, loyal, I am fully perfuaded; to a , and ready, in case of any great emergency, to give most decided proof of their loyalty, ready, if necessity ald require it, to fied their blood in torrents, in defence that country, which they may have, perhaps, in some de-, injured, through the heat of contention, and by the usialm of their eloquence, if this loyal party have not able effectually to thwart a minister, wife and steady nd his years, in a remakable degree, this is not an arguagainst the Goodness of the Constitution, but a proof, the Minority in Parliament is not yet the Majority.

kind

quie

his o

bove,

tion !

ty, i

natio Choi

ive u

erna

refe

itors

bert In

there

none which

ffeet

over

ther

erty.

nenfe

ent.

n fue vich s

thoug

rodu

lorm,

tal, to

dange Th

sor b

LETTER LYUE

the december burntner in me of the one !

Of the National Debt.

Corner warm, the same of the same warms to

Americans, suben be would perjunde them to continue to war against this country, "can we but leave policrity with a settled form of government, and independent constitution of its own, the purchase, at any rate, will be cheap. The debt we may contract, doth not deserve our regard, if the work be but accomplished. No nation ought to be without a deluganational debt is a national bond"; that is, a security for the permanence of its government; far, in no other sens, can the words "national bond" be understood. Here then, this author, when he means to savour his own purpose, fairly acknowledges the use of a national debt. And it has been confessed by all parties, that this nation has derived advantage es from its debt, in the security it has afforded to its government in time of danger, and in the commercial purposes which it has uniformly served.

It muft, indeed, be confessed, that the great Montelq himfelf expresses himfelf in a manner which seems contra tory to what is faid of the advantages which a nation may rive from its debt. " A well regulated government, fast ought to fet afide, for the first article of its expence, a terminate fum to answer contingent cases. It is with public as with individuals, who are rained when they live exactly to their income +." Thefe words frem to be tre general with regard to absolute monarchies and defe in which there is no iberty and generally few rich hubje except such as are attached to the government by offices honours; but they will not hold true with regard to free vernments, especially the government of this country, which the wealth of thousands who have no particular atta ment to it, and the liberty of all, are extreme. The gove ment of this country being of a mixed fort, and of a peculiar firucture, all those general vules will not apply to which hold in fimple governments, and indeed in every of

Common Senfe, p. 35. 4 Spirit of Laws, b. 13. c. 18.

gainst the Country's of the Chillianian.

.

del

bee

nta

ay e

a i

e 8

ttne

OVE

to

kind of government. It inevident, from the writings of Montels quies, that he confidered this government as peculiar, and not reducible to all the rules of any other. It is even plain from his lowa words, that he did not intend the words quoted above, of the government of this country. For, of this nation or government, he fays expressly; "to preserve its liberty, it borrows of its subjects, and its creditors seeing that its redit would be lost, if ever it were conquered, have a new motive to make stoth efforts in defence of its liberty." Though it may, therefore, be generally true of despecie governments and of absolute monarchies (of which latter kinds be was a subject; "that they are ruined, even when they live up exactly to their income;" yet it is at true of this government, that it derives strength from living beyond it; "it preserves its liberty by horrowing us its subjects, and, its creditors have a new motive to make firsh efforts in defence of its liberty."

In a country fuch as this, in which, without public funds, there asuft be confiantly an immense quantity of superfloors money in the hands of merchants and other traders, which, in their hands might be employed, as in France. effect she most dreadful purposes, but which in the han government, may be used to guard our liberties and ferre ther purpoles of general utility; in a country, in erry is enjoyed in to high a degree that it frequently degrates into licentioninely, and of which the capital is to enfe, lo populous, and of fuch a character, that many of to inhabitants are, accadenally, not only licentions and tuin such a country as this, if my humble opinion were with regard to the policy of a national debt. I should g ecidedly in favour of fuch a debt to a certain exten hough very confiderable, it can never, while we cooti be as prusperous as we have been, be a fensible burd produce say inconvenience, and it affords, in every florm, an additional anchor to the reffel of the flate, pliarly interesting the creditors of government in the tal, to support it in that place where it is most expuse oftent of this country being of a rain of har , dat of

This nation has derived various advantages from its debt; for has that debt heen yet feltasa burden. For, "the burden M m

of the national debt," fays Mr. Paine, with great trust, or confifts, not in being fo many millions as so many hundred millions, but in the quantity of taxes collected every year to pay the interest?." And as it has been shewn, to my under standing, in a manner that is unanswerable, that our taxes though great, are not a burden, that is, a feelible burden, it follows as a consequence of Mr Paine's words, that our national debt is not a burden.

abo

in

rel

da

pro lift

err

ed

pe be of

fii

th

*h

OI

But it has been supposed, that this debt is greater than a necessary. Granting that it is, the excess is no argument against the goodness of the constitution. It is the mature of man never to remain in a due medium, but to go to extremes; and if any thing is to be blamed for the excess of the public debt of this and other European mations, it is chiefly, perhaps, in the last place, this disposition in manking.

Some part of the debt of this nation was a necessary legal to the revolution; and the rest of it has been contracted in wars that were necessary for the defence of the country and its foreign dominions, and the protection of our trade and liberty. It is, therefore, part of the price of those bleffings

which we enjoy.

That there wars were necessary appears from the hillory of the respective times, in which they took place; and a certain celebrated writer who speaks in the strongest terms against our national debt, acknowledges, that they have been just a the commencement of them, but that they have been just in the commencement of them, but that they have been commend after they have ceased to be such f. This is no argument of a disposition in the ministers of the Crown, or rather a the mation at large, at the times of these wars, to early things to extremes, but no argument against the geodness of the Consistency. Ministers act according to the general sections of the nation; and these sentiments cannot be controlled by, but must ever controll, any constitution.

All men, whether confidered as individuals, or forming to cleries, are guided by opinion folely; and men's opinions very, perhaps, as much with regard to fafterns of public conduct, as with regard to fafterns of philiolophy. Nay a whole nation is fornetimes as whimfied in its public conduct, as individuals with regard to the tashion of their houses, equipage, or drefs. Something like this, was the case, when the French loft to much by the Mishippi scheme;

† Rights of Met, p. 2. p. 71.

See Hume's political effays

and the English, by that of the South fee. A hamour in eleven of the tribes of Israel almost annihilated the tribe of Benjamin; and a disposition in the Romans to raze Carthago, was the rain of that state; though a sew lages saw, that the interests of both states required, that is should be spared. A rage for the Crusaites formerly, assumed Europe; a rage to abolish Christianian rays of the control of the co abolish Christianity now actuator many i . France, and a few in other countries. In the reign of James the feword, the reliftuace made to the throne was general; in that of his daughter Ann, petitions from all parts of the country were preferred to the Queen, confirming the doctrine of nonre-fiftance. There are errors of individuals, errors of nations, errors even of the human rate; but when a nation, or the majority of it commits an error for a longer or thorter time, that error argues nothing with regard to their confliction,

but proves they are men. A humour or disposition to contrast debts, forms once to have perveded the whole or a great part of Europe. It was not emfined to governments or national focieties, but extended itfelf to towns; many of which have contracted debts on the fame principle with governments, namely, public good. Nay the fame principle, with respect to themselves, him regulated the conduct of companies of merchants, and even of individuals, many of which have deeply involved themselves in debt to profesure some favourite scheme. In finer, a disposition to contract debt, in order to gratify their humour, or tter their condition, feems once to have affusted the of a great part of Europe; and the modern invention of flituting paper for gold and filver, enabled them to indules this disposition. But a nation in debt, like an individual in the fame fixuation, is frequently, from accidental causes, obliged to increase its debt, before it can get rid of its incumbrances. Million the Land Land

The Dutch first contrasted public debts at low interest; the practice was followed by France and other nations of Europes practice was followed by France and when a part, or even one, of neighbouring nations adapts and when a part, or even one, of neighbouring nations adapts one nation is under a necessity of encreasing its forces, as another does the fame. For an encrease of public most in the first place, an engrease of s though borrowed, is, in the first place, an engrease of national throught. But when nations, from general opinion of disposition, encrease their public debts, even supposing such designation of attention ... M. m. We elight +

to co-

ikim

flings

ertais gains

er b

carry els d

I in

COP

R fo

15 VI-

CON-

thei the

bys.

100 H

not a

histor

God

y and

and d

with

make

when

The

woul

debt.

by w

mak

that

repu

Wes

and

each

dear

Our

vern

WOT

depi

peri

ther

dom

fince

as fi

tem

O

debts to be very prejudicial, this is no argument of the goodness or baduess of their respective constitutions, but an argument of the folly of the times; especially in this country,
the conduct of whose governours is influenced. I had almost
faid, wholly regulated, by the voice of the nation. For
"the sovereign is here in the same case with a private person
and, against the ordinary maxims of prudence, is frequently
obliged to give his considence to those who have most offended him, and to disgrace the men who have best served him,
he does that by necessary, which other princes do by choice."
"Every man has, in some sort, a share in the administration
of the government." If their governments be free, the evil is, not in the constitutions, but in the men, of which the
nations consist.

When the other powers of Europe encreased their forces, by encreasing their debts, Britain was obliged to do the fame. Had it been a republic, it could not have avoided it. The republic of Athens is, perhaps, the only government of antiquity, that contracted a public debt; the Dutch republic was the first modern one that adopted the practice. The political state of this nation, for a considerable time after the revolution, the general disposition in this and other Furopean countries, to anticipate, and the practice of our neighbours, and much less the constitution, feem to be the proper causes of our national debt. This debt, to a certain considerable amount, is a general benefit; and the excess of it, (supposing it to be excessive) has been produced partly by general error, and partly by accessive.

But our loss, from whatever cause, is retrievable. By the report of a committee of the House of Commons, the whole of the public debt will be paid in a shorter period than that in which it has been in contracting. What though the time were double or triple, or even quadruple? There is a certainty almost, that our riches will encrease; and, in that ease, we shall be relieved in two ways. Whilst the burden of debt dwindles into that fize which will be a general ben fit, our strength to bear it will encrease. The wealth of individuals is greater than in any former period; their taxes are not heavy, though great; and surely it is no great exercise of patience to bear our encreased (I may say) still encreasing prosperity,

^{*} Spirit of Laws. b. 19. c, 27. p. 416. + ld. p. 418.

prosperity, till our public encumbrances become no encumbrances, but a general and certain advantage.

Some people, it is faid, with for a change of our incomparably excellent form of government to republic, in order to cancel the national debt. But we are not asceditated to commit a national bankruptcy, by the wants either of the public or of private individuals. This would be an act of injuffice, that would ruin thousands, give the most fevere, if not a mortal, blow, to trade, and flain the fair page of our history, an act, for which we could not justify ourselves eitherto God or our own confeiences. But were it necessary, absoluted defiructive flep, it might be taken, as formerly in France, without changing the government to republic. . Were we to make this fatal change, like most bankrupts, we should find, when too late, that we had loft much by our bankruptey. The frequent disorders and civil wars that would take p would confume much more than the interest of our publis debt, befides preventing men from pursuing the various arts by which wealth is procured. Who would have thought of making Italy a trading country from the time of Marius to that of Angustus .: And such would be our country under Chinese and an inches and in sent too republican government.

Our conflitution has given us wealth by giving us liberty. Wealth has, indeed, contributed to encrease our liberty; and the liberty and wealth of the nation produce or preferve each other. But without liberty and fecurity, few would endeavour to sequire, because none could be fure of possessing. Our conflitution by making all free, has tended, and does tend to make all rich. And for us to change this conflitution, on account of any supposed misconduct in the nation or its governours, for a republican one, that is, for one unspeakably worse, would be nearly the same as for an individual to deprive himself of reason and strength, because, in some period of his life, he may have made an imprudent use of them. With all our encumbrances, therefore, it is our wifdom to guard our conflitution in order to preferve our free-Mm3

good. Briging natry,

ation he co h the

prees BONG. The BRes pilde po.

aday

gean Ura, and OHE

and. the

ule in me. inwe.

be ur de ot

of Kall Ve side

igg (mage

When I mention the prosperity or flourishing flate of this country fince the conflictation arrived at its mature flats, I would be underflood as fpeaking generally and on an average, and as excepting those intervals, in which trade in general, or any branches of it, have fuffered a temperary loss or flaguation. And no nation more than any individual is at all times equally profperous.

dom and augment our riches. The troubled atmosphere of a republican government, though of the best kind deviteable by the wit of man, would blast that Tree of Liberty, under which Britannia kindly nurses and provides for her shildren and which is perpetually covered with blossome, and loaded

with the richest and most delicious fruit. Upon the whole, this is certain, that the conflitution of this country is one principal cause why the people are richer than their neighbours; that if any blame attaches to the contracting either of a greater or lefe quantity of national debt, it is the nation, not the conflictation that is to be blamed ; and that to change the government to republic, would be a fure and thort road to private poverty and mifery, and to

public ruin.

TU

OF

len:

100

The

C

the his

cert

ref tha wh tha rell or t

2.00 COL

the to cro and

the

nat

ty, ang

la and a hairford is you no cott, to maintained a street distinct a feet and the second of the second

lection from the provider

COPERARAM.

main combination of thete, hit, so your day or graw, effectability, and influence it an ung of the other members,

especiability and influence than us, of the other encurbance, there is, there is each contain a lead. The promises that for the is each contain and influence at the state stee strain but now that he has a motion of the containing the of my that he will obtain a strain of an arrange of a strain of the containing the other containing the straining that is a strain of the containing that is a strain of the containing that is a strain of the containing that is a straining that is a straining that is a straining that is a straining that containing the straining that is a straining that containing the straining that is a straining that a straining the straining that a straining that a straining the straining that are straining to strain the straining that are straining to strain the straining that are straining to strain the straining that the

the members of forces, are in all all a line of forces of the same of the same

ther in all our cities and towns. There calls exilt is and tions.

atoms good a return dark brown and plans, bas dargter and confidence within a function of the name

were agree and the second of t the with its old the water to be to be to be the

OF THE SUPERIORITY OF THE BRITISH CONSTITUTION TO EVERY OTHER.

to autumpered he appropriate construction to the first of the second

the Whitehall and the state of the

LETTER LXIV.

The Superiority of the Constitution of Britain, to every ather, from the parts and principles of its Government.

COUNTRYMEN.

The Court of the C

under

ion of

con-

debt,

be a

nd to

100

FOR

an bulk

1.6.3 sts.

Series teres

ada

1157

013

OF

tionly the

TIME OF

na sels

510010

t total

seu lass

128

nasdal

drange

182

THE conflitution of this country is superior to that of all others, 1. with regard to the parts which compose the government; and, 2. with regard to its principles.

In every fociety, there is one person, who, on account of his wealth, descent, character, abilities, and merit, or some certain combination of thefe, has, in some degree, greater respectability and influence than any of the other members; that is, there is a leader, chief, or head. There are others, who, for fimilar reasons, have less respectability and influence. than this person, but more than the same number of the reft of the members, that is, there is a fenate, an ariflocracy, or nobility. The rest may differ from each other in point of influence and authority; but being inferior both to the chief and those who are next to him, they generally unite in such a manner as to defend themselves; and here we find plebeians, commons, or a democracy. Some classification or division of the members of fociety, fimilar to this, feems to be natural to men who enjoy freedom. It took place in all the democrocies of antiquity, in reality, though not often in name; and is exemplified in the relation which men bear to each other in all our cities and towns.

In every fociety, therefore, there really exist, partly from natural, and partly from accidental, causes, a king, a nobility, and commons. This, in some degree, is the natural shape of society; nor can any laws or power of man, give it another. But where the powers of these three descriptions

and not

in l

in a rece le f

fill.

0 6

that

catt

enf

· Di

Ti ofe o in apol

utur er g

† 1

hu

of the members of fociety, are not defined by laws; at eastime, the chiefs, and, at another, the people, arrogate to themselves all power, and opports and injure the rest of the community. Now our constitution is superior to all others in this respect, that it defines more occurately, and consistent with more certainty, the powers and privileges of all tanks of men, than any other that either is, or ever was. It allows to every individual, some share of power as well as privilege, and it makes the powers of all to co-operate towards the security of all. It possesses the strength and dispatch of monarchy, the wildom of aristowacy, and the public spirit of democracy. It unites the advantages of all the three; and excludes their disadvantages by tempering and balancing the powers of shose who have any share or influence in the government.

2. The conflitution of Britain is superior to all others, with regard to its principles, that is, the human pefficus which set the different parts of government in motion.

The three principles of government are fear, virtue or the love of one's country, and hopour. The first is properly the principle of despotism; the second, that of republic; the last that of monarchy. In our government, they are united; und their combined influence gentributes to render it more perfect

than any other.

Our laws, though mild, are fufficient to deter most subjects from crimes; our country is so lovely on account of the liberty and security enjoyed in it, that the love of it or virtue must animate all good men who are fully acquainted with the vide of liberty, and know that their liberty is the effect of the coultifuation; and the sense of honour actuates, in some degree, all ranks. Thus we have in the bosons of men, cheristical by the constitution, sheet great securities for our liberties and happiness, fear or self-preservation, patriotism or virtue, and honour, or the love of what is great and excellent, and aversion to what is mean and deserve. Add to these, that we have the principle of religion, the surest defence against injury, in greater purity, if not in greater strength, than almost all other nations.

At in true, the fullioths of republics have a certain feare of honour, as well as fear of punishment; and, it is fo forth governments, that patriotism is supposed to glow with particular

116

ro la

ws to

e fo

and

z ebe

r the

und orfoC

andours. But it is in induarchies, that the principle of ha-nour is cultivated with the greatest care and success. And it is in such governments its ours, where the lastic reign, that sear or erates, in its jost degree, without degenerating into levility nd terror. Neither did virtue or patriotifm ever flourish m a coy of the ancient republics than it now does, with certain a receptions, in the country; and against the laves in these and se flated the despotism and conduct of the slaves in these and count governments, who always hated, and fometimes made war against, their governours. It is known, because history courds it, that, in the uncient democracies, the citizens were fully as felfish and senal as the members of any rotten borough shis kingdom. Where was the virtue of the Atheniana, then all their leaders, except, perhaps, Demolthenes himelf, was bribed by Philip, king of Macedonia ? Where was hat of the Romans, when the wealth of provinces was cattered by their leaders to bribe the plebeians of Rome?

Honour has been censured as a principle of actions but this ensure proceeds upon a militake. The principle of honour is natural to man, as the principles of religion, morality, and phlic spirit. Honour is but a fort of exalted or noble morality. eligion teaches us to confider certain actions as finful; moslity, as unfuitable to our nature; and honour, as balene lonour is the love of what is great and splendid in actions d the eversion to what is little and mean. Rightly undernod, it leads men to the practice of what is commanded by tion, and preferibed by morality, with the precepts and

xime of which it coincides.

on Sales and a

The point of honour has, indeed, been millaken, as in the fe of duelling; but so has the rule of religion and morelity. in the cales of offering human victims to the gods, and of poling aged parents and infants. What is wanted, is not e extinction of any of these principles, all of which are stural ufeful, and even necessary to mankind, but the prodirection of them".

t le has been shought, that the Legislature could apply a ren edy of the evil of duelling, by subjecting the duellist to an ignon inreparitiment. The late hing of Praffia is faid, by fuch means, to me prevented the officers of his army from fighting duch. At a certime, it was becoming fathionable at Ronge, for wives not to furvive or hufbands. The fenate corrected this propentity to fuicide in the man ladies, by ordaining, that the who killed heefelf on the death of hulband, should be dragged through the fireets of Rome at a horie's

"We kee, fays Mansesque, that, in countries where the people move only by the spirit of commerce, they make traffic of all the humane, all the moral virtues: the most traffing things, those which humanity would demand, at there done, or there given, only for money." This tendent of commerce to corrupt the heart and life, is, among a counteracted, by the principle of honour, combined with the of religion. The former of these principles regulates the conduct of our merchants so much, that a man who is honest an anactual in his dealings, is frequently called, not an honest hat an honourable mas. The latter has been frequently known to lead men to compensate those whom they have a jured, when a componsation could not have been obtained it any other means. In the ages of superstition, the tendent of religion was to make men build and endow churches, which the clergy, become soldiers to the orasides, or make pilgrimage to the holy land; and these effects, it had on king themselves. In this age, in which Christianivy is, in the analysis of the injuries which they have done, and this sendence hath with regard both to rulers and subjects. "It is religion, sons structure of themselves which they have done, and this sendence hath with regard both to rulers and subjects. "It is religion, sons structure of the sendence in the injuries which they have done, and this sendence hath with regard both to rulers and subjects. "It is religion, sons structures and subjects. It is religion, sons structures and subjects. It is religion, sons structures and subjects. It is religion, so sons structures.

CHARLE STATE

SE 101

Est St

adeni.

The leaders in the revolution in France, befides other a chiefs, did the greatest injury to their country in destroy or lessening the insuence of the principles of religion honour by the abolition of their church and manurchy; he of which ought to have been reformed, not abolithed. The found, at one time, they had left only one principle of verament; and that was terror. It should seem, that, to bulk of the nation, patriotism never can be a principle of tion; nor does it appear, that there is yet chahas shed any gular and sufficient system of insuence, or that any can established, whilst the present constitution remains. To chief principles, therefore, of their government must be either terror again, or corruption. But blood was not four to be a cement of the state; and gold employed corrupt though it may serve as a temporary cement, will eventual divide and subvert it. They ought to have preserved all to principles of government; and one would have strengthes

another.

The principle of honour never can, in monarchies even, apply the place of religion. One ought to endeavour to The effect of a fense of honour in restraining from what is njurious and mean, is described by Montelquieu, with two trokes of his mafterly penoil. " Crillon refused to affaffinate he duke of Guife, but affered to fight him. After the mafiere of St. Bartholomew, Charles the ninth, having fent orlers to the governors in the feveral provinces for the Huguenota be murderett, viscount Dorte, who commanded at Bayonne, erore thus to the king." ' Sire, among the inhabitants of his town, and your majesty's troops, I could not find fo huch as one executioner; they are honelt citizens, and betwee bldiers. We jointly, therefore, befeech your majefly to command our arms and lives in things that are precijicable. This great and generous foul looked upon a bale action as

thing impossible ...

In the the government of this country is superior to all there, first, with regard to the conflituent parts of the slate, and secondly, with regard to its principles, which, putting all he parts of the government in motion, are the foul of the mix collect. It could be the source of all the state of the source of the only politic. It combines the power of all for the good of ers them indirevient to the public good. If the principle is religion and morality fometimes clash with that of honour in duelling, their difagreement is, not natural, but calual he falle point of honour and the practice of duelling have efr origin in an ablurd and superfittious custom of antiquity d it should feem, that the example of the great, time, an ur wife legislature may rectify the error of the sense of honor, and about in this baleful practice. At any rate, as this flice is not connected with one form of government more han mother, it would continue, as in Franco, though the overnment were to change its form.

start Sanger was ready Spiritof Lawy-bi q. ci 3. princy has diens from his danses the manner was a place

attended home for a

Planta Control Control Street

Le tourstrupe en et a la contraction de la contr

material from the state

WRY veru partl

dren

unite

from neral

-bes chie,

bapp

the parte

fome

fary

13

refpe

of a

In

but a

reft i their

rity,

The

muft

Hen

atten

unde T

that

ety o king

cond lifh (

duty

de and

LETTER LKV.

the Established Son will The Superiority of the British Constitution to Elettine Rethesen by a comparison of some of their effects.

COUNTRYMEN, HE superlarity of a government such as mure, in this country, to all forts of government but elective m public, will be readily acknowledged by all parties: Its faperiority to this fort also is evident upon contemplating the respective forms and principles ;- But that superiority will be fill more evident, if we attend to some of their respective effects. man same it man transmitted with

It has already been shewn by arguments, in my humble a pinion, unanswerable, that faction cannot be prevented in those forts of republican governments (if creeted in countries refembling this, in which the people delegate their power to representatives, as in France, any more than in those, in which they transact their public bufiness in person, as in the ancient democracies of Athens and Rome. And as faction is the fource of all or most of those mischiels which rende fuch governments inferior to ours, I am at liberty in con paring the effects of elective republic with those of fuch a vernment as this (supposing a government of each kind effe lished in two countries, both nearly relembling this) to su port my arguments by facts relating to the ancient democra

In countries such as this, the great superiority of a govern ment fuch as ours, to a republic wholly elective, confide our being ruled by one person, whose power is limited as hereditary. Many and great are the advantages above whi can be afforded by any fort of republic, which are derive from this fource. Some of them are as follows.

1. There is greater unanimity among the subjects, under the government of this country, than there would be under the government of an elective republic; and, of course

Neither blood nor treasure could unite the subjects of the ancient republics of Athens and Rome; and the fame may be faid of that of France. The fear of a foreign enemy has al-

ways

ways been found accellary to units the hibitals of such governments. In this government, as the sacher of a family, partly by authority, and partly by a sense of it, so does the third magnificate, by his great authority, and distributed, units his subjects. The parties in this country, arise chiefy from the republican part of the government, and are, in general, of advantage: The parties in republica, are factions, and generally destructive or retinous. In such a sountry as this, a pure republic or one whally elect would be a houst divided against utself, and could not long both stand and be happy. The different parties in the government, are like the different parties in the human conditution; which may sometimes counteract each other, but which are always necessary to the happiness of the man.

a. The community or rather fameness of interest, in one respect, between the chief magistrate of this country and his subjects, is a marked superiority of our constitution, to that

of a republic wholly elective.

tin

20

l in

FIEL

f to in the tion order comgotabe crasin and rhat ived

In all republies, the chief magistrate or magistrates are but as the upper servants of a samily. Their individual interest is too great, and that which they have in common with their subject too small, to afford to the latter sufficient security, that they will, at all times, manage their public affair with sidelity and due attention. In our government, the chief magistrate is, as it were, really the father of his people. The sicher and happier they are, his riches and happiness must be the greater; and it is impossible for him, even for a moment, to neglect their interest without neglecting his own. Hence they have the greatest security, that he will pay due attention to their public concerns.

In a country fuch as this, wars would be more frequent

under a republican government than they now are.

The reason of this is both the great community of interest, that subside between the king and his subjects, and the stability of the power of the former. The language of every king's heart in this country, must be that of James the second with regard to a part of them, "Oh! spare my Euglish subjects." Self-interest, if not humanity and a sense of duty, must perpetually induce him to spare them, as a careful N a

shepherd, his slock; and if we add to this principle, the check of Parliament and of a free nation, it is evident, that nothing almost can incite him to enter into war, but mere precessive, either real, or generally supposed real. On the other hand, in republics, the ruler or rulers cannot be under such restraint from war by self-interest; and that, though, hy no means, the sole or even the strongest, is yet the most constantly active and most effective principle, in human nature.

The power of the chief magilirate of this country is for stable, and (if I may so speak) so independent, that no description of his subjects can sorce him into a wars. That of republican rulers is constantly so dependent on great subjects whether in or out of office, who may find either profit or gratification in war, that they may be frequently forced into it against their own judgments (if not their consciences)

and against the interest of the state.

Befides, republican governments, are, perhaps in their own nature, more given to war than governments fuch as this. The very spirit of such governments renders them, it should feem, more invatient under what may be called national injuries and affronts. We find, that all the ancient republics (not excepting that of Carthage, whose trade ought to have preserved it from frequent wars, had the nature of its government been pacific) if they were able to contend with their neighbours, were almost perpetually at war with them.

4. In a country such as this, the government is more stable than that of a republic would be; and there are fewer civil diffensions and wars than there would be under republican

government.

The reason of this difference is, that the crown is hereditary, and its power very great. As it is hereditary, the ambition of any subject to ascend the throne is prevented to if any should conceive the mad defire of reigning, so great is that power, that so blind an ambition would be nipt in the bud. Hence those civil wars which arise from contention for the chief power, are here, in a very great measure, if not wholly, prevented. But, in a republic, where the chief magistracy is elective, ambition being inseparable from human nature, as every little man would be great, so every great man would be supreme. Hence those factions, civil wars, and revolutions, which have ever torn or destroyed such governments.

s. In

Brit

of sequ

WOL

the

rep

pre

WES

the pub

day

ord

repi

PE CH

mub

the

hum

in t

con

. f. In a country such as this, is government such as the British is, all things considered. It is expensive along an elective republic; or, in other words, the subjects of this government may be richer than they would be under republicus government.

They are left engaged in foreign wars, than they would be under fuch government; their taxes confequently that he lefts and they are infinitely lefs expoled to civil wars, in confermen oh, they have not only more time to use the means of acquiring wealth, but they lave those immense fums which would, in such wars, be employed against each other, and at the fame time, they avoid the develtation of them. In the republic of Rome, Julius Cafar feized the public treasure to contend with an opposite party"; and, in England, when the prerogative of the Crown was in reduced that the government was, in effect, a republic, the leaders of a faction employed the revenue in making war against the King t. Beudes, republics are made up of fo many discordant parts; and the authority of their rulers is fo fmall, that it requires immente fume to suppress excessive ambition, to maintain public tranquillity, and to support the government against its own subects. Pericles was obliged, not only to involve himself in debt, but to use the public treasure in bribes and largeffes, in order to preferve the afcendant which he had gained in the republic of Athenst. What immense sums of secret service money must be allowed to the ghief magistrates in elective remublics, to folder the divided subjects, if it be intended, that the flate should, for any length of time, enjoy tranquillity!

6. A government like the British, is, in such countries as Britain, more favourable than republic, to excellence in the

human charveter.

It nourithes independence of spirit, and, of course, gives free scope to the exercise of every virtue, because every subject knows, that, if he is able to support himself, he is as independent of every other, as it is possible for men to be of their sellow creatures; which, at the same time, it prevents, in the highest degree, those civil diffensions and wars, which corrupt; harden, brutalize the heart; which change men, not into savages, but monthers. It produces and nourishes public

^{*} Goldfroith's Hift. of Rome, v. 1. p. 4.0. † Hume's hift. of England, v. o. p. 496. Goldsmith History of Greece, v 1. p. 196.

tì

fa

CI

g

C

lie spirit or the love of one's country; which is endeared to us chiefly by the liberty, fecturity, and tranquilling afforded by its government. And without extinguishing the fense of independence, it is the cause of that gentleness, that courte-oussels, which are commanded in the facred scriptures, and which are in themselves so graceful, so pleasing, to conducive

to human happiness

When the great Montesquieu represents monarchy as less favourable to virtue, than some other forts of government, he is according to his own words, to be understood, not as fpeaking of the private virtues, but of public virtue, which he calls "the love of one's country." " I fpeak here," fays be, " of political virtue, which is also moral virtue, as it is directed to the public good: very little of private moral virtue, and not all of that virtue which relates to revealed truths .. " Neither, when, in the same passages of the Spirit of Laws, he speaks of monartchy, is he to be understood as speaking of the government of this country, which he calls " a republic difguifed under the form of a monarchy," but

of the monarchies on the continent t.

In fine, the government of this country is at once favourable to independence of mind and conduct, and to those decorous manners which are an ornament to our nature, and which, being pleafing to ourselves and others, conduce much to our happiness. Affording the greatest possible liberty of a private kind to every subject, it leaves him to continue all religious and moral virtues, whilst the very consciousness of enjoying such liberty must render their country very dear to all who know the value of fo great a bleffing. If we add the disaffected fremen to the numerous bodies of flaves in the ancient republics, we shall probably find, that, not withstanding of a certain degree of disaffection in this country, there are many more men in it, lovers of their country, than ever were in these governments even in the times of their greatest purity?

7. In a country like this, a government fuch as ours, is more favorable than an elective republic, to the impartial ad-

minifration of juffice.

In republics inflituted in fuch countries as this, however they may be conflituted, it is impossible to prevent faction; and where faction prevails, that faction which gains the afcendant, has generally neither eyes to fee what juffice is to their

Note, Spirit of Laws, b. 3 c. 30. § Spirit of Laws, h. J. c. 19.

their opposents, nor a heart to allow it, nor hands to adminifler it. In this country, there are pastice, but very rarely factions. The flability of the government is such that the executive power is not, as frequently in republica, under a necessity or temptation of departing from the Araight road of justice, to gratify any powerful subjects, or to wreck its rengeance on those who may have provoked it, So great is that power, that it crushes faction as foon as it is formed; and to great is its ability to administer impartial justice, that the feditious and even the rebellious are dealt with mildly, and according to the laws.

8. In a country fuch as this, a republican government is

less capable than ours, of punishing crimes,

e 0

Irte-

lefs

ent.

1 24

1 11

vir-

alla

but

ur-ole

ich of all

of

to

n-

ng

IE

re y. lo

d-

er

.

if-

to

The rulers in fuch governments, are confiantly to dependent on certain great or popular fubjects, that it is only fmall ones they can punish. They never can punish those great fubjects or their connexions, however guilty, who made and fupport, and who can unmake, them. Hence, in such governments, the great can, with impunity, as at Rome, opprefs and injure the fmall. In our country, as a father corrects his children, fo thes our very powerful chief magiltrate punish the greatest offender as well as the smallest; and thus he protects all his fubjects

9. In countries like Britain or France, republican governments are less capable than ours, of rewarding merit.

Such is the imperfection of human nature, that, in all countries, diftinguished merit uniformly excites envy and it is the nature of this passion, not to seward, but to punish it. Hence, in all republics, the most deferving estizens have generally met with the worst treatment. They have been uniformly flandered and undermined ; and most frequently either put to death, or banished, or obliged to abandon their country ; of which truths, the hillories of the republics of Athens, Rome, and Carthage, and (1 may add) that of France, afford ample proof. In that of Athens, men of extraordinary merit were fo frequently banished by the votes of the citizens, that for a great man to be thus punished by them, came, at length, to be considered as the furest test of his merit, and to be deemed an honour inRead of a differa

It was in this republic, that Socrates was put to de doing too much good. It was in a republic in reality, that the brave, the patriotic Wallace, after having rescued his N n 3 Country

country from a foreign yoke, was rendered useless to it by the entry of the great men, and left, in the event, to be betrayed into the hands of his enemies. It was in a government, in effect, republican, that the Seviour of the world was crucified. For the Roman governour was with respect to his apprehension, trial, and crucifixion, as if he had not been; he was forced to comply with the leaders of the people; who in reality, put Christ to death, and this they did for array. But it would be an endless task to mention all those great and worthy men who, have risen in republica, only to fall by the crucifiroke of envy, like fair flowers by the scythe of the reaper.

It has been affirmed, that, in such governments, merit has been injuriously treated, rather from a salutary principle of jealously, than from envy. The truth seems to be, that both were causes of what their great men injuriously suffered for their good-defert. These governments are so unstable; their rulers, on the one hand, hold their-power by so precurious a tenure; and the people, on the other, are perpetually so jealous of being deprived of their liberty, by those very men who defend it; that uncommon merit (except when rendered necessary by any great emergency) is, in them, almost constantly intolerable. Such governments, therefore, are calculated to depress and discourage merit in general, and even that very kind of it, which is their principle, the love of one's country. They are fitted to convert the most eminent of their citizens, as in the case of Cariolanus, into their most implacable enemiss.

The very reverse of all this is the case in this country. The king is fo great, his power fo stable, that he must be wholly free from jealousy; and so much is he interested in the good-defert of his subjects, that he must be disposed to reward their meritorious fervices, as a father encourages good disposition and conduct in his children. And he can reward them in a way, in which republics cannot reward those who ferve them, I mean the conferring on them dignities and titles of honour, a fort of reward, which coils the public nothing, and which is frequently the greatest inducement to deferre them. But should such men unfortunately be neglected, let their merit and fame, and the envy they may excite, be ever fo great, yet in this government, they have all the protection against the malignity of that passion which laws can afford a a protection which men of diftinguished merit can scarcely enjoy in republics; in some of which the very laws themselves were framed to injure them.

10. Republics

thi

ly

wi

in

th

no

da fte

lib

in

th

M

11

OI

in

m

m

8 (0)

le

VE

eh

ei

fu

101

to. Republics admit of lefs liberty than the government of this country.

y the

rayed

nt, in

rehen.

é was

ho in

WOT-

cruel

it had

both

d for

their

ous a

who

d ne-

flant-

lated

wery!

ntry.

micse

ntry.

It be

d in

0 10-

good

ward

who

titles

hing,

ferre

, let

ever

Cite

elves

blics

Republics

In many cafes, those subjects of republican governments. who are in opposition to the rulers and those connected with them, cannot enjoy even the liberty of doing in private, what is not injurious to others; and they never can en ly and permanently the liberty of speaking and writing of public affairs. Their rulers being conftantly jealous, and afraid of losing their authority, keep a strict hand over those who, by their words or writings may tend to leffen it. The invective and fatire of publications wound them fo deeply that they are intolerable; whilft, when unfounded, they can make no impression on a king, whose authority rests on a folid foundation. It is a maxim that will hold forever true, that the lefe stable the power of rulers, the greater their jealousy of the liberty of the prefs or the communication of opinion. Thus, in private life, we find men flately and diffant in their behaviour to their inferiors, in proportion to their nearnels to them.

I can scarcely help regretting, that, on account of the great and unexpected encrease of the size of this work, I am obliged to comprehend in two letters, what requires a volume. Many of the ideas, however, here briefly expressed, are, in preceding parts of this publication, more fully unfolded, to which I must refer the reader. But I am persuaded, that even these brief observations here put together so as to be seen at once, are sufficient to shew to men of an ordinary understanding, if free from prejudice, that in a country such as this, our mixed form of government, is preferable to every kind of mere republic.

These observations, I think, entitle me to conclude this letter with observing, that if a man wish to live under a government, where, at the least expence, all things taken into the consideration, he may calmly, or without fear of injury, enjoy himself and his all; where he may use all means, not injurious to others, to improve his character and condition, to promote his present happiness, and to qualify himself (so far as is in his power) for a happiness that is future and eternal, he will, if he see as he ought, give, without one moment's hesitation, the government of this country a decided and constant preference to every other.

R. T.

CONCLUSION. sure the second service of the second second

a start was and the real access

resident the state of anticophilater and go there distributed his the LETTER LXVL

THE ROLL THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF of the first of the second sec

COUNTRYMEN, THE true knowledge, and practical fense, of religion and morality, are the furest protections which men have against injury, and the most certain and copions sources of their happinels, Whoever, therefore, would perfuade them, that there is no difference between a good and evil action, that religion is a forgery, and that there will be no flate, in which is will be well with the righteous, and ill with the

wicked, certainly endeavours to prepare them for the commiffion of crimes, to which they have a natural aversion, and of which all but those who are rendered callous by vice, think with horrour.

har were all constitutions and

It is as natural for men to be religious in some way and measure, as it is for them to have feelings, perception, and reason. The fear and love of God, and submission to his will, are vital and practical religion. There are, in fone fort, and in a certain degree, either natural to mankind; or what they are capable of acquiring by instruction, example, experience, and practice; and they conflitute the supreme

happinels of a creature such as man.

It does not appear, that religion, like science and arts, is the result of observation and reasoning. For, in those countries, where science and arts were bett understood, the people had the most imperfect and absurd notions of God and religion. The Greeks and Romans derived their pureft notions of a religious kind ultimately from the Hebrews; and these had them by revelation. We derive ours from the same source. It became the author, the preserver, and benefactor of our nature to instruct and perfect it; and as natural means were incompetent for these purposes, those which are supernatural, that is, a revelation, were necessary.

That religion only, which is most agreeable to those noti.

ne of hat w endend he ca ytteri ture oth af igin;

I. Th a. The polition of Gody the me The t

> hat is, As the pholdir hoofe to pre, jul The s and mile

Wear nd oper hish so will of (Golpel; We are, on of the Deity, in the belief of which we are confirmed by hat we discover of his works, and which has the greatest endency to make men wifer, better, and happier, deferves be called natural religion; and fuch is the Christian. If the systeries in this religion prove, that it is not true; those in ature prove, that it does not exist. But the mysteries in oth afford a certain prefumption, that both have the fame oigin; and serve to improve the human character .

The leading doctrines in Christianity form to be thefe,
T. That mankind are, by nature, in a state of fin and misery;

a. That they are delivered from this flare, by the mediation or inter-position of a Divine person, as the meson of their salvation, on the part of Gods.

1. That they are delivered from it, by obedience to the will of God, the means, on their part;
4. Thes the future flate of men will correspond to their prefent lives.

4. That the future flate of men will correspond to their present lives. The truth of these doctrines is supported, or proved, by our experience, and by reason. As to the first, some, instead of saying, " that mankind are, by nature, in a state of sin and misery," will, perhaps, be disposed to say, that they are in a state of imperfection and unhappures. But this is a difference, partly in words only, and partly with regard to the degree of defect and suffering in the human race. This general ruth, that there is a certain portion of moral evil and suffering, common, that is, natural, to mankind, neither has been, nor can be, denied.

The second proposition above is, that mankind are delivered from their present state of she and misery, by the mediation or susterposition of a Divine person, as the means of their substation, on the part of God. As the sia and misery, or defect and suffering, of maskind, in the present state are natural; though both may be lessened by culture; yet no ower but that which is superior to nature, that is, a Divine power, cas hely and sinally deliver mankind from them. But the Saviour of the world is represented in Scripture as possession.

world is represented in Scripture as possessed of power that is Divine, and aperior to nature; as being God; as having created all things, and a spholding and governing them. So that, by whatever terms we may shooke to express the present state of mussaind, he is, according to scrip-

choose to express the present state of massain, he is, according to teripture, just such a faviour as that state necessarily requires.

The third proposition, that mankind are delivered from a state of sin and misery by obedience to the will of God, as the means on their part, is quite consonant to reason, and what we experience.

We are said to be saved by faith, that is, by faith considered as a vitall and operative principle; which fort of faith has the same reference to a religious life, that the belief of the exammon rules or maxims of ordinary life has to worldly assains; both of them being necessary to action. Now said a considered as a principle of good works produces obedience to the will of God. It disposets us heartily to acquiesce in the proposals of the Gospel; to receive Christ as our Saviour, and to walk in his, that is weath as be also malked, that is, to sobmit wholly us the will of God. We are, therefore, saved by submission or obedience to this will, as the

As religion is necessary to preserve men from crimes a vice, to intruct and better them, and to render them han and as the Christian religion has a greater tendency to duce these effects than any other; any plan tending to also this religion, or to leffen its effects, cannot be reform. all writings or discourse having such a tendency, have just far a tendency to mifery.

Of all reforms, a reformation of the hearts and lives men is the most necessary and most advantageous. . The w of an author corresponds to his understanding and heart a th of an artift, to his skill and dexterity; and, in general, the works of man, to man. If, therefore, we would rail Aructure of uteful and folid reform of any thing of a pub

l ha

te ci

rei

The action

oppo

d th

be n w exp

Éta ght om t atch

e m

affe

mer form

ituti

ualit ugu ne th riably unc

-16

means of falvation on our part. And accordingly, Christ is faid to means of latvation on our part.

the author of fulvation to all them that they him. Now this doctrine, if
we are faved by obsdience or tubmiffion so the Divine will, as the mea
of falvation, on our part, is quite agreeable to reason and what we exriescs. For upon a comprehensive view, and thorough consideration facts that we observe, it will be sound, that no general and permanent in any thing, nor general and permanent happiness be produced, but by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will of God, that is, by obedience to the will be will be god. to these laws which he has imposed on men, and things; of which

the precepts or commands of the Gofpel are a part.

The fourth proposition, that the future state of men will correspon their prefers trees, is given exceeding to the state of men will correspon their prefers trees, is given exceeding to the state of people and the natural stears of the wickeds to that sense of justice, which natural to men; to what men experience with regard to the difference of moral and immoral conduct (the tendency of the former be to encrease their virtue and happiness; that of the latter so my their depravity and misery) and to the general helics of men countries, that is will be well with the righteous, and ill with the wicked, and diverso itinere, males a bonis loca cetra, inculta, fæda, atque for dolefa, habere." Cato, in Saluft's hift. Cat. confpiracy.

It might be here added, that the work of our faivation is begun, or ried on, and compleated, by the operation of the Spirit of God, that by the operation of God. But it feems unnecessary to prove this those who consider, as the Heathens did, that it is, in him, we live, as move, and have our being; that we receive from him, life, and bree

Christianity contains all the doctrines of what has been called menoreligion. If it contains more, it contains nothing but what is confide with these, and what has a tendency to promote the practice intend by them. And if we cannot comprehend all its doctrines this is proved, that the human understanding is limited, or that creatures not infinite.

Upon a comparison of what has been called natural religion with Christianity, I cannot tell whether one should most blame the heads of hearts of those who give the former a preference to the latter,

nive, we mult begin with laying a formation in the reforation or amelioration of men themselves. The spring of all ne reform or amelioration is the beart of this individual. If in endeavour to discover what is wrong or desective in their nets and lives; it they seriously resolve to reform it; if they canously and unremittingly attempt to put their good resotions in execution; they will be reformed or become better cording to the course of nature: And when every individal has become better, the nation will be reformed in its prisate capacity: And when the nation itself has become better, it reform, or amelioration of a public kind, will become ify, and almost a matter of course.

Though the reformation of the heart and life he, on variaaccounts, preferable to every other; yet to propole to led it, in any confiderable degree, may appear chimerical. ppole that it is: Yet as the characters of men in this coun-(if we except, perhaps, the temporary delution of fome; d the confequences of that delufion) feem, upon the whole, be rather improved, than become worfe, Laffirm, that, en without personal reformation, this nation has every reason expect all real amelioration or reform in the conditution d'laws, and in the whole of their condition, fo far as that pends on either. And this, I sfirm on the authority of that can neither be denied, nor missepresented by any ght of fophillry. From the time of the conquest, that is, om the time that it became the interest of every subject to atch, and confine within just limits, the executive power, e conflictution and laws have been gradually ameliorated by e mation, not "acting in its original character," but through e organ of its representatives, first, in the national council, affembly of barons, and afterwards in both Houses of Parment. And as this has been, with few exceptions, the uform operation of the nation, fo acting, that is, of the contution, I affirm, that there is in the latter a tendency fo to t; or in other words, that there is in the conditution a indency to improve both itself and the laws; this general ality in the constitution being the same that it was at the aquest, namely, that it is the interest of all subjects to cone the executive power. And as this tendency has undeably existed in it about seven hundred years, it may be proounced nearly as firong as any in the works of nature.

If I observe the face of the earth, from the earliest time in

the foring to the middle of Tummer, gradually c its usual manner, its appearance, imperceptible is rnius of harveft : And if I observe in the confliction of this country and its laws gradual coming better, for a space of seven hundred years, re to the prefent time, through the operation of canfes. ftill exift and poffers all the frength they ever pofferfied. I pect with little lefs certainty, that both will continue to come better; and, in the event, he as perfect as hum er can render them, want to topour they to make

Men who do not intend to fulfil their promiles, but to ceive and enfuare others, will promife any thing to effect t deligns. We have been offered and promifed a great deal Liberty and Equality; but unfortunately for the deale thele articles, we can receive none. As we are free to do a good, and reftrained only from what is injurious; our li can receive no accession. To establish equality is imp but the attempt to establish it would be universally ruis We find, that the people in France are, in one respect, in t fame fituation with those of all other countries; they are & obliged to gain a livelihood by fome lawful occupations the last " Declaration of the Rights of Man, and of a C tizen," published by the Legislature of that country, Life ty is defined to be " the power of doing that which does n injure another;" and Equality is faid to " confift in the la being the same to all, whether it protect or punish." Bu this liberty and equality, which really are of the right form the people of this country have long enjoyed, and do faill en joy, in a higher degree, and on a more certain foundation than the people of France,

(which was by no means the cafe with France; for the defigu As this country is alarmed, armed, and on its of certain persons there were not forescen; and the revoluti was accomplished by them through intrigue and by surprise any attempt here, towards a revolution, would molt prob prove the immediate ruin of its authors, or be productive the most terrible civil war; and, in either case, the attemp Albert and doctors

would be fruitlefs.

But it is not only the fruitleffnels of fuch an attempt, an the impossibility of gaining any public advantage by though accomplished, that ought to deter the common folks

gove who muc har Tou the épul deal coul ed i wea nera V

fmal

this

dou

or t

have even that liber of y I at truti an e few, by t to y pett rath

try, Peo PEVO for in,

trig

or those in the lower or lower ranks of life from affociating with any leaders, their superiors, to endeaver to change the government. Permit me to affure you, my Countrymen, who are in such stations, that you have nothing to hope, but much to fear, from a revolution. You would most certainly change that good constitution which is the remote cause of your being so comfortable as you are, for one that would be the remote cause, to you, of great poverty and misery. You could not gain one public advantage, but would lose a great deal. None of you (except, perhaps, a sew out of millions) could gain anything even of a private kind; and what such gained in this way, would, probably, be soon spent, like ill gotten wealth in general; and then they would be involved in the ge-

neral poverty of their country.

l'to

a th

in th

ure fti

a, I

e law

Bu

guard lefign

prife

live o

t, an

Very far, my Countrymen in the humbleft ranks of life, very far would I be from faying any thing that would, in the fmallest degree, reflect on people of your flation, whether in this country, or any other. No! I truft I have more candour and liberality, more humanity. I am conscious, that I have a regard for men, as men, be their flation what it will, even for the houseless and friendless children of want. But that very regard I entertain for you, is one cause why I take the liberty of telling you things which are true, but which some of you either do not know, or do not confider. And furely, I am not to be confidered as your enemy, because I tell you the truth. Faithful are oven the anounds of a friend; but the lifes of an enemy are describul. It was not fuch men as you (except very few, indeed, compared with the reft) that made any thing by the spoils of any in France; but men in stations superior to yours; such as petty priests, petty lawyers and attorneys, petty landholders, monied men, and, in general, men of me education, gentility, and address, but of little, or rather no principle. It was such men as these who, by intrigue and cabal, brought about the revolution in that country, and reaped the spoils of others, not such men as you, ple in your flation were then made use of in France, as formerly in this country, and indeed in every other where a revolution has been effected, only in clearing away the rubbish for building a magnificent ftructure for their superiors to riot in, and lord it over the poor; and when they had performed this fervile office, they were then cast away as vile things, or 00

fer th

der i

fuch

the g

ellen

Froblig child

whic

fairs.

mou

whic

phyr

terio

tife l

at les

difpo

that

T

of n

matt

those

bled

a pa

crue

to or

like

The

feen, fully tenter ing at replic expre fore p only cultic

T

fourned as creatures that were destructive. This is notorious, and cannot be denied.

Both the church and flate of France needed to be reformed ed; but both in this country were reformed long ago; and to change even but a few degrees more, would be to and injure, not to reform and better, them. And should an of you in the humbler stations of life be induced by the fleigh of men, and cumning craftiness, whereby they lie in wait to decrees to attempt a revolution, your disappointment and ruin would most probably foon follow; or fould the plan succeed, o which there is not the smallest likelihood, the portion of this world's goods that any of you could thereby obtain would be almost nothing. But what wounds would your consciences receive, if your bodies survived! How would your peace of mind, and your inward happiness be destroyed by engaging in an enterprize which would make your country flow with blood, to effect what is not needful to any, but would be highly injurious to all! I never fee any of the common folks in this country, attaching themselves to those above them, with a view to a revolution, but I am put in mind of Dr Goldsmith's fable of the giant and dwarf. The dwarf accompanied the giant in his ware; but after fighting fome battles, and lofing limb after limb, he found the giant had all the advantage, and he all the lofs.

Nothing can more shew the preposterousness of a desire in any description of people in this country to follow France, than that country's following this. The French have borrowed their best maxima and principles of government from the British; and such a change, has the form of their government undergone, that it has come as near, perhaps, to that of the government of Britain, as the passions, views, necessities, or supposed security, of their leaders would permit. To con-

There were doubtlefs many even among the leaders of France, mes of judgment and moderation, who, like the Abbé Sieyes, author of the prefent conflitution, but lately affaffinated, preferred a limited monarity to that conflitution at the time of its formation: But they who leads to the conflitution of the time of its formation: But they who leads to the conflitution of the conflitution of the conflitution of the confliction of t

Those leaders in France, who would have preferred limited monarch to any other fort of government, were obliged to conform to the leas and hopes of some, to the prejudices of others, and to the enthusiasm others, of those popular persons who supported them. They must have

fer the authority of their directory on one person, and render it bereditary; and to invest their council of elders with such hereditary powers and privileges as would tend only to the good of the untion; would render the French constitution effentially British; and all reform of an inserior kind would sollow as a matter of course.

France has been cast down, and is still suppressed. She is obliged to stiffle her sighs, and weep in secret with her dutiful children. Durst they make their voice to be heard, they would make but one chorus in parodying that beautiful song which was composed on Richard cor de lion and sing. O

Louis, O notre pere.

ious.

orm

rould

d. 0

this

dd be

ences

ice of

ing in

with

ld be

nmo

them,

f Dr

rf ac

fome

ad all

ire m

rance

Trow

m th

nment

of the

cs, 0

o co

of the

to les

march

nft hav

feet

There is a kindly as well as a cruel viciffitude in human affairs. There was never a valley of tears, which had not its mountain of joy. Since the death of Robelpierre the opinion of Frenchmen has been veering round from that point, from which Boreas drives his ruffian blaffs, to that where the Zephyrs difference permitted the tyranny of that man to chatterious providence permitted the tyranny of that man to chattife France, and teach both that and other nations. It has, at leaft, taught the chiefs in that nation moderation, and disposed them to affimilate the form of their government to that of this country.

The chief obliacle to a full affimilation, to the refloration of monarchy, duly limited, feems to be the compounding of matters between those who have lost property in land and those who have acquired it. But, in a loss which has resembled that of a deluge, all must lay their account with bearing a part. The hearts of men are disposed to relent. When crucky has exhausted itself, pity and kindness acturally begin to operate. The French are sufficiently fond of variety; and, like the English formerly, will grow weary of republic. They are sensible; and their hearts, though steeled against

feen, that the French nation, all things taken into confideration, was not fully matured for the best fort of government; and, therefore, theyeontented themselves with giving it use of an interior kind. "Solon being afted if the laws he had given to the Athenians were the best, he replied, 'I have given them the best they were able to bear.' A fine expression that ought to be perfectly understood by all legislators. 'I have given you precepte that are not good.' This signified, that they had only a relative goodness; which is the spunge that wipes out all difficulties in the law of Mose." Spirit of Laws, b. 19. 6. 21.

O Richard, O mon poi, &c.

a con

chis tue,

coun rival

be th and

care. A

used

adies

Lora

Virlat.

tain i

20.5

pity in the heat and obilinacy of contention, will yet m with underness for their royal family and that splendid to of pobility, who, under a government like our, would be nesselt mun in that country, and ornament the whole. Reason and humanity will, most certainly, fully the fume their places. Men will probably he disposed to man mutual concessions, and accept mutual offers. A ge forgiveness and oblivion of injuries may be confirmed by and thus, the fears of fome diffipated, and mutual confiden established. And a national debt may adjust matters between those who have lost property, and those who possess it; debt, the interest of which may be paid in taxes that cannot be felt by fix and twenty millions of people; a debt wh operating thus, would fecure to France what the still wants, truly free government, and public tranquillity; and which by fecuring to her fo rare a happiness, would incalculably

more than compensate her for the interest.

After Cromwell and his adherents had usurped the government of England, there was as little probability of reftoring the King and Constitution, as there now can be, of restoring royalty in France, limited fo as to produce general benefit Many of the people wished it, but they despaired of it. Republican principles were then as ftrong and as widely diffused in England, as they now are in France; and a spirit of fana-ticism, which could brook no superior, governed many. The ticism, which could brook no superior, governed many. The King had been worsted in battle; and obliged to abandon the kingdom, and pass his time in exile. All attempts made to restore him, had been descated by the ruling powers; and the nation expected nothing but slavery and oppression. But when every one seared, not only the continuance of their present misery, but some new calamities, providence stirred up a man, who, in a vrey short time, restored both the king and the constitution, without noise, tumult, or opposition, and thereby dissurded an excess of joy through the nation.

Should such be the lot of France; should it please that saids and thereby dissurded in whose hand the hearts of chiefs are a standard to the surface of the saids of the

should it please this great and good being to bestow on France and the

a conditution fimilar to that of this country ; what joy wo benign dispensation give to all wife and good m liberty in the one country would sopport it in the other; and this liberty would promote the interests of religion and tue, and augment national wealth and happiness. These countries would then be truly fifters; and though rivals, their countries would then be truly fifters; and though rivals, their rivalship would serve but to improve them. Whatever may be the event. I humbly befeech God, the both this nation and that may be ever the objects of his peculiar favour and care.

And now, Countrymen, after Irwing, in these letters, used a privilege of the meanest Briton, permit me to bid you adien in these wholesome words of the wise man. Fear the Lord and the King, and meldle not suith then that are given to change.

cwillippears sitting has manufactor and their

change. violation is and

dably

vernorin

nefit

Re

b' free the to per to the a mappinets, mari

COUNTRYMEN, AND LONG OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR

prefers makery, the desired, and only he continued of their disht systems makery, the ideas new endanties, providence third bernik up a cone, who, as a very the series, sentened both the king said see the continues, without news, a one of so of position, outside.

and thereby admited an excests of top it cought the agreement

calculates being, in while land the beers, of chiefs are at a sm., first ble so illa at restell, and substitute the series are at a sm., first ble so illa at restell, and substitute the substitute of one without appearing paires restell, inoply by turning their hearts, and thus making paire them like a year, the intermental of other and the smeaking paire.

them like Cyros, the principut of effecting his purpokes (solor model of heate the great and good being to Willow on France, tone

oring Your Hearty Well-wither,

And very humble Servant,

fauled to be the same and the ROBERT THOMAS.



And the second s

we for this is the Constant with the Englands

